	1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	•
	2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	
	3	=======================================	======
	4 5	IN THE MATTER OF ANDERSON SEED CO., INC.'S GRAIN BUYER'S LICENSE	GW12-001
	6	Transcript of Proceedings March 13, 2012	======
	7		
	8		ORIGINAL
	9	_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	= = = = = =
	10	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,	
	11	CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER	
	12	GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER	
	13	COMMISSION STAFF John Smith	
	14	Rolayne Ailts Wiest Karen Cremer	
	15	Kara Semmler Ryan Soye	
	16	Greg Rislov Ross Pedersen	
	17	Brittany Mehlhaff Chris Daugaard	
	18	Brian Rounds Jon Thurber	
	19	Demaris Axthelm Deb Gregg	
	20		
	21	APPEARANCES Brett Koenecke	
	22		
	23		
	24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR	
	25	nopolog by onoll hosomody mission, min, on	•
San Jan	۷ ا		

APPEARANCES BY TELEPHONE Bart Banks Jeff Sveen TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 13th day of March, 2012, commencing at 2:20 p.m.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Docket Grain Warehouse 12-001 in the matter of Anderson Seed Company, Inc., Grain Buyer's License.

1.5

Today shall the Commission revoke grain buyer's license and/or take any other necessary action?

And here's how I intend to proceed. We are going to take testimony from Staff. We're going to take testimony from anyone representing Anderson Seed, although at this point I'm not sure we've got anybody from them. We'll take questions from the Commission, and then we'll take public testimony. And we've got folks I think both on the phone and in person.

We will then again take any additional questions that the Commission might have and then any action that we might take from there.

So with that, Commission Staff.

MR. MEHLHAFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Jim Mehlhaff with Commission Staff.

The question on this one is shall the Commission revoke the grain buyer's license and/or take any other necessary action. Wondering if it was your desire to take the first portion first or just kind of take it all.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I think we can take them all together.

MR. MEHLHAFF: Take them together. Okay.

Well, the letter that was filed yesterday afternoon basically lays out Staff's position very well. It was authored by Ms. Semmler, Commission attorney. Basically kind of goes back to on February 17 where the Commission agreed with Staff to suspend the license of Anderson Seed.

They had a period of time in which they could ask for a hearing to Petition to keep their license if they so choose. Staff has not heard anything from Anderson Seed or their attorneys in regard to this. In fact, they've indicated to us that they do not intend to contest it. So on that matter I think the revocation Staff recommends, and I don't believe that there's anybody that's going to contest that.

To the other matter, which is receivership over the grain buyer bond, Staff recommends that the Commission seek receivership over the bond and the proceeds in order for Staff to make an equitable distribution of those amounts, the amount of the bond.

And we looked at a number of other options, which are taking receivership of the grain as far as taking receivership of the entire facility. It's Staff's opinion that to take receivership of the facility -- we'll take that one first -- is not a good idea.

We do not have the expertise. It's a very

volatile market. And I think as shown by Andersons, those who presumably know what they're doing can lose a lot of money very quickly. And I don't think Staff is qualified, nor do I think it's appropriate to take receivership to that extent.

1.8

With respect to the grain, I suppose that that is an area that probably there's a little bit more gray area. But with all the information that we have in conversations with Mr. Anderson's attorney, it appears that there is solid liens on all the grain that's in possession.

The contracts that they entered into states clear that title passes for the grain when it's delivered to the facility. And the fact that it's a processing facility, they don't hold a warehouse license. So there's no assumption of title maintained by the producer at the time of delivery. It's just understood that the title passes at that time.

So Staff's opinion on this is that if we were to take receivership of the grain, the best option would be just to simply liquidate it as soon as possible as we don't have a place to put it. And then there's the concern of actually being responsible for the grain, ensuring that it doesn't go out of condition, various other things.

And we're not certain that liquidation -immediately Staff's using the resources that we have
available to us is necessarily going to be what's in the
best interest of the unsecured creditors. I don't
necessarily think that we would get the best price that
could be gotten. Perhaps somebody who has a better
connection with that industry could secure better prices
than we could.

Currently we are aware that Anderson Seed -- and maybe I would ask Ms. Semmler to speak on this since some of the legal things that Anderson Seed is currently going through in other states.

Would you care to elaborate on that more? That also plays into Staff's recommendation.

MS. SEMMLER: I had a conversation with Anderson Seed's attorneys yesterday. And I understand they're going through a dissolution process in Minnesota. And Minnesota, like in South Dakota, there's a process by which creditors are protected and have the ability to intervene in that -- intervene, for lack of a better word, in that process.

They also did speak to the fact that various states seeking receivership over the grain could complicate the liquidation process. And they want all creditors to be on equal footing. And they will assure

1 | that's done through the dissolution process.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Is there anyone on the line from Anderson Seed, representing Anderson Seed?

Seeing none, I'm going to proceed to Commissioner questions, at least initial questions, and then we'll go to public testimony.

Commissioner questions?

Well, then I'll start. From the best that you've -- you've completed and the letter indicated you've completed the audits. You've got a good handle on how much is owed to whom; is that correct?

MR. MEHLHAFF: Yes, Mr. Chairman. That is correct.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I know that you've also done some investigation into the assets of Anderson Seed. Have you been able to determine at least as of today or within the last couple of days what assets are left with Anderson Seed?

MS. SEMMLER: We know that the grain is an asset of Anderson Seed. Beyond that, we're continuing that investigation.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: It is correct, however, that their Redfield real estate no longer is owned by Anderson Seed? That's been transferred; correct?

1 MS. SEMMLER: And the facility. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And the facility. So far as 2 vehicles and anything else, we're unsure as to who owns 3 that at this point; is that correct? 4 MS. SEMMLER: Correct. That's -- since 5 discovering the BinCo transfer now our new focus has been 6 on what's left at Anderson Seed. So that's the second 7 phase of the audit. 8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: But we're assured that the grain and grain products that are left there are still in 10 Anderson Seed's name; correct? 11 12 MS. SEMMLER: Yes. I specifically wanted that answer coming here today, and they did provide that 13 14 information yesterday. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Can you give me an indication 15 as to what types of liens are in place for the grain and 16 17 the grain products? MS. SEMMLER: U.S. Bank has filed a security --18 they have a security interest and have filed such 19 regarding the grain, the inventory at the facility. 20 And that was filed when? 21 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We did a search -- the search is MS. SEMMLER: 22 dated February 24. I will find that right now. 23 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. 24 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes, Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: While she is looking at that, I'd like to ask counsel a question. Our counsel. I was not aware that U.S. Bank was a participant here, and my son is a financial analyst and advisor for U.S. Bank. I don't know if he'd be involved in this at all.

1.3

Is there any potential conflict of interest on my behalf?

MR. SMITH: Boy, that's a tough one. Without -you know, without knowing how close of an interest it is,
it's hard for me to say that there wouldn't be one,
particularly if he has involvement with this particular
matter. But without knowing that --

I guess the other thing too, Commissioner, is just, you know, for yourself, you know, both from a -- the standpoint of would that relationship influence your decision-making or not but also the other side of the coin might be from an appearance standpoint. If you -- you know, I think that's the other way to look at it is if it would have the appearance of conflict, I would say probably the most prudent course would be to recuse yourself.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you for the advice. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Under the circumstances, I don't think this would influence me in any way. However, since I recall many times the attorney general saying that if you have to ask if there's a conflict of interest, then there probably is -- and I appreciate the counsel from Mr. Smith -- I'm going to recuse myself from this particular docket.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And,

Commissioner Hanson, I appreciate you volunteering that
information and asking the question at the appropriate
time, and it will be so noted that as of this point that
you have recused yourself from this particular docket.

Thank you.

Ms. Semmler.

1.

MS. SEMMLER: U.S. Bank filed its Financing
Statement 10-15 of 2010. I did confirm on the phone
yesterday that that security interest does remain with
the inventory at the location in Redfield, South Dakota.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do you know the value of the -- or the amount of money that Anderson Seed owes U.S. Bank?

MS. SEMMLER: We do have a copy of the mortgage. I will look for that right now.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. While you're looking for that, I'm going to ask Jim a question I think he does

```
What is the estimated value of the grain and the
 1
     know.
 2
     grain products?
              MR. MEHLHAFF: The estimated value of the grain,
 3
 4
     Mr. Chairman, is roughly about $600,000.
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And, Jim, you looked like you
     wanted to add something?
 6
              MR. MEHLHAFF: Yes. Well, I just kind of had it
 7
     at the top. Roughly, the mortgage, according to the
 8
 9
     adjustment that U.S. Bank holds, it's just over
10
     $11 million on that particular facility.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. So when you're saying
11
12
     mortgage you're talking real estate mortgage; correct?
              MR. MEHLHAFF: Correct.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do we know if there's a
14
15
     chattel loan also?
16
              MS. SEMMLER:
                            The UCC filing includes all
17
     inventory.
                 They've attached to the inventory.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. But I guess my question
18
     is we understand they've got a loan that they've taken
19
20
     out on the real estate. Have they also taken out a
21
     separate loan on their chattel, their grain, grain
22
    products?
              MR. MEHLHAFF: The mortgage that they have in
23
    place basically when they did the mortgage modification
24
```

in February it included the construction loan, their

\$6 million line of credit, which was for their inventory and their grain, and then another I believe it was about \$2.8 million.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And so then obviously the question -- it was 11 million it was filed or 11 million and some. What's the current balance on that?

MR. MEHLHAFF: To the best of my knowledge, Mr. Chairman, it's not changed.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: We understand that Anderson Seed transferred real estate to this BinCo. What was the amount that they paid for that real estate?

MR. MEHLHAFF: Mr. Chairman, that was done with the Quitclaim Deed. It's my understanding that there was no consideration for that. If you'd like me to elaborate on that, I could.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah. Please do.

MR. MEHLHAFF: What has been explained to us was that the reason that the Redfield facility was not included in the sale with the rest of the Legumex Walker was because there were entitlement issues and environmental issues due to the fact that it was constructed over a former landfill site.

And that site is currently undergoing a environmental review, and it's my understanding that it's not necessarily uncommon that when that happens that the

real estate is placed into special purpose entity to
basically put a firewall between the particular site and
any other assets of the company.

So that was the purpose for the transfer to
BinCo, to hold it while the environmental review was
underway.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And is it correct U.S. Bank

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And is it correct U.S. Bank maintains the mortgage on that property in the name of BinCo now?

MS. SEMMLER: They did agree to the assignment, correct.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Assignment. Okay. And so what was the value of the assignment?

MS. SEMMLER: We believe it's the same mortgage that was -- now has been assigned.

MR. MEHLHAFF: Mr. Chairman, the mortgage modification that was put into place occurred two days prior to the Quitclaim Deed filed transferring the property. So --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. So I guess my question is what does Anderson Seed -- how much does Anderson Seed owe U.S. Bank today?

If the mortgage has been assigned to BinCo, then what does Anderson Seed owe?

MS. SEMMLER: Anderson Seed remains joint and

severally liable for that mortgage and as a guarantor of that mortgage. So they remain liable for that same amount of debt so when that real estate gets sold ultimately to Legumex, if there's anything left unpaid, anything that Anderson Seed owns is subject to the repayment.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Smith has a question.

MR. SMITH: Right. I mean, Andersons just sold all of their North Dakota and Minnesota assets. I mean, do we know what portion of the proceeds from the sale of those assets went to retire whatever outstanding obligation is owed to U.S. Bank?

MR. MEHLHAFF: Mr. Chairman, per the filing, the Canadian filing, which is basically very similar to what we have in the United States, the FCC requirements, all the proceeds, that 4.8 million went to secured creditors, all of it.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: But earlier you said to your knowledge the \$11 million mortgage had not been reduced at all. It was still the same amount. So that 4.8 went someplace else?

MR. MEHLHAFF: I thought Mr. Smith was asking about the sale of the North Dakota and the Minnesota properties, which did not include the Redfield assets. So the proceeds from the sale of those assets went

directly to the secured creditors, U.S. Bank. And the 1 property in Redfield is not related to that transaction. 2 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Thank you. That's the questions I have for the moment. 4 Other Commissioner questions? 5 Seeing none, we will take public testimony at 6 this time. And let me first ask is there anybody on the 7 telephone -- do we have Bart Banks on the line? 8 MR. BANKS: You do, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And, Mr. Sveen, are you on the 10 line? 11 12 MR. SVEEN: Yes, I am, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do we have anybody else on the 13 line who has an interest in this docket? 14 We've got at least two people in the 15 audience here that would like to testify. I'm going to 16 take them first, and then we'll go to the telephones. 17 Mr. Koenecke? Mr. Martinmaas? 18 MR. MARTINMAAS: What a deal. My first --19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We need you to come up and 20 make sure the microphone is on. We do have a court 21 reporter that will be taking your testimony. And if you 22 would begin by giving your full name for the court 23 24 reporter.

MR. MARTINMAAS: Ray Martinmaas, Martinmaas

Dairy.

1.8

Before I begin, I didn't catch the answer on the date when U.S. Bank filed.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: The answer was October 15, 2010.

MR. MARTINMAAS: 2010. I'll get to that.

First of all, I'm not a politician, and I'm not a lawyer so you're going to hear it the way it is. I had to get up early this morning. I had to get the mean woman up early this morning to help me feed and do chores to get here. You're lucky I'm here and she's not here.

Start with, I guess my first question is did

Anderson get low interest loans or any guarantees from
the State of South Dakota?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Here's I think what we're going to do. You've got a series of questions as opposed to testimony; is that correct?

MR. MARTINMAAS: Well, that's part of my question, the questions I'd like to answer.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. I'm going to use a little bit of latitude here because I think we're going to want to get the answers to your question or at least try. So what I'm going to do is as you pose a question I'm going to repose that to the Staff and see if we have an answer, and we'll just kind of play back and forth if

1 that's okay. 2 Okay. MR. MARTINMAAS: 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do we have an answer for that 4 question? 5 MR. MEHLHAFF: Mr. Chair, we did check with the Governor's Office of Economic Development, and they did 6 7 not provide any low interest funds to Anderson Seed on 8 this project. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 10 MR. MARTINMAAS: Were there any tax breaks? CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Mehlhaff? 11 12 MR. MEHLHAFF: I'm not privy to any. That does not mean that they did not receive them. 13 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 14 15 MR. MARTINMAAS: You're saying that all the 16 grain is still in Redfield. None of the grain has been Is that your testimony? 17 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Mehlhaff? 18 MR. MEHLHAFF: The grain that was there -- I did 19 20 an on-site inspection of that facility. I can't remember the exact date. It was shortly after that we did it. 21 22 And the grain that was there on that day is still there. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And so if I could expound on 23 that, I mean, we have no -- I mean, obviously grain was 24

coming and going from the facility.

MR. MARTINMAAS: Right.

2.1

CHAIRMAN NELSON: But as you probably know, a couple of weeks ago we ordered that an inspection and an audit be done, and that's when Mr. Mehlhaff did the in-site inspection and nothing has moved since then.

MR. MARTINMAAS: Can I go get my grain?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Mehlhaff or Ms. Semmler,

you might want to --

MS. SEMMLER: According to the documents that we reviewed, title did transfer, according to those contracts upon delivery. And that would be an ongoing complicated legal argument you'd need to make with the courts.

MR. MARTINMAAS: All right. Let's go back -- Jim Mehlhaff, Director, Grain and Warehouse Division.

Does Mr. Mehlhaff not have any warehouse expertise or anything?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Mehlhaff's qualifications are not an issue in this particular docket. Let me say if I might summarize his testimony is that he doesn't believe he or his Staff have the ability to run a sunflower processing facility. That's -- no. That is not something that we would expect from that particular division.

You may continue with any questions that apply

1 to Anderson Seed. 2 MR. MARTINMAAS: All right. In dealing with 3 Anderson Seed since they stole \$47,000 from me, do you plan on prosecuting them? 4 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to turn to 6 Ms. Semmler for that. 7 MS. SEMMLER: Our jurisdiction is limited. We're a regulatory agency. And we don't have 8 jurisdiction to seek criminal charges or to prosecute. 9 10 So there certainly are some arguments out there that maybe there is some criminal fraud involved. 11 12 that would be the Attorney General's Office that would 13 pursue criminal charges. 14 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And if I might follow up with a question, Mr. Mehlhaff, is it correct that the Attorney 15 General's Office has been made aware of what's occurring 16 1.7 in this docket? That is correct, Mr. Chairman. 18 MR. MEHLHAFF: 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. MR. MEHLHAFF: As has the law enforcement in 20 Spink County, the Spink County's Sheriff Office. 21 also met briefly, although did not have extended 22 discussions, with the Spink County State's Attorney. 23 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 24

MR. MARTINMAAS: How much was their bond?

MR. MEHLHAFF: Their bond was \$100,000.

1.8

MR. MARTINMAAS: Amazing. Did they have to put that up in the form of cash?

MR. MEHLHAFF: No. They have a surety bond, which is basically like insurance.

MR. MARTINMAAS: In other words, about 10 percent.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Mehlhaff, if you want to just give a little bit of additional information on what a surety bond is.

MR. MEHLHAFF: A surety bond -- as part of the licensing process -- I'll maybe give a little bit more information than was asked.

As part of the licensing process, a licensed applicant has to provide a surety bond based on a sliding scale of the purchases that they would make over a three-year period.

As this was a new entity, it was done on an estimate. The estimate, I think they estimated they'd buy \$1.4 million, which would have required a surety bond of \$50,000. Obviously, they went well over that. They have a \$100,000 bond, which on this scale would cover them up to \$10 million in purchases.

Surety bond is -- basically it's like an insurance company. They review and they provide an

insurance for sellers who are harmed by breach of the 1 2 contracts. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And so if I could just be clear, it is a full \$100,000 bond. 4 5 MR. MARTINMAAS: In other words, we can be made whole by the surety bond company? 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: The \$100,000 bond covers --7 MR. MARTINMAAS: Well, that's not what he 8 9 stated. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Covers all of the purchases. 10 It does not cover any of the voluntary credit sales, but 11 it covers all of the purchases. And that amount is 12 estimated -- correct me if I'm wrong -- about 13 \$2.2 million. Is that correct? 14 MR. MEHLHAFF: That's correct, Mr. Chairman. 15 Therefore, no, that bond is CHAIRMAN NELSON: 16 not sufficient to cover all of the losses at this point. 17 THE WITNESS: In other words --18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: What our hope is, that there 19 will be sufficient assets found with Anderson Seed to 20 cover hopefully the whole amount. But that's what will 21 22 play out in this process. MR. MARTINMAAS: In other words, Mr. Mehlhaff 23 was negligent when he didn't ask for a higher surety 24

25

bond.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Sir, no. We're not taking that kind of testimony. The amount of the bond is set by state statute, and if you have an issue with the amount of the bond, that is a question that you'd want to take to your state legislators who set those bond amounts.

MR. MARTINMAAS: You said that -- stated that was for a million dollars. And he just stated there was over \$2 million in purchases.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: The statute requires a \$100,000 bond for up to \$10 million worth of purchases. They have a \$100,000 bond.

Now the issue of whether that bond is sufficient, that philosophical question, I'm certain that question will be raised the next time the legislature meets. Certainly. That's not a question that we're going to resolve today. Nor is that even a question before us today.

Do you have any other questions or testimony?

MR. MARTINMAAS: So we don't know if we can get
our grain back if we wanted to do that?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I believe that question was answered.

MR. MARTINMAAS: The only thing I have are some statements, and you don't want to hear those.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'll take any statements that

1.3

1.4

1 | apply to this docket.

1.8

MR. MARTINMAAS: If U.S. Bank filed October 10 of 2010, is there a reason the PUC did not notify farmers that Anderson Seed Company was in trouble?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Well, the filing of a lien on chattel, on grain, on grain products, is the normal course of business. That certainly doesn't indicate that there was trouble simply on the filing of a lien.

And if I might use an example, when I'm doing business, I mean, the bank's going to file a lien on my cattle, but that doesn't mean that I'm in trouble. And so it's an analogous situation here. It's part of the normal course of obtaining credit. A lien was filed on the chattel.

MR. MARTINMAAS: Like I said, I'm not a politician. I'm not an attorney.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: No. But you understand -you're in the ag business. You understand borrowing
money and you understand liens filed against your
property and that's a normal course of your business.
And so that's -- you know, that's what I'm seeing
happened here.

Now, obviously, something went south very, very quickly, and that's one of the things that as this plays out we want to get to the bottom of as to how that

happened. But simply the filing of a lien on chattel back in 2010 to me would not indicate it was anything other than the ordinary course of business starting up.

Other questions or comments?

MR. MARTINMAAS: The only other comment I have is, you know, I think Jim Mehlhaff should resign or be

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Sir, that is not appropriate. You are dismissed. Thank you.

Mr. Koenecke.

fired.

MR. KOENECKE: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioner Fiegen, and Staff. My name is
Brett Koenecke. I'm a lawyer from Pierre, and strangely
I'm appearing today on a grain warehousing docket. I
never thought that would happen in my career.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Frankly, we wish it wasn't happening in your career.

MR. KOENECKE: Well, and I understand that. I appreciate that. I was contacted by my client Jeff Bush. I have a handful of farm and ranch clients. He contacted me in January about this matter. And I directed him, as I do oftentimes -- being a lawyer practicing in Pierre, I understand that there are things that regulators can get done for people much more quickly and inexpensively than I can through the Circuit Court process.

I directed him to Mr. Mehlhaff and they've been in contact and I believe my client to be generally satisfied with Mr. Mehlhaff's actions in this matter and I know that I am as well. I've had contact with several of the people sitting in this room today, and they've taken the initiative to call me up and ask what I thought and how I could be using the information that they've already obtained and I appreciate those contacts. And so I would want to say that in my remarks here this afternoon.

1.5

I think there's two things I'd like to say. I didn't plan to say anything, but one thing I would want the Commission to explore as it determines how to go forward here is it would be, I think, helpful to my client and perhaps others as well to slow this game down.

This situation has evolved very rapidly. I filed a lawsuit in Spink County in February and am currently waiting for the 30-day notice -- or the period for Anderson Grain to answer to run so I can get a default judgment or other process.

If the Commission was able to take control of anything and slow the game down such that somebody had to come and be responsive back to the Commission or to anybody other than a secured creditor, I think the unsecured creditors might be able to benefit from that.

And so I'd want you to consider that as you think about what to do here. Maybe the best thing you can do is slow the game down so we can catch up and figure out what's going on here and do what we can -- or do what I can for my client who is an unsecured creditor of Anderson Grain.

The other thing I would say is that I would not expect a grain warehousing division to apply their own expertise to the marketing or handling or what have you of the inventory of the facility. I'd expect you to contract for that and go find somebody who could do that in the manner, way, shape, or form that was ultimately determined to be the best.

I wouldn't expect to rely on the Division's judgment but would expect you to go find people to help sort this thing out. So in your initial comments, Mr. Mehlhaff, I understood you to be saying that, well, we didn't want to take control of this stuff because we didn't have the expertise. My reply to that would be I don't expect you to. I don't know what best to do. But in that case I go out and find somebody who does and handle it that way if that's appropriate. And maybe it's not here for reasons which I don't know about.

But those would be my comments on the matter. And I appreciate everything that Ms. Semmler and

Mr. Mehlhaff and Mr. Smith have done and am thankful to have the opportunity to discuss it this afternoon.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I think while we've got you there maybe we'll take some questions for you first, and then we'll move to the other two attorneys.

When you talk about slowing the game down obviously the things we're wrestling with is the receivership that would be limited to the grain and grain products. Perhaps the receivership of any of the assets that are left there.

Do you see us -- if we were to move in that direction, do you see us that in any way improving the ability of your clients to recover funds?

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for the question,
Mr. Chairman. I think it's too early to tell, and it
would be facts that we don't know yet as to how it all
shakes out. And some of it's dependent simply on
markets. If the price of grain doubles, well, then that
doesn't mean that the liens already on the grain would
double. They are what they are.

There could be value that is accumulated there just simply through the movement of the markets. It could go the other way, as Mr. Mehlhaff indicated. We

don't know about that either.

I think that it seems like to me that if the Commission was able to take steps to get into Circuit Court on behalf of let's call them producers for lack of a better word -- I like that better than unsecured creditors -- that courts in this state, in other states, and secured creditors would have to take notice of that and have to deal with you as well. And that feels to me like an advantage that I just don't want to give away.

I hope that's responsive to your question. If it isn't, feel free to dig around, and I'll see what I come up with.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: It is. Thank you. Other questions?

Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, we're certainly here to try to protect the producers as much as we can. And we have some options here of, you know, going after the bond claim and then also going after the grain, but then the real estate or those type of assets, I don't know.

Would you recommend the first two steps of the grain and the bond? And what about those assets, if they're --

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for the question,

Commissioner. I don't know about recommending. I'd want you to explore it and be thoughtful and take input from Mr. Smith and from Ms. Semmler and from Mr. Mehlhaff and the rest of us and reach a well reasoned decision.

I think I would -- it seems to me like there's no downside to a producer from you taking all the steps that you can and then subsequently relinquishing that position back to a secured creditor or somebody else. That strikes me as a place to start your discussion from how do we make things worse by taking control of things that might be owed to a security creditor?

I have a tough time seeing how you would.

Certainly for my client. The bank might not like that.

That's, you know, certainly a possibility as well. But ultimately at the end of the day the bank's going to get their value out of the property they have a security interest in. There could be some loss to them, as I explained, from the movement of markets. That's also a possibility. I don't know what more to say on the facts that we have here.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And when somebody gives us advice that they want the states to stay out, that's when I want to get in.

MR. KOENECKE: If I could comment on that.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.

MR. KOENECKE: I wouldn't put a lot of stock in what the lawyers for Anderson Grain told anybody. I'd want to, I guess, trust but verify maybe.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: You're being generous.

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks. I try to be.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: The one comment I would make so far as playing the market if we would move for receivership of grain and grain products, I would not be excited about that for a couple of reasons.

First of all, you know, that's playing the deal. We don't know if it's going up or down. Secondly, every day we hang on to it we incur storage costs and costs for whatever expert we might bring in. So my thought would be a pretty short-term deal if we went that direction.

Any other questions?

MR. KOENECKE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. With that, Mr. Banks.

MR. BANKS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Bart Banks with Dakota Mill & Grain.

A couple of comments. I agree with Mr. Koenecke that we certainly do not blame the PUC for any of this. I mean, you guys got a job to do. It's not always easy, and we appreciate the opportunity to participate in this this afternoon as well.

A couple of comments. I guess, first of all, I mean, there's a lot of moving parts with this Anderson transaction. It's very difficult to tell what's real and what isn't. What the lawyers from Minnesota comment on or say I think circumspection would be appropriate.

The one thing we do know is that Anderson Grain has acquired quite a bit of --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Banks, you're breaking up a little bit. I don't know if you can get on a better phone or --

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BANKS: I'm actually on my handset now. Maybe I'm speaking too fast.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. There you go. That sounded good.

MR. BANKS: What I would say is that we know that Anderson Grain has obtained a lot of seed from local producers for which it has not paid. We also know that shortly after that they started selling their assets in all of their locations for -- whether it was for true value or appropriate value we cannot determine at this point.

We know that they have transferred title to assets in Redfield. And we know that there is a lot of inventory sitting in Redfield that has not been paid.

I appreciate Staff's comments about what the PUC

might or should do. And I guess I would only comment on that, that I believe there is a third alternative and that would be to take and impose a temporary receivership over the facility and its grain for some of the reasons that other counsel have referred to, and that is slow the process down a bit, let everybody catch up, figure out who's on first.

The grain is already in that facility. I don't think anyone will be harmed by leaving it there for a short period of time. And I think that the people who could run that facility and determine how best to proceed are people in the industry itself. Certainly Dakota Mill & Grain and some of the other players here have access to appropriate people that would know what to do and to give us a chance to explore that along with the information I believe that has been obtained by Staff about the condition of that facility and its contracts would allow us to actually at least make a preliminary determination whether that facility could be operated, what the value of the grain would be, et cetera.

So I would encourage the Commission to consider the third alternative, and that is to temporarily take possession of the facility, its inventory, to attempt to determine and make these determinations whether that would be appropriate or not.

And we do appreciate what you have done to this point, and I would just ask, as Mr. Koenecke indicated, to slow the process down, evaluate our options. Because, to be certain, the bond is not going to cover very much of these claims.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Just a moment.

Mr. Banks, do you have any issue or concern that there may be any spoilage of the product if we wait to liquidate?

MR. BANKS: Well, in the short run I don't believe that I do. You know, I don't know the condition of that seed. Obviously we're not privy to that. There are people that could render appropriate opinions on that. I don't know how long it's been sitting there, for example, or its condition so I don't know that I can comment on that at this point. But, again, I'm advocating a more short-term receivership than a long-term.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Other questions? Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: That was my question just because I grew up on a corn and soybean farm and know a little bit about storage there. But can you just give me an idea because you happen to be from Mill & Grain, what type of -- like if it would be perhaps delivered in

December, how long a shelf life or storage do we have until there's some spoilage?

MR. BANKS: You know, I cannot answer that. I am only a lawyer. So I can't -- I can't confirm that one way or the other. Although with the resources I have here, I could confirm that in a short period of time.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: A lawyer that did not grow up on a sunflower farm?

MR. BANKS: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Mr. Sveen.

MR. SVEEN: Good afternoon. My name is

Jeff Sveen. I'm with the Siegel, Barnett & Schutz Law

Firm in Aberdeen, and I represent Glendale Hutterian

Brethren, Inc.

First, I want to say I also would commend the Staff on what they've done as far as I'm concerned in this matter. They tracked me down very -- they tried very hard to track me down and did track me down last week to alert me of this, and they had no obligation to do that. And I really appreciate that.

And I guess a couple of things. The first thing I'm wrestling with is, I assume, to take control of the bond?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Correct.

MR. SVEEN: And I don't think that's even a 1 I think you need to do that, obviously. 2 question. A couple other things. I'm echoing very badly 3 Can you hear me okay, though? 4 on my phone. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We can hear you very well, but our tech person is going to try to make some adjustments 6 7 to help you out. MR. SVEEN: Okay. I'm not sure if it was Kara 8 9 or whoever it was, but earlier it was stated that they're going to a dissolution process in Minnesota. 10 Minnesota did they -- when they said they wanted to treat 11 everybody fairly was that Anderson Seed, or was that 12 13 somebody from the department -- or from the State? That was from their lawyers. MS. SEMMLER: 14 15 MR. SVEEN: Okav. They haven't filed the request for MS. SEMMLER: 16 dissolution yet, but they intend to shortly, I guess. 17 MR. SVEEN: And am I correct -- and I don't 18 remember who they represent, but there has been a lawsuit 19 started in Minnesota attempting to pierce the corporate 20 veil. Has anybody had any discussion with attorney 21

MS. SEMMLER: No, we have not.

Brakke up there on that?

22

23

24

25

MR. SVEEN: Okay. I don't have any, I guess, allusions that we're going to recover -- the growers,

unsecured creditors, whatever you want to call us, are going to be able to recover a lot since there appears to be some valid liens against this property. But I would echo, I guess, the thoughts of the other gentlemen that we shouldn't wait and let Anderson Grain control this, but the department, if you think you can take control.

And I don't know what the market's going to be. I know the market -- I just know the market on soybeans. But I would encourage you to do what you think is appropriate and at least if there's jurisdictions in South Dakota and we act on it in South Dakota, there's a better chance that there will be more money left at the end of the day for us unsecured creditors to at least share in.

Those are my comments.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Questions from the Commission?

Seeing none, any further comments from Staff?

MS. SEMMLER: This is Kara. Staff's concern, I guess -- although I think Mr. Mehlhaff maybe already said it but I'll just say it again. Our concern with the receivership is whether or not us getting involved in the grain actually will preserve any additional funds for South Dakota creditors.

The slow the game down comment, I get it. You

know, Anderson Seed attorneys did indicate that should we seek receivership over the grain, they will oppose that.

They will appear in Circuit Court to do so. It's going to slow the process down. In the meantime, others can

5 | figure out their game plan.

But as far as will that ultimately preserve funds for South Dakota unsecured creditors? I don't think it will. But it may help provide time for all those creditors to put together a game plan.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Other questions from the Commission?

Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: Maybe just for Jeff Sveen or Mr. Banks. You guys have seen the Minnesota lawsuit; correct?

MR. SVEEN: Yep.

MR. BANKS: Correct.

MR. SMITH: Can I just get a gut feeling here? And you've seen the claims for rescission; right? Contract rescission, which would have the objective or fraudulent conveyance perhaps too, which would have as its objective the return of -- potentially return of title to that grain or the undoing of the transfer of title.

Do you just have any thoughts about -- you know,

any thoughts you might have. And also maybe piercing the corporate veil here in a situation where the Anderson family appears to have just received a considerable amount of money. I don't know how much of that is burdened with unpaid, you know, secured -- security interests.

1.3

But, you know, they received in the -- my recollection is well over \$12 million for the Hilaire, St. Hilaire assets.

Any thoughts about -- just off the top of your head as to how viable or legitimate you might think those avenues of approach would be?

And maybe also whether that's something that is more appropriate for the group of grain holders maybe acting as a group to pursue on your own behalves or whether that's some role that we have -- whether we have a role to play in that. Maybe that's the better way to put that. Or whether that's your role to play. I guess I just am interested in your thoughts.

MR. SVEEN: Bart, you want to go first?

MR. BANKS: Sure, Jeff, and back me up.

MR. SVEEN: I will.

MR. BANKS: I think we both have a role, and I guess that was the purpose of what we were asking today. I think that the Commission could step in at least

initially on a short-term basis and assist us and assist the producers who have been harmed by all of this in South Dakota to allow us to be able to get ahold of that.

As far as the claims that are being made in Minnesota, certainly the breach of contract claims, without knowing, you know, what the plaintiff has in outstanding claims, I don't know whether it's viable or whether the amount they're seeking is appropriate. But certainly to the extent that they delivered seed and were not paid, the breach of contract is a slam dunk.

The claims for -- I know in talking with Mr. Brakke, the claims where they were seeking to obtain possession of the inventory in Redfield, the primary purpose of that was not to move the inventory anywhere but to attempt to prevent it from being lost, sold, whatever. Which is partly what we are asking the Commission to help us with.

As far as the personal claims against the Andersons, I think it's a little early to determine the validity of those claims or the probability of recovery on those claims. But certainly there are some questions. Just the way the transaction occurred with the sales, et cetera, it certainly raises an eyebrow.

So I don't know if that answers your question,

Mr. Smith, but that's kind of my take on that. I fully anticipate there will probably be some more lawsuits started before this is over with.

11.

MR. SVEEN: My turn, I guess. I have not talked to Jon Brakke, who's handling the case in Minnesota.

Jon's with the Vogel Law Firm in Fargo. He's a very good lawyer. So I would think he has some hope something could be done.

Piercing the corporate veil is very difficult, especially in South Dakota. I don't know what the Minnesota laws are on that. The whole thing stinks. There's no question about that. The timeliness, the BinCo, the transfer for -- the Quitclaim Deed for no consideration.

And it's a family business. And it kind of depends on what they did as far as their corporate formalities, how they handled the business. There's a lot of questions. And it does just not appear very good.

Now when you -- and I don't know what recourse the bank has. If they have the original debt of \$11 million, they're not just going to sit back obviously. And they've got some things to do.

But it's a tough road to hoe, and I'm just not sure how much ultimately there will be to get it. If you

```
can't pierce that corporate veil, it doesn't sound like
 1
 2
     there's much to spread out and for us all to share.
 3
     That's my two cents worth.
                                 Thank you. Any other
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
     questions?
 5
              Seeing none, are there any motions?
 6
              And I'll just start out by saying I'd like to
 7
 8
     break this into about three parts. And I would begin
     with moving to revoke the grain buyer's license of
 9
10
     Anderson Seed Company, Inc.
11
              Is there any discussion?
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.
12
              Commissioner Fiegen.
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
15
              Motion carries. The license is revoked.
16
              The second question -- the second Motion is I
17
     would move that the Public Utilities Commission seeks
18
19
     receivership of the grain buyer's bond that was posted by
20
     Anderson Seed Company, Inc.
              Discussion on that Motion?
21
              Seeing none, all those in favor vote aye.
22
              Commissioner Fiegen.
23
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
24
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
                                                    Motion
25
```

carries.

1.0

The third Motion that I would make is that the Public Utilities Commission seek receivership of any current or recently transferred assets of Anderson Seed, Inc., in the State of South Dakota.

Discussion?

I don't do this lightly. And I understand the positions of Staff. But I am of the opinion that this Commission needs to do everything possible to give our producers the best possible advantage as they go through this.

At the end of the day will this make any difference? I don't know. And I think everybody here has said that. We don't know.

But I would not feel comfortable looking back six months from now and saying, you know, we could have, we should have done something to help these folks out.

Mr. Mehlhaff, I fully get your comment about not having the expertise to deal with this. Nor do I. But the comment about we'll find the people and we'll work to find the right people that can do this.

And as I indicated, I don't view this as a long-term deal, particularly so far as holding the grain and the grain assets. But I think we need to do -- and how this will ultimately be structured should the Motion

pass -- how it will be structured going into court I think there's 1,000 details that we're going to have to work out. But you all get my drift. I think we need to do what we can.

You know, all three attorneys -- and they are really the ones that I was relying on today for the question is there anything that we can do that will possibly give you an advantage at the end of the day. And I think they've all three said yes. And so I appreciate that, and we're going to do what we can to help our producers out. Hence, my reason for the Motion.

Further discussion, Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: A quick question on the different motions for Chairman Nelson. I would assume that you will do one separate on the grain and inventory and one separate for the facilities, or are you putting them all together?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm putting them all together in this Motion.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I guess I would like to ask our counsel, John, if we would have a better benefit if we would do them separately so if one is thrown out, we may still have the grain or the inventory. Maybe not the facilities or other things, but at least we may support our producers in one of the items that the courts

would give us.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead, John.

MR. SMITH: Yeah. I think, in the end this isn't all going to be our decision to make. The decision as to whether or not to give us a receivership at all is with the Circuit Court in Spink County.

And would it jeopardize it to have -- I mean, I think if we go in there and try to get a very broad receivership of all assets in South Dakota, you know, I think if you wish, I mean -- and I think we'll probably do that anyway, but, I mean, we can try to do that and convince the court to give us that.

And it could be that we won't be able to sell the court on it. It could be that maybe we can -- I mean, certainly we'll probably do some differentiation of perhaps a different set of entitlements to the grain for receivership than for other things, in terms of the way we put this together. And I think that's what Chairman Nelson was getting at.

However, the whole concept of receivership if you look at title 21 that -- or Chapter 21-21 that deals with receivership, you know, does contemplate receivership as an appropriate process in the case of an insolvency when you have a risk to assets.

And so, you know, I mean, I think that's what we

could do. As I understood, the Chairman's Motion is broad in terms of us seeking a broad receivership over as many assets that we can maybe bring within that. And, of course, the bottom line is it's going to -- it's all going to depend on what the court's willing to approve. I mean, that's -- and the bank's going to be in there. Andersons is going to be in there. And goodness knows who else might be in there fighting us on it. You know, I mean, that's going to be happening.

1.5

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So if I can wrap that up and if there are any attorneys that want to respond, it's my understanding it doesn't matter if we have several motions or just one because the courts are going to look at it as just one.

MR. SMITH: I really think in the end we're almost going to have to go in there -- you know, again, unless you just want to have it be limited to our attempt to take possession of only the grain -- I mean, the difficulty of that, especially if we're just talking a short-term situation, is where are we going to put it, you know. You know, but --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I might, there's two reasons that I included it as broad as I did. One is I'm incredibly bothered by this recent quitclaim transfer to another entity for no consideration. I think we need to,

1 as best we can, get our arms around that.

And, secondly, it's the practical consequence of I don't want to have to start paying this BinCo storage. I mean, that would just be flat wrong to have to pay that. So I want to have someplace to be able to store this until we can liquidate.

MR. BANKS: Chairman, this is Bart Banks for Dakota Mill. I guess I've been involved in litigation a little off and on over the 20 plus years, and I can tell you that in a case like this if you go to the court requesting receivership and they typically would want it as broad you think would be appropriate and as broad as you could. If the court believes that some aspect of that it isn't going to grant, he'll tailor it.

So, in other words, if you ask for something that he might not grant, it doesn't mean it's fatal to the motion. It's just it would be tailored by the court.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Further discussion?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, when we -- if you don't mind, Mr. Chairman, when we take receivership that is a big step on the part of the PUC because it's something we don't normally do here. And probably, John, I don't know if you've done that -- yeah. You've

probably done it before because you've been here 10 years.

MR. SMITH: I never have been involved in seeking the receivership. Although we've got the paperwork from the last time it was done. I've been involved -- I was in the business world for a long, long time, and I've been in a whole lot of cases involving it. But the ones I was involved in the past have all been actual bankruptcy court.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: But I don't think we take it lightly. And when farmers have weather issues like hail or floods, I mean, that's hard enough or a disease or livestock when you start watching cattle die, I mean, that's a hard thing and I've been there.

And now it's a human error. And I believe that we have to do everything we can to protect our producers, and that's why I'm supporting the Motion today.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I appreciate that support.

And I will simply say I don't take this lightly. I wish we were not going down this road. But the statute specifically authorizes us to pursue receivership. And so there's some anticipation on the part of the policy makers in this state that under a certain circumstances that we pursue that. And this is one where I think we should.

1	With that, all those in favor will vote aye.
2	Fiegen.
3	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
4	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
5	Motion carries.
6	
7	,
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1.7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 13th day of
11	March, 2012, and that the attached is a true and correct
12	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 26th day of
14	March, 2012.
15	
16	
17	Chin. Com
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
20	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1	ĺ	

\$10 [2] - 20:23, 22:10 \$100,000 [6] - 20:1, 20:22, 21:4, 21:7, 22:10, 22:11 \$11 [3] - 11:10, 14:19, 40:22 \$12 [1] - 38:8 \$47,000 [1] - 19:3 \$50,000 [1] - 20:21 \$600,000 [1] - 11:4

1

1,000 [1] - 43:2 1.4 [1] - 20:20 10 [3] - 20:7, 23:2, 47:2 10-15 [1] - 10:16 11 [2] - 12:5 12-001 [1] - 3:1 13 [1] - 1:8 13th [2] - 2:8, 49:10 15 [1] - 16:4 17 [1] - 4:4

2

2[1] - 22:8 2.2[1] - 21:14 2.8[1] - 12:3 20[1] - 46:9 2010[5] - 10:16, 16:5, 16:6, 23:3, 24:2 2012[4] - 1:8, 2:8, 49:11, 49:14 21[1] - 44:21 21-21[1] - 44:21 24[1] - 8:23 26th [1] - 49:13 2:20[1] - 2:9

3

30-day [1] - 25:18

4

4.8[2] - 14:16, 14:20

5

500 [1] - 2:7

6

6[1] - 12:1

Α

Aberdeen [1] - 34:14 ability [3] - 6:19, 18:21, 27:15 able [8] - 7:17, 25:21, 25:25, 28:3, 36:2, 39:3, 44:13, 46:5 above-entitled [2] -2:6, 49:10 access [1] - 32:13 according [3] - 11:8, 18:9, 18:10 accumulated [1] -27:23 acquired [1] - 31:7 act [1] - 36:11 acting [1] - 38:15 action [3] - 3:5, 3:14, 3:21 actions [1] - 25:3 actual [1] - 47:9 add [1] - 11:6 additional [3] - 3:13, 20:9, 36:23 adjustment [1] - 11:9 adjustments [1] - 35:6 advantage [3] - 28:9, 42:10, 43:8 advice [2] - 9:23, 29:22 advisor [1] - 9:4 advocating [1] - 33:17 afternoon [6] - 4:2, 24:11, 25:10, 27:2, 30:25, 34:12 ag [1] - 23:18 agency [1] - 19:8 ago [1] - 18:3 agree [2] - 13:10, 30:21 agreed [1] - 4:5 ahead [1] - 44:2 ahold [1] - 39:3 Ailts [1] - 1:13 alert [1] - 34:20 allow [2] - 32:17, 39:3 allusions [1] - 35:25 almost [1] - 45:16 alternative [2] - 32:2, 32:22 amazing [1] - 20:2

amount [11] - 4:19,

10:20, 12:11, 14:3,

14:20, 21:12, 21:21, 22:2, 22:3, 38:4, 39:9 amounts [2] - 4:19, 22:5 analogous [1] - 23:12 analyst [1] - 9:4 ANDERSON [1] - 1:4 Anderson [41] - 3:2, 3:8, 4:6, 4:10, 6:9, 6:11, 6:16, 7:3, 7:4, 7:16, 7:19, 7:21, 7:25, 8:7, 8:11, 10:20, 12:9, 13:21, 13:24, 13:25, 14:5, 16:13, 17:7, 19:1, 19:3, 21:20, 23:4, 25:19, 26:6, 30:2, 31:2, 31:6, 31:16, 35:12, 36:5, 37:1, 38:2, 41:10, 41:20, 42:4 Anderson's [1] - 5:9 Andersons [4] - 5:1, 14:8, 39:20, 45:7 answer [8] - 8:13, 16:2, 16:4, 16:19, 16:25, 17:3, 25:19, answered [1] - 22:22 answers [2] - 16:22, 39:25 anticipate [1] - 40:2 anticipation [1] -47:22 anyway [1] - 44:11 appear (2) - 37:3, 40:18 appearance [2] - 9:18, APPEARANCES [2] -1:20, 2:1 appearing [1] - 24:14 applicant [1] - 20:15 apply [3] - 18:25, 23:1, 26:8 appointed [1] - 49:8 appreciate [11] - 10:5, 10:9, 24:19, 25:8, 26:25, 30:24, 31:25, 33:1, 34:21, 43:10, 47:18 approach [1] - 38:12 appropriate [14] - 5:4, 10:10, 24:8, 26:22, 31:5, 31:20, 32:14, 32:25, 33:13, 36:10, 38:14, 39:9, 44:23, 46:12

approve [1] - 45:5

area [2] - 5:7, 5:8 argument [1] - 18:12 arguments [1] - 19:10 arms [1] - 46:1 aspect [1] - 46:13 asset [1] - 7:20 assets [19] - 7:16, 7:18, 13:3, 14:9, 14:11, 14:24, 14:25, 21:20, 27:11, 28:20, 28:23, 31:18, 31:23, 38:9, 42:4, 42:24, 44:9, 44:24, 45:3 assigned [2] - 13:15, 13:23 assignment [3] -13:10, 13:12, 13:13 assist [2] - 39:1 assume [2] - 34:23, 43:14 assumption [1] - 5:16 assure [1] - 6:25 assured [1] - 8:9 attached [2] - 11:17, 49:11 attempt [3] - 32:23, 39:16, 45:17 attempting [1] - 35:20 Attorney [2] - 19:12, 19:15 attorney [6] - 4:3, 5:9, 10:3, 19:23, 23:16, 35:21 attorneys [6] - 4:10, 6:16, 27:7, 37:1, 43:5, 45:11 audience [1] - 15:16 audit [2] - 8:8, 18:4 audits [1] - 7:11 authored [1] - 4:3 authorizes [1] - 47:21 available [1] - 6:3 Avenue [1] - 2:7 avenues [1] - 38:12 aware (3) - 6:9, 9:3, 19:16 Axthelm [1] - 1:18 aye [9] - 41:12, 41:14, 41:15, 41:22, 41:24, 41:25, 48:1, 48:3, 48:4

B

badly [1] - 35:3 balance [1] - 12:6 Bank [11] - 8:18, 9:3, 9:5, 10:15, 10:21, 11:9, 13:7, 14:12,

15:1, 16:3, 23:2 bank [3] - 13:22, 29:13, 40:21 bank's [3] - 23:10, 29:15, 45:6 bankruptcy [1] - 47:9 banks [4] - 30:18, 31:8, 33:7, 37:14 BANKS [11] - 15:9, 30:19, 31:11, 31:15, 33:10, 34:3, 34:9, 37:17, 38:21, 38:23, 46:7 Banks [4] - 2:2, 15:8, 30:19, 46:7 Barnett [1] - 34:13. Bart [5] - 2:2, 15:8, 30:19, 38:20, 46:7 based [1] - 20:15 basis (1) - 39:1 BEFORE [1] - 1:10 begin [3] - 15:23, 16:2, 41:8 behalf [2] - 9:8, 28:4 behalves [1] - 38:15 believes [1] - 46:13 benefit [2] - 25:25, 43:21 best [11] - 5:20, 6:4, 6:5, 7:9, 12:7, 26:2, 26:13, 26:20, 32:11, 42:10, 46:1 better [9] - 6:6, 6:7, 6:20, 28:5, 31:9, 36:12, 38:17, 43:21 between [1] - 13:2 beyond [1] - 7:21 big [1] - 46:23 BinCo [7] - 8:6, 12:10, 13:5, 13:9, 13:23, 40:13, 46:3 bit [8] - 5:7, 16:21, 20:9, 20:12, 31:7, 31:9, 32:6, 33:23 blame [1] - 30:22 bond [28] - 4:16, 4:17, 4:19, 19:25, 20:1, 20:4, 20:10, 20:11, 20:15, 20:20, 20:22, 20:24, 21:4, 21:6, 21:7, 21:16, 21:25, 22:2, 22:4, 22:5, 22:10, 22:11, 22:12, 28:19, 28:23, 33:4, 34:24, 41:19 borrowing [1] - 23:18 bothered [1] - 45:24

bottom [2] - 23:25,

45:4

boy [1] - 9:9

Brakke [3] - 35:22, 39:13, 40:5 breach [3] - 21:1, 39:6, 39:11 break [1] - 41:8 breaking [1] - 31:8 breaks [1] - 17:10 Brethren [1] - 34:15 Brett (2) - 1:21, 24:13 Brian [1] - 1:17 briefly [1] - 19:22 bring [2] - 30:13, 45:3 Brittany [1] - 1:16 broad [6] - 44:8, 45:2, 45:23, 46:12 Building [1] - 2:7 burdened [1] - 38:5 Bush [1] - 24:19 business [8] - 23:7, 23:10, 23:18, 23:20, 24:3, 40:15, 40:17, 47:6 buy [1] - 20:20 buyer[1] - 4:16 BUYER'S [1] - 1:5 Buyer's [1] - 3:3 buyer's [4] - 3:4, 3:20, 41:9, 41:19 BY [1] - 2:1

C

Canadian [1] - 14:14 cannot [2] - 31:20, 34:3 Capitol [2] - 2:7 care [1] - 6:13 career [2] - 24:15, 24:17 carries [3] - 41:16, 42:1, 48:5 case [4] - 26:21, 40:5, 44:23, 46:10 cases [1] - 47:7 cash [1] - 20:3 catch [3] - 16:2, 26:3, 32:6 cattle [2] - 23:11, 47:13 cents [1] - 41:3 certain [4] - 6:1, 22:13, 33:4, 47:23 certainly [14] - 19:10, 22:15, 23:7, 28:16, 29:13, 29:14, 29:25, 30:22, 32:12, 39:6, 39:10, 39:22, 39:24, 44:15 CERTIFICATE [1] -

49:19 CERTIFY [1] - 49:8 cetera [2] - 32:20, 39:24 Chair [1] - 17:5 Chairman [2] - 43:14, 44:18 chairman [19] - 3:17, 7:13, 8:24, 9:24, 11:4, 12:8, 12:12, 13:16, 14:13, 15:9, 15:12, 15:20, 19:18, 21:15, 24:11, 27:17, 30:19, 46:7, 46:22 CHAIRMAN [84] -1:10, 3:1, 3:23, 7:2, 7:15, 7:23, 8:2, 8:9, 8:15, 8:21, 8:25, 9:25, 10:8, 10:19, 10:24, 11:5, 11:11, 11:14, 11:18, 12:4, 12:9, 12:16, 13:7, 13:12, 13:20, 14:7, 14:18, 15:3, 15:10, 15:13, 16:4, 16:15, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:14, 17:18, 17:23, 18:2, 18:7, 18:18, 19:5, 19:14, 19:19, 19:24, 20:8, 21:3, 21:7, 21:10, 21:16, 21:19, 22:1, 22:9, 22:21, 22:25, 23:5, 23:17, 24:8, 24:16, 27:4, 28:13, 29:25, 30:4, 30:6, 30:17, 31:8, 31:13, 33:6, 33:19, 34:10, 34:25, 35:5, 36:16, 37:10, 41:4, 41:15, 41:25, 43:18, 44:2, 45:22, 46:19, 47:18, 48:4 chairman's [1] - 45:1 chance [2] - 32:15, 36:12 changed [1] - 12:8 chapter [1] - 44:21 charges [2] - 19:9, 19:13 chattel [5] - 11:15, 11:21, 23:6, 23:14, 24:1 check [1] - 17:5 CHERI[1] - 49:5 Cheri [2] - 1:24, 49:18 choose [1] - 4:9 chores [1] - 16:10

49:2

Certified [2] - 49:6,

CHRIS [1] - 1:10 Chris [1] - 1:17 Circuit [4] - 24:25, 28:3, 37:3, 44:6 circumspection [1] -31:5 circumstances (2) -10:1, 47:23 claim [1] - 28:19 claims [10] - 33:5, 37:19, 39:5, 39:6, 39:8, 39:12, 39:13, 39:19, 39:21, 39:22 clear[2] - 5:13, 21:4 client [5] - 24:19, 25:2, 25:15, 26:5, 29:13 clients [2] - 24:20, 27:15 close [1] - 9:10 CO [1] - 1:4 coin (1) - 9:18 comfortable [1] -42:15 coming [2] - 8:13, 17:25 commencing [1] - 2:9 commend [1] - 34:16 comment [9] - 24:5, 29:24, 30:6, 31:4, 32:1, 33:16, 36:25, 42:18, 42:20 comments [8] - 24:4, 26:16, 26:24, 30:21, 31:1, 31:25, 36:15, 36:18 Commission [21] -3:4, 3:10, 3:14, 3:16, 3:18, 3:19, 4:3, 4:5, 4:17, 25:13, 25:21, 25:23, 28:3, 32:21, 36:17, 37:11, 38:25, 39:18, 41:18, 42:3, 42:9 COMMISSION [3] -1:1, 1:10, 1:12 Commissioner [14] -7:6, 7:8, 8:25, 9:14, 9:25, 10:9, 15:5, 24:12, 28:15, 29:1, 33:20, 41:13, 41:23, 43:12 COMMISSIONER [18] - 1:11, 1:11, 8:24, 9:1, 9:23, 10:1, 28:16, 29:21, 33:21, 34:7, 41:14, 41:24, 43:13, 43:20, 45:10, 46:21, 47:10, 48:3 company [3] - 13:3,

20:25, 21:6

Company [4] - 3:2, 23:4, 41:10, 41:20 completed [2] - 7:10, 7:11 complicate [1] - 6:24 complicated [1] -18:12 concept [1] - 44:20 concern [4] - 5:23, 33:7, 36:19, 36:21 concerned [1] - 34:17 condition [4] - 5:24, 32:17, 33:11, 33:15 confirm [3] - 10:16. 34:4, 34:6 conflict [3] - 9:7, 9:20, 10:4 connection [1] - 6:7 consequence [1] -46:2 consider[2] - 26:1, 32:21 considerable [1] -38:3 consideration [3] -12:14, 40:14, 45:25 constructed [1] -12:22 construction [1] -11:25 contact [2] - 25:2, 25:4 contacted [2] - 24:19, 24:20 contacts [1] - 25:8 contemplate [1] -44:22 contest [2] - 4:12, 4:14 continue [1] - 18:25 continuing [1] - 7:21 contract [4] - 26:11, 37:20, 39:6, 39:11 contracts [4] - 5:12, 18:11, 21:2, 32:17 control [6] - 25:21, 26:18, 29:10, 34:23, 36:5, 36:6 conversation [1] -6:15 conversations (1) -5:9 conveyance [1] -37:21 convince [1] - 44:12 copy [1] - 10:22 corn (1) - 33:22 corporate [5] - 35:20, 38:2, 40:9, 40:16, 41:1

correct [23] - 7:12, 7:14, 7:23, 7:25, 8:4, 8:5, 8:11, 11:12, 11:13, 13:7, 13:11, 16:17, 19:15, 19:18, 21:13, 21:14, 21:15, 34:9, 34:25, 35:18, 37:15, 37:17, 49:11 costs [2] - 30:12 counsel [5] - 9:2, 10:6, 32:5, 43:21 County [4] - 19:21, 19:23, 25:17, 44:6 COUNTY [1] - 49:3 County's [1] - 19:21 couple [7] - 7:18, 18:3, 30:9, 30:21, 31:1, 34:22, 35:3 course [6] - 9:21, 23:7, 23:13, 23:20, 24:3, 45:4 court [9] - 15:21, 15:23, 43:1, 44:12, 44:14, 46:10, 46:13, 46:18, 47:9 Court [4] - 24:25, 28:4, 37:3, 44:6 court's [1] - 45:5 courts [4] - 18:13, 28:6, 43:25, 45:13 cover [5] - 20:22, 21:11, 21:17, 21:21, 33:4 covers [3] - 21:7, 21:10, 21:12 credit [3] - 12:1, 21:11, 23:13 creditor [4] - 25:24, 26:5, 29:8, 29:11 creditors [13] - 6:4, 6:19, 6:25, 14:16, 15:1, 25:25, 28:6, 28:7, 36:1, 36:13, 36:24, 37:7, 37:9 Cremer [1] - 1:14 criminal [3] - 19:9, 19:11, 19:13 CRR [1] - 1:24 current [2] - 12:6, 42:4

2

D

dairy [1] - 16:1 DAKOTA [2] - 1:2, 49:1 Dakota [20] - 2:6, 2:8, 6:18, 10:18, 14:9, 14:23, 16:14, 30:20, 32:12, 36:11, 36:24,

37:7, 39:3, 40:10, 42:5, 44:9, 46:8, 49:7, 49:13 date [2] - 16:3, 17:21 dated [1] - 8:23 Dated [1] - 49:13 Daugaard [1] - 1:17 days 121 - 7:18, 13:17 deal (6) - 15:19, 28:8, 30:10, 30:14, 42:19, 42:23 dealing [1] - 19:2 deals [1] - 44:21 Deb [1] - 1:19 debt [2] - 14:3, 40:21 December [1] - 34:1 decision [4] - 9:17, 29:4, 44:4 decision-making [1] -9:17 Deed [3] - 12:13, 13:18, 40:13 default[1] - 25:20 delivered [3] - 5:13, 33:25, 39:10 delivery [2] - 5:17, 18:11 Demaris [1] - 1:18 department (2) -35:13, 36:6 dependent [1] - 27:19 desire [1] - 3:21 details [1] - 43:2 determination[1] -32:18 determinations [1] -32:24 determine [5] - 7:17, 31:20, 32:11, 32:24, 39:20 determined [1] - 26:13 determines [1] - 25:13 development [1] -17:6 die [1] - 47:13 difference [1] - 42:13 different [2] - 43:14, 44:16 differentiation [1] -44:15 difficult [2] - 31:3, 40:9 difficulty [1] - 45:19 dig [1] - 28:11 directed [2] - 24:21, direction [2] - 27:14, directly [1] - 15:1

director [1] - 18:15

discovering [1] - 8:6 discuss [1] - 27:2 discussion [7] - 29:9. 35:21, 41:11, 41:21, 42:6, 43:12, 46:20 discussions [1] -19:23 disease (1) - 47:12 dismissed (1) - 24:9 dissolution [4] - 6:17, 7:1, 35:10, 35:17 distribution [1] - 4:19 division [3] - 18:15, 18:24, 26:8 division's [1] - 26:14 DO [1] - 49:8 docket [7] - 10:7, 10:12, 15:14, 18:19, 19:17, 23:1, 24:14 Docket [1] - 3:1 documents [1] - 18:9 dollars [1] - 22:7 done [14] - 7:1, 7:16, 12:12, 18:4, 20:18, 24:24, 27:1, 33:1, 34:17, 40:8, 42:17, 46:25, 47:1, 47:5 double [1] - 27:22 doubles [1] - 27:20 down [13] - 25:15, 25:22, 26:3, 27:8, 30:11, 32:6, 33:3, 34:18, 34:19, 36:25, 37:4, 47:20 downside [1] - 29:6 drift [1] - 43:3 due [1] - 12:21 duly [1] - 49:8 duly-appointed [1] -49:8 dunk [1] - 39:11

Ε

early [4] - 16:9, 16:10, 27:17, 39:20 East [1] - 2:7 easy [1] - 30:23 echo [1] - 36:4 echoing [1] - 35:3 economic [1] - 17:6 either [1] - 28:1 elaborate [2] - 6:13, 12:14 encourage [2] - 32:21 36.9 end [6] - 29:15, 36:13, 42:12, 43:8, 44:3, 45:15

enforcement [1] ensuring [1] - 5:24 entered [1] - 5:12 entire [1] - 4:22 entitled [2] - 2:6, entitlement [1] - 12:20 entitlements (1) entity (3) - 13:1, 20:18, environmental [3] -12:21, 12:24, 13:5 equal [1] - 6:25 equitable [1] - 4:18 error [1] - 47:15 especially [2] - 40:10, estate [8] - 7:24, 11:12, 11:20, 12:10, 12:11, 13:1, 14:3, estimate [2] - 20:19 estimated [4] - 11:1, 11:3, 20:19, 21:13 et [2] - 32:20, 39:24 evaluate [1] - 33:3 evolved (1) - 25:16 exact [1] - 17:21 example [2] - 23:9, excited [1] - 30:9 excuse [1] ~ 8:24 expect [6] - 18:23, 26:8, 26:10, 26:14, 26:15, 26:20 expert [1] - 30:13 expertise [5] - 4:25, 18:16, 26:9, 26:19, explained [2] - 12:17, explore [3] - 25:13, expound [1] - 17:23 extended [1] - 19:22 extent [2] - 5:5, 39:10 eyebrow [1] - 39:24

19:20

49:10

44:16

45:25

45:19

28:20

33:15

42:19

29:18

29:2, 32:15

F

facilities [2] - 43:16, 43:24 facility [19] - 4:22, 4:23, 5:14, 5:15, 8:1, 8:2, 8:20, 11:10, 12:18, 17:20, 17:25, 18:22, 26:10, 32:4,

facts [2] - 27:18, 29:19 fairly [1] - 35:12 family [2] - 38:3, 40:15 far (9) - 4:21, 8:2. 30:7, 34:17, 37:6, 39:5, 39:19, 40:16, 42:23 Fargo [1] - 40:6 farm (3) - 24:20. 33:22, 34:8 farmers [2] - 23:3, 47:11 fast (1) - 31:12 fatal (1) - 46:16 favor[3] - 41:12, 41:22, 48:1 FCC [1] - 14:15 February [4] - 4:4, 8:23, 11:25, 25:17 feed [1] - 16:10 Fiegen [10] - 24:12, 28:15, 33:20, 41:13, 41:14, 41:23, 41:24, 43:12, 48:2, 48:3 FIEGEN [13] - 1:11, 28:16, 29:21, 33:21, 34:7, 41:14, 41:24, 43:13, 43:20, 45:10, 46:21, 47:10, 48:3 fighting (1) - 45:8 figure [3] - 26:4, 32:6, 37:5 file [1] - 23:10 filed [13] - 4:1, 8:18, 8:19, 8:21, 10:15, 12:5, 13:18, 16:3, 23.2, 23:13, 23:19, 25:17, 35:16 filing [6] - 11:16, 14:13, 14:14, 23:5, 23:8, 24:1 financial [1] - 9:4 financing [1] - 10:15 fired [1] - 24:7 firewall [1] - 13:2 Firm [1] - 40:6 firm [1] - 34:14 first [16] - 3:22, 4:24, 15:7, 15:17, 15:19, 16:7, 16:12, 27:6, 28:22, 30:10, 31:1, 32:7, 34:16, 34:22, 38:20 flat [1] - 46:4 floods [1] - 47:12

focus [1] - 8:6

32:8, 32:11, 32:17,

32:19, 32:23

6:22, 12:21

fact [4] - 4:11, 5:14,

folks [2] - 3:11, 42:17 follow [1] - 19:14 footing [1] - 6:25 form [2] - 20:3, 26:12 formalities [1] - 40:17 former [1] - 12:22 forth [1] - 16:25 forward [1] - 25:14 frankly [1] - 24:16 fraud [1] - 19:11 fraudulent [1] - 37:21 free [1] - 28:11 full [2] - 15:23, 21:4 fully [2] - 40:1, 42:18 funds [4] - 17:7, 27:15, 36:23, 37:7

G

game [7] - 25:15, 25:22, 26:3, 27:8, 36:25, 37:5, 37:9 GARY [1] - 1:11 general [1] - 10:3 General's [2] - 19:12, 19:16 generally [1] - 25:2 generous [1] - 30:4 gentlemen [1] - 36:4 Glendale (1) - 34:14 goodness [1] - 45:7 Governor's [1] - 17:6 GRAIN (1) - 1:5 Grain [9] - 25:19, 26:6, 30:2, 30:20, 31:6, 31:16, 32:13, 33:24, 36:5 grain [59] - 3:1, 3:2, 3:4, 3:20, 4:16, 4:21, 5:6, 5:10, 5:13, 5:20, 5:23, 6:23, 7:20, 8:10, 8:16, 8:17, 8:20, 11:1, 11:2, 11:3, 11:21, 12:2, 17:16, 17:19, 17:22, 17:24, 18:6, 18:15, 22:20, 23:6, 24:14, 26:8, 27:10, 27:20, 27:21, 28:19, 28:23, 30:8, 32:4, 32:8, 32:20, 36:23, 37:2, 37:23, 38:14, 41:9, 41:19, 42:23, 42:24, 43:15, 43:23, 44:16, 45:18 grant [2] - 46:14, 46:16 gray [1] - 5:7 Greg [1] - 1:15

Gregg [1] - 1:19 grew [1] - 33:22 group [2] - 38:14, 38:15 grow [1] - 34:7 growers [1] - 35:25 guarantees [1] - 16:13 guarantor [1] - 14:1 guess [17] - 9:14, 11:18, 13:20, 16:12, 30:3, 31:1, 32:1, 34:22, 35:17, 35:24, 36:4, 36:20, 38:18, 38:24, 40:4, 43:20, 46:8 gut[1] - 37:18 guys [2] - 30:23, 37:14 GW12-001 [1] - 1:4

Н

hail [1] - 47:12 handful [1] - 24:20 handle [2] - 7:11, 26:22 handled [1] - 40:17 handling [2] - 26:9, 40:5 handset [1] - 31:11 hang [1] - 30:12 Hanson [3] - 8:25, 9:25, 10:9 HANSON [5] - 1:11, 8:24, 9:1, 9:23, 10:1 hard [4] - 9:11, 34:19, 47:12, 47:14 harmed [3] - 21:1, 32:9, 39:2 head (1) - 38:11 hear [4] - 16:8, 22:24, 35:4, 35:5 heard [1] - 4:9 hearing [1] - 4:8 held [1] - 2:5 help[7] - 16:10, 26:15, 35:7, 37:8, 39:18, 42:17, 43:11 helpful [1] - 25:14 hence [1] - 43:11 HEREBY [1] - 49:8 higher [1] - 21:24 Hilaire [2] - 38:8, 38:9 hoe [1] - 40:24 hold [2] - 5:15, 13:5 holders [1] - 38:14 holding [1] - 42:23 holds [1] - 11:9 hope [3] - 21:19, 28:10, 40:7

hopefully [1] - 21:21 human [1] - 47:15 Hutterian [1] - 34:14

ı

ídea [2] - 4:24, 33:24

immediately [1] - 6:2

improving [1] - 27:14

impose [1] - 32:3

in-site [1] - 18:5

INC.'S [1] - 1:4

Inc [5] - 3:2, 34:15.

include [1] - 14:24

41:10, 41:20, 42:5

IN [1] - 1:4

included [3] - 11:25, 12:19, 45:23 includes [1] - 11:16 incredibly [1] - 45:24 incur[1] - 30:12 indicate [3] - 23:7, 24:2, 37:1 indicated [5] - 4:11, 7:10, 27:25, 33:2, 42:22 indication [1] - 8:15 industry [2] - 6:7, 32:12 inexpensively [1] -24:24 influence [2] - 9:16, 10:2 information [7] - 5:8, 8:14, 10:10, 20:9, 20:13, 25:7, 32:15 initial [2] - 7:6, 26:16 initiative [1] - 25:6 input[1] - 29:2 insolvency [1] - 44:24 inspection [3] - 17:20, 18:3, 18:5 insurance [3] - 20:5, 20:25, 21:1 intend [3] - 3:6, 4:11, 35:17 interest [10] - 6:4, 8:19, 9:7, 9:10, 10:5, 10:17, 15:14, 16:13, 17:7, 29:17 interested [1] - 38:19 interests [1] - 38:6 intervene [2] - 6:20 inventory [12] - 8:20, 10:18, 11:17, 12:1, 26:10, 31:24, 32:23, 39:14, 39:15, 43:15, 43:23 investigation [2] -

7:16, 7:22 involved [7] - 9:5, 19:11, 36:22, 46:8, 47:3, 47:6, 47:8 involvement [1] - 9:12 involving [1] - 47:7 issue [4] - 18:19, 22:3, 22:12, 33:7 issues [3] - 12:20, 12:21, 47:11 Items [1] - 43:25 itself [1] - 32:12

J

January [1] - 24:21 Jeff [5] - 2:2, 24:19, 34:13, 37:13, 38:21 jeopardize [1] - 44:7 Jim [5] - 3:18, 10:25, 11:5, 18:15, 24:6 job [1] - 30:23 John [4] - 1:13, 43:21, 44:2, 46:24 joint [1] - 13:25 Jon [1] - 40:5 jon (1) - 1:18 Jon's [1] - 40:6 judgment [2] - 25:20, 26:15 jurisdiction [2] - 19:7, 19:9 jurisdictions [1] -36:10

K

Kara [3] - 1:14, 35:8, 36:19 Karen [1] - 1:14 keep [1] - 4:8 kind [7] - 3:22, 4:4, 11:7, 16:25, 22:2, 40:1, 40:15 knowing [3] - 9:10, 9:13, 39:7 knowledge [2] - 12:7, 14:19 knows [1] - 45:7 KOENECKE [8] -24:11, 24:18, 27:16, 28:25, 29:24, 30:1, 30:5, 30:16 Koenecke [6] - 1:21, 15:18, 24:10, 24:13, 30:21, 33:2 KRISTIE [1] - 1:11

L

lack [2] - 6:20, 28:4

last [3] - 7:18, 34:19,

landfill [1] - 12:22

iatitude [1] - 16:21

Law [1] - 40:6

47:5

law [2] - 19:20, 34:13 laws [1] - 40:11 lawsuit [3] - 25:17, 35:19, 37:14 lawsuits [1] - 40:2 lawyer [6] - 16:8, 24:13, 24:22, 34:4, 34:7, 40:7 lawyers [3] - 30:2, 31:4, 35:14 lays [1] - 4:2 least [9] - 7:6, 7:17, 15:15, 16:22, 32:18, 36:10, 36:13, 38:25, 43:24 leaving [1] - 32:9 left [6] - 7:18, 8:7, 8:10, 14:4, 27:12, 36:12 legal [2] - 6:11, 18:12 legislators [1] - 22:5 legislature [1] - 22:14 legitimate [1] - 38:11 Legumex [2] - 12:19, 14:4 letter(2) - 4:1, 7:10 liable [2] - 14:1, 14:2 license [8] - 3:3, 3:5, 3:20, 4:5, 4:8, 5:15, 41:9, 41:16 LICENSE [1] - 1:5 licensed [1] - 20:14 licensing [2] - 20:12, 20:14 lien [5] - 23:5, 23:8, 23:10, 23:13, 24:1 liens [5] - 5:10, 8:16, 23:19, 27:21, 36:3 life [1] - 34:1 lightly [3] - 42:7, 47:11, 47:19 limited [3] - 19:7, 27:10, 45:17 line [6] - 7:3, 12:1, 15:8, 15:11, 15:14, 45:4 liquidate [3] - 5:21, 33:9, 46:6

liquidation [2] - 6:1,

litigation [1] - 46:8

6:24

livestock [1] - 47:13 loan [4] - 11:15, 11:19, 11:21, 11:25 loans [1] - 16:13 local [1] - 31:16 location [1] - 10:18 locations [1] - 31:19 long-term [2] - 33:18, 42:23 look [4] - 9:19, 10:23, 44:21, 45:13 looked [2] - 4:20, 11:5 looking [3] - 9:1, 10:24, 42:15 lose [1] - 5:2 loss [1] - 29:17 losses [1] - 21:17 lost [1] - 39:16 low [2] - 16:13, 17:7 lucky [1] - 16:11

M

maintained [1] - 5:16 maintains [1] - 13:8 makers [1] - 47:23 manner (1) - 26:12 March [4] - 1:8, 2:8, 49:11, 49:14 market [4] - 5:1, 30:7, 36:8 market's [1] - 36:7 marketing [1] - 26:9 markets [3] - 27:20, 27:24, 29:18 Martinmaas [3] -15:18, 15:25 MARTINMAAS [23] -15:19, 15:25, 16:6, 16:18, 17:2, 17:10, 17:15, 18:1, 18:6, 18:14, 19:2, 19:25, 20:2, 20:6, 21:5, 21:8, 21:23, 22:6, 22:19, 22:23, 23:2, 23:15, 24:5 matter [11] - 2:6, 3:2, 4:12, 4:15, 9:13, 24:21, 25:3, 26:24, 34:18, 45:12, 49:10 MATTER [1] - 1:4 MCCOMSEY [1] - 49:5 McComsey [2] - 1:24, 49:18 mean [23] - 14:8, 14:9, 16:9, 17:13, 17:24, 23:10, 23:11, 27:21, 30:23, 31:2, 44:7,

44:10, 44:11, 44:15,

5

most [1] - 9:21 motion [1] - 46:17 Motion [11] - 41:16, 41:17, 41:21, 41:25, 42:2, 42:25, 43:11, 43:19, 45:1, 47:17, 48:5 motions [3] - 41:6, 43:14, 45:13 move [5] - 27:6, 27:13, 30:7, 39:15, 41:18 moved [2] - 17:17, 18:5 movement [2] - 27:24, 29:18 moving [2] - 31:2, 41:9 MR [82] - 3:17, 3:25, 7:13, 9:9, 11:3, 11:7, 11:13, 11:23, 12:7, 12:12, 12:17, 13:16, 14:8, 14:13, 14:22, 15:9, 15:12, 15:19, 15:25, 16:6, 16:18, 17:2, 17:5, 17:10, 17:12, 17:15, 17:19, 18:1, 18:6, 18:14, 19:2, 19:18, 19:20, 19:25, 20:1, 20:2, 20:4, 20:6, 20:11, 21:5, 21:8, 21:15, 21:23, 22:6, 22:19, 22:23, 23:2, 23:15, 24:5, 24:11, 24:18, 27:16, 28:25, 29:24, 30:1, 30:5, 30:16, 30:19, 31:11, 31:15, 33:10, 34:3, 34:9, 34:12, 35:1, 35:8, 35:15, 35:18, 35:24, 37:13, 37:16, 37:17, 37:18, 38:20, 38:21, 38:22, 38:23, 40:4, 44:3, 45:15, 46:7, 47:3 MS [19] - 6:15, 7:20, 8:1, 8:5, 8:12, 8:18, 8:22, 10:15, 10:22, 11:16, 13:10, 13:14, 13:25, 18:9, 19:7, 35:14, 35:16, 35:23, 36:19

Ν

name [5] - 8:11, 13:8, 15:23, 24:12, 34:12 necessarily [3] - 6:3, 6:5, 12:25 necessary [2] - 3:5,

3:21 need [6] - 15:20, 18:12, 35:2, 42:24, 43:3, 45:25 needs [1] - 42:9 negligent [1] - 21:24 NELSON [85] - 1:10, 3:1, 3:23, 7:2, 7:15, 7:23, 8:2, 8:9, 8:15, 8:21, 8:25, 9:25, 10:8, 10:19, 10:24, 11:5, 11:11, 11:14, 11:18, 12:4, 12:9, 12:16, 13:7, 13:12, 13:20, 14:7, 14:18, 15:3, 15:10, 15:13, 15:20, 16:4, 16:15, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:14, 17:18, 17:23, 18:2, 18:7, 18.18, 19:5, 19:14, 19:19, 19:24, 20:8, 21:3, 21:7, 21:10, 21:16, 21:19, 22:1, 22:9, 22:21, 22:25, 23:5, 23:17, 24:8, 24:16, 27:4, 28:13, 29:25, 30:4, 30:6, 30:17, 31:8, 31:13, 33:6, 33:19, 34:10, 34:25, 35:5, 36:16, 37:10, 41:4, 41:15, 41:25, 43:18, 44:2, 45:22, 46:19, 47:18, 48:4 Nelson [5] - 41:15, 41:25, 43:14, 44:19, 48:4 never [2] - 24:15, 47:3 new [2] - 8:6, 20:18 next [1] - 22:14 none [7] - 7:5, 15:6, 17:16, 36:18, 41:6, 41:12, 41:22 normal [3] - 23:6, 23:13, 23:20 normally [1] - 46:24 North [2] - 14:9, 14:23 Notary [2] - 49:7, 49:18 noted [1] - 10:11 nothing [1] - 18:5 notice [2] - 25:18, 28:7 notify [1] - 23:3

number [1] - 4:20

objective [2] - 37:20, 37:22 obligation [2] - 14:12, 34:20 obtain [1] - 39:13 obtained [3] - 25:8, 31:16, 32:16 obtaining [1] - 23:13 obviously [8] - 12:4, 17:24, 20:21, 23:23, 27:9, 33:12, 35:2, 40:23 occurred [2] - 13:17, 39:23 occurring [1] - 19:16 October [2] - 16:4, 23:2 OF [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 2:5, 49:1, 49:3 Office [4] - 17:6, 19:12, 19:16, 19:21 oftentimes (1) - 24:22 on-site [1] - 17:20 one [17] - 3:19, 4:24, 9:9, 9:11, 23:24, 25:12, 30:6, 31:6, 34:4, 43:15, 43:16, 43:22, 43:25, 45:13, 45:14, 45:23, 47:24 ones [2] - 43:6, 47:8 ongoing [1] - 18:11 Onida [1] - 49:13 operated [1] - 32:19 opinion [3] - 4:23, 5:19, 42:8 opinions [1] - 33:13 opportunity [2] - 27:2, 30:24 oppose [1] - 37:2 opposed [1] - 16:17 option [1] - 5:20 options [3] - 4:20, 28:18, 33:3 order [1] - 4:18 ordered [1] - 18:3 ordinary [1] - 24:3 original [1] - 40:21 outstanding [2] -14:11, 39:8 owe [2] - 13:22, 13:24 owed [3] - 7:12, 14:12, 29:11 owes [1] - 10:20 own [2] - 26:8, 38:15 owned [1] - 7:24

owns [2] - 8:3, 14:5

0

p.m [1] - 2:9 paid [4] - 12:11, 31:17, 31:24, 39:11 paperwork [1] - 47:5 part [6] - 16:18, 20:11, 20:14, 23:12, 46:23, 47:22 participant [1] - 9:3 participate [1] - 30:24 particular [7] - 9:12, 10:7, 10:12, 11:10, 13:2, 18:19, 18:23 particularly [2] - 9:12, 42:23 partly [1] - 39:17 parts [2] - 31:2, 41:8 pass [1] - 43:1 passes [2] - 5:13, 5:18 past [1] - 47:8 pay [1] - 46:4 paying [1] - 46:3 Pedersen [1] - 1:16 people [10] - 15:15, 24:24, 25:5, 26:15, 32:10, 32:12, 32:14, 33:13, 42:20, 42:21 per [1] - 14:13 percent [1] - 20:7 perhaps [6] - 6:6, 25:15, 27:11, 33:25, 37:21, 44:16 period [5] - 4:7, 20:17, 25:18, 32:10, 34:6 person [2] - 3:12, 35:6 personal [1] - 39:19 Petition [1] - 4:8 phase [1] - 8:8 philosophical [1] -22:13 phone [4] - 3:12, 10:16, 31:10, 35:4 pierce [2] - 35:20, 41:1 piercing [2] - 38:1, 40:9 Pierre [3] - 2:7, 24:13, 24:22 place [5] - 5:22, 8:16, 11:24, 13:17, 29:9 placed [1] - 13:1 plaintiff [1] - 39:7 plan [4] - 19:4, 25:12, 37:5, 37:9 play [4] - 16:25, 21:22, 38:17, 38:18 players [1] - 32:13 playing [2] - 30:7,

30:10 plays [2] - 6:14, 23:24 plus [1] - 46:9 point [7] - 3:9, 8:4, 10:11, 21:17, 31:21, 33:2, 33:16 policy [1] - 47:22 politician [2] - 16:7, 23:16 portion [2] - 3:22, 14:10 pose [1] - 16:23 position [2] - 4:2, 29:8 positions [1] - 42:8 possession [4] - 5:11, 32:23, 39:14, 45:18 possibility [2] - 29:14, 29:19 possible [3] - 5:21, 42:9, 42:10 possibly [1] - 43:8 posted [1] - 41:19 potential [1] - 9:7 potentially [1] - 37:22 practical [1] - 46:2 practicing [1] - 24:22 preliminary [1] - 32:18 preserve [2] - 36:23, 37:6 presumably [1] - 5:2 pretty [1] - 30:14 prevent [1] - 39:16 price [2] - 6:5, 27:20 prices [1] - 6:7 primary [1] - 39:14 privy [2] - 17:12, 33:12 probability [1] - 39:21 proceed [3] - 3:6, 7:5, 32:11 proceedings [2] -49:9, 49:12 Proceedings [1] - 1:7 PROCEEDINGS [1] -2:5 proceeds [4] - 4:18, 14:10, 14:16, 14:25 process [15] - 6:17, 6:18, 6:21, 6:24, 7:1, 20:12, 20:14, 21:22, 24:25, 25:20, 32:6, 33:3, 35:10, 37:4, 44:23 processing [2] - 5:14, 18:22 producer [2] - 5:16,

29:6

47:16

producers [8] - 28:4,

28:17, 31:17, 39:2,

42:10, 43:11, 43:25,

product [1] - 33:8 products [7] - 8:10, 8:17, 11:2, 11:22, 23:6, 27:11, 30:8 Professional [2] -49:6, 49:19 project [1] - 17:8 properties [1] - 14:24 property [6] - 13:8, 13:19, 15:2, 23:20, 29:16, 36:3 prosecute [1] - 19:9 prosecuting [1] - 19:4 protect [2] - 28:17, 47:16 protected [1] - 6:19 provide [5] - 8:13, 17:7, 20:15, 20:25, 37:8 prudent [1] - 9:21 public [3] - 3:11, 7:7, 15:6 PUBLIC [2] - 1:1, 1:10 Public [4] - 41:18, 42:3, 49:7, 49:18 PUC [4] - 23:3, 30:22, 31:25, 46:23 purchases [6] - 20:16, 20:23, 21:10, 21:12, 22:8, 22:10 purpose [4] - 13:1, 13:4, 38:24, 39:15 pursue [4] - 19:13, 38:15, 47:21, 47:24 put [9] - 5:22, 13:2, 13:17, 20:2, 30:1, 37:9, 38:18, 44:18, 45:20 putting [2] - 43:16, 43:18

Q

qualifications [1] -18:18 qualified [1] - 5:4 questions [21] - 3:10, 3:13, 7:6, 7:8, 15:4, 15:5, 16:16, 16:19, 18:25, 22:18, 24:4, 27:5, 28:14, 30:15, 33:19, 36:17, 37:10, 39:22, 40:18, 41:5 quick [1] - 43:13 quickly [3] - 5:3, 23:24, 24:24 quitclaim [1] - 45:24 Quitclaim [3] - 12:13, 13:18, 40:13

quite [1] - 31:7

R

raised [1] - 22:14 raises [1] - 39:24 ranch [1] - 24:20 rapidly [1] - 25:16 ray [1] - 15:25 reach [1] - 29:4 real [9] - 7:24, 11:12, 11:20, 12:10, 12:11, 13:1, 14:3, 28:20, 31:3 really [3] - 34:21, 43:6, 45:15 Realtime [2] - 49:6, 49:19 reason [3] - 12:18, 23:3, 43:11 reasoned [1] - 29:4 reasons [4] - 26:23, 30:9, 32:4, 45:23 receive [1] - 17:13 received [2] - 38:3, 38:7 receivership [28] -4:15, 4:17, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 5:5, 5:20, 6:23, 27:10, 27:11, 30:8, 32:3, 33:17, 36:22, 37:2, 41:19, 42:3, 44:5, 44:9, 44:17, 44:20, 44:22, 44:23, 45:2, 46:11, 46:22, 47:4, 47:21 recent [1] - 45:24 recently [1] - 42:4 recollection [1] - 38:8 recommend [1] -28:22 recommendation [1] -6:14 recommending [1] -29:1 recommends [2] -4:13, 4:16 recourse [1] - 40:20 recover [3] - 27:15, 35:25, 36:2 recovery [1] - 39:21 recuse [2] - 9:21, 10:6 recused [1] - 10:12 Redfield [9] - 7:24, 10:18, 12:18, 14:24,

15:2, 17:16, 31:23,

31:24, 39:14

reduced [1] - 14:19

referred [1] - 32:5

Registered [2] - 49:5, 49:19 regulators [1] - 24:23 regulatory (1) - 19:8 related [1] - 15:2 relationship [1] - 9:16 relinquishing [1] -29:7 rely [1] - 26:14 relying [1] - 43:6 remain [2] - 10:17, 14:2 remains [1] - 13:25 remarks [1] - 25:9 remember [2] - 17:20, 35:19 render [1] - 33:13 repayment [1] - 14:6 reply [1] - 26:19 Reported [1] - 1:24 Reporter [4] - 49:6, 49:19, 49:19 reporter [3] - 15:22, 15:24, 49:9 repose [1] - 16:24 represent [2] - 34:14, 35:19 representing [2] - 3:8, 7:4 request [1] - 35:16 requesting [1] - 46:11 required [1] - 20:20 requirements [1] -14:15 requires [1] - 22:9 rescission [2] - 37:19, 37:20 resign [1] - 24:6 resolve [1] - 22:16 resources [2] - 6:2, 34:5 respect [1] - 5:6 respond [1] - 45:11 responsible [1] - 5:23 responsive [2] -25:23, 28:10 rest [2] - 12:19, 29:4 retire [1] - 14:11 return [2] - 37:22 review [3] - 12:24, 13:5, 20:25 reviewed [1] - 18:10 revocation [1] - 4:12 revoke [3] - 3:4, 3:20, 41:9 revoked [1] - 41:16

risk [1] - 44:24

regard [1] - 4:10

regarding [1] - 8:20

Rislov [1] - 1:15
road [2] - 40:24, 47:20
rolayne [1] - 1:13
role [4] - 38:16, 38:17,
38:18, 38:23
room [1] - 25:5
Ross [1] - 1:16
roughly [2] - 11:4,
11:8
Rounds [1] - 1:17
RPR [1] - 1:24
run [4] - 18:21, 25:19,
32:11, 33:10
Ryan [1] - 1:15

S

sale [4] - 12:19, 14:10, 14:23, 14:25 sales [2] - 21:11, 39:23 satisfied [1] - 25:3 scale [2] - 20:16, 20:22 Schutz [1] - 34:13 search [2] - 8:22 second [3] - 8:7, 41:17 secondly [2] - 30:11, 46:2 secure [1] - 6:7 secured [6] - 14:16, 15:1, 25:24, 28:7, 29:8, 38:5 security [6] - 8:18, 8:19, 10:17, 29:11, 29:16, 38:5 see [4] - 16:24, 27:13, 27:14, 28:11 SEED [1] - 1:4 seed [3] - 31:16, 33:12, 39:10 Seed [30] - 3:2, 3:8, 4:6, 4:10, 6:9, 6:11, 7:3, 7:4, 7:16, 7:19, 7:21, 7:25, 8:7, 10:20, 12:10, 13:21, 13:24, 13:25, 14:5, 17:7, 19:1, 19:3, 21:20, 23:4, 35:12, 37:1, 41:10, 41:20, 42:4 Seed's [2] - 6:16, 8:11 seeing [8] - 7:5, 15:6, 23:21, 29:12, 36:18, 41:6, 41:12, 41:22 seek [4] - 4:17, 19:9, 37:2, 42:3 seeking [5] - 6:23,

39:9, 39:13, 45:2, 47:4 seeks [1] - 41:18 sell [1] - 44:13 sellers [1] - 21:1 selling [1] - 31:18 SEMMLER [19] - 6:15, 7:20, 8:1, 8:5, 8:12, 8:18, 8:22, 10:15, 10:22, 11:16, 13:10, 13:14, 13:25, 18:9, 19:7, 35:14, 35:16, 35:23, 36:19 Semmler [8] - 1:14, 4:3, 6:10, 10:14, 18:7, 19:6, 26:25, 29:3 separate [3] - 11:21, 43:15, 43:16 separately [1] - 43:22 series [1] - 16:16 set [3] - 22:2, 22:5, 44:16 several [2] - 25:4, 45:12 severally [1] - 14:1 shakes [1] - 27:19 shall [2] - 3:4, 3:19 shape [1] - 26:12 share [2] - 36:14, 41:2 shelf[1] - 34:1 sheriff (1) - 19:21 short [7] - 30:14, 32:10, 33:10, 33:17, 34:6, 39:1, 45:20 short-term [4] - 30:14, 33:17, 39:1, 45:20 shorthand [2] - 49:9 shortly [3] - 17:21, 31:18, 35:17 shown (1) - 5:1 side [1] - 9:17 Siegel [1] - 34:13 similar[1] - 14:14 simply [6] - 5:21, 23:8, 24:1, 27:19, 27:24, 47:19 sit [1] - 40:22 site [5] - 12:22, 12:23, 13:2, 17:20, 18:5 sitting [3] - 25:5, 31:24, 33:14 situation [4] - 23:12, 25:16, 38:2, 45:20 six [1] - 42:16 slam [1] - 39:11 sliding (1) - 20:15 slow (7) - 25:15, 25:22, 26:3, 32:5, 33:3, 36:25, 37:4

slowing [1] - 27:8 Smith [8] - 1:13, 10:6, 14:7, 14:22, 27:1, 29:3, 37:12, 40:1 SMITH [7] - 9:9, 14:8, 37:13, 37:18, 44:3, 45:15, 47:3 sold [3] - 14:3, 14:8, 39:16 solid [1] - 5:10 someplace [2] -14:21, 46:5 son [1] - 9:4 soon [1] - 5:21 sort[1] - 26:16 sound [1] - 41:1 sounded [1] - 31:14 south [1] - 23:23 South [15] - 2:6, 2:8, 6:18, 10:18, 16:14, 36:11, 36:24, 37:7, 39:3, 40:10, 42:5, 44:9, 49:7, 49:13 SOUTH [2] - 1:2, 49:1 soybean [1] - 33:22 soybeans [1] - 36:8 Soye [1] - 1:15 speaking [1] - 31:12 special [1] - 13:1 specifically [2] - 8:12, 47:21 Spink [5] - 19:21, 19:23, 25:17, 44:6 spoilage [2] - 33:8, 34:2 spread [1] - 41:2 SS [1] - 49:2 St [1] - 38:9 STAFF [1] - 1:12 Staff [16] - 3:7, 3:16, 3:18, 4:5, 4:9, 4:13, 4:16, 4:18, 5:3, 16:24, 18:21, 24:12, 32:16, 34:17, 36:18, 42:8 Staff's [7] - 4:2, 4:22, 5.19, 6:2, 6:14, 31:25, 36:19 standpoint [2] - 9:16, 9:18 start [6] - 7:9, 16:12, 29:9, 41:7, 46:3, 47:13 started [3] - 31:18, 35:20, 40:3 starting [1] - 24:3 State [4] - 2:6, 16:14, 42:5, 49:7

state [5] - 22:3, 22:5,

28:6, 35:13, 47:23

STATE [2] - 1:2, 49:1 state's [1] - 19:23 statement [1] - 10:16 statements [2] -22:24, 22:25 states [5] - 5:12, 6:12, 6:23, 28:6, 29:22 States [1] - 14:15 statute [3] - 22:3, 22:9, 47:20 stay [1] - 29:22 step [2] - 38:25, 46:23 steps [3] - 28:3, 28:22, 29:6 still [5] - 8:10, 14:20, 17:16, 17:22, 43:23 stinks [1] - 40:11 stock (1) - 30:1 stole (1) - 19:3 storage [4] - 30:12, 33:23, 34:1, 46:3 store [1] - 46:5 strangely [1] - 24:13 strikes [1] - 29:9 structured [2] - 42:25, 43:1 stuff [1] - 26:18 subject [1] - 14:5 subsequently [1] -29:7 sufficient [3] - 21:17, 21:20, 22:13 **SULLY** [1] - 49:3 summarize [1] - 18:20 sunflower [2] - 18:22, 34.8 support [2] - 43:25, 47:18 supporting [1] - 47:17 suppose [1] - 5:6 surety [8] - 20:4, 20:10, 20:11, 20:15, 20:20, 20:24, 21:6, 21:24 suspend [1] - 4:5 Sveen [5] - 2:2, 15:10, 34:11, 34:13, 37:13 SVEEN [11] - 15:12, 34:12, 35:1, 35:8, 35:15, 35:18, 35:24, 37:16, 38:20, 38:22, 40:4

T

tailor[1] - 46:14 tailored [1] - 46:17 tax [1] - 17:10 tech [1] - 35:6

temporary [1] - 32:3 term [6] - 30:14, 33:17, 33:18, 39:1, 42:23, 45:20 terms [2] - 44:17, 45:2 testify [1] - 15:16 testimony [11] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:11, 7:7, 15:6, 15:22, 16:17, 17:17, 18:20, 22:2, 22:18 thankful [1] - 27:1 THE [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:10, 21:18 therefore [1] - 21:16 they've [10] - 4:11, 11:17, 11:19, 25:1, 25:5, 25:7, 34:17, 40:23, 43:9 third [3] - 32:2, 32:22, 42:2 thoughtful [1] - 29:2 thoughts [5] - 36:4, 37:25, 38:1, 38:10, 38:19 three [4] - 20:17, 41:8, 43:5, 43:9 three-year [1] - 20:17 thrown [1] - 43:22 Thurber [1] - 1:18 timeliness [1] - 40:12 title [8] - 5:13, 5:16, 5:18, 18:10, 31:22, 37:23, 37:24, 44:21 today [11] - 3:4, 7:17, 8:13, 13:22, 22:16, 22:17, 24:14, 25:5, 38:24, 43:6, 47:17 together [6] - 3:24, 3:25, 37:9, 43:17, 43:18, 44:18 took [1] - 49:9 top [2] - 11:8, 38:10 tough [3] - 9:9, 29:12, 40:24 track [2] - 34:19 tracked [1] - 34:18 transaction [3] - 15:2, 31:3, 39:23 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:5 Transcript [1] - 1:7 transcription [1] -49:12 transfer [6] - 8:6, 13:4, 18:10, 37:23, 40:13, 45:24 transferred [4] - 7:25,

TELEPHONE [1] - 2:1

telephones [1] - 15:17

temporarily [1] - 32:22

telephone [1] - 15:8

12:10, 31:22, 42:4 transferring [1] -13:18 treat (1) - 35:11 tried [1] - 34:18 trouble [3] - 23:4, 23:8, 23:11 true [2] - 31:19, 49:11 trust [1] - 30:3 try [6] - 16:23, 28:17, 30:5, 35:6, 44:8, 44:11 turn [2] - 19:5, 40:4 two [7] - 13:17, 15:15, 25:11, 27:6, 28:22, 41:3, 45:22 type [2] - 28:20, 33:25 types [1] - 8:16 typically [1] - 46:11

U

U.\$ [12] - 8:18, 9:3, 9:5, 10:15, 10:21, 11:9, 13:7, 13:22, 14:12, 15:1, 16:3, 23:2 UCC[1] - 11:16 ultimately [6] - 14:4, 26:12, 29:15, 37:6, 40:25, 42:25 uncommon (1) - 12:25 under [2] - 10:1, 47:23 undergoing [1] -12:23 understood [3] - 5:17, 26:17, 45:1 underway [1] - 13:6 undoing [1] - 37:23 United [1] - 14:15 unless [1] - 45:17 unpaid [2] - 14:4, 38:5 unsecured (7) - 6:4. 25:25, 26:5, 28:5, 36:1, 36:13, 37:7 unsure [1] - 8:3 up [19] - 15:20, 16:9, 16:10, 19:14, 20:3, 20:23, 22:10, 24:3, 25:6, 26:3, 28:12, 30:11, 31:8, 32:6, 33:22, 34:8, 35:22, 38:21, 45:10 Utilities (2) - 41:18, 42:3 UTILITIES [2] - 1:1, 1:10

٧

valid [1] - 36:3 validity [1] - 39:21 value [9] - 10:19, 11:1, 11:3, 13:13, 27:23, 29:16, 31:20, 32:19 various [2] - 5:24, 6.22 vehicles [1] - 8:3 veil [4] - 35:21, 38:2, 40:9, 41:1 verify (1) - 30:3 viable [2] - 38:11, 39:8 view [1] - 42:22 Vogel [1] - 40:6 volatile [1] - 5:1 voluntary [1] - 21:11 volunteering (1) -10:9 vote [3] - 41:12, 41:22, 48:1 votes [6] - 41:14, 41:15, 41:24, 41:25, 48:3, 48:4

wrap [1] - 45:10 wrestling [2] - 27:9, 34:23

Υ

year [1] - 20:17 years [2] - 46:9, 47:2 yesterday [4] - 4:1, 6:16, 8:14, 10:17 yourself [3] - 9:15, 9:22, 10:12

W

wait [2] - 33:8, 36:5 waiting [1] - 25:18 Walker [1] - 12:19 warehouse [4] - 3:1, 5:15, 18:15, 18:16 warehousing [2] -24:14, 26:8 watching (1) - 47:13 weather [1] - 47:11 week [1] - 34:20 weeks [1] - 18:3 whole [5] - 21:6, 21:21, 40:11, 44:20, 47:7 Wiest [1] - 1:13 willing [1] - 45:5 wish [3] - 24:16, 44:10, 47:19 WITNESS [1] - 21:18 WITTLER [1] - 49:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 49:18 woman [1] - 16:10 wondering [1] - 3:21 word [2] - 6:21, 28:5 words [5] - 20:6, 21:5, 21:18, 21:23, 46:15 world [1] - 47:6 worse [1] - 29:10 worth [2] - 22:10, 41:3