

# **EXHIBIT B**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

---

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC  
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY  
TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE  
SERVICE WITHIN THE STUDY AREA OF  
MIDSTATE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

---

Docket No. TC11-087

**SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS  
COMPANY L.P.'S AMENDED  
RESPONSES TO NATIVE  
AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC'S  
DATA REQUESTS 1.34-1.36,**

**TO:** Native American Telecom, LLC and their attorney Scott R. Swier, Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC, 202 N. Main Street, P.O. Box 256, Avon, South Dakota 57315:

Sprint Communications Company L.P. ("Sprint") serves its amended responses to Native American Telecom, LLC's ("NAT") First Set of Discovery Requests.

**DATA REQUESTS**

**DATA REQUEST 1.34:** Please identify any expert witness that you have employed/retained in this matter and any factual information provided to any expert witness that you have employed/retained in this matter.

**AMENDED RESPONSE:** Sprint objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the work product/trial preparation privilege, and seeks information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to those objections and without waiver thereof, Sprint employs and has filed the testimony of Randy Farrar in this matter. In accordance with SDCL § 15-6-26(b)(3)-(4), Sprint states that Mr. Farrar relied only on documents referenced in his testimony, and that the only facts he relied on in forming his opinion are those set forth in his testimony, or are contained within documents referenced in his testimony.

**DATA REQUEST 1.35:** Identify any cases in which any of your expert witness(es) have testified or prefiled testimony over the last four (4) years.


**AMENDED RESPONSE:** Sprint objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the work product/trial preparation privilege, and seeks information that is neither admissible nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to those objections and without waiver thereof, see Exhibit 1.35 produced herewith. Sprint does not consider testimony that was filed, but withdrawn or never entered into the record, to be responsive to this request.

**DATA REQUEST 1.36:** Please provide all information regarding your expert witness(es) as required by SDCL 15-6-26(b)(4).

**AMENDED RESPONSE:** Sprint objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the work product/trial preparation privilege. Subject to that objection and without waiver thereof, see Mr. Farrar's Prefiled testimony, and Sprint's response to Data Request 1.34.

Dated: April 13, 2012

**BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.**



Phillip R. Schenkenberg  
Scott G. Knudson  
80 South Eighth Street  
2200 IDS Center  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 977-8400  
(612) 977-8650 – fax  
[pschenkenberg@briggs.com](mailto:pschenkenberg@briggs.com)  
[sknudson@briggs.com](mailto:sknudson@briggs.com)

**WHITING LAW OFFICE**

Stanley E. Whiting  
142 E. 3rd Street  
Winner, SD 57580  
(605) 842-3373

Counsel for Sprint Communications  
Company L.P.

Case No. 1:11-cv-00087  
Exhibit 1.35 to Sprint's Amended Responses to NAT Discovery

| Jurisdiction                                | Date             | Testimony Type                  | Proceeding No.                           | Proceeding Name   |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>2008</b>                                 |                  |                                 |  |   |
| IOWA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UTILITIES BOARD | January 28, 2008 | Direct Testimony                | TF-07-125<br>TF-07-139                   | IOWA TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION   |
| GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION           | March 7, 2008    | Direct Testimony                | Docket No. 25430-U<br>Docket No. 25431-U | Petition for Approval of NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners' Adoption of the Interconnection Agreement Between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS And BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Georgia d/b/a AT&T Southeast<br>Petition for Approval of Nextel South Corp.'s Adoption of the Interconnection Agreement Between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS And BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Georgia d/b/a AT&T Southeast |
| IOWA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UTILITIES BOARD | March 14, 2008   | Rebuttal Testimony              | TF-07-125<br>TF-07-139                   | IOWA TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION   |
| ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION                | April 2008       | Rebuttal Testimony              | Docket No. 07-0629                       | SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS L.P. d/b/a SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., SPRINTCOM, INC., WIRELESSCO, L.P., NEXTEL WEST CORP., and, NPCR, INC., Complainants, Vs. ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A/ AT&T ILLINOIS Respondent.  |
| ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION                | April 2008       | Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony | Docket No. 07-0629                       | SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS L.P. d/b/a SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., SPRINTCOM, INC., WIRELESSCO, L.P., NEXTEL WEST CORP., and, NPCR, INC., Complainants, Vs. ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A/ AT&T ILLINOIS Respondent.  |
| IOWA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UTILITIES BOARD | April 25, 2008   | Direct Testimony                | Docket No. FCU-08-10                     | SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. and MCC TELEPHONY OF IOWA, LLC, Complainant, Vs. WALNUT COMMUNICATIONS, Respondent.  |
| NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION         | May 2008         | Affidavit                       | Docket No. P-55, Sub 1710                | In the Matter Petition for Approval of Nextel South Corp.'s Adoption of the Interconnection Agreement Between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS And BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T North Carolina d/b/a AT&T Southeast   |
| ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION          | May 9, 2008      | Rebuttal Testimony              | DOCKET NO. 08-031-U                      | IN THE MATTER OF A PETITION FOR ARBITRATION BY SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. VS CENTURYTEL OF MOUNTAIN HOME, INC.  |
| ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION          | May 12, 2008     | Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony | DOCKET NO. 08-031-U                      | IN THE MATTER OF A PETITION FOR ARBITRATION BY SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. VS CENTURYTEL OF MOUNTAIN HOME, INC.  |

Case No. 1 C11-087  
Exhibit 1.35 to Sprint's Amended Responses to NAT Discovery

| Jurisdiction   | Date              | Testimony Type     | Proceeding No.                           | Proceeding Name   |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|--|---|
| INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION                  | June 2008         | Rebuttal Testimony | CAUSE NO. 43408                          | SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., NEXTEL WEST CORP., and, NPCR, INC., Complainants, Vs. INDIANA BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A AT&T INDIANA Respondent.   |
| PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON                    | June 4, 2008      | Rebuttal Testimony | ARB 830                                  | IN THE MATTER OF SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. PETITION FOR ARBITRATION OF AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH CENTURYTEL OF OREGON, INC.  |
| PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO   | July 14, 2008     | Rebuttal Testimony | DOCKET NO. 08B-121T                      | IN THE MATTER OF SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.'S PETITION FOR ARBITRATION WITH CENTURYTEL OF EAGLE, INC. PURSUANT TO SECTION 252(b) OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED BY THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996  |
| ALABAMA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION                      | July 16, 2008     | Testimony          | Docket No. 30832                         | In the Matter of the Notices of Nextel South Corp. and Nextel Partners of Adoption of the Existing Interconnection Agreements by and between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., and Sprint Communications Company, et al.  |
| PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | July 21, 2008     | Direct Testimony   | Case No. 07-12-019                       | Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California (U 1001 C), Complainant, v. Sprint Spectrum L.P., Wireless Co., L.P. and Cox Communications PCS, L.P., jointly d/b/a Sprint PCS (U 3064 C), Defendant.   |
| ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION                     | July 23, 2008     | Initial Testimony  | Docket No. 08-077-U                      | In the Matter of a Petition for Arbitration of Sprint Communications Company, L.P. Against Northern Arkansas Telephone Company  |
| PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | August 12, 2008   | Reply Testimony    | Case No. 07-12-019                       | Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California (U 1001 C), Complainant, v. Sprint Spectrum L.P., Wireless Co., L.P. and Cox Communications PCS, L.P., jointly d/b/a Sprint PCS (U 3064 C), Defendant.   |
| LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION                    | August 19, 2008   | Direct Testimony   | Docket No. U-30185<br>Docket No. U-30186 | Petition for Approval of Nextel Partners' Adoption of the Interconnection Agreement Between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Louisiana d/b/a AT&T Southeast<br>CONSOLIDATED WITH<br>Petition for Approval of Nextel South Corp's. Adoption of the Interconnection Agreement Between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Louisiana d/b/a AT&T Southeast |
| ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION                     | September 3, 2008 | Rebuttal Testimony | Docket No. 08-077-U                      | In the Matter of a Petition for Arbitration of Sprint Communications Company, L.P. Against Northern Arkansas Telephone Company  |

Case No. 1 C11-087  
Exhibit 1.35 to Sprint's Amended Responses to NAT Discovery

| Jurisdiction   | Date              | Testimony Type     | Proceeding No.                               | Proceeding Name  |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION                                    | September 8, 2008 | Rebuttal Testimony | Docket No. U-30185<br>Docket No. U-30186     | Petition for Approval of Nextel Partners' Adoption of the Interconnection Agreement Between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Louisiana d/b/a AT&T Southeast<br>CONSOLIDATED WITH<br>Petition for Approval of Nextel South Corp.'s. Adoption of the Interconnection Agreement Between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Louisiana d/b/a AT&T Southeast     |
| MISSISSIPPI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION                                  | November 25, 2008 | Affidavit          | Docket No. 08-AD-356<br>Docket No. 08-AD-357 | In the Matter of NPCR, Inc.'s Petition for Adoption of the Existing Interconnection Agreement By and Between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P.<br>In the Matter of Nextel South Corp.'s Petition for Adoption of the Existing Interconnection Agreement By and Between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P.   |
| PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN                                 | December 15, 2008 | Affidavit          | Docket No. 6720-TI-211                       | SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., NEXTEL WEST CORP., and, NPCR, INC., Complainants, Vs. WISCONSIN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A/ AT&T WISCONSIN Respondent.   |
| <b>2009</b>  |                   |                    |  |  |
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION | July 24, 2009     | Declaration        | Case No. 2:08-cv-00380                       | BEEHIVE TELEPHONE CO., INC., a Utah corporation, and BEEHIVE TELEPHONE CO. OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation, Plaintiffs, v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, Defendant, v. ALL AMERICAN TELEPHONE CO., INC., a Nevada corporation, Third-Party Defendant.  |
| STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITY CONTROL              | September 1, 2009 | Direct Testimony   | DOCKET NO. 09-04-21<br>DOCKET NO. 08-12-04   | DPUC INVESTIGATION INTO THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY'S COST OF SERVICE RE: RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION<br>PETITION OF YOUGHIOGHENY COMMUNICATIONS-NORTHEAST, LLC D/B/A POCKET COMMUNICATIONS FOR A DECLARATORY RULING THAT THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A AT&T CONNECTICUT IS IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 16-247B OF THE CONNECTICUT GENERAL STATUTES AND THE DEPARTMENT'S ORDERS IN DOCKET NO. 02-01-23 RELATING TO TRANSIT TRAFFIC AND FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS RELATING TO THE TRANSIT TRAFFIC FACTOR |

Case No. 1:11-cv-00087  
Exhibit 1.35 to Sprint's Amended Responses to NAT Discovery

| Jurisdiction   | Date              | Testimony Type     | Proceeding No.                        | Proceeding Name  |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION                                    | September 4, 2009 | Direct Testimony   | Docket No. TMC-5, Sub 2               | Petition of Sprint Communications Company L.P. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Star Telephone Membership Corporation Pursuant to Sections 251(a), (b) and 252 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended.            |
| NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION                                    | October 30, 2009  | Rebuttal Testimony | Docket No. TMC-5, Sub 2               | Petition of Sprint Communications Company L.P. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Star Telephone Membership Corporation Pursuant to Sections 251(a), (b) and 252 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended.            |
| <b>2010</b>  |                   |                    |                                       |  |
| NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION                                    | March 19, 2010    | Direct Testimony   | Docket No. P-120, Sub 26              | Petition of Sprint Communications Company L.P. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Pineville Telephone Company Pursuant to Sections 251(b) and 252 of the Communications Act of 1996  |
| NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION                                    | April 30, 2010    | Rebuttal Testimony | Docket No. P-120, Sub 26              | Petition of Sprint Communications Company L.P. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Pineville Telephone Company Pursuant to Sections 251(b) and 252 of the Communications Act of 1996  |
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA CENTRAL DIVISION | Oct. 2010         | Affidavit          | CIV. NO. 10-4110                      | SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., Plaintiff, Vs. THERESA MAULE, in her official Capacity as Judge of Tribal Court, CROW CREEK SIOUX TRIBAL COURT, and NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC, Defendants   |
| <b>2011</b>  |                   |                    |                                       |  |
| FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION                                      | Feb. 2011         | Affidavit          | File No. EB-11-MD-03                  | In the Matter of SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. 6200 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251 Telephone (703) 592-5112 Complainant, v. NORTHERN VALLEY COMMUNICATIONS, LLC 2211 Eighth Avenue NE, Suite 1101 Aberdeen, SD 57401 Defendant. |
| MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION                                  | April 22, 2011    | Direct Testimony   | Docket Number P 5096, P 5542/C-09-265 | In the Matter of the Formal Complaint by Qwest Communications Company, LLC Against Tekstar Communications, Inc. Regarding Illegal Traffic Pumping  |
| FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION                                      | June 2011         | Affidavit          | File No. EB-11-MD-006                 | In the Matter of SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. Complainant, v. TEKSTAR COMMUNICATIONS, INC. Defendant.  |



Case No. TC11-087  
Exhibit 1.35 to Sprint's Amended Responses to NAT Discovery

| Jurisdiction  | Date             | Testimony Type        | Proceeding No.                           | Proceeding Name   |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| IOWA<br>DEPARTMENT OF<br>COMMERCE<br>UTILITIES BOARD              | October 14, 2011 | Direct Testimony      | FCU-2011-0002                            | AVENTURE COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY, LLC, QWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION; SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.; AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MIDWEST, INC., AND TCG OMAHA, A PARTNERSHIP; AND LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., Respondents<br>AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MIDWEST, INC., AND TCG OMAHA, A PARTNERSHIP, Counterclaimant, vs. AVENTURE COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY, LLC, Counterclaim Respondent |
| <b>2012</b>   |                  |                       |  |   |
| MINNESOTA<br>PUBLIC UTILITIES<br>COMMISSION                       | January 20, 2012 | Responsive Testimony  | Docket Number<br>P 5096, P 5542/C-09-265 | In the Matter of the Formal Complaint by Qwest Communications Company, LLC Against Tekstar Communications, Inc. Regarding Illegal Traffic Pumping   |
| PUBLIC UTILITIES<br>COMMISSION OF<br>THE STATE OF<br>SOUTH DAKOTA | March 26, 2012   | Direct Testimony      | Docket No. TC11-087                      | IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE WITHIN THE STUDY AREA OF MIDSTATE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.  |
| MINNESOTA<br>PUBLIC UTILITIES<br>COMMISSION                       | April 18, 2012   | Surrebuttal Testimony | Docket Number<br>P 5096, P 5542/C-09-265 | In the Matter of the Formal Complaint by Qwest Communications Company, LLC Against Tekstar Communications, Inc. Regarding Illegal Traffic Pumping   |

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

---

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC  
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY  
TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE  
SERVICE WITHIN THE STUDY AREA OF  
MIDSTATE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

---

Docket No. TC11-087

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on this 13th day of April, 2012, a copy of Sprint Communications Company L.P.'s Amended Responses to Native American Telecom, LLC's Data Requests 1.34 – 1.36 were served via email to:

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen  
Executive Director  
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
500 E. Capitol Ave.  
Pierre, SD 57501  
[patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us](mailto:patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us)

Ms. Karen E. Cremer  
Staff Attorney  
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
500 E. Capitol Ave.  
Pierre, SD 57501  
[karen.cremer@state.sd.us](mailto:karen.cremer@state.sd.us)

Mr. Chris Daugaard  
Staff Analyst  
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
500 E. Capitol Ave.  
Pierre, SD 57501  
[chris.daugaard@state.sd.us](mailto:chris.daugaard@state.sd.us)

Mr. Scott R. Swier  
Attorney at Law  
Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC  
202 N. Main St.  
PO Box 256  
Avon, SD 57315  
[scott@swierlaw.com](mailto:scott@swierlaw.com)

Mr. Jeff Holoubeck  
President  
Native American Telecom, LLC  
253 Ree Circle  
Fort Thompson, SD 574339  
[jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com](mailto:jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com)

Mr. William VanCamp  
Olinger, Lovald, McCahren & Reimers, P.C.  
117 East Capitol  
PO Box 66  
Pierre, SD 57501-0066  
[bvancamp@olingerlaw.net](mailto:bvancamp@olingerlaw.net)

Mr. Richard D. Coit  
SDTA  
PO Box 57  
Pierre, SD 57501-0057  
[richcoit@sdaonline.com](mailto:richcoit@sdaonline.com)


Ms. Meredith A. Moore  
Cutler & Donahoe, LLP  
100 N. Phillips Ave., 9th Floor  
Sioux Falls, SD 57104-6725  
[meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com](mailto:meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com)

Mr. Jason D. Topp  
Corporate Counsel  
Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink  
200 S. Fifth St., Room 2200  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
[jason.topp@centurylink.com](mailto:jason.topp@centurylink.com)

Mr. Thomas J. Welk  
Boyce Greenfield Pashby & Welk LLP  
101 N. Phillips Ave., Ste. 600  
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015  
[tjwelk@bgpw.com](mailto:tjwelk@bgpw.com)

Mr. Todd Lundy  
Qwest dba CenturyLink Law Department  
180 1 California Street, #1000  
Denver, CO 80202  
[todd.lundy@centurylink.com](mailto:todd.lundy@centurylink.com)

Mr. Christopher W. Madsen  
Boyce Greenfield Pashby & Welk LLP  
101 N. Phillips Ave., Ste. 600  
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015  
[cwmadsen@bgpw.com](mailto:cwmadsen@bgpw.com)



Philip R. Schenkenberg