GUNDERSON, PALMER, NELSON & ASHMORE, LLP

J. CRISMAN PALMER JAMES S. NELSON DANIEL E. ASHMORE DONALD P. KNUDSEN PATRICK G. GOETZINGER TALBOT J. WIECZOREK JENNIFER K. TRUCANO DAVID E. LUST THOMAS E. SIMMONS TERRI LEE WILLIAMS ATTORNEYS AT LAW ASSURANT BUILDING 440 MT. RUSHMORE ROAD POST OFFICE BOX 8045 RAPID CITY, SOUTH DAKOTA 57709-8045

TELEPHONE (605) 342-1078 www.gundersonpalmer.com ATTORNEYS LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN SOUTH DAKOTA, NORTH DAKOTA, NEBRASKA COLORADO, WYOMING, MONTANA & MINNESOTA SARA FRANKENSTEIN AMY K. KOENIG JASON M. SMILEY QUENTIN L. RIGGINS JEFFREY R. CONNOLLY REBECCA L. MANN ANDREW J. KNUTSON SHILOH M. MacNALLY KYLE L. WIESE WYNN A. GUNDERSON Of Counsel

April 21, 2011

Darla P. Rogers Margo D. Northrup Riter, Rogers, Wattier & Northrup, LLP 319 South Coteau PO Box 280 Pierre, SD 57501 dprogers@riterlaw.com m.northrup@riterlaw.com

Re: In the Matter of the Petition of Cellco Partnership and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates to Amend and Consolidate Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designations in the State of South Dakota and to Partially Relinquish ETC Designation, Docket No. TC10-090

SDTA's Offer to Provide Additional Information In Response to Petitioners' Discovery Requests

Dear Ms. Rogers and Ms. Northrup:

I am writing in response to your letter dated April 20, 2011. Thank you for your offer that five of SDTA's members will provide additional information in response to Interrogatory No. 8. We accept that offer. You asked us to identify the five SDTA members from which information will be provided. We propose 1) James Valley Cooperative Telephone Company; 2) Interstate Telecom Coop., Inc. – South Dakota; 3) Knology Community Telephone, Inc.; 4) Venture Communications Cooperative; and 5) Santel Communications Cooperative, Inc. – SD.

Also, thank you for your offer to provide additional information in response to Interrogatory No. 12. Please provide the information in response to Interrogatories Nos. 8 and 12 as soon as possible.

We are disappointed by your decision to not produce additional information in response to Interrogatory No. 7 and Request for Production of Documents No. 1, and have decided to file a motion to compel on that issue, which will be served on you under separate cover. Still, we

GUNDERSON, PALMER, NELSON & ASHMORE, LLP

April 21, 2011 Page - 2 -

remain open to resolving this matter prior to the Commission hearing this Motion to Compel

Sincerely,

10.85

Talbot J. Wieczorek

TJW:klw C: Client