10:11 AM 02/23/11 Cash Basis

Native American Telecom, LLC Profit & Loss

January through December 2010

	Jan - Dec 10
Ordinary Income/Expense	
Income	
CABS Collection Income	1,148,925.84
Total Income	1,148,925.84
Gross Profit	1,148,925.84
Expense	
Advertising Expense	4,622.13
Bank Service Charges	366.32
CABS Collection and Billing Exp	96,967.00
Computer and Internet Expenses	2,650.20
Computer Expense	44.64
Consulting Expense	15,793.00
Filing Fee	60.00
Gifts & Charitable Contribution	3,451.00
Marketing Expense	794,307.49
Office Supplies	819.63
Payroll Expenses	25,295.92
Payroli Tax	3,194.41
Postage and Postal Charges	993.35
Printing and Duplication	833.03
Professional Fees	85,712.42
Repairs and Maintenance	98,959.42
Shipping Expense	199.59
Taxes - Federal	2,767.31
Telephone and Circuit Expenses	126,947.76
Travel Expense	15,575.77
Utilities	3,974.85
Total Expense	1,283,535.24
Net Ordinary Income	-134,609.40
Net Income	-134,609.40

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA						
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
4	Case Civ. 10-4110						
5	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P.,						
6	Plaintiff,						
7	THE PARTY OF THE P						
8	NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC; B.J. JONES, in his official capacity						
9	as Special Judge of Tribal Court; and CROW CREEK SIOUX TRIBAL COURT,						
10	Defendants.						
11							
12							
	U.S. District Courthouse Sioux Falls, SD						
13	March 3, 2011, 9:00 a.m.						
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
15	MOTION HEARING						
16	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
17	U.S. District Court Judge						
18	APPEARANCES:						
19	Mr. Scott G. Knudson Briggs & Morgan						
20	2200 IDS Center 80 S. Eighth St.						
21	Minneapolis, MN 55402-2157						
22	-and-						
23	Mr. Tommy Drake Tobin						
24	Attorney at Law PO Box 730 Winner, SD 57580 for the Plaintiff						
2.5							
. 0							

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)					
2	,	,					
3	Mr. Scott R. Sw	ier					
4	Swier Law Office Box 256						
5	Avon, SD 57315	for Defendan	t Native	American	Telecom, LLC		
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14	INDEX TO WITNESS						
15	Witness	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross		
16							
17	DEFENDANTS'		- 1	710	100		
18	Carlos Cestero	9	64	110 130	123		
19	By The Court	103					
20		125	1.60	170	179		
21	Peter Lengkeek	135	160	172	119		
22	DIATMETERIC						
23	PLAINTIFF'S						
24	Amy Clouser	182	190				
25							

1 Q. So NAT can file bankruptcy. They can just close its doors. Do they have any other options? 3 If Sprint would pay, they could continue to operate. 4 And if Sprint would pay their debt owed, would that 5 keep NAT afloat for the time being? 6 It would. Α. 7 MR. SWIER: Your Honor, if I may have a minute. 8 THE COURT: You may. 9 MR. SWIER: Thank you. Your Honor, I believe 10 that's all the questions I have. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Knudson? 12 MR. KNUDSON: Yes, Your Honor. Did you want to 13 start right away? 14 THE COURT: Why don't we take a 10-minute break. 15 We'll be in recess until 25 to 11:00. 16 (Recess at 10:26 until 10:40) 17 THE COURT: Mr. Knudson? 18 MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. KNUDSON: 21 Good morning, Mr. Cestero. You recall we met two days Q. 22 ago. 23 Α. Yes. 24 We had the pleasure of doing a deposition on Tuesday. 25 Do you recall that?

- 1 A. I do.
- Q. Do you recall when your deposition was taken, you were
- 3 | sworn to tell the truth. Do you remember?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Just like you were sworn by the Court today to tell
- 6 | the truth. Correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I would like to ask you a few questions about your
- 9 | testimony today and what you said on Tuesday. One thing I
- 10 | think we should clarify is, isn't it a fact in 2010 NAT
- 11 | reported a gross income of \$1,148,925.84?
- 12 A. It did.
- 13 Q. It is also true, is it not, that NAT paid as marketing
- 14 expenses on its P&L \$794,307.49 in 2010?
- 15 A. I don't have the P&L in front of me, but it sounds
- 16 | about right.
- 17 | Q. Before we get into those numbers, I'd like to clarify
- 18 | something you testified to about WideVoice with an I. You
- 19 | say that's a carrier.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Then Wyde Voice with a Y. That's a conference bridge
- 22 | equipment manufacturer?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Free Conferencing Corporation, that provides free
- 25 | conferencing service. Correct?

- This is from NAT's business records? 1 Q. 2 A. Correct. MR. KNUDSON: I would offer Exhibit 1. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 5 MR. SWIER: No objection. 6 THE COURT: Exhibit 1 is received. 7 BY MR. KNUDSON: 8 Before we dig into that, I would like to -- let's go 9 back to Page 83, if you would. 10 THE COURT: Can I make a suggestion? Since the 11 other exhibits are 1 through whatever, can we have this 12 Exhibit A? 13 MR. KNUDSON: That's fine. Let's relabel it
- MR. KNODSON. That's line. Let's letabel in
- 14 | Plaintiff's A.
- 15 BY MR. KNUDSON:
- 16 | Q. Do you have Page 83 in front of you now?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. Now, you heard reference to Free Conferencing
- 19 | Corporation getting 75 percent of the gross revenues from
- 20 NAT's receipts from carriers paying for terminating
- 21 services. Correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is that then shown here on the P&L as the marketing
- 24 expenses?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. These marketing expenses were paid to Free
- 2 | Conferencing Corporation. Isn't that true?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now, I believe it's also the case you have not seen
- 5 any written agreement between NAT and Free Conferencing
- 6 | Corporation setting forth the terms by which NAT is
- 7 | obligated to pay Free Conferencing Corporation 75 percent
- 8 of their gross revenues?
- 9 A. I have not seen the document, no.
- 10 Q. Do you know if one exists?
- 11 A. I do not know.
- 12 Q. You were in charge of making these transfers to Free
- 13 | Conferencing Corporation, were you not?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You did so at the direction of Jeff Holoubek. Did you
- 16 | not?
- 17 A. Yes. He explained the relationship NAT has with Free
- 18 | Conferencing Corporation.
- 19 Q. Free Conferencing Corporation, that's located in
- 20 Long Beach at the address you gave earlier. Isn't it?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. If we look at the banking statements, beginning with
- 23 | the Wells Fargo statements, they all start going to the
- 24 | same address. Do they not?
- 25 A. Yes.