GUNDERSON, PALMER, NELSON & ASHMORE, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

J. CRISMAN PALMER
JAMES S. NELSON
DANIEL E. ASHMORE
DONALD P. KNUDSEN
PATRICK G. GOETZINGER
TALBOT J. WIECZOREK
JENNIFER K. TRUCANO
DAVID E. LUST
THOMAS E. SIMMONS

ASSURANT BUILDING
440 MT. RUSHMORE ROAD
POST OFFICE BOX 8045
RAPID CITY, SOUTH DAKOTA 57709-8045

TELEPHONE (605) 342-1078 www.gundersonpalmer.com ATTORNEYS LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN SOUTH DAKOTA, NORTH DAKOTA, NEBRASKA COLORADO, WYOMING & MINNESOTA TERRI LEE WILLIAMS
SARA FRANKENSTEIN
AMY K. KOENIG
JASON M. SMILEY
MATTHEW E. NAASZ
QUENTIN L. RIGGINS
JEFFREY R. CONNOLLY
REBECCA L. MANN
WYNN A. GUNDERSON
Of Counsel

Writer's E-mail Address: tjw@gpnalaw.com Writer's Fax No.: (605) 342-0480

July 10, 2009

E-FILING at PUCDOCKETFILINGS@state.sd.us

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Capitol Building, 1st Floor 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre SD 57501-5070

RE: Alltel – Alliance Arbitration: In the Matter of Dockets TC07-112 through TC07-116 GPGN File No. 05925.0042

Dear Ms. Van Gerpen:

This letter is to serve as a follow-up to Alltel's pending Motion to Compel in the four dockets listed above. The Motion to Compel is scheduled to be heard next Tuesday. Subsequent to the filing of the Motion to Compel, Alltel received supplemental discovery from the RLECs' counsel.

Based on the supplemental discovery received to date and additional discovery represented to be forthcoming, a number of the issues have been eliminated. Alltel sees one issue though still in play. This issue surrounds specifically DR10. DR10 requests the RLECs provide documents relied upon in responding to other data requests.

Concerning DR10, the request to produce documents relied upon in responding to other interrogatories, the parties have not been able to reconcile the issues concerning monetary valuations assigned to switch and processor costs. Alltel has requested the bids and source documents used to derive the values in the expert's reports and the values produced in discovery provided to Alltel so Alltel can review it for preparation in cross-examination. Alltel understands the RLECs' denial to provide the information to be based on non-disclosure agreements with various vendors. It is Alltel's position that the material needs to be produced nonetheless because if the RLECs' expert used this information knowing the results would have to go into the record in front of this Commission, the RLECs should have to produce the documents the expert relied upon to submit his testimony.

GUNDERSON, PALMER, NELSON & ASHMORE, LLP

July 10, 2009 Page - 2 -

The RLECs' attorney, Ms. Moore, and I have continued to discuss this issue. Our conversations are hindered somewhat because she is traveling. If the issue is resolved before Tuesday we will advise the Commission immediately.

Sincerely,

Talbot J. Wieczorek

TJW:klw

c: Meredith Moore via email and fax

Bob Knadle via email Karen Cremer via email Clients