

GUNDERSON, PALMER, GOODSSELL & NELSON, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

J. CRISMAN PALMER
G. VERNE GOODSSELL
JAMES S. NELSON
DANIEL E. ASHMORE
TERENCE R. QUINN
DONALD P. KNUDSEN
PATRICK G. GOETZINGER
TALBOT J. WIECZOREK
JENNIFER K. TRUCANO
DAVID E. LUST
THOMAS E. SIMMONS

ASSURANT BUILDING
440 MT. RUSHMORE ROAD
POST OFFICE BOX 8045
RAPID CITY, SOUTH DAKOTA 57709-8045
TELEPHONE (605) 342-1078 • FAX (605) 342-0480
www.gundersonpalmer.com
ATTORNEYS LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN
SOUTH DAKOTA, NORTH DAKOTA, NEBRASKA
COLORADO, MONTANA, WYOMING & MINNESOTA

TERRI LEE WILLIAMS
PAMELA SNYDER-VARNS
SARA FRANKENSTEIN
AMY K. KOENIG
JASON M. SMILEY
SHANE C. PENFIELD
JONATHAN M. OOSTRA
MATTHEW E. NAASZ
WYNN A. GUNDERSON
Of Counsel

February 12, 2007

E-FILING

Patricia Van Gerpen
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
Capitol Building, 1st Floor
500 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre SD 57501-5070

RE: Sprint Communications Company, L.P. – Swiftel’s Arbitration
Brookings/Swiftel TC 06-176 GPGN File No. 8509-060584

Dear Ms. Van Gerpen:

Attached please find the supplemental direct testimony of Randy G. Farrar filed on behalf of Sprint Communications Company. The attached testimony and exhibits are confidential. There is also a public exhibit, RGF-2, that replaces the exhibit filed with the original public testimony.

The procedural order set forth by the Commission does not provide for supplemental testimony, but provides for rebuttal testimony that would be due this Friday. However, in reviewing the direct testimony filed by Swiftel, Mr. Farrar noted a computational error in his own testimony. Rather than waiting until rebuttal testimony or until the time of the hearing to correct his testimony, Sprint determined it would be more beneficial to all parties that Mr. Farrar clarify this issue prior to the filing of rebuttal testimony to allow Swiftel’s witnesses the ability to review his corrected sheets for purposes of rebuttal. The correction to the testimony is rather minimal, but significant due to the fact that it has an impact on Sprint’s final recommended rate.

The testimony is confidential in that it contains information Swiftel provided through discovery that was deemed confidential by Swiftel.

GUNDERSON, PALMER, GOODSSELL & NELSON, LLP

Patricia Van Gerpen
February 12, 2007
Page 2

Please let me know if you have any questions or wish Sprint to follow another procedure in correcting the error in Mr. Farrar's original testimony.

Sincerely,



Talbot J. Wiczorek

TJW:klw

Enclosures

c: Rich Helsper/Mary Sisak/Ben Dickens via email
Kara Van Bockern/Harlan Best via email
Clients