

TC03-166

LAW OFFICES
MAY, ADAM, GERDES & THOMPSON LLP
503 SOUTH PIERRE STREET
P.O. BOX 160
PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA 57501-0160

THOMAS C. ADAM
DAVID A. GERDES
CHARLES M. THOMPSON
ROBERT B. ANDERSON
BRENT A. WILBUR
TIMOTHY M. ENGEL
MICHAEL F. SHAW
NEIL FULTON
BOBBI J. BENSON
BRETT KOENECKE

SINCE 1881
www.magt.com

August 20, 2003

OF COUNSEL
WARREN W. MAY

GLENN W. MARTENS 1881-1963
KARL GOLDSMITH 1885-1966

TELEPHONE
605 224-8803

TELECOPIER
605 224-6289

E-MAIL
dag@magt.com

RECEIVED

AUG 20 2003

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Pamela Bonrud
Executive Secretary
Public Utilities Commission
500 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre, South Dakota 57501

RE: **MIDCONTINENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS; RECIPROCAL
TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION AGREEMENT, VERIZON**
Our file: 0053


Dear Pam:

Enclosed are the original and 10 copies of a Reciprocal
Transport and Termination Agreement between Verizon and
Midcontinent. Please file the enclosure and notice it for
approval by the Commission.

Thank you very much.

Yours truly,

MAY, ADAM, GERDES & THOMPSON LLP

BY: 

DAG/jml

Enclosures

cc: Mary Lohnes

AUG 20 2003

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSIONRECIPROCAL TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION AGREEMENT

This Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement (the "Agreement") is entered into as of the 1st day of August, by and between Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless on behalf of itself and the licensees listed in Exhibit A (individually and collectively referred to as "Verizon Wireless") and Midcontinent Communications ("Carrier"). Verizon Wireless and Carrier are each individually a "Party" and are together the "Parties" to this Agreement. This Agreement is effective as of August 1, 2003.

WHEREAS, Verizon Wireless is licensed by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") as a Commercial Mobile Radio Services ("CMRS") provider.

WHEREAS, Carrier is a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") holding a certificate of authority to provide local exchange telecommunication services in the States of North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota.

WHEREAS, Carrier and Verizon Wireless currently extend and desire to establish arrangements to one another for the exchange of wireline to wireless and wireless to wireline Traffic between their respective networks for the benefit of the Parties.

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to put in place an arrangement for the mutual exchange and reciprocal compensation of telecommunications traffic in accordance with the Act, and which is intended to supersede any previous arrangements between the Parties relating to such traffic.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual provisions contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Verizon Wireless and Carrier hereby agree as follows:

1. Definitions. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the meanings specified in this Section.

1.1 "Act" means the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 151 et seq.), as amended, including the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and as from time to time interpreted in the duly authorized orders and regulations of the FCC.

1.2 "CMRS" or "Commercial Mobile Radio Service" is as defined in the Act.

1.3 "Commission" means the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission.

1.4 "FCC Order" means the FCC's First Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, rel. August 8, 1996 and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

1.5 "ISP Remand Order" means the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the

Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98 and 99-68 and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

1.6 “Local Traffic” means the completion of wireless to wireline and wireline to wireless calls which originate and terminate within the same MTA based on the location of the cell site serving the wireless subscriber and the central office for the landline end-user.

1.7 “Major Trading Area” (MTA) means a geographic area established in Rand McNally’s Commercial Atlas and Marketing Guide and used by the FCC in defining CMRS license boundaries for CMRS providers for purposes of Sections 251 and 252 of the Act.

1.8 “Non-Local Traffic” means the completion of interMTA calls based on the location of the cell site serving the wireless subscriber and the central office for the landline end-user.

1.9 “Reciprocal Compensation Credit” means a monetary credit for wireline to wireless Traffic which is originated by a landline subscriber of Carrier and terminates to a subscriber of Verizon Wireless within the MTA.

1.10 “Traffic” means all Local Traffic and Non-Local Traffic that originates on one Party’s network, and terminates on the other Party’s network.

1.11 “Termination” means the switching of Local Traffic at the terminating carrier’s end-office switch, or functionally equivalent facility, and the delivery of such Traffic to the called party.

1.12 “Transport” means the transmission and any necessary tandem switching by a Party of Local Traffic from the point of interconnection between the Parties, which point may be via the transit services provided by another carrier, to the terminating carrier’s end-office switch or functionally equivalent facility that directly serves the called party.

2. Interpretation and Construction. The terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be subject to any and all applicable laws, rules, regulations or guidelines that subsequently may be prescribed by any federal or state government authority. To the extent required by any such subsequently prescribed law, rule, regulation or guideline, the Parties agree to negotiate in good faith toward an agreement to modify, in writing, any affected term and condition of this Agreement to bring them into compliance with such law, rule, regulation or guideline. The headings of the Sections of this Agreement are inserted for convenience of reference only and are not intended to be a part of or to affect the meaning of the Agreement.

2.1 The Parties enter into this Agreement without prejudice to any position they may take with respect to similar future agreements between the Parties or with respect to positions they may have taken previously, or may take in the future in any legislative, regulatory or other public forum addressing any matters including matters,

related to the rates to be charged for transport and termination of Local Traffic or the types of arrangements prescribed by this Agreement.

3. Scope. This Agreement addresses the Parties' reciprocal compensation obligations as described in Section 251(b)(5) of the Act. This Agreement relates to the exchange of Local Traffic between the respective networks of Verizon Wireless and Carrier, which uses the tandem switching facilities of a third party for the point of interconnection and where there is no direct connection between Verizon Wireless and Carrier. If Verizon Wireless elects to replace the existing form of indirect interconnection with a two-way or one-way direct connection, the Parties agree to negotiate a separate agreement related to the provisioning and compensation for such facilities. To the extent applicable, the rate contained in Exhibit A shall apply for the remaining term of this Agreement should Verizon Wireless request connection using a two-way or one-way direct connection to Carrier's facilities. By this Agreement, neither Party waives any other rights it may otherwise have under the Act or the rules of the FCC.

4. Reciprocal Traffic Exchange. Reciprocal exchange of Traffic addresses the exchange of Traffic between Verizon Wireless subscribers and Carrier end-user customers. Each Party shall reciprocally terminate on its network Traffic originating on the other Party's network. Consistent with Carrier's current practice with Verizon Wireless, either Party's Traffic may be routed through one or more intermediaries for interconnection with the other Party's system and, unless a direct connection is separately negotiated pursuant to the terms of Paragraph 3 of this Agreement, shall transit a tandem switch before reaching Carrier's end office. Reciprocal Traffic exchanged under this Agreement covers only Transport and Termination services provided in association with CMRS services. Other services may be purchased pursuant to Carrier's tariff. The Transport and Termination services provided hereunder are intended for wireless to wireline or wireline to wireless traffic, but not wireline to wireline communications or wireline to non-CMRS traffic for which a certificate of authority is legally required.

5. Local and Non-Local Traffic. This Agreement is intended to address the Transport and Termination of Traffic between the Parties. Local Traffic is subject to only the local Transport and Termination charges as described in Section 6 below. The Parties agree the Non-Local Traffic exchanged between the Parties is *de minimis* and neither Party shall bill the other Party for the transport and termination of Non-Local Traffic. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, it shall be assumed that one percent of Traffic (as measured by minutes of use) originated by or terminated by Carrier is Non-Local Traffic.

6. Local Transport and Termination Rates. Verizon Wireless and Carrier shall reciprocally and symmetrically compensate one another for Local Traffic terminated to their respective subscribers and end-user customers. The rate for the Termination and Transport of such Local Traffic is set forth on Exhibit A attached hereto. Carrier will be responsible for measuring (currently provided by the tandem operator) the total monthly minutes of use terminating into its network from Verizon Wireless' network. If Verizon Wireless elects to directly bill Carrier under Section 8.1, Verizon Wireless will be responsible for measuring the total monthly minutes of use terminating into its network from Carrier's network. Each Party will only charge the other Party for actual conversation minutes of use based on call party answer to call party disconnect. Minutes of use and/or fractions thereof will be aggregated at the end of

the billing cycle and rounded to the nearest whole minute. The Party collecting revenues shall be responsible for collecting, reporting and remitting all applicable taxes associated therewith.

6.1 In the event the Traffic terminated on the Parties' respective networks is de minimis such that the total minutes for which either Party is entitled to compensation is less than 15,000 minutes of use for a three month period (or 5,000 minutes of use for a one month period if Carrier or Verizon Wireless bills monthly), the Parties agree that the only compensation for such Traffic will be in the form of the reciprocal Transport and Termination services provided by the other Party, and no billings will be issued by either Party.

7. Compensation. Compensation using the symmetrical rate contained in Exhibit A shall be determined as follows:

7.1 If Verizon Wireless is unable to determine the amount of wireline to wireless Traffic it terminates from Carrier, Carrier will bill seventy-five (75%) of wireless to wireline Local Traffic terminated by Carrier. The twenty-five percent (25%) Reciprocal Compensation Credit is the amount of compensation to Verizon Wireless for wireline to wireless Local Traffic terminated by Verizon Wireless. The Reciprocal Compensation Credit shall appear on Carrier's bill as a credit against the amounts due and payable from Verizon Wireless to Carrier.

7.2 Verizon Wireless may, at its option, request replacement of the Reciprocal Compensation Credit, on a going forward basis, based on the results of a traffic study conducted for a representative sample of exchanges comparable to those operated by Carrier. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the adequacy of the sample, or the appropriate percentages to use based on the traffic studies, Verizon Wireless may request resolution of the dispute pursuant to the procedures of paragraph 13 of this Agreement, Dispute Resolution Process.

8. Billing and Payment. Carrier shall bill Verizon Wireless on either a monthly or quarterly basis for services provided under this Agreement in accordance with the recurring usage sensitive charge set forth on Exhibit B. Carrier shall include sufficient detail in its invoices to enable Verizon Wireless to reasonably verify the accuracy of the usage and charges. Verizon Wireless shall pay such invoices within forty-five (45) days of receipt of the statement. In the event of a dispute over the amount of the invoice, Verizon Wireless shall pay the undisputed portion and shall not be required to pay the disputed portion pending investigation and resolution of the dispute.

8.1 In the event that Verizon Wireless elects to measure the wireline to wireless Traffic terminated to it by Carrier, Verizon Wireless will directly invoice Carrier for that Traffic applying the rate contained in Exhibit B rather than utilizing the Reciprocal Compensation Credit in Section 7. The billing and payment provisions and time periods set forth in Section 8 above shall apply if Verizon Wireless elects to direct bill Carrier.

9. Effective Date. This Agreement is subject to approval by the Commission. Verizon Wireless and Carrier shall work cooperatively and take all steps necessary and proper to expeditiously prosecute a joint application before the Commission seeking approval of this Agreement. Each Party shall be responsible for their own costs and expenses incurred in obtaining approval of this Agreement from the Commission.

10. Term. This Agreement shall remain in effect for one (1) year. The Agreement shall automatically renew for additional six (6) month terms, unless either Party gives the other Party written notice of intent to terminate at least thirty (30) days prior to the expiration date of the initial or renewed term.

11. Termination Upon Default. Either Party may terminate this Agreement in whole or in part in the event of a default by the other Party, provided, however, that the non-defaulting Party notifies the defaulting Party in writing of the alleged default and the defaulting Party does not cure the alleged default within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the written notice thereof. In addition, the Agreement may not be terminated for default without the Commission's approval.

12. Liability Upon Termination. Termination of this Agreement for any cause shall not release either Party from any liability which at the time of termination had already accrued to the other Party or which thereafter accrues in any respect for any act or omission occurring prior to the termination relating to an obligation which is expressly stated in this Agreement. The Parties' obligations under this Agreement which by their nature are intended to continue beyond the termination or expiration of this Agreement shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

13. Dispute Resolution Process. The Parties desire to resolve disputes arising out of this Agreement without litigation. Accordingly, the Parties agree to use the following dispute resolution procedure as their sole remedy with respect to any controversy or claim arising out of or relating to this Agreement, except for (i) an action seeking to compel compliance with the confidentiality provision of Section 19 or this dispute resolution process or (ii) disputes that fall within the jurisdiction of the FCC or Commission, unless the Parties agree at the time of the dispute to submit the matter to arbitration rather than the FCC or the Commission.

13.1 At the written request of a Party commencing the dispute resolution process described herein, each Party will appoint a representative to meet and negotiate in good faith for a period of sixty (60) days (unless it becomes clear that a voluntary resolution is unlikely) after the request to resolve any dispute arising under this Agreement. The Parties intend that these negotiations be conducted by nonlawyer, business representatives but nothing prevents either Party from also involving an attorney in the process. The location, format, frequency, duration and conclusion of these discussions shall be left to the discretion of the representatives. Upon mutual agreement of the representatives, the representatives may utilize other alternative dispute resolution procedures such as mediation to assist in the negotiations. Discussion and correspondence among the representatives for purposes of these negotiations shall be treated as confidential information developed for purposes of settlement, exempt from discovery and production, which shall not be admissible in the arbitration described below or in any lawsuit without concurrence of both Parties.

13.2 If the negotiations do not resolve the dispute within sixty (60) days (sooner if it becomes clear that a voluntary resolution is unlikely) after the initial written request, either Party may bring any claims or actions arising out of the dispute in any lawful forum.

13.3 Each Party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees as a result of the procedures set forth in this Section.

13.4 No claim, demand, dispute or other judicial or administrative action, regardless of form, arising out of or relating to this Agreement may be brought by either Party more than one (1) year after the cause of action arises.

14. General Responsibilities of Parties. Each Party is responsible to provide facilities within its respective network which are necessary for routing and terminating Traffic to and from the other Party's network.

15. Notice of Changes. If a Party makes a change in its network which it believes will materially affect the inter-operability of its network with the other Party, the Party making the change shall provide at least ninety (90) days advance written notice of the nature of the changes and when the change will occur.

16. Warranty. NEITHER PARTY GUARANTEES NOR WARRANTS THE INSTALLATION OF THE FACILITIES, OR ERROR-FREE OR INTERRUPTION-FREE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE. THIS AGREEMENT EXCLUDES ALL WARRANTIES OF WHATEVER KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

17. Limitation of Liability. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL EITHER PARTY BE LIABLE TO THE OTHER FOR ANY INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, PUNITIVE OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO LOSS OF BUSINESS, LOSS OF USE, OR LOSS OF PROFITS) ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT. BOTH PARTIES ONLY LIABILITY UNDER THIS AGREEMENT IS FOR DIRECT, ACTUAL DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE CAUSING PARTY'S CONDUCT OR THE CONDUCT OF ITS AGENTS OR CONTRACTORS IN PERFORMING THE OBLIGATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS AGREEMENT. TO THE EXTENT CARRIER CAUSES VERIZON WIRELESS SUCH DAMAGE, SUCH DIRECT, ACTUAL DAMAGES SHALL NOT EXCEED AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO THE ESTIMATED AMOUNTS VERIZON WIRELESS WILL PAY CARRIER DURING THE INITIAL TERM OF THIS AGREEMENT. TO THE EXTENT VERIZON WIRELESS CAUSES CARRIER SUCH DAMAGE, SUCH DIRECT, ACTUAL DAMAGES SHALL NOT EXCEED AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO THE ESTIMATED AMOUNTS CARRIER WILL PAY VERIZON WIRELESS OR WILL PROVIDE AS A RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION CREDIT TO VERIZON WIRELESS DURING THE INITIAL TERM OF THE AGREEMENT. EACH PARTY'S REMEDIES UNDER THIS AGREEMENT ARE EXCLUSIVE AND ARE LIMITED TO THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH IN THIS AGREEMENT.

18. Indemnification. Each Party to this Agreement shall indemnify and hold harmless the other Party with respect to any third-party claims, lawsuits, damages or court actions arising from service under this Agreement, to the extent that the indemnifying Party is liable or responsible for said third-party claims, losses, damages, or court actions. Whenever any claim shall arise for indemnification hereunder, the Party entitled to indemnification shall promptly notify the other Party of the claim and, when known, the facts constituting the basis for such claim. In the event that one Party to this Agreement disputes the other Party's right to indemnification hereunder, the Party disputing indemnification shall promptly notify the other Party of the factual basis for disputing indemnification. Indemnification shall include but is not limited to costs and attorneys fees.

18.1 The indemnifying Party shall have sole authority to defend any such action, including the selection of legal counsel, and the indemnified Party may engage separate legal counsel only at its sole cost and expense. In no event shall the indemnifying Party settle or consent to any judgment pertaining to any such action without the prior written consent of the indemnified Party.

19. Confidentiality. The Parties to this Agreement recognize they or their authorized representatives may come into possession of confidential and/or proprietary data about each other's business of networks as a result of this Agreement. Each Party agrees to treat all such data as strictly confidential and to use such data only for the purpose of performance under this Agreement. Each Party agrees not to disclose data about the other Party's business, unless such disclosure is required by lawful subpoena or order, to any person without first securing the written consent of the other Party.

20. Disclaimer of Agency. Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute a Party as a legal representative or agent of the other Party, nor shall a Party have the right or authority to assume, create or incur any liability or any obligation of any kind, express or implied, against or in the name of or on behalf of the other Party unless otherwise expressly permitted by such other Party. No Party undertakes to perform any obligation of the other Party whether regulatory or contractual, or to assume any responsibility for the management of the other Party's business.

21. Business Records. Each Party is responsible for the accuracy of its data as submitted to the other Party. Upon reasonable written notice, each Party or its authorized representative shall have the right to conduct a review of the relevant data possessed by the other Party to assure compliance with the provisions of this Agreement. The review will consist of any examination and verification of data involving records, systems, procedures and other information related to the services performed by either Party as it relates to charges or payments made in connection with this Agreement. Each Party's right to access information for a verification review purposes is limited to data not in excess of twelve (12) months in age. A Party's right to request a review is limited to once every twelve (12) months. The Party requesting a verification review shall fully bear its own costs associated with conducting a review. The Party being reviewed will provide reasonable access to necessary and applicable information at no charge to the reviewing Party during normal business hours.

22. Assignments, Successors and Assignees. A Party may not assign or transfer this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other Party, which consent shall not be

unreasonably withheld or delayed. Notwithstanding the forgoing, a Party may assign this Agreement, or any portion thereof, without consent to any entity that controls, is controlled by or is under common control with the assigning Party. Any such assignment shall not in any way affect or limit the rights and obligations of the Parties under the terms of this Agreement. The Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their lawful successors and assigns. A Party making the assignment shall notify the Commission and the other Party sixty (60) days in advance of the effective date of the assignment.

23. Force Majeure. Neither Party shall be liable for any delay or failure in performance of any part of this Agreement from any cause beyond its reasonable control, including, without limitation, acts of nature, acts of civil or military authority, government regulations, embargoes, riots, insurrections, fires, explosions, earthquakes, nuclear accidents, floods, power blackouts, other major environmental disturbances or unusually severe weather conditions (collectively, a "Force Majeure Event").

24. No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement does not provide any person not a Party, assignee or successor to this Agreement and shall not be construed to provide any such third parties with any remedy, claim, liability, reimbursement, cause of action, or other privilege in excess of those existing without reference to this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties agree to give notice to the Commission of any lawsuit or other proceeding that involves or arises under this Agreement to ensure the Commission has the opportunity to seek to intervene in the proceeding on behalf of the public interest.

25. Notices. Notices given by one Party to the other Party under this Agreement shall be in writing to the addresses of the Parties set forth below and shall be (i) delivered personally; (ii) delivered by express delivery service; (iii) mailed, certified mail or first class U.S. mail postage prepaid, return receipt requested; or (iv) delivered by facsimile copy. Any such notice given under this Agreement shall be effective upon receipt of the Party.

Verizon Wireless:

Verizon Wireless
Attention: Regulatory Counsel -
Interconnection
1300 I Street NW, Suite 400W
Washington D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 589-3756
Facsimile: (202) 589-3750

Carrier:

Midcontinent Communications
Attention: Regulatory Affairs
5001 W 41st Street
Sioux Falls, SD 57106
Telephone: (605) 357-5459
Facsimile: (605) 339 4419

With copy to:

Verizon Wireless
Attention: Mary Bacigalupi
2785 Mitchell Drive MS 7-1
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
Telephone: (925) 279-6006
Facsimile: (925) 279-6621

Any Party may specify a different address by notifying the other Party in writing of such different address in the manner provided in this Section.

26. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of South Dakota, except insofar as federal law may control any aspect of this Agreement, in which case, federal law shall control.

27. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and supersedes all prior oral or written agreements, representations, statement, negotiations, understandings, proposals and undertakings with respect to the subject matter hereof.

28. Amendments. This Agreement may not be modified or amended other than by a written instrument executed by both Parties. Any amendment, modification, or supplement to this Agreement shall be filed with the Commission and approved by the Commission as may be required by applicable law.

29. Counterparts. The undersigned signatories represent they have the authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective companies. This Agreement can be executed in separate parts which together will constitute a single, integrated Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of the date first written above.


Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless

CommNet Cellular License Holding LLC
d/b/a Verizon Wireless
By Cellular Inc. Financial Corporation, Its Sole
Member-

Missouri Valley Cellular Inc. d/b/a Verizon
Wireless
By CommNet Cellular, Its Managing Agent

Sanborn Cellular, Inc., Its General Partner
By CommNet Cellular Inc., Its Managing Agent

Eastern South Dakota Cellular Inc. d/b/a Verizon
Wireless

By: 
Name: Robert F. Swaine
Title: West Area Vice President - Network

Midcontinent Communications Investor, LLC

Managing Partner of Midcontinent Communications


By: 
Name: STEVE GROSSER
Title: SVP FINANCE

EXHIBIT A

Licensee

Market

CommNet Cellular License Holding, LLC

Rapid City
Sioux Falls
South Dakota 1-Harding
South Dakota 2-Corson
South Dakota 3-McPherson
South Dakota 5-Custer
South Dakota 6-Haakon

Missouri Valley Cellular Inc.

South Dakota 7-Sully

Sanborn Cellular Inc.

South Dakota 8-Kingsbury

Eastern South Dakota Cellular Inc.

South Dakota 9-Hanson

EXHIBIT B

Transportation and Termination

Usage Rate (MOU)

Local Traffic: End Office Switching and Transport

\$0.010

**South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
WEEKLY FILINGS**

For the Period of August 14, 2003 through August 20, 2003

If you need a complete copy of a filing faxed, overnight expressed, or mailed to you, please contact Delaine Kolbo within five business days of this report. Phone: 605-773-3705

CONSUMER COMPLAINTS

CT03-130 **In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Jack R. Leininger, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.**

Complainant represents that he purchased a ten-year pre-paid long distance service plan on August 18, 1998. Service was terminated without notice in June of 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the prepaid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff
Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier
Date Docketed: 08/14/03
Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-131 **In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Debbie and Rich Branaugh, Tyndall, South Dakota, against Fort Randall Telephone Company Regarding Charging Business Rates for Residential Line.**

Complainants represent that the respondent is charging them the business rate for phone service on their residential line. Complainants seek to have the rate charged on their residential line restored to the residential rate and to be reimbursed for the difference they have paid, plus the costs incurred in bringing this complaint forward.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff
Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier
Date Docketed: 08/15/03
Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-132 **In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Gregory S. Wilson on behalf of Variable Investment Advisors, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.**

Complainant's representative states that it purchased a seven-year pre-paid long distance service plan on November 11, 1999. Service was terminated without notice in June of 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided, plus damages of \$500.00 per day for each day that they went without service as a result of the respondent's failure.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff
Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier
Date Docketed: 08/18/03
Intervention deadline: N/A

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

TC03-157 **In the Matter of the Filing for Approval of a Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between PrairieWave Telecommunications, Inc. and Midwest Wireless Communications, LLC.**

On August 14, 2003, the Commission received a filing for approval of a Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between PrairieWave Telecommunications, Inc. and Midwest Wireless Communications, LLC. According to the filing, the parties wish to put in place an arrangement for the mutual exchange and reciprocal compensation of local telecommunications traffic which is intended to supersede any previous arrangements between the parties relating to such traffic. Any party wishing to comment on the agreement may do so by filing written comments with the Commission and the parties to the agreement no later than September 4, 2003. Parties to the agreement may file written responses to the comments no later than twenty days after the service of the initial comments.

Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier
Date Docketed: 08/14/03

TC03-158 In the Matter of the Request of Western Wireless Corporation for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

On August 15, 2003, Western Wireless Corporation provided information constituting Western Wireless Corporation's plan for the use of its federal universal service support, excluding the support amounts received for the Pine Ridge Reservation, and to otherwise verify that Western Wireless Corporation will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best
Staff Attorney: Karen E. Cremer
Date Docketed: 08/15/03
Intervention Deadline: 09/05/03

TC03-159 In the Matter of the Application of Telrite Corporation for a Certificate of Authority to Provide Interexchange Telecommunications Services in South Dakota.

Telrite Corporation is seeking a Certificate of Authority to provide interexchange telecommunications services in South Dakota. The Applicant will provide its interexchange services on a resale basis utilizing the underlying facilities of Qwest, and/or Global Crossing.

Staff Analyst: Keith Senger
Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier
Date Docketed: 08/15/03
Intervention Deadline: 09/05/03

TC03-160 In the Matter of the Application of South Dakota Big Sky Telecom for a Certificate of Authority to Provide Local Exchange Services in South Dakota.

On August 15, 2003, South Dakota Big Sky Telecom filed an application for a Certificate of Authority to provide telecommunications services in South Dakota. South Dakota Big Sky Telecom intends to provide resold local dial-up and long distance to both residential and business customers throughout the areas where Qwest provides service in South Dakota.

Staff Analyst: Michele Farris
Staff Attorney: Karen E. Cremer
Date Docketed: 08/15/03
Intervention Deadline: 09/05/03

TC03-161 In the Matter of the Request of Santel Communications Cooperative Inc. for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

On August 15, 2003, Santel Communications Cooperative Inc. (Santel) provided information constituting Santel's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Santel will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best
Staff Attorney: Karen E. Cremer
Date Docketed: 08/15/03
Intervention Deadline: 09/05/03

TC03-162 In the Matter of the Request of Valley Telephone Company for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

On August 15, 2003, Valley Telephone Company (Valley) provided information constituting Valley's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Valley will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best
Staff Attorney: Karen E. Cremer
Date Docketed: 08/15/03

Intervention Deadline: 09/05/03

TC03-163 In the Matter of the Request of Mount Rushmore Telephone Company and Fort Randall Telephone Company for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

On August 15, 2003, Mount Rushmore Telephone Company and Fort Randall Telephone Company (Mount Rushmore/Fort Randall) provided information constituting Mount Rushmore/Fort Randall's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Mount Rushmore/Fort Randall will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best
Staff Attorney: Karen E. Cremer
Date Docketed: 08/15/03
Intervention Deadline: 09/05/03

TC03-164 In the Matter of the Request of Alliance Communications Cooperative, Inc. and Splitrock Properties, Inc. for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

On August 18, 2003, Alliance Communications Cooperative, Inc., and its subsidiary Splitrock Properties, Inc. (Alliance/Splitrock) provided information constituting Alliance/Splitrock's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Alliance/Splitrock will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best
Staff Attorney: Karen E. Cremer
Date Docketed: 08/18/03
Intervention Deadline: 09/05/03

TC03-165 In the Matter of the Filing for Approval of a Resale Agreement between Qwest Corporation and Alticom, Inc.

On August 19, 2003, the Commission received a filing for approval of a Resale Agreement between Qwest Corporation (Qwest) and Alticom, Inc. (Alticom). According to the filing, the agreement sets forth the terms, conditions and pricing under which Qwest will offer and provide to Alticom ancillary services and telecommunications services available for resale within the geographical areas in which both parties are providing local exchange service at that time, and for which Qwest is the incumbent local exchange carrier within the state of South Dakota for purposes of providing local telecommunications services. Any party wishing to comment on the agreement may do so by filing written comments with the Commission and the parties to the agreement no later than September 8, 2003. Parties to the agreement may file written responses to the comments no later than twenty days after the service of the initial comments.

Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier
Date Docketed: 08/19/03
Initial Comments Due: 09/08/03

TC03-166 In the Matter of the Filing for Approval of a Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between Midcontinent Communications and Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, CommNet Cellular License Holding, LLC, Missouri Valley Cellular Inc., Sanborn Cellular Inc. and Eastern South Dakota Cellular Inc.

On August 20, 2003, the Commission received a filing for approval of a Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement between Midcontinent Communications and Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, CommNet Cellular License Holding, LLC, Missouri Valley Cellular Inc., Sanborn Cellular Inc. and Eastern South Dakota Cellular Inc. According to the filing, the parties wish to put in place an arrangement for the mutual exchange and reciprocal compensation of telecommunications traffic in accordance with the Act, and which is intended to supersede any previous arrangements between the parties relating to such traffic. Any party wishing to comment on the agreement may do so by filing written comments with the Commission and the parties to the agreement no later than September 9, 2003. Parties to the agreement may file written responses to the comments no later than twenty days after the service of the initial comments.

Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier
Date Docketed: 08/20/03
Initial Comments Due: 09/09/03

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR) APPROVAL OF A RECIPROCAL TRANSPORT) AND TERMINATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN) MIDCONTINENT COMMUNICATIONS AND) CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON) WIRELESS, COMMNET CELLULAR LICENSE) HOLDING LLC, MISSOURI VALLEY) CELLULAR INC., SANBORN CELLULAR INC.) AND EASTERN SOUTH DAKOTA CELLULAR) INC.)	ORDER APPROVING AGREEMENT TC03-166
--	--

On August 20, 2003, Midcontinent Communications (Midcontinent) filed for approval by the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) a reciprocal transport and termination agreement between Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, CommNet Cellular License Holding LLC, Missouri Valley Cellular Inc., Sanborn Cellular Inc. and Eastern South Dakota Cellular Inc. (Company) and Midcontinent.


On August 21, 2003, the Commission electronically transmitted notice of this filing to interested individuals and entities. The notice stated that any person wishing to comment on the parties' request for approval had until September 9, 2003, to do so. No comments were filed.

At its duly noticed September 16, 2003, meeting, the Commission considered whether to approve the agreement between Midcontinent and Company. Commission Staff recommended approval.


The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to SDCL Chapter 49-31, and the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. In accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2), the Commission found that the agreement does not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier that is not a party to the agreement and the agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Commission unanimously voted to approve the agreement. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the Commission approves the agreement.

Dated at Pierre, South Dakota, this 23rd day of September, 2003.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
The undersigned hereby certifies that this document has been served today upon all parties of record in this docket, as listed on the docket service list, by facsimile or by first class mail, in properly addressed envelopes, with charges prepaid thereon.	
By:	
Date:	9/25/03
(OFFICIAL SEAL)	

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:



ROBERT K. SAHR, Chairman



GARY HANSON, Commissioner