RICHARD A. CUTLER KENT R. CUTLER BRIAN J. DONAHOE *# STEVEN J. SARBACKER ** JAYNA M. VOSS MICHAEL D. BORNITZ ‡ TRENT A. SWANSON * RYAN J. TAYLOR ° KIMBERLY R. WASSINK MEREDITH A. MOORE DAVID L. EDWARDS NATHAN S. SCHOEN * ONNA B. DOMINIACK # NICHOLE MOHNING ROTHS * WILLIAM D. SIMS # BOBBI L. THURY * DANIEL J. DOYLE **JOLENE R. NASSER**

CUTLER & DONAHOE, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Telephone (605) 335-4950 Fax (605) 335-4961 www.cutlerlawfirm.com

June 28, 2010

JEAN BROCKMUELLER, CPA (Inactive) BUSINESS MANAGER

*Also licensed to practice

#Also licensed to practice

‡Also licensed to practice

in Nebraska +Also licensed to practice

% Also licensed to practice in Kansas

†Admitted to practice in United States Tax Court

°Also licensed as a Certified Public Accountant

VIA EMAIL TO PATTY. VANGERPEN@STATE.SD.US

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Capitol Building, 1st Floor 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501-5070

TC10-014 - In the Matter of the Investigation of Pricing Regulation for Switched RE: Access Services Provided by Competitive Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Ms. Van Gerpen:

Attached for filing in the above matter, please find the Reply Comments of SSTELECOM, Inc.

As indicated above, this document has been sent to you via electronic mail in PDF form. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this document, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best regards.

Sincerely,

CUTLER & DONAHOE, LLP

Meredith A. Moore For the Firm

MAM/cml Attachment

Service List cc:

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION OF PRICING REGULATION FOR SWITCHED ACCESS SERVICES PROVIDED BY COMPETITIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS.

TC10-014

REPLY COMMENTS OF SSTELECOM, INC.

By letter dated June 16, 2010, Commission Counsel authorized the parties involved in this docket to file reply comments. Pursuant to that authorization, SSTELECOM, Inc. respectfully submits the following in reply to comments filed in the above-referenced docket on June 15, 2010.

No compelling reason has been articulated to jettison or otherwise dramatically revise the rules proposed by the Commission on June 1, 2010, regarding switched access rates for competitive local exchange carriers (the "Proposed Rules"). The primary crux of the objections to the Commission's proposed rules is that there is no justification to treat CLECs differently. The suggestion has been made that it is poor public policy to allow a CLEC which provides service throughout the entirety of the territory or chooses to compete in a rural area to obtain a higher rate than one which does not. The remedy proposed by these parties is to redraft the Proposed Rules to allow a CLEC to mirror the rate, and only that rate, charged by the ILEC operating in the area in which the CLEC chooses to complete. These objections and arguments, however, ignore established and beneficial public policy.

Establishing a regulatory scheme in which every CLEC, regardless of any distinguishing structural characteristics and the nature and extent of the territory in which it chooses to complete, potentially provides a CLEC the opportunity to take advantage of an ILEC or RLEC's rates. As previously explained in the testimony offered on behalf of a number of ILECs, RLECs and CLECs in Commission Docket TC 10-014, an ILEC's tariffed rates are based upon an exceedingly detailed accounting of its costs as presented through a cost study mandated by the FCC. Simply allowing any and every CLEC to avail itself of the unfettered option to use an ILEC or RLEC's rate without imposing the same requirements upon the CLEC for the provision of its service is wrong and unsupportable.

The FCC has recognized a "Rural Exemption" in the context of CLECs. See Commission Docket TC 10-014, In the Matter of the Investigation of Pricing Regulation for Switched Access Services Provided by Competitive Local Exchange Carriers, Testimony of Dan Davis on behalf of SDTA dated April 1, 2010, p. 8, lines 6-18. As explained by Mr. Davis, the distinction was drawn in order to "give some recognition to the different costs experienced by rural CLECs competing in truly rural, high-cost areas versus those that have operations in the lower-cost areas of larger price cap regulated incumbent carriers." Id. at lines 7-10. As outlined in Mr. Davis' testimony, the FCC

further recognized that a CLEC may be entitled to a higher rate so long as it provides service in an area of fewer than 50,000 inhabitants. The simple fact that there are only two cities in South Dakota which have a population of greater than 50,000 makes it impractical to impose an identical framework in this state. However, it is instructive and the same rationale, with modifications, can be implemented here. The Proposed Rules account for the unique geographic and population characteristics of South Dakota by defining its rate demarcation according to not only population, but also line count.

All CLECs are not created equal. If they wish to be treated equally, then they need to provide service in the exact same manner as the ILEC in the territory in which they choose to compete. However, until all CLECs do exactly so, the simpler proposal advocated by a number of the parties in this docket is not necessarily better as it fails to account for the distinctions between CLECs in South Dakota, which distinctions are readily apparent. In keeping with the intent of the May 4, 2010 Commission Order approving price regulation for CLECs, the Proposed Rules are consistent with the key rural safeguard concept inherent in state and federal law. Accordingly, SSTELECOM respectfully requests that the Commission approve the Proposed Rules in their current form and file them with the Legislative Research Council for further action.

Dated this 28th day of June, 2010.

Respectfully Submitted,

CUTLER & DONAHOE, LLP Attorneys at Law

Ryan Jl Taylor

Meredith A. Moore

100 N. Phillips Avenue, 9th Floor

PO Box 1400

Sioux Falls, SD 57101-1400

Attorneys for SSTELECOM, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served electronically on the 28th day of June, 2010, upon the following:

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen
Executive Director
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 East Capitol
Pierre, SD 57501
patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us
Telephone: 605-773-3201

Ms. Karen E. Cremer
Staff Attorney
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 East Capitol
Pierre, SD 57501
karen.cremer@state.sd.us
Telephone: 605-773-3201

Ms. Terri Labrie Baker
Staff Analyst
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 East Capitol
Pierre, SD 57501
terri.labriebaker@state.sd.us
Telephone: 605-773-3201

Mr. William M. Van Camp Olinger, Lovald, McCahren & Reimers, PC PO Box 66 Pierre, SD 57501-0066 <u>bvancamp@olingerlaw.net</u> Telephone: 605-224-8851

Mr. Brett M. Koenecke
May Adam Gerdes & Thompson, LLP
PO Box 160
Pierre, SD 57501-0160
koenecke@magt.com
Telephone: 605-224-8803

Mr. Christopher Madsen Boyce Greenfield Pashby & Welk, LLP PO Box 5015 Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015 Mr. Richard B. Severy
Assistant General Counsel
Verizon
201 Spear Street, 9th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
richard.b.severy@verizonbusiness.com
Telephone: 415-228-1121

Mr. Thomas F. Dixon Assistant General Counsel Verizon 707 17th Street, #4000 Denver, CO 80202 thomas.f.dixon@verizon.com Telephone: 303-390-6206

Mr. David Gerdes May, Adam Gerdes & Thompson, LLP PO Box 160 Pierre, SD 57501-0160 dag@magt.com Telephone: 605-224-8803

Mr. James M. Cremer Bantz, Gosch & Cremer, LLP PO Box 970 Aberdeen, SD 57402-0970 jcremer@bantzlaw.com Telephone: 605-225-2232

Mr. Thomas J. Welk Boyce Greenfield Pashby & Welk, LLP PO Box 5015 Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015 tjwelk@bgpw.com Telephone: 605-336-2424

Mr. George Baker Thomson, Jr.
Qwest Corporation
1801 California Street, Suite 1000
Denver, CO 80202
george.thomson@qwest.com
Telephone: 303-383-6645

<u>cwmadsen@bgpw.com</u> Telephone: 605-336-2424

Mr. Jeffrey D. Larson Larson & Nipe PO Box 277 Woonsocket, SD 57385 idlarson@santel.net

Telephone: 605-796-4245

Mr. Talbot Wieczorek Gunderson Palmer Nelson & Ashmore LLP PO Box 8045 Rapid City, SD 57709 tjw@gpnalaw.com Telephone: 605-342-1078

Ms. Margo D. Northrup
Riter Rogers Wattier & Northrup LLP
PO Box 280
Pierre, SD 57501-0280
m.northrup@riterlaw.com
Telephone: 605-224-5825

Mr. Richard D. Coit SDTA PO Box 57 Pierre, SD 57501 richcoit@sdtaonline.com Telephone: 605-224-7629

Ms. Darla Pollman Rogers Riter Rogers Wattier & Northrup LLP PO Box 280 Pierre, SD 57501-0280 dprogers@riterlaw.com Telephone: 605-224-5825

One of the attorneys for SSTELECOM, Inc.