BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

)

)

))

IN RE: MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY

DOCKET NO. NG14-____

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DEBRA L. KUTSUNIS 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.

- A. Debra L. Kutsunis. MidAmerican Energy Company ("MidAmerican"), 106
 East Second Street, Davenport, Iowa 52801.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by MidAmerican as manager, regulated pricing.
- 6 Q. Please describe your education and business experience.
- 7 A. I am a graduate of Augustana College in Rock Island, Illinois where I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Accounting and Business Administration in 1979. 8 I have been employed at MidAmerican or a predecessor company, Iowa-Illinois 9 Gas and Electric Company ("Iowa-Illinois"), since August 1983. Since August 10 2002 I have been manager, regulated pricing, where I direct activities related to 11 gas and electric pricing, tariff filings and rate cases. From January 1998 through 12 July 2002 I held the positions of regulatory analyst and manager, customer 13 choice initiatives in MidAmerican's unregulated retail services division. Prior 14 15 to January 1998, I held various analyst and senior analyst positions in the rates, gas supply, customer service and accounting departments. Prior to joining 16 Iowa-Illinois, I was employed in public accounting. 17
- Q. Have you testified before the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission or
 other regulatory bodies previously?
- A. Yes, I have testified before the Iowa Utilities Board and the Illinois Commerce
 Commission in various rate case and energy efficiency proceedings.
- 22 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor MidAmerican's proposed tariffs. I
 also support MidAmerican's cash working capital calculations and rate case
 expenses.

- 26 Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the filing?
- A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit DLK 1.1, which includes the following schedules:
 - Schedule A: MidAmerican Energy Company Gas Tariff Schedule No. 2
- Schedule B: Cash Working Capital
- 30 Schedule C: Rate Case Expense

28

31 Q. Please describe the final tariff changes being proposed.

A. The proposed final tariff is a complete revision of the existing South Dakota gas 32 tariff. MidAmerican has not fully revised its tariff terms and conditions in any 33 gas rate case since its formation in 1995, so the non-pricing portion of the tariff 34 has remained substantially unchanged since then. MidAmerican is proposing to 35 cancel its entire gas rate schedule entitled 'TARIFF SCHEDULES 36 APPLICABLE GAS 37 TO SERVICE IN SOUTH DAKOTA OF MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY P. O. BOX 778 SIOUX CITY 38 IOWA' ("Current Gas Tariff") and replace it with a new MidAmerican Energy 39 40 Company South Dakota Gas Tariff Schedule No. 2 ("Tariff No. 2").

41 Q. Please describe the changes being proposed to the Current Gas Tariff.

A. The proposed Tariff No. 2 maintains the various sections for gas rate schedules
and regulations as required by South Dakota Administrative Rules Section
20:10:13:04 but includes additional subsections. MidAmerican is proposing
greater detail in the rules and regulations section by providing more extensive

| 46 | | definitions and revised language to provide additional clarity. These changes |
|----|----|---|
| 47 | | reflect input from employees in MidAmerican's customer service and delivery |
| 48 | | organizations who work with customers on a daily basis. These changes will |
| 49 | | make it easier for customers, employees and regulators to use MidAmerican's |
| 50 | | tariff. |
| 51 | Q. | How are the proposed tariffs organized? |
| 52 | A. | As required by South Dakota rules, the tariffs are organized in six sections: |
| 53 | | 1. Table of Contents |
| 54 | | 2. Preliminary Statement |
| 55 | | 3. Gas Rate Schedules |
| 56 | | 4. Contracts with Deviations |
| 57 | | 5. Rules and Regulations |
| 58 | | 6. Sample Forms |
| 59 | Q. | What are the primary elements of the Gas Rate Schedules section of the |
| 60 | | tariff? |
| 61 | A. | This is the section of the tariff that provides the rate schedules, adjustment |
| 62 | | clauses and riders, including the applicable rates and charges. The rate |
| 63 | | schedules, adjustment clauses and riders are identified with alphabetic |
| 64 | | designations. The gas supply options offered include system gas service, Rider |
| 65 | | S, which is available to all customers. Additional options available to customers |
| 66 | | are the transportation of customer-owned gas, Rider T, and Monthly Metered |
| 67 | | Transportation Service, Rate MMT. The following transportation balancing |
| 68 | | services are also provided: Rider TDB – Daily Balancing of Customer-Owned |

Volumes, Rider TDBO – Transportation Optional Balancing Services and Rider
 TGB – Transportation Group Balancing Services. MidAmerican witness Tom
 Gesell provides additional testimony regarding changes to MidAmerican's
 transportation service tariffs.

73

O.

Please describe the revised rate schedules.

74 A. MidAmerican is proposing to define its rates based on size. In addition, a separate meter charge is proposed based on the size of meter utilized by the 75 customer. A two-step volumetric charge is proposed for the small volume sales 76 service and transportation rates. Single step volumetric charges are proposed for 77 the medium and large sales service and transportation rates. These rates are 78 based on the cost of service study and rate design sponsored by MidAmerican 79 witness Charles Rea. MidAmerican is proposing to discontinue seasonal rates 80 SSS and LSS. Upon review of the seasonal customers, MidAmerican found that 81 82 a number of customers on the seasonal rate were not really seasonal in nature but rather firm, year-round customers. Currently there are only 39 customers 83 taking service under these rates and those customers will not be adversely 84 85 affected by transferring to other rates. In addition, MidAmerican is proposing to discontinue Firm Supply Standby Service (FSS) because there have been no 86 87 customers on this rate for several years. MidAmerican is also proposing to combine rates SVI and LVI into a single interruptible rate because there are 88 currently only 11 customers on interruptible rates with two of those customers 89 90 already indicating a desire to move to firm service prior to the start of the 91 heating season. MidAmerican is modeling the single interruptible rate on the

prior large interruptible rate. The interruption of a larger customer is more
beneficial to the operation of MidAmerican's system during peak periods than
the interruption of smaller customers. The interruptible rate being proposed
recognizes the greater system benefit of larger interruptible customers.

As described in MidAmerican witness Charles Rea's testimony, the same charges will apply to all customers with average daily usage under 500 therms taking sales service, regardless of the customer's class. Just as in the Current Gas Tariff, there will be no separate rate designations assigned to small non-residential rates and residential rates. Rate SV – Small Volume Service will be the designation for both.

102MidAmerican will also continue to offer contract service under Rate103CPS.

104 Q. Please describe the adjustment clauses and riders contained in the Gas 105 Rate Schedule section of the tariff.

A. In addition to the balancing riders listed above, the adjustment clauses and
 riders include the Cost of Purchased Gas Adjustment, Gas Tax Adjustment, Btu
 Adjustment, Incremental Expansion Surcharge Adjustment, Gas Energy
 Efficiency Cost Recovery Adjustment, Pipeline Demand Rider and Weekend
 Service Rider. These are the same adjustment clauses and riders included in
 MidAmerican's Current Gas Tariff, without modification.

Q. Are you proposing to add or remove any riders in the Gas Rate Schedule section of the tariff?

A. Yes, MidAmerican is proposing to add Rider EF – Excess Facilities. This rider
provides a mechanism for the Company to recover the costs incurred when
customers request or require facilities in excess of a normal installation.
MidAmerican is also proposing to remove Rider No. 4 Pipeline Transition
Rider (PTR). The rate for this rider has been set at \$0.00 since November 1998
and it is no longer necessary.

- Q. Do the rate schedules proposed in the Gas Rate Schedules section of the
 tariff reflect the revised rates requested in this case?
- A. Yes, the proposed rate schedules include the revised rates to implement the
 revenue requirement described by MidAmerican witness Rick Tunning and the
 rate design described by MidAmerican witness Charles Rea in this case.

Q. What are the primary elements of the Rules and Regulations section of the tariff?

127 A. Section 5, Rules and Regulations, includes the following subsections:

- 128 1. Gas Service Policies
- 129 2. Customer Service Policies
- 130 3. Technical and Operational Requirements
- 131 4. Expansion of Gas Distribution System
- 132 5. Existing System Modifications
- 1336. Miscellaneous Fees and Charges
- 134 Q. Please describe the Gas Service Policies subsection.

A. The Gas Service Policies provide definitions of terms used throughout the tariff
 and identify general provisions and requirements and limitations of liability.
 They also include information about service options, metering and billing.

138 Q. Please provide an overview of the Customer Service Policies subsection.

Α. The Customer Service Policies provide information about the policies that 139 140 govern the Company's interaction with customers. This subsection includes information about application for service, bill payment options, credit 141 requirements, disconnection and reconnection of service, and assignment of 142 143 rates. This subsection also includes additional information about deferred payments and preferred due dates. The new subsection provides significantly 144 more detail than the Current Gas Tariff so as to be more informative to the 145 reader. 146

147 Q. Please describe the Technical and Operational Requirements subsection.

A. This subsection of the tariff describes delivery pressure, measurement of gas and
 facilities provided by the Company. This subsection also describes customer
 responsibilities, including One-Call notification.

151 Q. Please describe the Expansion of Gas Distribution System subsection.

A. This subsection of the tariff defines Company and customer obligations for expansion of the gas distribution system. The subsection describes the three times base revenue credit available to offset construction costs for gas main extensions. Customers will pay a refundable advance equal to any gas main construction costs in excess of their revenue credit. This subsection also defines the free footage allowance for service lines. Non-residential customers and

residential customers that use natural gas as their primary heat source receive 158 100 feet of plastic or 50 feet of steel service free of charge with any excess 159 footage paid as a nonrefundable contribution. However, the free footage 160 allowance may be extended for non-residential or large residential customers 161 when sufficient revenue credit is available to offset the additional footage. The 162 subsection also describes additional surety required for extensive plant 163 additions greater than \$500,000. This tariff provides for temporary service, but 164 clarifies that free extensions are not available for temporary services or 165 166 intermittent use.

167 Q. Are the provisions in the proposed Expansion of Gas Distribution System

168 subsection different than the provisions of the Current Gas Tariff?

| | Current Gas Tariff | Proposed Tariff |
|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Residential Main | Customers that use natural | Customers receive a three |
| Extensions | gas as the primary heat | times base revenue credit to |
| | source receive up to 100 | offset construction costs with |
| | feet free w/excess paid as | any excess construction costs |
| | refundable advance. | paid as a refundable |
| | | advance. |
| Non-Residential | Customers may receive gas | Customers receive a three |
| Main Extensions | main without charge where | times base revenue credit to |
| | the Company deems the | offset construction costs with |
| | anticipated revenue from the | any excess construction costs |
| | customer is sufficient to | paid as a refundable |
| | justify the main extension | advance. |
| | according to an economic | |
| | feasibility study. | |
| Refund Period | | |
| for Refundable | 5 years | 10 years |
| Advance | | |
| Residential | Customer must use natural | Customer must use natural |
| Service Lines | gas for both space heating | gas as primary heat source to |
| | and water heating to receive | receive 100 feet of free |
| | 100 feet of free service line, | service, with excess paid as a |

169 A. Yes, the main differences are outlined below.

| | with excess paid as a | nonrefundable contribution. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | nonrefundable contribution. | |
| Non-Residential | Customers may receive a | Customer receives 100 feet |
| Service Lines | gas service line at no charge | of free service, with excess |
| | when the anticipated | paid as a nonrefundable |
| | revenues are sufficient to | contribution. However, the |
| | warrant such installation | free footage allowance may |
| | according to an economic | be extended when sufficient |
| | feasibility study or in other | revenue credit is available to |
| | cases where the Company | offset the additional footage. |
| | determines the conditions | |
| | justify such installation. | |

170

171 Q. Please describe the major elements of the Existing System Modifications

172 **portion of the tariff.**

A. This portion of the tariff provides information about relocations or other
modifications to facilities. The tariff requires customers to pay for such
relocations or modifications when caused by the customer.

Q. What is provided in the Miscellaneous Fees and Charges portion of the tariff?

A. This section of the tariff provides a single location for other charges or fees applicable to multiple rate schedules. Examples of such charges are returned check charges and the cost of meter testing. Placing all additional charges in a single section of the tariff will make it easier for customers to identify all charges which may apply to them.

183 Q. Is MidAmerican proposing changes to any Miscellaneous Fees and 184 Charges in this case?

A. Yes. MidAmerican is proposing to charge time and materials for turn-on and
turn-off service outside of normal working hours as well as for reconnections

| 187 | | after disconnections. Turn-on and turn-off service will continue to be provided |
|-----|----|---|
| 188 | | at no charge during normal working hours. |
| 189 | Q. | Are MidAmerican's proposed and Current Gas Tariffs presented and |
| 190 | | compared elsewhere in this filing? |
| 191 | A. | Yes, MidAmerican's proposed tariff sheets are included as Exhibit DLK 1.1, |
| 192 | | Schedule A. In addition, MidAmerican will supplement this filing with a cross- |
| 193 | | reference comparison of MidAmerican's current and proposed tariffs within 30 |
| 194 | | days of this rate filing. |
| 195 | | Cash Working Capital |
| 196 | Q. | What cash working capital requirements are you supporting in your |
| 197 | | testimony? |
| 198 | A. | I am supporting the cash working capital requirements shown on Exhibit DLK |
| 199 | | 1.1, Schedule B. |
| 200 | Q. | Please define cash working capital. |
| 201 | A. | Cash working capital is generally the amount of day-to-day capital required to |
| 202 | | operate a business. Cash working capital is required to cover the time lag |
| 203 | | between the expenditure of cash in the delivery of services and the collection of |
| 204 | | revenues from the sale of such services. |
| 205 | Q. | How is the level of cash working capital to be included in rate base |
| 206 | | determined? |
| 207 | A. | The level of cash working capital needed is determined by comparing the |
| 208 | | amount and timing of payments of costs MidAmerican must make in order to |
| 209 | | provide gas service to its customers with the amount and timing of the receipt |
| | | |

210 of revenue from customers for that service. The amounts of costs and revenues 211 are obtained from MidAmerican's accounting and customer service systems.

MidAmerican Statement F, Schedule F-3, Page 1 shows the calculation of cash working capital needs. In summary, the difference, measured in days, between the revenue lag and the expense lead, is multiplied by the total daily expense to determine the cash working capital amount.

216 Q. What are lag days and lead days?

A. Lag days refers to the time period between the rendering of service to a customer and the payment by the customer for that service. The revenue lag days used will be consistent across all items needed to provide gas service. Lead days refers to the time period between MidAmerican's acquisition of labor, materials, services and all other costs used to provide gas service and the payment for those costs by the Company.

Q. In this proceeding, what is the number of revenue lag days you propose to use?

A. The number of revenue lag days MidAmerican proposes to use in this proceeding is 35.93 days. The bases for the components of these revenue lag days are explained and summarized in Schedule F-3 Workpapers.

228 Q. Please explain how you determined a collection period of 20.0 days.

A. In the Settlement Stipulation of Docket No. NG-95-006, the Company and
Commission staff agreed to the use of a 20-day pay lag cut off since Section V,
Sheet No. 19 of the Company's Current Gas Tariff filed with the Commission
states that bills will be due twenty (20) days after the mailing date. Since a

calculation of the collection period made by the Company utilizing actual data supports an amount greater than the twenty days used, the Company has made a pro forma adjustment to the test period income statement to remove late payment penalties. This adjustment, supported by Company witness Tunning, corresponds to the use of the 20-day pay lag cut off which does not reflect cost of service for payments made after the 20-day period. Such treatment is consistent with the position taken by Commission Staff in prior rate cases.

240 Q. What is the number of lead days you are proposing for expense items?

- A. I am proposing 37.99 lead days. The bases for the lead days for each expense
 item are explained in Schedule F-3 Workpapers.
- Q. Is the method you have used in performing the cash working capital
 calculation consistent with that used in your most recent South Dakota
 case?
- A. Yes. The methodology described above is consistent with that used in
 MidAmerican's gas cases Docket Nos. NG95-006, NG98-011, NG01-10, and
 NG04-001.
- Q. What is the amount of cash working capital that is included in the rate
 base shown in MidAmerican Schedule F-3 and supported in the testimony
 of MidAmerican witness Mary Jo Anderson?
- A. The cash working capital included in rate base is negative \$536,000 and the advance tax collection is negative \$85,000.

Rate Case Expense

254 Q. Please describe MidAmerican's proposed rate case expense adjustment.

A. This adjustment increases test year operating expenses for the estimated cost associated with litigating this rate case. Prior to the conclusion of the case, this estimate will be updated with actual values where available. The adjustment amortizes such costs over a five-year period. MidAmerican witness Tunning includes a pro forma adjustment for rate case expense. Supporting information for rate case expense is attached as Exhibit DLK 1.1, Schedule C.

Q. Why are these costs amortized over five years for purposes of this adjustment?

A. Since these costs are nonrecurring, it would not be equitable to include the entire amount in test year results. However, some recovery of these costs is appropriate since they were incurred specifically on behalf of MidAmerican's South Dakota gas customers. Five years was used as a reasonable approximation of the time between rate filings.

268 Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?

A. Yes, it does.