### DAPL WEEKLY COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORT

Start Date:	June 9, 2016	End Date:	June 15, 2016	
Preparer:	Ryan Coleman	Title:	Compliance Monitor	
Administrative Ho	urs:19	Monitoring	Hours: 53.5	

# I. CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SUMMARY

**Spread:** Spreads 4, 5, & 6

Comments:

Lowering-in, backfilling, and rough clean-up commenced along Spreads 4 and 5 during this reporting period. General construction activities are ongoing. It is anticipated that the grade crews for Spread 6 will enter Campbell County by 6/18/16. See Table 2 for details regarding construction progress. Please note that the total footages for Spreads 4 and 6 in Table 2 were adjusted to only show construction progress within South Dakota.

# II. COMPLIANCE MONITORING SUMMARY

#### Table 1: Compliance Summary

THIS WEEK			TO-DATE							
SPREAD	Communication	Acceptable	Problem Area	Non-Compliance	Violation	Communication	Acceptable	Problem Area	Non-Compliance	Violation
4		5		1		6	29	1	4	
5		6	1			7	17	2	1	
6										

# **III.** Construction Progress

#### Table 2: Construction Summary Through June 15, 2016

SPREAI	D 4	TOTAL (ft)	358,079*	
CREW	FEET THIS WEEK	FEET COMPLETED	% COMPLETE	
Clearing	51,291	190,500	53.20%	
Grading	53,295	165,795	46.30%	
Ditching	28,334	32,402	9.05%	
Stringing	50,623	107,895	30.13%	
Bend/ Set Up	42,095	80,195	22.40%	
Mainline Welding	23,875	39,195	10.95%	
Coating	7,105	18,700	5.22%	
Lowering-In/Backfill	6,855	6,855	1.91%	
Clean-Up	0	0	0.00%	
Hydrotest	0	0	0.00%	
Final Tie-In	0	0	0.00%	
SPREAI	D 5	TOTAL (ft)	656,175	
CREW	FEET THIS WEEK	FEET COMPLETED	% COMPLETE	
Clearing	92,751	273,975	41.75%	
Grading	115,516	232,814	35.48%	
Ditching	17,200	36,500	5.56%	
Stringing	102,258	153,358	23.37%	
Bend/ Set Up	67,700	109,700	16.72%	
Mainline Welding	56,200	82,000	12.50%	
Coating	43,200	57,800	8.81%	
Lowering-In/Backfill	28,600	28,600	4.36%	
Clean-Up	0	0	0.00%	
Hydrotest	0	0	0.00%	
Final Tie-In	0	0	0.00%	
		· · ·		
SPREAI	D 6	TOTAL (ft)	435,731*	
CREW	FEET THIS WEEK	FEET COMPLETED	% COMPLETE	
Clearing	0	0	0.00%	
Grading	0	0	0.00%	
Ditching	0	0	0.00%	
Stringing	0	0	0.00%	
Bend/ Set Up	0	0	0.00%	
Mainline Welding	0	0	0.00%	
Coating	0	0	0.00%	
Lowering-In/Backfill	0	0	0.00%	
Clean-Up	0	0	0.00%	
Hydrotest	0	0	0.00%	
Final Tie-In	0	0	0.00%	

\*Denotes construction progress in South Dakota only

# IV. Problem Area, Non-Compliance, and Violation Tracking

A total of <u>1</u> problem area was recorded during this reporting period (See Tables 1 and 3).

A total of <u>1</u> non-compliance incident was recorded during this reporting period (See Tables 1 and 3).

A total of  $\underline{0}$  violations were recorded during this reporting period (See Tables 1 and 3).

#### **Table 3: Weekly Compliance Tracking Summary**

TYPE OF COMPLAINT(S)	SPREAD	DESCRIPTION & RESOLUTION
Problem Area	5	Tracked equipment crossed a paved road with insufficient protection resulting in minor damage to the roadway. The contractor was notified that all paved road crossings must use adequate protection measures to prevent damage. Repairs are required and pending at this time.
Non- Compliance	4	An operator tracked and excavated off the right-of-way while preparing the trench and bell hole for a road bore. Construction activities were shut down and the landowner was notified. No crop damage occurred and a resolution to the incident is ongoing at this time.

# V. Agency Correspondence Received

There were no agency correspondences received during this reporting period.

# VI. Landowner Complaint Resolution Tracking

A total of <u>4</u> landowner complaints were recorded during this reporting period (See Table 4).

# Table 4: Landowner Complaint Resolution Summary

SPREAD	NUMBER OF COMPLAINT(S)	REASON FOR COMPLAINT(S)	RESOLUTION
		Topsoil Rutting	The landowner was assured that decompaction of topsoil would be conducted to his satisfaction and in accordance with DAPL's Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan.
4	4 3	Use of Haul Roads	The contractor agreed not to use haul roads previously authorized for access in addition to the grading and dust control of other roads within close proximity.
		Inadequate Topsoil Segregation	All topsoil was segregated to the landowner's satisfaction.
5	1	Escape of Livestock	All livestock was sorted and returned to property. Fences and gates were checked and modified as needed to prevent future occurrences.
6	0	N/A	N/A