		1	INDEX	1030
		2	Witnesses	<u>Page</u>
		_		, and the second
		3	Robert Jones Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	39
		4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	44
	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION		Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	64
	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	64
		6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moeckly Cross-Examination by Ms. Anderson	81 87
	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF	•	Redirect Examination by Mr. Koenecke	116
	TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, LP FOR A HP07-001	7	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	117
	PERMIT UNDER THE SOUTH DAKOTA ENERGY CONVERSION AND TRANSMISSION FACILITY ACT	8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	119
	TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE PIPELINE PROJECT	°	Michael Koski	
		9	Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	120
	Transcript of Proceedings December 7, 2007	40	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	129
	Volume V, Pages 1,028-1,256	10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	133 139
		11	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	145
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, DUSTY JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN		Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	145
	GARY HANSON, VICE CHAIRMAN STEVE KOLBECK, COMMISSIONER	12	Scott Ellis	
		13	Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	147
	COMMISSION STAFF John J. Smith, Commission Counsel		Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	150
	Kara Semmler, Staff Attorney Karen Cremer, Staff Attorney	14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	163
		15	Cross-Examination by Ms. Anderson Redirect Examination by Mr. Koenecke	172 182
	APPEARANCES Brett M. Koenecke and David A. Gerdes,	.	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	184
	May, Adam, Gerdes & Thompson,	16	•	
	P.O. Box 160, Pierre, South Dakota 57501,	17	L.A. "Buster" Gray	104
	appearing on behalf of the Applicant;	''	Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	186 195
	James P. White,	18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	213
	Sidley Austin, LLP, 1501 K Street Northwest,	40	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	245
	Washington, D.C. 20005, appearing on behalf of the Applicant;	19	Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	259 260
		20	Recross-Examination by Wil. Hollin	200
	Jennifer Scott, TransCanada,		Meera Kothari	
	450 - 1st Street S.W., Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 5H1,	21	Direct Examination by Mr. White	266
	appearing on behalf of the Applicant;	22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	282 297
	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR		Cross-Examination by Mr. Miller	321
		23	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	324
		24	Redirect Examination by Mr. White	350 356
		24	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	359
		25	•	
				1031
4	1029	1	Witnesses	Page 1031
1 APPEA	RANCES (Continued)	_		Ü
_		2	Heidi Tillquist Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	361
	d A. Rasmussen and Rodrick L. Tobin,	3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	390
	Siegel, Barnett & Schutz,		Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	423
_	P.O. Box 490,	4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Miller	457 459
_	Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402,	5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	459
4 a	appearing on behalf of WEB Water;		Brian Thomas	
_		6	Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	491 500
	Hohn,	7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	526
	appearing pro se;	_	Cross-Examination by Mr. Miller	559
6		8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Koenecke Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	581 584
	anne Giedd, Diane Best, and Richard M. Williams,	9	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Koenecke	589
	South Dakota Attorney General's Office,		•	
_	301 East Highway 14,	10	Lillian Anderson Direct Examination	591
8 F	Pierre, South Dakota 57501;	11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	609
1 _			Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	620
	ert K. Sahr,	12	Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	639
	East River Electric Power Cooperative,	13	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Further Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	642 644
10 F	P.O. Box 227,		· ·	
	Madison, SD 57042,	14	James O. Edwards	
11 a	appearing on behalf of East River.	15	Direct Examination by Mr. Sahr Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh	645 652
			Redirect Examination by Mr. Sahr	663
12 = = =		16	Richard Hastings	
l		17	Direct Examination	664
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled		Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	672
	, at the South Dakota State Capitol, Room 412, Pierre,	18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	673
	Dakota, on the 7th day of December 2007, commencing at	19	Chris Hastings	
16 8:30 a	.m.		Direct Examination	683
17		20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	689
18		21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	690
19			David Wade	
20		22	Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	692 700
21		23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Roenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	700
22			Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	709
23		24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh	713
24		25	Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	724
25				

1	Witnesses	<u>Page</u>	1 <u>Witnesses</u>	<u>Page</u>
2 3 4	John Sieh Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Ben Grote	7 2 7 7 3 9	Perry Rahn Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. White Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1076 1080 1083
5 6	Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Gene Cassels	7 4 3 7 5 1	 Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Derric Iles Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen 	1087
7 8	Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Brenda Winkler	7 5 2 7 5 6 7 6 1	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Bill Markley	1102 1106 1107
9 10 11 12	Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	780 786 791 796 817 819 822	9 Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen 10 Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke 11 Redirect Examination by Ms. Giedd Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn 12 Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1 1 0 9 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 7 1 1 3 8 1 1 3 8 1 1 4 0
13 14 15 16 17	Edward Miller Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. White Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Recross-Examination by Mr. White Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	8 2 7 8 3 5 8 4 7 8 5 6 8 6 0 8 7 8 8 8 2	13 Kim McIntosh Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd 14 Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke 16 Scott Anderson 17 Direct Examination	1142 1159 1163 1165 1169
17 18 19	Tim Hofer Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	8 8 9 8 9 0	17 Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke 18 Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn 19 Kelli Buscher	1 1 7 1 1 1 7 5 1 1 7 8
20 21	Delwin Hofer Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Pam Hofer	9 0 1 9 0 7	Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1 1 8 4 1 1 9 1 1 1 9 2 1 1 9 6
22 23 24	Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	912 919 922	22 Mark Mayer Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd 23 Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn 24 Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1197 1201 1202 1208
25		4000	25	4005
1	Witnesses	1033	1 With a second	1035
•	Withesses	<u>Page</u>	1 <u>Witnesses</u>	<u>Page</u>
2 3 4	George Piper Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh	927 936 938 941	2 Brian Walsh Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd 3 Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen 4 Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	Page 1210 1213 1215 1216
2	George Piper Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	927 936 938	2 Brian Walsh Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd 3 Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	1 2 1 0 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	George Piper Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh Edward Goss Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	9 2 7 9 3 6 9 3 8 9 4 1	2 Brian Walsh Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn 5 Kent Moeckly Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn 7 Curt Hohn Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Redirect Examination by Mr. Rasmusser Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmusser	1210 1213 1215 1216 1222 1245 1252 1272 1337 1370
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	George Piper Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh Edward Goss Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Mike Sibson Direct Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	9 2 7 9 3 6 9 3 8 9 4 1 9 4 4 9 7 1 9 7 1 9 7 4 9 8 0 9 9 1 9 9 5 9 9 8	2 Brian Walsh Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd 3 Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen 4 Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn 5 Kent Moeckly Direct Examination 6 Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn 7 Curt Hohn 8 Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	1210 1213 1215 1216 1222 1245 1252 1272 1337 1370 1403 1406
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	George Piper Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh Edward Goss Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Mike Sibson Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Semmler Paige Hoskinson Olson Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. White John Kirk Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	9 2 7 9 3 6 9 3 8 9 4 1 9 4 4 9 7 1 9 7 1 9 7 1 9 7 4 9 8 0 9 9 1 9 9 5 9 9 8 1 0 0 0	2 Brian Walsh	1210 1213 1215 1216 1222 1245 1252 1272 1337 1370 1403 1406 1410 1421 1424 1437 1439 1440
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	George Piper Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh Edward Goss Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Mike Sibson Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Roenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. White Paige Hoskinson Olson Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. White John Kirk Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	9 2 7 9 3 6 9 3 8 9 4 1 9 4 4 9 7 1 9 7 1 9 7 4 9 8 0 9 9 1 9 9 5 9 9 8 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 9 1 0 1 2 1 0 1 4	Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Kent Moeckly Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Curt Hohn Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Redirect Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn David Schramm Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Redirect Examination by Mr. Hohn Redirect Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Redirect Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	1210 1213 1215 1216 1222 1245 1252 1272 1337 1370 1403 1406 1410 1421 1424 1437 1439 1440
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	George Piper Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Sieh Edward Goss Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Mike Sibson Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Roenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. White John Kirk Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	9 2 7 9 3 6 9 3 8 9 4 1 9 4 4 9 7 1 9 7 1 9 7 4 9 8 0 9 9 1 9 9 5 9 9 8 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 9 1 0 1 2 1 0 1 4 1 0 1 6 1 0 1 9 1 0 2 2 1 0 4 3 1 0 4 8 1 0 5 0 1 0 5 6	Direct Examination by Ms. Giedd Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Kent Moeckly Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Curt Hohn Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Redirect Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmusser Recross-Examination by Mr. Rasmusser Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn David Schramm Direct Examination by Mr. Rasmussen Cross-Examination by Mr. Rasmussen	1210 1213 1215 1216 1222 1245 1252 1272 1337 1406 1410 1403 1406 1410 1421 1424 1437 14437 14439 1440 1451 1454 1451 1454 1465 1466 1471 1476 1479 1481 1490 1491

		1036				1038
1	Witnesses	Page	1	WFB	Exhibits	Received
	<u>Without to a contract to a co</u>	<u>. ago</u>			<u> Extribito</u>	110001100
2	Brvan Murdock		2	12	Newspaper articles	1274
_	Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler	1511		13	White pipe sample	1289
3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1516	3	14	Black pipe sample	1289
٥			٦	15		
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	1523 1538	4	16	PowerPoint presentation Day County geology information	1313 1315
-	Cross-Examination by Mr. White	1540	-	17	Marshall County geology information	1315
5	Recross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1542	5	18	Clark County geology information	1315
٦	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1542	3	19	Study	1324
6	Recross-Examination by Mr. White	1556	6	20	SD Geological Survey Map	1325
١	Recross-Examination by Wil. Willte	1330	٠	21	"Scientific Reviews" Article	1325
7	Dan Hannan		7	22	Steel Samples	1325
ı '	Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler	1557	•	22	Steer Samples	
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. White	1563	8	Ando	erson Exhibits	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1566		Allue	ETSON EXHIBITS	
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1577	9	1	Photo	618
, ,	Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	1589	,	1 A	Photo	618
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. White	1590	10	2	Map of Section 14 T125N R59W	618
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1591	10	3	Map of 125N 59W1/4	618
11	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1593	11	4	Map of Section 14 T125N R59W	618
١	Recross-Examination by Wit. Homi	1373		5	Map of Ray Anderson Farm	618
12	John Muehlhausen		12	6	Map of Section 14 T125N R59W	618
'-	Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler	1596	12	7	Map of Section 14 T125N R59W	618
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1608	13	8	Map of Anderson property	618
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1615	13	9	Map of Anderson property	618
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1627	14	10	Elevation Map	618
'-	Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	1647		11	Crow Creek Drainage Map	618
15	Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1648	15	12	TransCanada Keystone's Pipeline Project	618
'3	Recross-Examination by Mr. Roenecke Recross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1650	13	13	Approximate location of pipeline	618
16	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1653	16	13A	Photo	618
١.٠	Recross-Examination by Wil. HUIIII	1000	1.0	13A	Photo	618
17	Tom Janssen		17	13C	Photo	618
l	Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler	1657	l	13D	Photo	618
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1663	18	13E	Ron Schaeffer	618
١.٠	Cross-Examination by Mr. Robin	1665	.5	13F	Corn Production Guide	618
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1669	19	13F	Soybean Growth & Mngmt. Quick Guide	618
'3	Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	1676	13	14	Page 77 - Betty Oliver comments	618
20	Recross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1677	20	14A	Photo	618
20	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1678	20	14B	Photo	618
21	Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler		21	14C	Photo	618
	Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1681		14D	Page 37 - Norbert Townsend comments	618
22	Recross Examination by Ms. Seminier	1001	22	14E		618
	L.A. "Buster" Gray			–	Leak"	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1687	23	15	Anderson farm	618
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tobin	1696		16	Article "Fargo Intervenes in Pipeline	618
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hohn	1697	24		Route"	
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1703		16A	Article "Study Engineers Say Keystone	618
25			25		Threatens Fargo Water"	
	Annilonatio Euclibia	1037	4	۸۱ -	Fubility	1039
1	Applicant's Exhibits	Received	1	Ande	erson Exhibits	Received
_	TO 4 A 11 11 A 11 1 A B	0.4	_	4 7		
2	TC-1 Application & attached Exh. A-D	36	2	17	Article "Study Finds Carcinogens in	618
	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony	39			Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project"	
	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony	3 9 3 9	3		Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas	618 618
3	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal	39 39 39	3	17A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study"	618
	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony	39 39 39 39		17A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the	
3	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony	39 39 39 39 39	3	17A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of	618
3	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony	39 39 39 39 39 39	3	17A 17B	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan"	618
3 4 5	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5R Gray Rebuttal Testimony	39 39 39 39 39 39	3 4 5	17A 17B 17C	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13"	618 618
3	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	3	17A 17B 17C 18	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well	618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5R Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	3 4 5 6	17A 17B 17C 18	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments	618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5R Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	3 4 5	17A 17B 17C 18	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of	618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	3 4 5 6 7	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota"	618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5R Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony	3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9	3 4 5 6	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline	618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5R Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony	3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9	3 4 5 6 7 8	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel	618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony	3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9	3 4 5 6 7	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium"	618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8B Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony	3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9	3 4 5 6 7 8	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony	3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8B Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony	3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting)	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Adignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7T Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 36 33 39 39 12 2 12 4 27 4	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8B Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad	3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-15 DEIS	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 122 124 274	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8B Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition)	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 36 33 39 39 12 2 124 274	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (USA definition)	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Rebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (USA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 31 36 33 39 39 39 39 44 122 124 274 149 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "Ca Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Ouestions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Pebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8B Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-10 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (USA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-21 through TC-24 not marked	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 36 33 39 39 12 2 12 4 27 4 1 1 2 8 3 8 8 3 8 8 3 8 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Pebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8B Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-10 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (USA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-21 through TC-24 not marked	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8R Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 31 39 39 39 122 124 274 149 44 128 368 380 380 380 389 493 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "Ca Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8B Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 36 33 39 39 122 124 274 149 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Cuestions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter:	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 36 33 39 39 122 124 274 149 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "Ca Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (USA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal"	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Poince Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8R Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Ouestions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from George Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8R Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 36 33 39 39 122 124 274 149 44 128 368 380 380 380 389 39 493 1687 1687 1691	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from William J. Klimisch	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Pebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD 4 Map of Clark County	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "Ca Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from George Dylla Public Comment from William J. Klimisch Public Comment from William J. Klimisch Public Comment from Jean C. Burger	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Poirect Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HSA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD Map of Clark County 5 Rahn Direct Testimony	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 122 124 274 149 44 128 368 380 380 380 389 493 1687 1687 1691	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A 24A 24B 24C 24B 24C 24C 24E	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "Ca Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from George Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Jean C. Burger Complaint against TransCanada	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD 4 Map of Clark County 5 Rahn Direct Testimony 6 Hydrocarbon pipeline spills/releases	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A 24 24A 24B 24C 24D 24C 24D 24C 24D 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from George Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from William J. Klimisch Public Comment from Richard Voss	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Pebuttal Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD 4 Map of Clark County 5 Rahn Direct Testimony 6 Hydrocarbon pipeline spills/releases 7 News articles	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A 24A 24A 24B 24C 24D 24E 24C 24G	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Guestions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from Goorge Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam C. Burger Complaint against TransCanada Public Comment from Richard Voss Page 47-51 - Clayton Halverson Comments	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HSA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD 4 Map of Clark County 5 Rahn Direct Testimony 6 Hydrocarbon pipeline spills/releases 7 News articles 8 State Rural Water Board Resolution	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A 24 24A 24B 24C 24D 24C 24D 24C 24D 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C 24C	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Sask, Alberta Worst Greenhouse Gas Offenders: Report" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from George Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from William J. Klimisch Public Comment from Richard Voss	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD 4 Map of Clark County 5 Rahn Direct Testimony 6 Hydrocarbon pipeline spills/releases 7 News articles 8 State Rural Water Board Resolution 9 Tax information	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A 24A 24A 24B 24C 24D 24E 24C 24G	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Guestions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from Goorge Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam C. Burger Complaint against TransCanada Public Comment from Richard Voss Page 47-51 - Clayton Halverson Comments	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-6R2 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8R Thomas Direct Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD 4 Map of Clark County 5 Rahn Direct Testimony 6 Hydrocarbon pipeline spills/releases 7 News articles 8 State Rural Water Board Resolution 10 Aberdeen American News Editorial	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A 24A 24A 24B 24C 24D 24E 24C 24G	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "CA Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Questions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Guestions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from Glenn Gehring Public Comment from Goorge Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pam C. Burger Complaint against TransCanada Public Comment from Richard Voss Page 47-51 - Clayton Halverson Comments	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	TC-2D Jones Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-3D Koski Direct Testimony TC-4R Koski Rebuttal TC-4D Ellis Direct Testimony TC-4R Rebuttal Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Gray Direct Testimony TC-5D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6D Kothari Direct Testimony TC-6R1 Kothari Rebuttal Testimony TC-7D Tillquist Direct Testimony TC-7R1 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-7R2 Tillquist Rebuttal Testimony TC-8D Thomas Direct Testimony TC-8R Thomas Rebuttal Testimony TC-9 Alignment changes/route variation maps TC-10 Additional route variations in SD TC-11 PHMSA Special Permit TC-12 Application update: Acreage disturbances *TC-13 not marked TC-14 Adjustment to SD Ad Valorem Tax Ad TC-15 DEIS TC-16 10 Maps: SD ground water/source water TC-17 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-18 CFR Part 195.6 (HCA definition) TC-19 Summary of crude oil contents TC-20 Add'l info: Calculated spill volumes *TC-21 through TC-24 not marked TC-25 Data Sources for Soils & Geology Underlying the Keystone Pipeline in SD TC-26 Position Description TC-27 U.S. Oil Pipeline Safety Performance TC-28 Constr. Restrictions Binding Agreement WEB Exhibits 1 SD Route Variations 4/27/07 - 11/20/07 2 Davis Direct Testimony 3 Geological map of SD 4 Map of Clark County 5 Rahn Direct Testimony 6 Hydrocarbon pipeline spills/releases 7 News articles 8 State Rural Water Board Resolution 9 Tax information	39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	17A 17B 17C 18 19 20 20A 21A 21B 21C 21D 22 22A 22B 22C 22D 22E 23 23A 24A 24B 24C 24D 24E 24G 24H	Water Near Alberta Oil Sands Project" Article "Lack of Alta. 'Sour' Gas Knowledge be Addressed: Study" Article "Acute Health Effects of the Tasman Oil Spill on Residents of Karachi, Pakistan" Article "Workshop #13" Raymond Anderson well Page 74-76 - Frank Olson comments Article "Pipeline Leaks Small Amount of Oil in Northern Minnesota" Explosion along Clearbrook Pipeline Article "City Sues Oil Company Over Fuel Spill Cleanup at Qualcomm Stadium" Article "Montgomery County" Article "Montgomery County" Article "BP Fined \$20 Million for Pipeline Corrosion" Executive Summary (DNV Consulting) Edit Increase in Greenhouse Gas Emissions Since 1990 Article "Alberta Sets Greenhouse Gas Emissions Target" Article "Ca Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Ca Standards Could Crimp Canadian Oil Boom" Article "Guestions and Answers About the Alberta Tar Sands" Article "Fueling America Oil's Dirty Future" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Environment: Burning Energy To Produce It" Article "Bob White, Emerado, ND Letter: Pipeline, Oil-Sands Oil Not Very Good Deal" Public Comment from George Dylla Public Comment from George Dylla Public Comment from Pam & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pan & Delwin Hofer Public Comment from Pan C. Burger Complaint against TransCanada Public Comment from Richard Voss Page 47-51 - Clayton Halverson Comments Page 81-82 - Phyllis Fischer Comments	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618

1	Anderson Exhibits	1040 <u>Received</u>	1	Mike Sibson Exhibits	1042 <u>Received</u>
2	*241 not marked		2	1 Mike Sibson Direct Testimony	977
3	24J Page 58 - Tim Hofer Comments 24K Page 56 - Delwin Hofer Comments	618 618	3	2 Burden of Proof No. 2 - Add'l testimony	980
	24L Page 65 - Oren Stahl Comments	618	3	3 Wetlands - Add'l Testimony4 Additional Testimony of Mike Sibson	980 980
4	24M Mike Sibson Comments 24N Page 58-60 - Lillian Anderson Comments	618 618	4	5 7/30/07 Contact Summary with TC agent	994
5	240 Article "ND Panel Concerned at Pipeline Negotiations"	618	5	6 9/7 11:57 a.m. 7 9/7 Additional testimony	994 994
6	24P Article "Pipeline Developer to be Questioned" 25 Article "Conoco Has Big Plans for	618	6	Scott Anderson Exhibits	
8	Alberta Oil Sands" 25A Article "TransCanada's Keystone Pipeline	618	7	1 Scott Anderson Direct Testimony	1172
9	Looks to Expand to the Gulf Coast" 26 Article "Exxon Seeks Legal Sympathy	618	8	Kent Moeckly Exhibits 1 Kent Moeckly Direct Testimony	1244
10	Over Valdez" 26A Article "Exxon Asks High Court to Void Valdez Spill Damages	618	10	2 Marshall County Resolution 3 Argus Leader article of 12/5/07	1223
11	26B Article "Shocking: 18 Years on and Exxon Still Won't Pay \$2.5 Billion for	618	11	Staff Exhibits	
12 13	Valdez Oil Spill 27 General Soil Map	618	12	1 Brenda Winkler Direct Testimony	786
	East River Exhibits		13	2 Bryan Murdock Direct Testimony3 Dan Hannan Direct Testimony	1514 1560
14	1 Jim Edwards Direct Testimony	650	14	4 Applicant's filings for construction and operation of a crude oil pipeline	1514
15	,			5 Muehlhausen Direct Testimony	1600
16	Richard Hastings Exhibits		15	6 Socio-economic Assessment 7 Janssen Direct Testimony	1600 1661
17	1 Richard Hastings Direct Testimony1A Soil Studies	671 671	16	8 Schramm Testimony	1451
		071	17	9 Hudson Testimony 10 Walsh Testimony	1474 1421
18	Chris Hastings Exhibits		18	11 Winkler Surrebuttal Testimony	786
19	1 Chris Hastings Direct Testimony	689		12 Hannan Surrebuttal Testimony13(1) Muehlhausen Surrebuttal Testimony	1560 1600
20	Wade Exhibits		19	14(2) Muehlhausen Surrebuttal Testimony 15 Janssen Surrebuttal Testimony	1600 1661
21	1 Map of BDM water flow	700	20	16 Schramm Surrebuttal Testimony	1451
22	·		21	17 Hudson Surrebuttal Testimony18 Walsh Surrebuttal Testimony	1474 1421
				19 Figure 19 (D-D)	784
23			22	20 Schematic Simplified Cross Section 21 Response to Staff's First Data Request	784 1470
24			23	22 Response to Staff's Second Data Request	1470
25			24 25		
1	Sieh Exhibits	1041 <u>Received</u>			1043
2	1 Map of BDM water	739	1	MR. SMITH: HP07-001, that's the applica	tion of
3	2 John Sieh Direct Testimony	739	2	TransCanada Pipeline, Keystone Pipeline, LP for a pe	ermit to
4	Cassels Exhibits		3	construct a crude oil pipeline in the State of South [Dakota.
5	1 Gene Cassels Direct Testimony2 Marshall County Resolution	756 756	4	When we left off last evening WEB Rural Water, an	Intervener in
6	Ed Miller Exhibits		5	the case, was putting on their case, and at this poin	
7	1 Ed Miller Direct Testimony	832	6	will look to Reed Rasmussen, counsel for WEB Wate	r, to resume.
8	Miller Surrebuttal TestimonyPowerPoint presentation	832 832	7	Please call your next witness, Mr. Rasmus	ssen.
9	4 CD with NTSB, PHMSA, etc. studies	832	8	MR. RASMUSSEN: Call John Goodman. A	and as we did
10	George Piper Exhibits		9	yesterday, Diane Best of the A.G.'s Office will condu	ct the
11	George Piper Direct TestimonyPhoto of James River Stream/Flow	928 936	10	initial examination.	
12	3 Photo of James River Stream/Flow	936	11	MR. SMITH: It's Jim.	
13	Tim Hofer Exhibits	900	12	MR. RASMUSSEN: John probably isn't he	
14	1 Tim Hofer Direct Testimony Delwin Hofer Exhibits	890	13	MR. SMITH: He's made too much money a seat.	to be here. Have
15		000			
16	1 Delwin Hofer Direct Testimony 2 Map of personal property 3 Easement - back page of agreement	902 906 906	15 16	(The witness is sworn by the court report	er)
17	Pam Hofer Exhibits	700	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION. BY MS. BEST:	
18	1 Pam Hofer Direct Testimony	919	18	Q. Good morning.	
19	2 Book of structures w/in mile of PS-21	919	19	A. Good morning.	
20	Edward Goss Exhibits	0.45	20	MS. BEST: For the record, my name is D	iane Best. I'm
21	1 Ed Goss Direct Testimony 2 Packet of maps	945 961	21	an Assistant Attorney General for the State of South	n Dakota.
22	Pink handwritten paper, map & drawing Letter to land agent (redacted)	961	22	Q. Jim, what is your educational background?	
23	5 Packet attached to land agent letter 6 Four pictures of land	970 970	23	A. I have a Bachelor of Science Degree.	
24	Ben Grote Exhibits	- · · -	24	MR. SMITH: Jim, one second. Could you	please grab
0.5	-		0.5		
25	1 Ben Grote Direct Testimony	750	25	the ball on that thing and get it up to your mouth se	o we can

		1044		1046
1	hear you. Thank you.	1	It's something that happens a lot. For whatever reason, I must	1010
2	A. I have a geologic engineering degree. I graduated from	2		
3	South Dakota School of Mines in 1971, and I'm a registered	3		
4	professional engineer in South Dakota.	4		
5	Q. Where are you employed, Jim?	5		
6	A. I'm employed by the State of South Dakota. I work in the	6	·	
7	Department of Environment and Natural Resources, the Water	7	_	
8	Rights Program.	8		
9	My responsibilities there, I am my title is Natural	g		
10	Resources Engineering Director. I'm in charge of the Ground	10		
11	Water Program. I handle ground water permit applications for	11		
12	the entire state.	12	, ,	
13	I also my people also maintain a monitor well network in	13	3 1, 3 1, 3 1, 3 1, 3 1, 3 1, 3 1, 3 1,	
14	the state. And some of my other job functions, we keep records	14	1 11 3 3	
15	of wells, permits, domestic wells, that type of thing for the	15	-	
16	entire state. And that information is available to the public.	16	,	
17	Q. Can you give this group here today a general overview of	17		
18	what the Water Rights Program does?	18	3. 1 3	
19	A. Well, the water right under South Dakota Law the water	19		
20	belongs to the people of the state. And basically in simplest	20	'	
21	form possible, if you want to make use of water resources in	21		
- · 22	this state, surface water, ground water, you make an application	22	1 0	
 23	for a permit. We go through then a legal process to either	23		
24	grant or deny that permit.	24	3 3 1	
25	So other than domestic use in this state, you're required	25		
		1045	This is a pipeline trey to burying in the ground. There's	1047
1	to get a permit for the use of the water.	1	a potential to intersect water, and to lay the pipeline they may	1011
2	Q. Have you had any communications with TransCanada Pipeline	2	_	
3	where they've requested information from you?	3	3 Q. That would be a construction type?	
4	A. I've had at least two conversations. The first	4		
5	conversation occurred on 25 June of 2007. At that time I talked	5	_	
6	to a person. I believe the name was Granger, Cole Granger. He	6		
7	worked for a company called TROW Engineering, and they were out	7		
8	of Tallahassee, Florida. That was a phone conversation, and he	8		
9	was asking some generic at that time I didn't realize what it	g		
10	was except he provided me with a list of legal locations and it	10		
11	wasn't rocket science to figure out where you know, what he	11	-	
12	was looking for.	12		
13	And I provided him at that time with a selected group of	13	And a lot of times these companies may not run into the	
14	domestic well logs that were within the vicinity of the legal	14	situation it might be Friday night when they encounter	
		15	something. They call us on Monday, and we can generally process	
15	locations that he had given me. And I sent them out on e-mail.			
15 16	locations that he had given me. And I sent them out on e-mail. That was the extent of my	16	a temporary permit in a few hours for that type of situation.	
	-	16 17	1 31	
16 17	That was the extent of my		7 It only lasts either they specify a time limit, or it's just	
16	That was the extent of my Two days later we had an in-house office meeting with some	17	It only lasts either they specify a time limit, or it's just for that particular calendar year we're in. And that's the use	
16 17 18 19	That was the extent of my Two days later we had an in-house office meeting with some folks from Keystone that the Department it's a typical	17 18	It only lasts either they specify a time limit, or it's just for that particular calendar year we're in. And that's the use of the word "temporary."	
16 17 18 19 20	That was the extent of my Two days later we had an in-house office meeting with some folks from Keystone that the Department it's a typical meeting that we would have with a company that would be the	17 18 19	It only lasts either they specify a time limit, or it's just for that particular calendar year we're in. And that's the use of the word "temporary." Q. Okay. Jim, you also indicated when you had that initial	
16 17 18 19 20 21	That was the extent of my Two days later we had an in-house office meeting with some folks from Keystone that the Department it's a typical meeting that we would have with a company that would be the questions were basically what kind of permitting does the State	17 18 19 20	It only lasts either they specify a time limit, or it's just for that particular calendar year we're in. And that's the use of the word "temporary." Q. Okay. Jim, you also indicated when you had that initial contact with Mr. Cole that it wasn't rocket since as to what he	
16 17 18 19 20 21	That was the extent of my Two days later we had an in-house office meeting with some folks from Keystone that the Department it's a typical meeting that we would have with a company that would be the questions were basically what kind of permitting does the State of South Dakota require? Can you get everybody from every	17 18 19 20 21	It only lasts either they specify a time limit, or it's just for that particular calendar year we're in. And that's the use of the word "temporary." Q. Okay. Jim, you also indicated when you had that initial contact with Mr. Cole that it wasn't rocket since as to what he was looking for. Based on the locations he gave you, there was	
16 17 18	That was the extent of my Two days later we had an in-house office meeting with some folks from Keystone that the Department it's a typical meeting that we would have with a company that would be the questions were basically what kind of permitting does the State of South Dakota require? Can you get everybody from every division to come? We need to know do we need water permits, do	17 18 19 20 21 22	It only lasts either they specify a time limit, or it's just for that particular calendar year we're in. And that's the use of the word "temporary." Q. Okay. Jim, you also indicated when you had that initial contact with Mr. Cole that it wasn't rocket since as to what he was looking for. Based on the locations he gave you, there was a pattern to the locations, I take it, and you guessed it was a	

		1048		1050
1	A. Well, it was basically a straight line from north to south	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
2	across South Dakota.	2	BY MR. HOHN:	
3	Q. Also have you had any communications with WEB's experts	3	Q. I have a question, sir, regarding the I think you	
4	seeking information?	4	referred to it as the Completion Report, the data that the well	
5	A. Yesterday. I provided that geologic map that's on the	5	driller would provide you?	
6	wall. That's it.	6	A. Yes, sir.	
7	Q. Okay.	7	Q. Is that what it's called is the Completion Report?	
8	MS. BEST: Thank you. I have no further questions.	8	A. Yes, sir.	
9	MR. RASMUSSEN: I realize I have to buy donuts on	9	Q. And what does that report show?	
10	Monday now.	10	A. It tells us a lot of things, but if it's filled out	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION	11	completely. But it gives us the legal location of the well by	
12	BY MR. RASMUSSEN:	12	township, range, and section. We're happy if we're that close.	
13	Q. Mr. Goodman, so do I understand there's some sort of log or	13	It tells us the materials that were drilled through, the	
14	a listing of all the domestic wells, at least that your agency	14	geologic formations, the clays, the sands.	
15	is aware of?	15	It tells us the producing unit because that's what the well	
16	A. We have a I'm going to call it a collection, but we have	16	is screened into. It tells us the size, the diameter of the	
17	a the well drillers are required to file what's called a	17	well, the screen, the screen length, the amount of water	
18	Completion Report. And we have those on file, and those are	18	produced, the static water level, and then the well driller's	
19	accessible. They're not accessible on-line. You have to either	19	required to sign it, and so is the owner of the well.	
20	call somebody in the office, and we can provide them to you.	20	Q. Would the well driller give in this report as part of	
21	I'm not going to say we have them all. There are many	21	this report would the well driller give you any estimation of	
22	wells that have been drilled in South Dakota in the last	22	layers of material, what the thickness was?	
23	100 years. And our database is not too bad starting in between	23	A. Yes, he would.	
24	'76 and '80.	24	Q. So as close as he could estimate, he would be reporting to	
25	Q. Is that the information that you provided to is it	25	your agency the depth of layers of sand, clay, shale, and so	
1		1049	Settle?	1051
1	Mr. Granger?	1	forth?	1051
1 2 3	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided.	1 2	A. That's correct.	1051
3	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the	1 2 3	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you.	1051
2 3 4	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route?	1 2 3 4	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you.	1051
3	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir.	1 2 3	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke?	1051
2 3 4 5	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June	1 2 3 4 5	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no	1051
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir.	1 2 3 4 5 6	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke?	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; John Kirk, 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like,	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; John Kirk, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; me; Lacey Morrow, Keystone/UEI; 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like, Mr. Davis. We do our swearing in when you're sitting down here.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; John Kirk, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; me; Lacey Morrow, Keystone/UEI; Cindy Steele, Natural Resource, NRCS; Stacy Splittstoesser who's 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like, Mr. Davis. We do our swearing in when you're sitting down here. (The witness is affirmed by the court reporter)	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; John Kirk, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; me; Lacey Morrow, Keystone/UEI; Cindy Steele, Natural Resource, NRCS; Stacy Splittstoesser who's in our Department, Surface Water Quality; Tammy Staddel, Surface 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like, Mr. Davis. We do our swearing in when you're sitting down here. (The witness is affirmed by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; Me; Lacey Morrow, Keystone/UEI; Cindy Steele, Natural Resource, NRCS; Stacy Splittstoesser who's in our Department, Surface Water Quality; Tammy Staddel, Surface Water Quality in our department; Al Spangler from our 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like, Mr. Davis. We do our swearing in when you're sitting down here. (The witness is affirmed by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN:	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; John Kirk, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; me; Lacey Morrow, Keystone/UEI; Cindy Steele, Natural Resource, NRCS; Stacy Splittstoesser who's in our Department, Surface Water Quality; Tammy Staddel, Surface Water Quality in our department; Al Spangler from our Department, Surface Water Quality; Bill Markley, Ground Water 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like, Mr. Davis. We do our swearing in when you're sitting down here. (The witness is affirmed by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. Good morning, Mr. Davis. Could you state your name, please. A. Arden Davis.	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; John Kirk, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; me; Lacey Morrow, Keystone/UEI; Cindy Steele, Natural Resource, NRCS; Stacy Splittstoesser who's in our Department, Surface Water Quality; Tammy Staddel, Surface Water Quality in our department; Al Spangler from our Department, Surface Water Quality; Bill Markley, Ground Water Quality; Brian Walsh, Ground Water Quality; Brian Walsh, Ground Water Quality Program who was our contact person; and Ray Sowers, Department of Agriculture. MR. RASMUSSEN: Thank you. I believe that's all I 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like, Mr. Davis. We do our swearing in when you're sitting down here. (The witness is affirmed by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. Good morning, Mr. Davis. Could you state your name, please. A. Arden Davis. Q. Where are you from, and what do you do?	1051
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Mr. Granger? A. That's the information I provided. Q. And that would have been all the way up and down the proposed pipeline route? A. Yes, sir. Q. This meeting you talked about, which that was also in June of this year? A. Yes. I can check the date. I believe it was June 27. Q. Who attended that meeting? A. I have the list of attendees. Q. Okay. Maybe how many people are we talking about? A. 12 signed the sheet. Q. Why don't you just read those names off. A. Steve Craycroft. Keystone ENSR was his affiliation; Leslie Petersen, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; John Kirk, South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks; me; Lacey Morrow, Keystone/UEI; Cindy Steele, Natural Resource, NRCS; Stacy Splittstoesser who's in our Department, Surface Water Quality; Tammy Staddel, Surface Water Quality in our department; Al Spangler from our Department, Surface Water Quality; Bill Markley, Ground Water Quality; Brian Walsh, Ground Water Quality Program who was our contact person; and Ray Sowers, Department of Agriculture. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct. MR. CURT HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Smith. I have no questions for this witness. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Jim. Pardon me. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I don't have any questions. I was just giving you a hard time, Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: I was about to say I'm moving to Oklahoma. Thanks, Jim. Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Arden Davis. MR. SMITH: You can have a seat if you'd like, Mr. Davis. We do our swearing in when you're sitting down here. (The witness is affirmed by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. Good morning, Mr. Davis. Could you state your name, please. A. Arden Davis.	1051

		1052	1054	4
1	Technology.	1	Q. Dr. Davis, are there particular areas of the pipeline route	
2	Q. You have filed some prefiled direct testimony on behalf	2	with which you have more concern than others?	
3	of or at the request of WEB in connection with this matter,	3	A. Yes, there are.	
4	have you not?	4	Q. And where are those areas?	
5	A. That's correct.	5	A. In Marshall County in particular the proposed route would	
6	Q. And we have marked that direct testimony as WEB Exhibit 2.	6	cross a sandy area at the land surface that I believe is in	
7	If you were to be asked the same questions that are in that	7	hydraulic connection with an underlying glacial aquifer. And	
8	prefiled testimony today, would you give the same answers that	8	this is an especially sensitive area.	
9	are included in that prefiled testimony?	9	In Clark County I think Dr. Rahn will present some	
10	A. Yes, I would.	10	testimony later showing that in the western part of that county	
11	MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 2.	11	the Altamont Aquifer is within about 10 feet of the land	
12	MR. SMITH: Any objection?	12	surface.	
13	MR. WHITE: No objection.	13	There are other glacial aquifers which have not been mapped	
14	MR. SMITH: Hearing none, WEB Exhibit 2 is admitted.	14	in detail because they are quite variable in their extent, but I	
15	Q. I would like you to just briefly summarize your testimony.	15	notice on the large map that's on the wall over there and I	
16	I don't want you to go through the whole thing, but just	16	have a smaller version of that that Perry Rahn	
17	basically tell the Commission your concerns with regard to the	17	Q. Let me interrupt you just a minute. The large map that's	
18	proposed route of the WEB pipe or the TransCanada Pipeline.	18	on the wall and a smaller version that you have and an even	
19	A. The ground water resources of eastern South Dakota are a	19	smaller version we've handed out we have marked as WEB	
20	valuable present and future resource for the state. And I have	20	Exhibit 3, and that is a map prepared by the South Dakota	
21	concerns about the proposed pipeline. If it leaks, it could	21	Geological Survey?	
22	affect ground water quality in several areas.	22	A. That's right.	
23	I believe that from my experience it's true that prevention	23	MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 3.	
24	of pollution before it occurs is better and more cost-effective	24	MR. WHITE: No objection.	
25	than trying to clean it up after it occurs because in some cases	25	MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 3 is received.	
1	you can payor clean up an equifor completely to its	1053	Q. Go ahead, Dr. Davis.	5
2	you can never clean up an aquifer completely to its pre-pollution state.	2	A. The map on the wall, especially the large one over there on	
3	The State of South Dakota has in its records in the	3	the left-hand side of the room, shows the alluvium that the	
4	Department of Environment and Natural Resources a number of	4	proposed pipeline would cross. And there are a number of	
5	documented leaks from other pipelines, including the Williams	5	streams. And the alluvium is marked clearly on those locations.	
6	Pipeline north of Sioux Falls, South Dakota near Renner where I	6	There might be other locations as well that aren't capable of	
7	believe the documents say it was something like a 300,000-gallon	7	being shown at the scale of that map. But, again, alluvium can	
8	leak.	8	have very permeable channels within it, and where it's	
9	There have been other leaks. One near the Sioux Falls	9	saturated, that is a valuable ground water aquifer.	
10	water supply I believe that the documents say is approximately a	10	Q. You mentioned Clark County, and we've marked WEB Exhibit 4,	
11	2,000-gallon leak.	11	a map of Clark County; is that right?	
12	This proposed pipeline would cross some recharge areas of	12	A. Yes.	
13	aquifers in Marshall County, in Clark County, Miner, Day County	13	Q. And does that map show the other area about which you have	
14	and others, and it would cross several areas where alluvium,	14	some particular concerns?	
15	which can sometimes have some very permeable channels in it, is $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left$	15	A. Yes. It shows in the western part of the county this is a	
16	exposed especially along the James River Valley but in other	16	map of the first occurrence of aquifer materials, and it shows	
17	areas as well.	17	sand and gravel within 50 feet of the land surface.	
18	Now I believe that the proposed pipeline could have been	18	Q. And this is also a map prepared by the South Dakota	
19	routed in a way that would have minimized at least some of these	19	Geological Survey?	
20	sensitive areas that it would cross, and I also believe that if	20	A. It shows Department of Environment and Natural Resources	
21	Murphy's Law is true, that if something can go wrong, it will.	21	Geological Survey, yes.	
22	My engineering experience tells me that in a new project	22	MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 4.	
23	often it doesn't work perfectly in that leaks could occur. And	23	MR. WHITE: No objection.	
24	if that does, then I am concerned that ground water would be	24	MR. SMITH: WEB 4 is admitted.	
25	damaged.	25	Q. Is there anything else you would like to inform the	

		1056	1058
1	Commission of before I let you proceed to cross-examination?	1	
2	A. I have some printouts of some of the documented leaks from	2	
3	pipelines. And I would like to at least mention that these were	3	Q. I note that you have provided on what's been marked as
4	from the website at the DENR Geological Survey that shows for	4	WEB 3 a dashed line. Is that your proposed Keystone reroute, or
5	land shows documented spills.	5	reroute of the Keystone Pipeline?
6	Q. Okay. I think we'll get to those later, but all right.	6	A. That was proposed by Perry Rahn, and I agree with him that
7	MR. RASMUSSEN: Thank you, Dr. Davis. That's all the	7	it would have a chance of less likelihood of contaminating
8	questions I have.	8	aquifers from the land surface down.
9	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn.	9	Q. And with respect to that proposed reroute, have you taken
10	MR. HOHN: No further questions at this time.	10	into account the potential impact of a reroute in that area on
11	MR. SMITH: Mr. White, are you taking the	11	cultural resources?
12	MR. WHITE: Yes, I am.	12	A. No, I have not.
13	MR. SMITH: Okay. Please proceed.	13	Q. Have you taken into account the potential impact of that
14	MR. WHITE: Thank you.	14	reroute on grasslands?
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION	15	A. No.
16	BY MR. WHITE:	16	Q. Have you taken into account with respect to that reroute
17	Q. Morning, Dr. Davis.	17	the potential impact on threatened and endangered species?
18	A. Morning.	18	A. No.
19	Q. Just a couple questions about your background. Do you have	19	Q. Have you taken into account the potential impact of that
20	any experience in the area of pipeline integrity?	20	reroute on wetlands?
21	A. No, I don't.	21	A. I have looked at some of the areas that could have
22	Q. Do you have any experience in the area of pipeline design?	22	wetlands, and I think with either route there's a potential of
23	A. No.	23	crossing some wetlands.
24	Q. Are you an expert in pipeline routing?	24	Q. Okay. And is it your opinion that a reroute drawn on a map
25	A. Before this time I have not looked at proposed routing of	25	of this scale is of sufficient scale for the Commission to
		1057	1059
1	pipelines.	1057 1	1059 consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline?
1 2			consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline?
_	pipelines.	1	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture,
2 3 4	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect	1 2 3 4	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully.
2 3 4 5	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and	1 2 3 4 5	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of
2 3 4	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen	1 2 3 4 5 6	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a
2 3 4 5 6 7	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and	1 2 3 4 5 6	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing: is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing: is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect to the frequency or volume of a spill that may occur on the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 consider a potential reroute of the Keystone Pipeline? A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990. Q. Do you know when that pipeline was constructed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect to the frequency or volume of a spill that may occur on the Keystone Pipeline?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990. Q. Do you know when that pipeline was constructed? A. No, I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing: is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect to the frequency or volume of a spill that may occur on the Keystone Pipeline? A. Not independently of the document that was submitted by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990. Q. Do you know when that pipeline was constructed? A. No, I don't. Q. And I believe you mentioned the alluvium, which is depicted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect to the frequency or volume of a spill that may occur on the Keystone Pipeline? A. Not independently of the document that was submitted by DNV. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990. Q. Do you know when that pipeline was constructed? A. No, I don't. Q. And I believe you mentioned the alluvium, which is depicted on your map, WEB 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing: is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect to the frequency or volume of a spill that may occur on the Keystone Pipeline? A. Not independently of the document that was submitted by DNV. Q. Have you conducted any sort of an independent analysis on 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990. Q. Do you know when that pipeline was constructed? A. No, I don't. Q. And I believe you mentioned the alluvium, which is depicted on your map, WEB 3. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pipelines. Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing; is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect to the frequency or volume of a spill that may occur on the Keystone Pipeline? A. Not independently of the document that was submitted by DNV. Q. Have you conducted any sort of an independent analysis on the fate and transport of any oil pipeline spill that might	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990. Q. Do you know when that pipeline was constructed? A. No, I don't. Q. And I believe you mentioned the alluvium, which is depicted on your map, WEB 3. A. Yes. Q. Tell us what you meant by that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And you're not offering an expert opinion here with respect to pipeline routing: is that correct? A. I am suggesting that an alternate route could be chosen that would cross areas of much lower permeability of till and clay that would offer less chance of infiltration of contaminants. Q. And have you conducted any analysis with specific regard to the Keystone Pipeline with respect to the likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. I have looked at a report that was provided by DNV Consulting. Q. And I'm asking you whether you've conducted any independent analysis of a likelihood of a spill occurring on the Keystone Pipeline? A. No. Q. And have you conducted an independent analysis with respect to the frequency or volume of a spill that may occur on the Keystone Pipeline? A. Not independently of the document that was submitted by DNV. Q. Have you conducted any sort of an independent analysis on 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think it is for a potential reroute in the broad picture, but there would be many details that would have to be looked at more carefully. Q. This would be of inadequate scale to provide the level of detail the Commission would have to look at to consider a potential reroute? A. Well, not necessarily, but I think in raw general terms it shows quite clearly that there might be a better route. Q. There might be? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a spill on the Williams Pipeline; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And when did that spill occur? A. One occurred in 1987 according to the records, and another according to the documents was 1990. Q. Do you know when that pipeline was constructed? A. No, I don't. Q. And I believe you mentioned the alluvium, which is depicted on your map, WEB 3. A. Yes. Q. Tell us what you meant by that. A. The alluvium is material that was deposited by flowing

	1060			1062
1		1	the wall.	1062
2	flowing. Now the streams are flowing but at a smaller rate. And as they meander across the floodplain they deposit material,	2	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I was looking at this one and	
3	and some of that material is quite permeable, sand and gravel.	3	didn't listen to that portion of your testimony. I hope I	
4	Q. And is that material generally overlain by clays or silt or	4	didn't miss another portion of your testimony when you explained	
5	till?	5	on the northern part up in Marshall and perhaps it's just the	
6	A. In some areas it is. In some areas it's not.	6	size of this map and the glare on that one, but the route looks	
7	Q. And do you know on your proposed reroute here where those	7	like it that you chose intentionally chooses a particular	
8	clays and silts and tills would occur?	8	soil in Marshall and Day County and a portion in Clark and	
9	A. In general terms at that scale of 1 to 500,000, yes. And	9	Kingsbury, but you avoid that soil down in, oh, about	
10	there are some county maps that show in greater detail.	10	Hutchinson, Turner, and Yankton County.	
11	Q. Okay. And you haven't presented those here today?	11	Are those different soil types? It looks like you've	
12	A. I have some of those with me. And the one on Clark County	12	intentionally tried to avoid that down in	
13	has been entered. Also I have one for Marshall County and one	13	THE WITNESS: This is a geologic map. And so the	
14	for Beadle County, one for Kingsbury County, one for Miner	14	surface soils for the first foot or two can be different than	
15	County, and one for Hutchinson County, and one for Yankton	15	the underlying geologic materials. But for the most part the	
16	County.	16	dashed line that shows the alternate route would be on material	
17	Q. Thank you. I'm looking at page 3 of your prepared direct	17	that would be low permeability till and very tight clay. And	
18	testimony. And up towards the top of the page you refer to	18	that would weather to a soil that also would be very low	
19	geologically sensitive high consequence areas. Do you see that	19	permeability and tight.	
20	reference?	20	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Earlier you were asked a numbe	r
21	A. Yes.	21	of questions pertaining upon considerations that you gave to	
22	Q. Could you define for us what a geologically sensitive high	22	this pipeline, and I certainly assume you didn't go out and walk	
23	consequence area is?	23	it or take any sort of a visual examination of it, did you?	
24	A. An area that would be geologically sensitive and could have	24	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.	
25	high consequences in the case of a leak or a spill of	25	COMMISSIONER HANSON: If you were asked forgive me	
	1061			1063
1	contaminants would be one where infiltration into an aquifer	1	if you repeat your answer, but in earlier testimony we were told	
2	could occur, and ground water resources would be affected.	2	there are a number of intakes for rural water lines up in that	
3	Q. And when you use the term high consequence area, are you	3	area up in the Marshall/Day area.	
4	referring to the high consequence areas that are defined by the	4	Did you consider any of those, take a look at any of	
5	PHMSA or the Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration	5	those?	
6	in 49 CFR 194 and 195?	6	THE WITNESS: Could you help me by what you mean by	
7	A. I am referring to the high consequence areas as those that	7	the word "intake"?	
8	are defined in that way, yes.	8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Where a water rural water	
9	Q. And which portion of 49 CFR 195 defines a geologically	9	company harvests its supply of water.	
10	sensitive high consequence area? Do you know?	10	THE WITNESS: So, in other words, an underground well	
11	A. I don't know.	11	then? Could be a spring?	
12	MR. WHITE: Thank you.	12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Could be a ranney. Could be a	
13	MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions of Mr. Davis?	13	regular it could be horizontal. Just what they refer to as	
14	MS. SEMMLER: None. Thank you.	14	an intake where they harvest their water prior to purification.	
15	MR. SMITH: Commissioners. Pardon me. Commissioner	15	THE WITNESS: I haven't looked at all of those in	
16	Hanson, are you ready to go?	16	detail, but I would assume that if they're pulling water from a	
17	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Sure. I'll start it off. I	17	well, it would be far enough under the land surface that the	
18	only have a couple of questions, I think. Good morning.	18	surficial materials of till would help protect that area.	
19	THE WITNESS: Morning.	19	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Are you testifying that you	
20	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Appreciate you taking the time	20	believe that the intake in the event of a failure of the	
21	to come here and be with us. You have a real interesting map.	21	pipeline or leakage, that it would be protected?	
22	I'd like to look at it sometime, probably after the hearings are	22	THE WITNESS: Not necessarily, no.	
~~		~~		ı
23	all over in a much larger version.	23	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Then I didn't understand	
23 24 25	all over in a much larger version. Is that something that you brought? THE WITNESS: The largest version is the one that's on	23 24 25	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Then I didn't understand your answer to the last question. THE WITNESS: Well, in broad terms in general routing	

		1064	1066
1	something that could leak over a clay material would offer more	,	
2	protection than over sandy material, that is a recharge area for		2 mostly about the soil content?
3	an aquifer, and that was what I was referring to.		THE WITNESS: My knowledge about remediation is more
4	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And that is something you put		in the area of gasoline and some other contaminants such as
5	into consideration when you were putting your dots and dashes		trichloral ethylene and ethylene dibromide. I haven't worked as
6	or picking your route?		a consultant on a oil crude project, but crude oil contains
7	THE WITNESS: Those dashes were drawn by Perry Rahn,		similar chemicals as gasoline.
8	but I agreed in general with those dashes.	;	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: All right. Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I see. All right. Thank you	9	MR. SMITH: Are there any other Commissioner
10	very much.	10	questions?
11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good morning. Thanks for being	1	Do you have any redirect, Mr. Rasmussen?
12	here, Mr. Davis. Do you have any experience or expertise with	1:	MR. RASMUSSEN: Very briefly.
13	end leak detection systems?	1:	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	THE WITNESS: No.	14	BY MR. RASMUSSEN:
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do you have much experience or	1	Q. You just were talking about remediation of gasoline.
16	expertise in and this has probably been asked and answered.	10	What's been your involvement with remediation efforts of
17	With pipeline design?	1	gasoline spills?
18	THE WITNESS: No.	18	3 A. At several sites around the State of South Dakota
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thanks. I think that's all	19	probably the biggest one is the Williams Pipeline, Hayward
20	I have. Thanks very much.	20	Elementary School leak, where there was about a 20,000-gallon
21	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Hi, how are you? I guess I've	2	leak of gasoline. And there was migration of dissolved benzene
22	just got most of the questions are asked and answered, but on	2:	and toluene and xylene and other hydrocarbons down gradient.
23	page line 61, which would be page 2 of your testimony, the	2	
24	question was, Have you experience with other petroleum leaks?	2	
25	And leaks from pipelines have occurred in the past in	2	I work. And over the years I've also been involved some in
		1065	1067
1	South Dakota.		· -
2	Could you elaborate on that?		2 Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the
2 3	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the	;	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills?
2 3 4	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources.	;	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School,
2 3 4 5	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of	;	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline.
2 3 4 5 6	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks	;	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company.		Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum		Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct?		Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum		Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes.	; ; ; ;	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas.	10 11	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so.	10 11 12	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the	10 11 11 12	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience	10 11 11 12 14	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks?	10 11 12 14 14 15	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks? THE WITNESS: Because of my experience in ground	10 11 11 12 14 14 14	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks? THE WITNESS: Because of my experience in ground water, I took an interest in those. And at that time Mike Meyer	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Mr. Davis, I have a couple questions. Regarding the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks? THE WITNESS: Because of my experience in ground water, I took an interest in those. And at that time Mike Meyer of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources, he's	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Mr. Davis, I have a couple questions. Regarding the equipment that you were referring to that the pump that pumps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks? THE WITNESS: Because of my experience in ground water, I took an interest in those. And at that time Mike Meyer of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources, he's currently with Geotek Engineering, Mike called me up and talked	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Mr. Davis, I have a couple questions. Regarding the equipment that you were referring to that the pump that pumps the gas off the water, is that a skimmer? Would you refer to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks? THE WITNESS: Because of my experience in ground water, I took an interest in those. And at that time Mike Meyer of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources, he's currently with Geotek Engineering, Mike called me up and talked with me about those.	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2:	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Mr. Davis, I have a couple questions. Regarding the equipment that you were referring to that the pump that pumps the gas off the water, is that a skimmer? Would you refer to that as a skimmer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks? THE WITNESS: Because of my experience in ground water, I took an interest in those. And at that time Mike Meyer of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources, he's currently with Geotek Engineering, Mike called me up and talked with me about those. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Pertaining to the	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2: 2:	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BYMR. HOHN: Q. Mr. Davis, I have a couple questions. Regarding the equipment that you were referring to that the pump that pumps the gas off the water, is that a skimmer? Would you refer to that as a skimmer? A. In some cases they'll have a two-pump system with a lower water table depression pump to create a cone of depression and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Could you elaborate on that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Those are documented in the records of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources. I earlier this week called Kim McIntosh and discussed some of those with her and then looked them up on-line. And the leaks that I mentioned were mainly from Williams Pipeline Company. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Which is petroleum pipeline; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Refined gas. THE WITNESS: I think so. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it says that you the question asks have you had experience? What was your experience directly involving those leaks? THE WITNESS: Because of my experience in ground water, I took an interest in those. And at that time Mike Meyer of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources, he's currently with Geotek Engineering, Mike called me up and talked with me about those. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Pertaining to the geological nature of where the leak was; correct?	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2:	Q. So what was done to remediate the efforts of the or the effects of those spills? A. At the Williams Pipeline site, Hayward Elementary School, they put in trenches to try to intercept some of the gasoline. They also tried to put in wells to pull out some of the contaminated water and the gasoline and then separate the gasoline and try to treat the water. Q. Thank you. MR. RASMUSSEN: I just would state for the Commission that this map that you have, WEB 3, was submitted previously on disk. And so if further detail is necessary, it can be enlarged. And I think the Commission and all the parties have a copy of that disk. With that, I have no further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BYMR. HOHN: Q. Mr. Davis, I have a couple questions. Regarding the equipment that you were referring to that the pump that pumps the gas off the water, is that a skimmer? Would you refer to that as a skimmer? A. In some cases they'll have a two-pump system with a lower water table depression pump to create a cone of depression and

	1068			1070
1	Q. And does that that mechanism or that application, as far	1	process was overseen by the South Dakota DENR; is that correct?	
2	as you know remove all of the material, the fuel material	2	A. I believe that they received reports on it, yes.	
3	from the ground?	3	Q. And were other agencies involved in overseeing the cleanup	
1	A. No.	4	at that site?	
5	Q. In the projects where you were involved as a consultant on	5	A. I am not sure if the Petroleum Release Compensation Fund	
5	these various fuel spills did any of the fuels come in contact	6	was or not. And I think in the investigation of that I think	
7	with the drinking water supply?	7	that the EPA was looking at some documents, and I think possibly	
8	A. Yes.	8	the Center For Disease Control also.	
9	Q. And which of those would be that type of spill?	9	Q. So there's fairly extensive federal and state oversight of	
0	A. The Williams Pipeline Hayward School leak was above the	10	that cleanup activity; is that correct?	
1	Skunk Creek Aquifer. There are some private wells in that area.	11	A. I think that would be fair to say.	
2	It has been annexed into the City of Sioux Falls, but I was told	12	Q. And with respect to that spill, was that spill the result	
3	by the DENR that part of that aquifer had been identified as a	13	of a pipeline spill?	
4	potential future water source for the City of Sioux Falls.	14	A. That particular one was an above-ground storage tank for	
5	In Rapid City the alluvial aquifer is used as a drinking	15	gasoline.	
ô	water supply, and that has been contaminated by gasoline in some	16	Q. Okay. And do you know what was contained in that	
7	cases.	17	above-ground storage tank?	
8	Q. When you were involved in those spills who was in charge of	18	A. Yes. It was unleaded gasoline.	
9	the project? Who did you work for?	19	Q. And was there a disposal site also at that spill site?	
0	A. For the Williams Pipeline and Hayward School project, at	20	A. I don't think there was a disposal site at the spill site,	
1	that time I was asked by Mike Meyer and some others in the	21	but there were treatment facilities there.	
2	Department of Environment and Natural Resources to work on it.	22	Q. And what sort of substances were in those treatment	
3	And I was a new faculty member at South Dakota School of Mines	23	facilities?	
4	so I offered to assist them on that.	24	A. Well, when the contaminated water was pumped out of the	
5	Q. And the other spills that you mentioned that you worked on,	25	ground it contained in some cases some free gasoline and that	
	1069			107
1	who were you working with or for?	1	could be gravity separated and then the water itself above	
2	A. For most of the others I was either just investigating it	2	or, excuse me, below the gasoline would have dissolved	
3	for my own interest or in some cases I was employed as a	3	hydrocarbons, and that had to be treated I believe before it	
4	consultant for companies such as Geotek in Sioux Falls.	4	could be discharged into the Sioux Falls city sewer system.	
5	Q. Were you present this morning when the representative from	5	Q. Okay. And I think your testimony indicates that the	
6	the Water Rights Office testified?	6	Hayward School was closed down as a result of this incident?	
7	A. Yes.	7	A. That's my recollection, yes.	
8	Q. And did you hear his statements regarding the Completion	8	Q. And was a new school constructed?	
9	Report, the Well Driller's Completion Report?	9	A. I was told that a new building was built somewhere else.	
0	A. I believe I heard at least part of it, yes.	10	Q. And do you know who paid for that building?	
1	Q. Are you familiar with what that means or what that term	11	A. I think that Williams Pipeline at least contributed to it.	
2	means?	12	MR. WHITE: Thank you.	
3	A. Yes.	13	MR. SMITH: Ms. Semmler.	
4	Q. My last question for you, sir, is if in your	14	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
5	professional opinion would it be helpful to decision-makers if	15	BY MS. SEMMLER:	
6	additional soil borings along the pipeline route were completed	16	Q. I have one last question for you as well. In your	
7	before a permit was issued?	17	suggestion of the new route did you study the costs of did	
, 8	A. I think it could be quite helpful.	18	you study the cost or environmental impacts of the numerous	
9	MR. HOHN: Thank you.	19	stream crossings that the new route would cross?	
0	MR. SMITH: Mr. White.	20	A. Not in detail, no.	
1		21		
2	MR. WHITE: Just a couple of questions.		MS. SEMMLER: That's all.	
	RECROSS-EXAMINATION DV.MD. VALUET:	22	MR. SMITH: I have one question for you, if I might.	
3 ₄	BY MR. WHITE:	23	Do you have a question?	
4	Q. I believe you were discussing the cleanup activity at the	24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Go ahead.	
2 5	Hayward School spill. And you indicated that that site cleanup 102 sheets Page 1068	25 to 1071	MR. SMITH: You mentioned the in the Rapid City of 1256 01/03/2008 04	1

	1072		1074
1	case the presence following a spill of benzene, toluene, the	1	private water intakes were curtailed as a result?
2	usual culprits.	2	THE WITNESS: I don't know for certain. I think there
3	Are those particular culprits that we usually call	3	were some vapors in basements down gradient.
4	BTEX, are those the primary constituents of concern in your	4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do you have any information on the
5	opinion that usually cause the problems?	5	concentration of BTEX downstream?
6	THE WITNESS: In my opinion they are. There could be	6	THE WITNESS: It was up to about 30 milligrams per
7	others as well, though.	7	liter, I believe.
8	MR. SMITH: And if you don't know, please say so. But	8	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Can you put that in context?
9	when we're talking about gasoline, whether it be unleaded or	9	THE WITNESS: Well, five parts per billion is the
10	leaded leaded is obviously worse, but is it fair to say that	10	maximum contaminant level for benzene, and so 30 parts per
11	the concentration of BTEX in refined gasoline is very	11	million would be 6,000 times greater than that.
12	significantly higher than it is in unrefined oil?	12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And what how long was the
13	THE WITNESS: Well, not necessarily. I have a	13	remediation process? Do you know specifically what was done?
14	document here from a textbook on Contaminant Hydrogeology that	14	THE WITNESS: They put in trenches, and I think they
15	says crude oil contains about 17 percent aromatic hydrocarbons,	15	pumped out water, and I am not sure how long that went on, but I
16	and that includes then some of these things such as benzene,	16	think it was at least a couple of years. I believe Law
17	toluene.	17	Environmental did it for Amoco.
18	MR. SMITH: What report is that?	18	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do you know what the private wells
19	THE WITNESS: This is a textbook by Craig Fedder,	19	downstream did for water in the interim period?
20	Contaminant Hydrogeology.	20	THE WITNESS: If there were any affected, they
21	MR. SMITH: Thank you.	21	probably would just have to stop using it. Now a lot of those
22	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Dr. Davis, you had mentioned	22	people who might have had wells in that area probably only used
23	contamination of water sources in Sioux Falls. And did you also	23	them for watering their gardens and so forth. Because when that
24	say Rapid City as a result of some spills?	24	area got annexed I think into Rapid City then City water was
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.	25	supplied.
	1073		1075
		1 4	CHAIRMAN ICHNICON CH. T. I
1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are you familiar with the details	1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you very much.
2	of the remediation process?	2	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry
	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes.	2 3	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your
2 3 4	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten
2 3 4 5	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a	2 3	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years?
2 3 4	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten
2 3 4 5 6	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think that the industry in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area and in the '80s I think Rapid Valley still had an infiltration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area and in the '80s I think Rapid Valley still had an infiltration gallery down gradient, quite a ways down gradient, but it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Sir, the state law burden of proof on the Applicant,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the remediation process? THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area and in the '80s I think Rapid Valley still had an infiltration gallery down gradient, quite a ways down gradient, but it was down gradient from that point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Sir, the state law burden of proof on the Applicant, there's four items. The first one has to do with law, and I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area and in the '80s I think Rapid Valley still had an infiltration gallery down gradient, quite a ways down gradient, but it was down gradient from that point. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Were those water intakes shut down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Sir, the state law burden of proof on the Applicant, there's four items. The first one has to do with law, and I'm not going to ask you about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area and in the '80s I think Rapid Valley still had an infiltration gallery down gradient, quite a ways down gradient, but it was down gradient from that point. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Were those water intakes shut down as a result of that release?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Sir, the state law burden of proof on the Applicant, there's four items. The first one has to do with law, and I'm not going to ask you about that. But the second item asks whether the in your opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area and in the '80s I think Rapid Valley still had an infiltration gallery down gradient, quite a ways down gradient, but it was down gradient from that point. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Were those water intakes shut down as a result of that release? THE WITNESS: The ones for the City of Rapid City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Sir, the state law burden of proof on the Applicant, there's four items. The first one has to do with law, and I'm not going to ask you about that. But the second item asks whether the in your opinion I'm asking your opinion. Would the facility pose a threat of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: To some degree, yes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't have any knowledge, any detailed knowledge of those incidences. Can you tell me a little bit more about whether or not there were drinking water intakes in there, whether or not they had to stop pulling water out of those aquifers or water areas? Can you give me a little more information? THE WITNESS: Yes. In the case of the Rapid City Rapid Creek Alluvial Aquifer, Rapid City has an ordinance that doesn't allow gasoline stations up gradient from their intakes. But the 60- to 80,000-gallon leak from an Amoco station was on the eastern side of town. And there weren't any large intakes that I know of but I think there were private wells in the area and in the '80s I think Rapid Valley still had an infiltration gallery down gradient, quite a ways down gradient, but it was down gradient from that point. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Were those water intakes shut down as a result of that release? THE WITNESS: The ones for the City of Rapid City didn't need to be because they were up gradient, and I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in your opinion on the I guess this is away from your geological expertise, but do you think the industry's gotten better in the last 20 years? THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could comment on that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Yeah. That was probably not a very fair question. Sorry. MR. SMITH: Do you have any follow-up questions, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: No. MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. HOHN: I have a couple of questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN: Q. Sir, the state law burden of proof on the Applicant, there's four items. The first one has to do with law, and I'm not going to ask you about that. But the second item asks whether the in your opinion I'm asking your opinion. Would the facility pose a threat of serious injury to the environment or social

	1076		10	078
1	MR. SMITH: Can you point to me where it is so I	1	COMMISSIONER HANSON: No. The web.	
2	can	2	Q. If Mr. Hohn were to bring the large map over to you, would	
3	MR. WHITE: Sure. It's on page 4 of the direct	3	that help?	
4	testimony.	4	A. No. I'll do the small one.	
5	MR. SMITH: Sustained. You've already testified to	5	Q. Okay.	
6	that. Asked and answered.	6	A. Can I explain this map?	
7	MR. HOHN: Is he allowed to elaborate beyond what's in	7	Q. Yes. Please do.	
8	his written testimony?	8	A. Okay. It's published in the year 2004 by the State DENR.	
9	MR. WHITE: It would go well beyond the scope of the	9	Derric I les is the State Geologist. And it shows the surficial	
10	recross and redirect we've had so far.	10	deposits, the glacial deposits that cover the eastern side of	
11	MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain the objection.	11	South Dakota.	
12	MR. WHITE: Thank you.	12	The different colors are the different types of moraines	
13	MR. HOHN: Thank you.	13	composed of till. The yellow of particular interest here is the	
14	MR. SMITH: I believe you're excused, Mr. Davis.	14	alluvium.	
15	Thank you very much. That was interesting.	15	Now these deposits were brought down by glaciers that	
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	16	started up in Canada. Came down. There was the Dakota Lobe,	
17	(The witness is excused)	17	Des Moines Lobe, et cetera.	
18	MR. SMITH: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rasmussen?	18	Till is not very permeable. I mentioned in my paper here	
19	MR. RASMUSSEN: Call Dr. Perry Rahn.	19	an age date was found to be about 10,000 years old. So there's	
20	(The witness is sworn by the court reporter)	20	virtually no movement of water through these glacial moraines.	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION	21	And then you'd have to look in detail at the legend to see what	
23	BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. Would you state your name and address, please.	23	those different moraines are called. Some are lateral moraines,	
24	Q. Would you state your name and address, please.A. Perry Rahn. Rapid City.	24	some end moraines, some stagnation moraines. It's all till.	
25	Q. And what do you do?	25	The aquifers here are outwash and alluvium, been referred to in the Sioux Falls study by Neil Koch as alluvium mantled	
			<u>`</u> `	079
1	1077 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at	1		079
1 2	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines.	1 2	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer.	079
1 _	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at			079
2	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines.	2	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these	019
3	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines.Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in	3	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided.	079
2 3 4	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? 	2 3 4	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down	079
2 3 4 5	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one.	079
2 3 4 5 6	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have 	2 3 4 5 6	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24	079
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same 	2 3 4 5 6 7	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few.	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer.	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal.	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained.	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on there; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained. Now I know the scale of the map is another thing. If you	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on there; is that right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained. Now I know the scale of the map is another thing. If you do a detail of the area say within the city limits or something,	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on there; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And tell me about what those lines represent. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained. Now I know the scale of the map is another thing. If you do a detail of the area say within the city limits or something, you might find a very small little bit of alluvium that's not a	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on there; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And tell me about what those lines represent. A. Could I go up to the map?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained. Now I know the scale of the map is another thing. If you do a detail of the area say within the city limits or something, you might find a very small little bit of alluvium that's not a map this scale of map. But I chose this because it's a brand	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on there; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And tell me about what those lines represent. A. Could I go up to the map? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained. Now I know the scale of the map is another thing. If you do a detail of the area say within the city limits or something, you might find a very small little bit of alluvium that's not a	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on there; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And tell me about what those lines represent. A. Could I go up to the map? Q. Actually there's a smaller version. We need you to talk 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained. Now I know the scale of the map is another thing. If you do a detail of the area say within the city limits or something, you might find a very small little bit of alluvium that's not a map this scale of map. But I chose this because it's a brand new map, because it shows the whole pipeline route, all of the	079
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I'm now retired, but I used to teach geology courses at South Dakota School of Mines. Q. You have provided direct testimony in a written form in this case as well as your CV; is that right? A. Yes. Q. If you were to be asked the same questions and we have marked that as WEB Exhibit 5. If you were to be asked the same questions here today, would you give the same answers as is provided in the written direct testimony? A. Yes. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd offer WEB Exhibit 5. MR. WHITE: No objection. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: WEB Exhibit 5 is received into evidence. Q. We've previously received into evidence WEB Exhibit 3, which is a version of the large map that is up on the wall. You were the one that obtained that large map and put the lines on there; is that right? A. Yes. Q. All right. And tell me about what those lines represent. A. Could I go up to the map? Q. Actually there's a smaller version. We need you to talk into the microphone so if you could do it at the table, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	outwash aquifer is a single unconfined water table aquifer. So the yellow bands give you a feeling of where these sensitive areas are. These aquifers, they should be avoided. And I I looked at the proposed Keystone right coming down here. There it crossed an aquifer. There's another one. There's another one. And I counted let's see I think 24 different stream valleys where the alluvium was present before you get down to the Missouri. That's quite a few. And if you add up the mileage of the alluvial valleys where you crossed them, it's like 24 miles where you're on an aquifer. It seemed to me like they could find a better route. And I looked at this map and if you just go like 8 miles to the east and come through those deposits, there's no aquifer crossing at all. It's all till. What a wonderful deal. Why doesn't TransCanada utilize the geology here? They missed the boat. They should put it in an area where in case there's a leak it would be contained. Now I know the scale of the map is another thing. If you do a detail of the area say within the city limits or something, you might find a very small little bit of alluvium that's not a map this scale of map. But I chose this because it's a brand new map, because it shows the whole pipeline route, all of the consistent mapping scale and geology.	079

			1080			1082
1	you s	study the culture there. I know they put a lot of effort	1	Q.	In looking at your proposed or your direct testimony.	
2	into	the work already, but they should have had why didn't	2	2 A.	Yeah.	
3	they	consult DENR, the State Geologic Survey people and get them	3	Q.	Page 3, beginning at line 129. And I think you indicate	
4	to fir	d the favorable route? I don't know why not.	4	that	if oil leaked into these alluvial deposits, the	
5		That's pretty much it. Thanks.	5	cont	aminants would move slowly down gradient into the	
6		MR. RASMUSSEN: Tender Dr. Rahn for cross-examination.	€	Jam	es River Valley; is that correct?	
7		MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn, do you have any questions?	7	Α.	If it's written there, it must be what I wrote.	
8		MR. HOHN: Not at this time. No thanks.	8	Q.	Did you prepare this testimony?	
9		MR. SMITH: Mr. White.	9	Α.	Oh, yes.	
10		MR. WHITE: Thank you.	10	Q.	Did you have others participate in the preparation of the	
11		<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	11	testi	mony?	
12	BY M	R. WHITE:	12	2 A.	No.	
13	Q.	Morning, Dr. Rahn. I see you've anticipated some of my	13	Q.	And did you conduct an analysis of the transport of crude	
14	quest	ions, but I'll ask them anyway.	14	oil fr	om a potential leak from the pipeline?	
15		Do you have any expertise in pipeline routing?	15	Α.	No.	
16	A.	Not specifically that.	16	Q.	Do you know how long it would take crude oil from a spill	
17	Q.	Okay. And in developing the dashed line on the map here	17	to co	over the distance down to the James River Valley from the	
18	which	represents your proposed reroute, have you taken into	18	pipe	ine route?	
19	accou	nt the impact of the proposed reroute on cultural	19	Α.	Well, the big variation there if it seeps into a stream	
20	resou	rces?	20	that	's flowing down into the James River, it wouldn't take long	
21	A.	No.	21		II. But if you're asking if it seeps into an aquifer, then	
22	Q.	Have you taken into account the impact of your proposed	22		ground water flow how long would it take? It would be a long	
23	rerou	te on grasslands?	23	time	2.	
24	A.	No.	24	-	Quite a long time?	
25	Q.	Other sensitive environmental features?	25	Α.	Yeah.	
			1081			1083
	_			_		
1	Α.	Other than ground water, no.	1		And did I understand your testimony to be that these	
2	Q.	Threatened and endangered species?	2	2 alluv	ial we call them fingers, the yellow fingers on the map,	
1 2 3	Q. A.	Threatened and endangered species? No.	_	alluv are g	ial we call them fingers, the yellow fingers on the map, generally overlain by tills and	
2 3 4	Q. A. Q.	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands?	3	alluv are (ial we call them fingers, the yellow fingers on the map, generally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass	
2 3 4 5	Q. A. Q. A.	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se.	3 4 5	alluv are (A. grov	nial we call them fingers, the yellow fingers on the map, generally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the	
2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas?	3	alluv are (A. grov yello	generally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age,	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that?	2 3 4 5	alluv are g A. grov yello	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass was on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the low deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, and means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock.	2 3 4 5 6 7	alluv are (A. grov yello which But	ial we call them fingers, the yellow fingers on the map, generally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	alluv are g A. grov yello whith But insta	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass was on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ence.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. don't	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	alluv are g A. grov yella which But inst. Q.	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A. Q. don't	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	alluv are g A. grov yello which But inst: Q. A.	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass we on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ence. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. don't	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	alluv are g A. grov yelld whie But inst: Q. A. repo	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. don't Q. A. Dakc	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	alluv are g A. grov yello yello But inst: Q. A. repc outv	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass we on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. don't Q. A. Dako the s	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	alluv are of A. grov yello yello yello ottv	generally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. don't Q. A. Dake the s	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is urficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	alluv are of A. grow yellow which instruction Q. A. report outs.	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass with some of the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. don't Q. A. Dake the s	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the up. Could you identify that on your map?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	alluv are g A. grov yello which but inst: Q. A. repo outv the	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass we on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. don't the s Q. cotea A.	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the u. Could you identify that on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	alluv are g A. grov yello which instance in the g the country are g	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass was on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. don't Q. A. Dako the s Q. cotea A. Origin	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is urficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the u. Could you identify that on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The in of the Prairie Coteau. The glacier came down from Canada	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	alluv are grown yello ye	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass wis on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. don't Q. the s Q. cotea A. Originand p	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the current could you identify that on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The current of the Prairie Coteau. The glacier came down from Canada coarted right here up near Sisseton and one lobe came down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	alluv are of A. grov yello yello yello otto A. repo outv the	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass was on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. don't de s Q. cotea A. Original and particular de through	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe made reference to a paper that I wrote, The surficial deposits right at on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The surficial deposits right here up near Sisseton and one lobe came down and the James River Valley and the other lobe over here in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	alluv are g A. grov yelld But inst: Q. A. repc outv the	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass we on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION MS. SEMMLER: Did you obtain an opinion on your route from the State	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Dako the s Q. cotea A. Original and part throat lower	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the surfocial deposits right at on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The surfocial deposits right that on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The surfocial deposits right here up near Sisseton and one lobe came down and they piled up lateral moraines and so you have like a	2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	alluv are g A. grov yelld Which But inst: Q. A. repo outv the BY N Q. Geol	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass was on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. don't he s Q. cotea A. Original through the second of the se	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the surfold you identify that on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The sun of the Prairie Coteau. The glacier came down from Canada parted right here up near Sisseton and one lobe came down and they piled up lateral moraines and so you have like a appment about 500 foot high in the valleys here up to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	alluv are g A. grov yello yello whie But inst: Q. A. repo outv the BY N Q. Geol	ial we call them fingers, the yellow fingers on the map, generally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION. MS. SEMMLER: Did you obtain an opinion on your route from the State ogical Survey or DENR? No.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. don't he s Q. cotea A. Original through the second of the se	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the surficial deposits right at on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The surfice Coteau. The glacier came down from Canada coarted right here up near Sisseton and one lobe came down augh the James River Valley and the other lobe over here in a rand they piled up lateral moraines and so you have like a rement about 500 foot high in the valleys here up to the die coteau.	2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21 22	alluv are g A. grov yelld But inst: Q. A. repc outv the BY N Q. Geol	penerally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION MS. SEMMLER: Did you obtain an opinion on your route from the State ogical Survey or DENR? No. Do you have any personal knowledge of the meetings that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. don't de s Q. cotea A. Original of through the second through through the second through the second through the second through	Threatened and endangered species? No. Wetlands? Not per se. Rocky areas? What do you mean by that? Areas of consolidated rock. Well, I should sit down with your geology staff because I think you're going to find any. So you haven't taken a look at that? Those are hundreds of feet down. The Cretaceous rocks, the sta Sandstone, Greenhorn, Niobrara. Our median interest is surficial deposits right up where the pipeline goes through. And I believe we had some testimony earlier about the surfold you identify that on your map? Yeah. I even made reference to a paper that I wrote, The sun of the Prairie Coteau. The glacier came down from Canada parted right here up near Sisseton and one lobe came down and they piled up lateral moraines and so you have like a appment about 500 foot high in the valleys here up to the	2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	alluv are g A. grov yelld Which But inst: Q. A. repo outv the BY N Q. Geol A. Geol A. Tran	ial we call them fingers, the yellow fingers on the map, generally overlain by tills and No, no, no. They're not overlain by anything except grass ws on them. No. They are the most recent deposit, the ow deposits along the streams. They are Holocene in age, ch means roughly they'll last roughly 10 or 15,000 years. beneath them are your glacial deposits and the outwash, for ance. And those are fairly impermeable? No. The outwash are the aquifers. There's a number of orts by the State Geologic Survey that in detail shows those wash aquifers, how many gallons per minute can be produced by cities and so on there. MR. WHITE: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, any questions of Mr. Rahn? MS. SEMMLER: I do. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION. MS. SEMMLER: Did you obtain an opinion on your route from the State ogical Survey or DENR? No.	

	1084		1086
1	MS. SEMMLER: Thank you.	1	THE WITNESS: Well, just barely in time to get it to
2	MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have any questions?	2	you people.
3	Commissioner Hanson.	3	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you remember a date?
4	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I think I just have one in an	4	THE WITNESS: I think it was around November 13.
5	effort to totally clarify my ignorance.	5	There was a few typos in that too. I have cleaned it up a
6	How would you define till?	6	little. I think it was just a few days before the deadline.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a surficial deposit made by	7	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you.
8	a glacier piling its debris that it carries and it's there's	8	MR. SMITH: Any other Commissioner questions of
9	several types of till. For instance, if it's being where the	9	Dr. Rahn?
10	glacier's melting, that stuff accumulates and then the glacier	10	Do you have any Commissioner Johnson.
11	rides over it. It could pack it down pretty hard and form	11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I would just note that I'm in my
12	what's called a drumlin.	12	30s, and I can barely read the legend so I'm glad somebody
13	The other type is when the glacier's melting, global	13	brought the large one. That will be helpful.
14	warming, you know, and it's going back to Canada and it just	14	THE WITNESS: I can leave it on the wall but I'm going
15	drops till loose on the surface. That's called an ablation	15	back to Rapid City now and someone should promise that we get it
16	till. There's different kinds of tills, but it's virtually	16	back.
17	impermeable.	17	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: We've got it on the CD; right?
18	COMMISSIONER HANSON: You were speaking of surficial	18	MR. RASMUSSEN: Yeah. And the actual exhibit has a
19	deposits and till and a suggested route and giving us somewhat	19	larger legend on it also.
20	of a little bit of a knowledge of what this is all about.	20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm just giving you a hard time,
21	Can you tell us approximately and I know it varies,	21	Mr. Rahn. We do have it electronically. We can blow it up.
22	but can you tell us approximately what the depth is of this	22	MR. SMITH: This document we can also procure a large
23	is just a map of the surface.	23	copy like that, could we not, from the geological surveys?
24	THE WITNESS: Right.	24	Derric, is that available to us?
25	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And we don't know by looking at	25	MR. ILES: Yes.
	1085		1087
1	this if this is a foot or if this is 100 feet; is that correct?	1	MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you. Any redirect,
2	THE WITNESS: I can give you some rough estimates.	2	Mr. Rasmussen?
3	Sometimes in the legend, if you could read it, the scale there	3	MR. RASMUSSEN: No.
4	that you have, it gives approximate thicknesses of these	4	MR. SMITH: Then you're excused, Dr. Rahn.
5	deposits.	5	MR. HOHN: I have a question.
6	The tills may be up to 300 feet thick. The alluvium	6	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn.
7	is probably going to be 50 feet or less thick. And there are	7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	cross-sections. Some of these reports that I have referenced	8	BY MR. HOHN:
9	give cross-sections through here that show you the thickness	9	Q. Mr. Rahn, this is Bulletin 23, geological report for
10	before you get down to the cretaceous bedrock. They're	10	Marshall County. It was used by a previous witness,
11	beautiful reports.	11	Ms. Winkler.
12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: It would take a much, much	12	Are you familiar with this document?
13	larger map than what we have. I appreciate your testimony. I	13	MR. WHITE: Objection. There was no redirect. I
14	found it very interesting. Thank you.	14	don't understand why we're having recross.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	15	A. Yes.
16	MR. SMITH: Other Commissioner questions.	16	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn, I think I'm going to have to
17	Commissioner Kolbeck.	17	sustain that. There was no redirect, and we're done.
18	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Dr. Rahn, have you been	18	MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you.
19	listening on-line to any of the other testimony given?	19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Smith, when Commissioners ask
20	THE WITNESS: No. This is the first I have been here.	20	questions that might raise new lines of questioning which
21	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I was just wondering, there has	21 22	couldn't somebody want to follow up on those lines of
23	been discussion, great discussion, of the James Aquifer, and I	23	questioning with some
24	was just wondering if you agreed with that.	24	MR. SMITH: I stand corrected. If we're getting into
4 4	When did you write your testimony, Dr. Rahn? Do you	4	something that may have been
25	remember the date?	25	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I mean, Commissioner Kolbeck did

		088		1090
1	ask some questions about evidence with regard to other maps that	1	within 50 feet of the surface or deeper than 50 feet but under	
2	were offered. And I don't know. Maybe that's not sufficient or	2	100 feet or over 100 feet.	
3	not. I'm certainly not an attorney.	3	MR. HOHN: Thank you.	
4	MR. SMITH: I can't remember which Commissioner, but	4	MR. SMITH: I just I have one question here for	
5	somebody did ask him about the James Aquifer. And so, Perry, do	5	you, Perry. On the Clark map, the tan material I can't see	
6	you want to did he leave? Do you want to take the stand for	6	this thing either so I again, colorwise, I mean, it looks to	
7	a minute again. I think you're right, Commissioner Johnson.	7	me like the one sort of tannish-yellow material on this map	
8	I'm overruling. I'm changing my mind is what I'm	8	appears to be the Dakota Formation.	
9	doing.	9	Could you please describe for me just really roughly	
10	MR. RASMUSSEN: Overruled by a higher court.	10	what the Dakota Formation is?	
11	MR. SMITH: That's right.	11	THE WITNESS: That's the bedrock here in the eastern	
12	MR. HOHN: I just have two questions for you,	12	part of the state that was utilized back a hundred or so years	
13	Dr. Rahn.	13	ago. They drilled down 300, 400 feet, and hit an end flow.	
14	Q. You referred to outstanding detailed reports that show	14	There's some wonderful flowing wells.	
15	greater detail in relation to the larger map you've presented.	15	Woonsocket's on it, developed from this aquifer. But	
16	Is this an example of one, the Marshall report that I	16	the water isn't all that good, pretty highly mineralized, and	
17	showed you just a moment ago?	17	it's been dropped in the piesometric level. A lot of those	
18	A. Yes. The Clark County map has a different scale. Things	18	wells don't flow anymore. They have to be pumped, and you're	
19	were blown up nicely so you have more detail than you do on the	19	getting a big draw down. But the Dakota does not crop out here.	
20	big map of the whole that shows the whole State of South	20	It's over 100 feet deep they say.	
21	Dakota.	21	MR. SMITH: So those areas that are Dakota areas,	
22	Q. Then I have two questions very specific, and they're not	22	they're not they're not particularly vulnerable areas?	
23	they're short. Are you familiar with the Marshall County	23	THE WITNESS: They're not sensitive, vulnerable, high	
24	detailed maps and Clark County maps?	24	consequence, whatever you want to call it. They're way down on	
25	A. Yes.	25	the bedrock. Our immediate concern in this whole project are	
	•	089		1091
1 .				
1	Q. And with regard to Marshall County, is there a large sand	1	the surficial materials and stuff right up close to the land	.00.
2	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County?	2	surface, I mean, within a few feet.	.00.
2 3	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein.	3	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other	.00.
2 3 4	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry.	2 3 4	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very	
2 3 4 5	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question.	2 3 4 5	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much.	
2 3 4 5 6	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your	2 3 4 5 6	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused)	
2 3 4 5 6 7	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what	2 3 4 5 6 7	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION. BY MS. GIEDD:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a legend in the upper right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIEDD: Q. Would you state your name for the record, please.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a legend in the upper right. A. The yellow is alluvium. Is that what you're referring to,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIEDD: Q. Would you state your name for the record, please. A. Derric Hes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a legend in the upper right. A. The yellow is alluvium. Is that what you're referring to, what's the yellow color?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIEDD: Q. Would you state your name for the record, please. A. Derric Hes. Q. What's your business address?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a legend in the upper right. A. The yellow is alluvium. Is that what you're referring to, what's the yellow color?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIEDD: Q. Would you state your name for the record, please. A. Derric Hes. Q. What's your business address?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a legend in the upper right. A. The yellow is alluvium. Is that what you're referring to, what's the yellow color? Q. Yes. Are the yellow and grays similar soils that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIEDD: Q. Would you state your name for the record, please. A. Derric Hes. Q. What's your business address? A. Akeley-Lawrence Science Center, University of South Dakota	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a legend in the upper right. A. The yellow is alluvium. Is that what you're referring to, what's the yellow color? Q. Yes. Are the yellow and grays similar soils that would accept that have quantities of water I guess is what I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIEDD: Q. Would you state your name for the record, please. A. Derric Hes. Q. What's your business address? A. Akeley-Lawrence Science Center, University of South Dakota Q. In Vermillion?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	vein in that area on the western edge of Marshall County? A. You know, we don't like the term vein. Q. I'm sorry. A. But would you rephrase that question. Q. I'm afraid to, Professor. Would you describe based on your review of the detailed maps of the Marshall County study, what you find in the western area where this pipeline is routed, the majority of the soils. A. I referred to that report in the written testimony here, and I would have to get a copy of my testimony. But I think I was referring to the fact that the outwash the real good aquifers there are connected to the alluvium in many places. Q. Okay. And then with regard to the Clark County map, which is WEB Exhibit 4, which you held up just a moment ago, the more detailed map, does that show a similar or what does that show on the western edge of that county map? I believe there's a legend in the upper right. A. The yellow is alluvium. Is that what you're referring to, what's the yellow color? Q. Yes. Are the yellow and grays similar soils that would accept that have quantities of water I guess is what I'm asking?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	surface, I mean, within a few feet. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Unless there are other Commissioner questions, you're excused then. Thank you very much. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: I think the Commissioners have asked to take a short break, Mr. Rasmussen, if that's okay. MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. MR. SMITH: Thank you. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Thanks, everybody. Following a 10-minute break we're back in session. And, Mr. Rasmussen, you may proceed. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GIEDD: Q. Would you state your name for the record, please. A. Derric I les. Q. What's your business address? A. Akeley-Lawrence Science Center, University of South Dakota Q. In Vermillion? A. In Vermillion somewhere on Clark Street.	

j
l
5
1

1096 1098 1 1 the map. I believe the unit description is alluvium. Clay to It may have just been the way that I understood the 2 boulder-sized class with locally abundant organic material. 2 testimony of Dr. Rahn, but I got the impression that he was at 3 Thickness up to 75 feet. 3 one point trying to say that the map that was just referred to 4 That's a very generic description, and it implies that we 4 was in some way just a larger or blown-up version of the State 5 5 could have some very large aggregate particles in it up to Geologic Map. And that is in no way, shape, or form what that 6 6 boulder size and it could be very thick up to 75 feet. So one map is. 7 7 comment I'll make is about the thickness and about the way that The map that was just referred to is called the First 8 Dr. Rahn characterized the alluvium. 8 Occurrence of Aquifer Materials in Clark County, South Dakota. 9 9 My understanding of his testimony is that the impression he It's part of a map series that the Geological Survey is 10 10 was leaving is that we have this thin veneer of alluvium that producing to help county commissions, city planning groups, 11 11 the clay silt-sized particles that may be underlain by aquifers, farmers, ranchers, my regulatory friends in DENR help to wade 12 12 the glacial outwash or the sands and gravels. through the volumes of geologic information, hydrologic 13 While that is certainly the case along the route of the Big 13 information, and look at just a snapshot view of how deep is it 14 14 Sioux Aquifer, which is in no way, shape, or form part of our to the first mappable aquifer unit under my particular piece of 15 15 property. discussion here about the pipeline, I would expect that that 16 16 would not be the case over most of the alluvium that would be And the reason that's important is because DENR has permits 17 17 crossed by the pipeline. that reference the three depth categories that Dr. Rahn 18 In fact, I would guess -- and I emphasize guess. I would 18 mentioned in his testimony. 19 19 That's what that map is. It's not a different version of guess that we would be looking at a thin veneer of clays and 20 20 silts that might not be underlain by any significant amount of the State Geologic Map. It is our best interpretation with the 21 21 sand and gravel along most of the pipeline route. So that's one available data as to how deep you would have to drill below land 22 22 clarification. surface before you would encounter the first mappable aquifer 23 And I can't get anymore detailed than that because I have 23 unit. And we've separated it into those three depth categories, 24 24 which are basically 0 to 50 feet, 50 to 100 feet, and greater not studied it. I haven't looked at the available test hole 25 25 information. Nor have I personally done the geologic mapping. than 100 feet. 1097 1099 1 1 Another clarification I'd like to make about the map is So it's a way that you don't have to be a geologist or a 2 2 whenever you use a map you have to understand its basis. And hydrologist. You just have to be able to read and see color, 3 3 you have to understand the limitations. One of the artifacts I and you can tell what kind of aquifer material you would likely 4 guess of the production of this map is that in general if you 4 first encounter and in which of those three depth categories you 5 were to actually see a copy of the map that you could look at 5 would likely encounter it. 6 without straining your eyes, you would notice that the alluvium 6 Q. By the way, Derric, do you design pipeline routes? 7 7 A. that is mapped in the western part of the state or west of the No. 8 Missouri River is in many cases much narrower in representation 8 Q. For anybody? 9 9 A. on that map than the alluvium mapped east of the Missouri River. No. 10 And it's because the way that the map was compiled and put 10 Q. It's not one of your duties? 11 11 together. Many of the areas of eastern South Dakota were taken A. Not in any way, shape, or form. 12 12 MS. GIEDD: Thank you. from previously published maps of a greater detailed scale, but 13 13 still the width of the alluvium on those maps was **DIRECT EXAMINATION** 14 14 BY MR. RASMUSSEN: overrepresented just because of the way those maps were made. 15 That overrepresentation of the width of the alluvium was 15 You mentioned that you had seen or reviewed Dr. Davis' 16 16 then transferred to the map that you saw hanging on the wall. prefiled testimony. How did that come about? 17 17 A. And the reason that's important and that I'm even talking about After I left here yesterday I was listening to testimony 18 it is that there was a number thrown out as to the number of 18 over the Internet, and I just had occasion to go on and read it 19 19 miles of alluvium that the pipeline would cross. because I knew that Dr. Davis was going to testify. 20 I would expect that if we would go out into the field and 20 Q. Are you acquainted with Dr. Davis? 21 21 conduct geologic mapping over the next few weeks or month, we A. Yes, I am. 22 22 O would come up with a much smaller number than was thrown out. How is that? How do you know him? 23 23 So those are two things about the map that I wanted to clarify. A. I've known Dr. Davis since the time that he worked on his 24 24 There was also, I believe it's WEB 4, a smaller map. What first Williams Pipeline project. 25 is that map of? 25 Q. And do you know Dr. Rahn also?

			1100		1102
1	A.	Yes, I do.	1	A. Yes.	
2	Q.	And how do you know him?	2	Q. And which case was that?	
3	A.	I've known Dr. Rahn for a long, long time. And, in fact,	3	A. It was a Williams Pipeline leak north of Sioux Falls. And	
4	Dr.	Rahn is a co-author on one of our geologic maps that we	4	I do not recall the year. And I was only on the stand briefly	
5	pub	lished in the Black Hills, and I've worked with Dr. Rahn on	5	as a witness. I had not done any work at the site. I had come	
6	that	project as well as consulted with him on some others.	6	to the site. I had just observed a few things, and then I was	
7	Q.	Are both he and Dr. Davis well respected in the field?	7	called to the witness stand to answer a few questions.	
8	A.	Yes.	8	Q. And what were you testifying about?	
9	Q.	You mentioned in talking about the alluvium that you would	9	A. To be honest, I can't recall.	
10	gues	ss it would be a certain way, but you don't know for sure.	10	Q. If you were to be asked to comment on a pipeline route, is	
11		Do you remember that testimony?	11	that something you would do, or is that totally outside what	
12	A.	Yes. And that's correct. Just as no one else can look at	12	you're authorized to perform?	
13	the	map and say that alluvium's underlain by a great amount of	13	A. It's outside of my area of expertise. If the questions	
14	san	d and gravel.	14	involved interpretations of geology or hydrology, then I would	
15	Q.	How would one find that out?	15	feel comfortable doing so.	
16	A.	Field work. Either feet on the ground doing geologic	16	Q. Okay. And you have not been asked to do that in this	
17	ma	oping, looking at well logs that were referred to by	17	particular case?	
18	Jim	Goodman from the Water Rights Program, looking at drillers'	18	A. No, I have not.	
19	logs	and route completion logs that are available on our on-line	19	MR. RASMUSSEN: Thank you. That's all I have.	
20	data	abase. And then if you aren't satisfied with that, then	20	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn.	
21		itional drilling.	21	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
22	Q.	And do you know if that sort of stuff has been done in	22	BY MR. HOHN:	
23		nection with this project?	23	Q. Is the information in your maps and the documents available	
24	Α.	I have no idea.	24	in your agency used by engineers for designing rural water	
25	Q.	Have you been involved in consulting with anyone relative	25	wells?	4400
1	to th	nis project in any manner?	1101	A. Yes, they are.	1103
2	A.	No.	2	Q. And from your observation working with engineers who design	
3	Q.	I think there was a witness yesterday, Ms help me out.	3	rural water wells, what kind of detail are they looking for when	
4	٦.			raid nate nois, matrina er detail are trieg teetang te	
5	Q.	MS. SFMMLFR: Winkler.	4	they come to you for information?	
6		MS. SEMMLER: Winkler. Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at		they come to you for information? A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get	
7		Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at	5 6	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get.	
	som	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point?	5	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also	
8	som	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes.	5	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level	
8 9	som A.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third	5 6 7	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking.	
	A. I die	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes.	5 6 7 8	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level	
9	A. I did	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information	5 6 7 8 9	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several	
9	A. I did	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third ty from Bay West wherein they were asking for information to we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of	5 6 7 8 9	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount	
9 10 11	A. I did part that	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information to we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota.	5 6 7 8 9 10	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed	
9 10 11 12	A. I did part that Sou	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific	
9 10 11 12 13	A. I did part that Sou	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information a we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location.	
9 10 11 12 13 14	som A. I did part that Sou for: our Q.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	som A. I did part that Sou for: our Q.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third try from Bay West wherein they were asking for information we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I did part that Sour for tour Q. the A.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I did part that Sour for tour Q. the A.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline? One conversation, and that was with Mr. Koenecke when he	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? A. I'd like to think that's true. 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I did part that Sour for our Q. the A. called	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. dtalk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of the Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline? One conversation, and that was with Mr. Koenecke when he ed to find out why I thought I might have been subpoenaed.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? A. I'd like to think that's true. Q. The map you have in front of you, I'm not sure of the 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	som A. I did partition that sour cour Q. the A. calle Q.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline? One conversation, and that was with Mr. Koenecke when he ed to find out why I thought I might have been subpoenaed. Okay. And do you have any idea?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? A. I'd like to think that's true. Q. The map you have in front of you, I'm not sure of the number, the large rollup map showing the pipe route through 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	som A. I did parithat Sour for tour Q. the A. calle Q. A. Q.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. d talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of th Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline? One conversation, and that was with Mr. Koenecke when he ed to find out why I thought I might have been subpoenaed. Okay. And do you have any idea? I had no idea.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? A. I'd like to think that's true. Q. The map you have in front of you, I'm not sure of the number, the large rollup map showing the pipe route through Marshall County, do you see that portion of the map at the top? 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	som A. I did parithat Sour for tour Q. the A. calle Q. A. Q.	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. dtalk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of the Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline? One conversation, and that was with Mr. Koenecke when he ed to find out why I thought I might have been subpoenaed. Okay. And do you have any idea? I had no idea. Other than Mr. Koenecke, you haven't had any conversations	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? A. I'd like to think that's true. Q. The map you have in front of you, I'm not sure of the number, the large rollup map showing the pipe route through Marshall County, do you see that portion of the map at the top? A. Yes, I do.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	som A. I did parithat Sour for the A. calle Q. A. q. with	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. detalk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of the Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline? One conversation, and that was with Mr. Koenecke when he end to find out why I thought I might have been subpoenaed. Okay. And do you have any idea? I had no idea. Other than Mr. Koenecke, you haven't had any conversations anyone connected with TransCanada or the pipeline?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? A. I'd like to think that's true. Q. The map you have in front of you, I'm not sure of the number, the large rollup map showing the pipe route through Marshall County, do you see that portion of the map at the top? A. Yes, I do. Q. Looking at the copy that we have here on the table, which 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	som A. I did parithat Sour for Q. the A. calle Q. A. Q. with A. Q. in a	Testified about talking to you. Did you talk with her at e point? If that's what you mean by consulting with somebody, yes. de talk with Bryan Murdock and Brenda Winkler and a third by from Bay West wherein they were asking for information as we may have regarding the geology and hydrology of the Dakota. And I directed them to our website where it's all available free and tried to highlight those reports and those parts of database that I thought might be most useful to them. Did you have any conversations with anyone connected with Keystone Pipeline? One conversation, and that was with Mr. Koenecke when he end to find out why I thought I might have been subpoenaed. Okay. And do you have any idea? I had no idea. Other than Mr. Koenecke, you haven't had any conversations anyone connected with TransCanada or the pipeline? No, I have not. You testified that you had you had previously testified spill case?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. They're looking for the most detail that they can get. Dr. Davis mentioned Murphy's Law in his testimony, and I am also a believer in Murphy's Law. And we usually don't have the level of detail in our information that people are seeking. Our information is statewide, county-wide, or over several square miles. We often don't have the level of or the amount of information necessary to make the very detailed interpretations that people are asking us for at a specific location. Q. Is it fair to say, though, that they come to you because you probably have most of the detail the best source of detailed information that's available? A. I'd like to think that's true. Q. The map you have in front of you, I'm not sure of the number, the large rollup map showing the pipe route through Marshall County, do you see that portion of the map at the top? A. Yes, I do. Q. Looking at the copy that we have here on the table, which is the copy of the same thing that you have, there's a in the western edge of Marshall County there's a light-colored area on that map. 	

		1104		1106
1	What do you see that showing from Britton west?	1	you may see that they might not match exactly with the State	
2	A. If we're looking at the same part of the map, it is labeled	2	Geologic Map. And it's not because one is right and one is	
3	as lacustrine sediments.	3	wrong. It's just that the State Geologic Map reflects the	
4	Q. Would that be a sandy material?	4	newest interpretation.	
5	A. My experience in drilling in the Redfield area in those	5	Q. Just one last question then. Has there been any has	
6	sediments is no, it's not sandy. It's primarily clay and silt.	6	there been anymore detailed report done since 1975 on	
7	Q. The area along there's a highway going through that area	7	Marshall County?	
8	east to west, Highway 10. Do you see that on your map? Is that	8	A. Not on the county as a whole, no.	
9	shown in detail? I think it is.	9	Q. Thank you very much.	
10	A. I'll take your word that it says 10.	10	MR. SMITH: Is it Mr. Koenecke or Mr. White this time?	
11	Q. Okay. Have you looked let me rephrase. Is there a	11	MR. KOENECKE: I've just got one question, Mr. Smith.	
12	detailed study done on Marshall County, a detailed geologic	12	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
13	study?	13	BY MR. KOENECKE:	
14	A. There was a cooperative effort done many years ago for	14	Q. Good morning, Mr. Iles.	
15	Marshall County. The cooperation was between the U.S.	15	A. Good morning.	
16	Geological Survey and the South Dakota Geological Survey wherein	16	Q. My question for you is the following: It's certainly	
17	we contacted with the County Commission, and we proceeded to	17	possible that TransCanada or Keystone representatives could have	
18	investigate the geologic and ground water resources of	18	gathered information available from your office through the	
19	Marshall County, as we have done for I believe 34 other counties	19	website?	
20	with published reports in eastern South Dakota with seven other	20	A. Oh, it's very possible.	
21	reports still in progress for eastern South Dakota.	21	Q. I guess I've got two questions. Are there other sources of	
22	The study involved the mapping of the surface geology, the	22	information from your office, published books and references?	
23	drilling of a number of test holes, and perhaps installation of	23	A. All of our 700 plus publications are available on our	
24	monitoring wells. And then the U.S. Geological Survey would	24	website. The databases that we maintain on-line contain much of	
25	write a general reconnaissance level report of the ground water	25	the raw data that went into making some of those reports and	
1		1105 1	nublications	1107
_	resources in and under Marshall County, and we, the South Dakota		publications. The items that we might have in-house that wouldn't be	
	Geological Survey, would write a companion report of the	1 2		
3	Geological Survey, would write a companion report of the	2	Ç	
3 4	geologic resources.	3	available on-line might be the old files of working maps,	
3 4	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on	3 4	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final	
3 4 5	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me	3	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report.	
3 4	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that.	3 4 5	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further.	
3 4 5 6	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in	3 4 5 6	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you.	
3 4 5 6 7	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that.	3 4 5 6 7	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further.	
3 4 5 6 7 8	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most	3 4 5 6 7 8	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER:	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And the reason I'm mentioning that is that geologists that may have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over the depicted aquifer materials in WEB 4? Are there other maps	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And the reason I'm mentioning that is that geologists that may have done their work 30 some years ago may have had a certain	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over the depicted aquifer materials in WEB 4? Are there other maps aside from that map that will show the surficial geology in	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And the reason I'm mentioning that is that geologists that may have done their work 30 some years ago may have had a certain understanding, a certain way of interpreting the subsurface	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over the depicted aquifer materials in WEB 4? Are there other maps aside from that map that will show the surficial geology in those areas?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And the reason I'm mentioning that is that geologists that may have done their work 30 some years ago may have had a certain understanding, a certain way of interpreting the subsurface information gathered from the drilling and a certain way of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over the depicted aquifer materials in WEB 4? Are there other maps aside from that map that will show the surficial geology in those areas? A. I believe we have a published report for Clark County	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And the reason I'm mentioning that is that geologists that may have done their work 30 some years ago may have had a certain understanding, a certain way of interpreting the subsurface information gathered from the drilling and a certain way of mapping and categorizing the surface geology units.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over the depicted aquifer materials in WEB 4? Are there other maps aside from that map that will show the surficial geology in those areas? A. I believe we have a published report for Clark County similar to the one in Marshall County that would have a surface	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And the reason I'm mentioning that is that geologists that may have done their work 30 some years ago may have had a certain understanding, a certain way of interpreting the subsurface information gathered from the drilling and a certain way of mapping and categorizing the surface geology units. So the reason for saying that is over the years we may have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over the depicted aquifer materials in WEB 4? Are there other maps aside from that map that will show the surficial geology in those areas? A. I believe we have a published report for Clark County similar to the one in Marshall County that would have a surface geologic map of more detail than the State Geologic Map. Q. Can you comment on what surficial materials lie over those Clark County first occurrence materials along the route of the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	geologic resources. Q. And to your knowledge is that the most detailed report on Marshall County geologic report from Marshall County? Let me rephrase that. Based on your knowledge of the data available in South Dakota on geology, is that the is that report the most detailed report for Marshall County? A. It has a map of the geology or probably more than one map of the geology that is at a scale more detailed than the State Geologic Map. I believe the maps for Marshall County are published at a scale at or near 1 to 100,000 scale as compared to the 1 to 500,000 scale State Geologic Map. I believe that report was published in or around 1975. And the reason I'm mentioning that is that geologists that may have done their work 30 some years ago may have had a certain understanding, a certain way of interpreting the subsurface information gathered from the drilling and a certain way of mapping and categorizing the surface geology units. So the reason for saying that is over the years we may have modified the way that we interpret things due to 30 years more	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	available on-line might be the old files of working maps, working documents that went into the publication of the final report. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions? MS. SEMMLER: I do have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. For clarification we looked at I believe it was WEB 3 and WEB 4, two different maps, and you described earlier the difference between those two maps. Are there other maps that show the surficial geology over the depicted aquifer materials in WEB 4? Are there other maps aside from that map that will show the surficial geology in those areas? A. I believe we have a published report for Clark County similar to the one in Marshall County that would have a surface geologic map of more detail than the State Geologic Map. Q. Can you comment on what surficial materials lie over those	

		1108		1110
1	Q. Please do.	1	A. My name is Bill Markley.	
2	MR. SMITH: You're looking at Derric, I think what	2	Q. And by whom are you employed?	
3	they're looking at is that Clark First Occurrence Map? Okay.	3	A. I'm employed by the South Dakota Department of Environment	
4	Thanks.	4	and Natural Resources.	
5	A. According to the red line that's drawn on the State	5	Q. And how long have you been employed by the Department?	
6	Geologic Map that I have my hand on, the pipeline route in	6	A. Too long. 31 years. Older than dirt I guess you could	
7	western Clark County traverses over a unit that is labeled QLTG.	7	say.	
8	And it is described as till ground moraine.	8	Q. And what's your current position?	
9	There was a question asked earlier I believe of Dr. Rahn,	9	A. I'm the administrator of the Ground Water Quality Program.	
10	you know, how do you define till. I'll give my own definition	10	Q. And tell me what the Ground Water Quality Program does.	
11	of it. It is a heterogenous mixture of clay, silts, sand,	11	A. Okay. We do more than just ground water quality. We're	
12	gravel, and sometimes boulders, but it is primarily clays and	12	broken out into I have four different teams under me. One	
13	silts.	13	team that you'll be talking to here later is headed up by	
14	And I would concur with Dr. Rahn's general assessment of	14	Kim McI ntosh. And that's I would call our spills group so that	
15	those types of sediments in that I believe that usually they are	15	if there's any spills out there, they're reported to that group,	
16	of relatively low permeability, meaning they would not allow	16	and we track them basically from cradle to grave when it first	
17	fluid to move through them very rapidly.	17	comes in through the assessment through the cleanup and then	
18	MS. SEMMLER: Thank you. Nothing further.	18	through the monitoring that goes on afterwards until we finally	
19	MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of	19	decide that they've met state requirements and we give them	
20	Mr. Iles?	20	closure after that.	
21	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I do have one question. I'm	21	Also in that group we have a fund, which is called the	
22	sorry, Mr. Iles. Thank you for being here.	22	Regulated Substance Response Fund. This is a State fund that we	
23	Have you been listening on-line to or you've been	23	use to go out to clean up contamination out there. If there's	
24	here.	24	an emergency or there's an unknown spill or something that's	
25	THE WITNESS: I was here yesterday morning, and I	25	happening that we can't get the responsible party out there	
1	listance on line for probably two bours late vectorday	1109	right away to clean that up we have this what I call the find	1111
2	listened on-line for probably two hours late yesterday. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I can't remember who it is and	2	right away to clean that up, we have this what I call the fund of last resort there to use to go out and take care of what	
3	you'll have to excuse me, but there was a statement made that	3	might be an emergency situation.	
4	95 percent of the route has glacial till underneath it.	4	So that's in that group. And I'm sure I'm probably missing	
5	Would that be something you'd agree or disagree or	5	a few things.	
6	I don't want to put you on the spot where you would make a	6	But then we have the what I call our tank section, which is	
7	decision on the spot, but have you given any thought to that?	7	underground storage tanks and aboveground storage tanks. And	
8	THE WITNESS: The thought that I've given to it is	8	this is a federally delegated underground storage tanks is	
9	right now as I'm looking at the red line drawn on this map. And	9	federally delegated to South Dakota. And we send inspectors out	
10	based on not a very detailed study of this map but my general	10	to make sure that underground storage tanks are in proper shape.	
11	knowledge of what this map contains, I would say that that	11	We have an aboveground storage tank program which has no	
12	probably isn't too far off, that till is the primary sediment	12	federal there is no federal comparable to this. We went	
13	through which the pipeline route would pass.	13	ahead and did this after the it was talked about the	
14	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: All right. Thank you.	14	Williams Pipeline spill earlier today. And we can get into that	
15	MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any	15	later if you want so we have that.	
16	MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further.	16	We also have what's called the Leaking Underground Storage	
17	MR. SMITH: You're excused, Derric. Thanks for	17	Tank Trust Fund, which is another federally delegated program	
18	coming.	18	that we can use for leaking underground storage tanks.	
19	(The witness is excused)	19	Then we have what I would call our Superfund Project	
20	MR. SMITH: Call your next witness, please.	20	section, large projects. We have certain responsibilities for	
21	MR. RASMUSSEN: Bill Markley.	21	Superfund projects like the Gilt Edge Mine out in the Black	
22	(The witness is sworn by the court reporter)	22 23	Hills. Ellsworth Airforce Base is another Superfund Project.	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION_ BY MS. GIEDD:	23	The Badlands Bombing Range. I could probably go on and on. And Williams. I'll throw Williams in there too. That's in that	
25	Q. State your name for the record, please.	25	section.	
	State your name for the record, please.	23	JOGGOT I.	

- 1 And then finally the fourth section is I would say our
- 2 Ground Water Quality section where we have ground water quality
- 3 standards. We have ground water discharge permits. We have
- 4 underground injection control. We have wellhead protection, and
- 5 we have source water protection in that area.
- 6 And I'm sure I'm missing something somewhere.
- 7 Okay. Let's start with what you talked about last, which
- 8 is the Ground Water Quality Standards Program. Tell me about
- 9 the ground water quality standards. Are these things that
- 10 you've developed for ground water in the state?
- 11 How does that work?
- 12 Α. Right. And, again, this is a State program. This is not
- 13 based on any federal program. There are no federal ground water
- 14 quality standards. We developed our own ground water quality
- 15 standards here in the state. And we based them basically on the
- 16 EPA. MCL. Maximum contaminant limit. I think is what it is.
- 17 And so we have set parameters that we protect the ground water
- 18 quality for.
- 19 Q. And by set parameters can you give me an idea of what kind
- 20 of things we're talking about?
- 21 Sure. And I think probably a contaminant of concern here
- 22 is benzene. Benzene is -- blow it here, but parts per billion
- 23 with a B. And so, you know, if there's a problem out there --
- 24 and that's drinking water standard too. And we wanted to
- 25 protect the ground waters of the state for drinking water out
 - there. So it is 5 parts per billion with a B. So that's just
- 1
- 2 one example without having, you know, the huge list of
- 3 parameters here in front of me.
- 4 There is a huge list, though, of parameters?
- 5 Α. Yes
- 6 Q. Are there other parameters that are on that list that are
- 7 associated with hydrocarbon pollutants?
- 8 Sure. Well, for instance, we have what's called a total
- 9 petroleum hydrocarbon standard. That one is 10 parts per
- 10 million, milligrams per liter, if you will. Now that's outside
- 11 of -- and we can get into this later if you want -- wellhead
- 12 protection. But some of the areas out there for wellheads are
- 13 zoned. There's Zone A and Zone B.
- 14 And anything within the Zone A is -- the standard is
- 15 different. It's lower. It's at 0.1 milligrams per liter. And
- 16 that's based on taste and odor. So within Zone A of wellhead
- 17 it's 0.1. And outside of that it's 10, again, milligrams per
- 18 liter.
- 19 Q. Well, and we'll talk about source water protection in a
- 20 minute, but right now I want to focus on ground water quality
- 21 standards.
- 22 Α.
- 23 Are the standards you established health-based standards in
- 24 anv sense?
- 25 Yes, they are

- 1 Q. And how did you develop those and why?
- 2 Again, the vast majority of them are based on, again, EPA's
- 3 maximum contaminant level, which is the standard for drinking
- 4 water. And if they don't have -- if they don't have that set,
- 5 they've also set what are called health-based advisory levels.
- 6 And we've gone with those health-based advisory levels if EPA
- has not set the MCL.
 - And if they haven't set either of those, we say nondetect,
- 9 which means through laboratory analysis you can't pick it up.
- 10 O. You can't find it?
- 11 Α. You can't find it.
- 12 Q. Before we talk about source water protection and wellhead
- 13 protection areas, I want to talk just generally with you about
- 14 spills and leaks.
- 15 A. Sure

8

- 16 And Kim McIntosh of your staff was also subpoenaed by WEB
- 17 today and will be talking about it. Is that your understanding?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. But generally can you give me an idea of the number of
- 20 hydrocarbon -- just hydrocarbon spills or releases that we have
- 21 presently active in South Dakota?
- 22 Oh, presently active? I can't give you a number, but I
- 23 would say -- oh, man. I would say maybe 3 to 400 maybe.
- 24 Q. And by presently active what are we talking about?
- 25 A. Those that have not met state standards yet that are still
- 1 being remediated or have gone through remediation and now we're
 - 2 watching them through our monitoring program to make sure that,
 - 3 you know, enough work was done to clean them up and now we're
 - just watching it to make sure that, you know, we're not going to
 - 5 see an increase in contaminants. It's not going to get away
 - 6 from us and move out. That it is stabilizing and it is
 - 7 decreasing and it is approaching our numbers that we can, you
 - 8 know, close it out.
 - 9 **Q.** And just generally how do you find out about spills and
 - 10 leaks?

1113

- 11 Most spills and -- well, state law says that if you have a
- 12 spill or a release, you are to report that immediately to the
- 13 department. So they're called in to us after hours. You know,
- 14 if you do have a spill or leak out there, you can call it in to
- 15 State Radio, and they'll get that to us 24 hours a day, seven
- 16 days a week.
- 17 Q. And so after the release, and Kim will talk about this in
- 18 more detail, but there is an active program in regard to
- 19 remediation?
- 20 A. Yes. Again, we determine who the responsible party is out
- 21 there that has caused the contamination. We then work with them
- 22 to get an environmental contractor out there to assess the
- 23 amount of contamination and clean it up, and then, again, track
- 24 it and make sure that it meets our standards.
- 25 Now also, I mean, just to kind of back up a little bit, if

1115

	1	116		1118
1	there's an emergency out there, you know, we want them on scene	1	There is also another distinction. We have wellhead	
2	immediately to stop it, contain it, and then start the	2	protection on the books in law in South Dakota, and source water	
3	remediation after that.	3	is not on the books in South Dakota. And what that law does, it	
4	Q. What do you do if you can't find the person responsible?	4	says that local entities, counties, and cities can establish	
5	A. What do we do? We have contractors again with our	5	wellhead protection zones and then within those zones they can	
6	Regulated Substance Response Fund, and a lot of this stuff kind	6	zone for various types of industry or whatever that could	
7	of came out of the Williams Pipeline problems that we had in the	7	potentially get into that wellhead area.	
8	past. We saw I mean, we cut our teeth on that deal. And we	8	Now I mentioned before that a lot of the communities out	
9	saw where the gaps were with the federal programs, and the State	9	there and counties will have two zones, a Zone A and a Zone B.	
10	went in and filled those gaps with I think a good array of	10	The Zone As are usually that area of an aquifer that will draw	
11	programs at this point.	11	water into the public water supply well over a ten-year time of	
12	Q. Can you excuse me, Bill. I'm going to do something	12	travel. In other words, if you have a drop of water out here,	
13	unusual.	13	it's going to take that drop of water 10 years to get into your	
14	MS. GIEDD: Can you read back that last question for	14	well.	
15	me.	15	So that's the area that you really want to protect the	
16	(Reporter reads back the last question)	16	most, those areas. And then the Zone B can be, you know, the	
17	Q. Would you answer that, please.	17	rest of the aquifer in a county or something like that.	
18	A. Oh, I didn't answer that. What do we do? Well, we'll go	18	And I also should say to, again, clarify things that	
19	out there and take care of it. We have contractors ready to go	19	wellhead protection and source water protection are only for	
20	if need be to send them out to, again, contain and clean up, if	20	public water supplies and not for private.	
21	need be.	21	Q. And it sounds like the wellhead protection statutes and	
22	Q. Do you also file lawsuits?	22	program really is a local in terms of zoning level of statutory	
23 24	A. Sure. Q. To do what?	23 24	authority?	
		24	A. Yes, it is.	
			·	
25	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I	25	Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to	1119
25	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I	117	Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to	1119
	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I	25	Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection?	1119
25	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are	25 117 1	Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection?	1119
25 1 2	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do	25 117 1 2	Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard towellhead protection?A. The Department established guidelines for that local	1119
1 2 3	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up.	117 1 2 3	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist 	1119
1 2 3 4	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak	117 1 2 3 4	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, 	1119
25 1 2 3 4 5	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those	117 1 2 3 4 5	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in 	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs?	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 6	Wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that.	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs.	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource?	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens?	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes.	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are.	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency?	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. 	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is?	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that 	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is? A. Okay. Thumbnail version?	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that it's a statewide program, but there are no statutes involved? 	1119
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is? A. Okay. Thumbnail version? Q. Yes.	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that it's a statewide program, but there are no statutes involved? A. Right. We have a statewide program, and there are no 	1119
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, to force somebody to do that work, if need be. But I have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is? A. Okay. Thumbnail version? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Source water and there's been two words kind of	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that it's a statewide program, but there are no statutes involved? A. Right. We have a statewide program, and there are no statutes on the books for that at this point. 	1119
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is? A. Okay. Thumbnail version? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Source water and there's been two words kind of talked about here. There's wellhead protection, and there's source water. And to try to draw a distinction, if you will, think of wellhead protection as part of source water. Wellhead	117 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that it's a statewide program, but there are no statutes involved? A. Right. We have a statewide program, and there are no statutes on the books for that at this point. Q. Is this also just a resource program the Department has developed? A. Yes. Again, that was both of these programs are based 	1119
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is? A. Okay. Thumbnail version? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Source water and there's been two words kind of talked about here. There's wellhead protection, and there's source water. And to try to draw a distinction, if you will, think of wellhead protection as part of source water. Wellhead protection is kind of what it sounds like. You're out there to	117 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that it's a statewide program, but there are no statutes involved? A. Right. We have a statewide program, and there are no statutes on the books for that at this point. Q. Is this also just a resource program the Department has developed? A. Yes. Again, that was both of these programs are based on federal programs. And the federal legislation stated that 	1119
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is? A. Okay. Thumbnail version? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Source water and there's been two words kind of talked about here. There's wellhead protection, and there's source water. And to try to draw a distinction, if you will, think of wellhead protection as part of source water. Wellhead protection is kind of what it sounds like. You're out there to	117 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that it's a statewide program, but there are no statutes involved? A. Right. We have a statewide program, and there are no statutes on the books for that at this point. Q. Is this also just a resource program the Department has developed? A. Yes. Again, that was both of these programs are based on federal programs. And the federal legislation stated that the states needed to do source water protection areas for all 	1119
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	have to say the majority of the people in South Dakota are you know, have been over the years good citizens and want to do the right thing, get out there and get it cleaned up. Q. When you have to spend Regulated Response Funds on a leak do the statutes provide you with any remedy to recover those costs? A. Yes. We are to once we find out who the responsible party is, then we are to go recover those costs. Q. Are you also allowed to file liens? A. Yes, we are. Q. In turning to your Source Water Protection Program, would you describe what that is? A. Okay. Thumbnail version? Q. Yes. A. Okay. Source water and there's been two words kind of talked about here. There's wellhead protection, and there's source water. And to try to draw a distinction, if you will, think of wellhead protection as part of source water. Wellhead protection is kind of what it sounds like. You're out there to	117 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So does the Department actually do anything with regard to wellhead protection? A. The Department established guidelines for that local communities can use. And we have staff available to assist any this can be an advertisement over the Internet, I guess, but any community out there that would like to work with us in setting up their wellhead protection areas. And we've got people available to help out on that. Q. So for wellhead protection DENR is a resource? A. Yes. Q. You're a resource agency? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For source water protection I think you said that it's a statewide program, but there are no statutes involved? A. Right. We have a statewide program, and there are no statutes on the books for that at this point. Q. Is this also just a resource program the Department has developed? A. Yes. Again, that was both of these programs are based on federal programs. And the federal legislation stated that 	1119

23

24

25

the boundaries again of what could get into wells or intakes and

identify potential sources of pollution and what the possibility

would be for those to get into either, again, a wellhead or an

23

24

25

got a reservoir or something like that out there, that's also

that's that distinction there.

included in source water but it's not included in wellhead. So

			1120	1122
1	intake out there.		1	but they kept throwing everything and anything into that pit.
2	And that was really	to be the extent of the program, to do	2	And we made them clean that up too.
3	that, to provide that infor	mation to the local communities, or	3	Q. Was any of that contamination related to a pipeline
4	providers of water I shou	ld say for public, and then they could	4	release?
5	go ahead and do with tho	se as they pleased.	5	A. This was all at the terminal.
6	Q. And so the departmen	t has compiled data with regard to	6	Q. All tank releases?
7	source water protection area	s?	7	A. Well, tank plus that pit.
8	A. Yes.		8	Q. I think there was some reference to a Mr. Mike Meyer being
9	Q. And did you or any of	your staff provide that information	9	involved with Mr. Davis on this.
10	to TransCanada?		10	A. Right. Mike worked for me.
11	A. They asked for it, ar	nd I don't know the all the exact	11	Q. He was one of your staff?
12	information that was prov	vided. One of the people that works for	12	A. Yes, he was. Yes.
13	me, Brian Walsh, senior h	ydrologist with us, I believe will be	13	Q. Okay. Was there an aquifer involved with this release?
14	called later on, and he car	n talk about which information was	14	A. Yeah. It's right on the edge of the aquifer right there.
15	you know, the details on t	the provided information, I guess.	15	Q. And what's the current status of that?
16	Q. Did you review the sou	urce water protection areas where the	16	A. The current status is and I think this is pretty neat
17	TransCanada Application was	6?	17	that we were able to get them to get that place cleaned up and
18	A. Did I review them?		18	all the contamination has been pulled back onto the site where
19	-	ome discussion about the Hayward	19	the Hayward School was contaminated by Tank 1341 I don't have
20	-	Villiams Pipeline release that led to	20	a very good memory, but some numbers are ingrained in my head
21	that school relocation.		21	and that tank's number is there. 1341, that's all cleaned up.
22	Are you familiar with the	hat?	22	If you're in Sioux Falls, I think that's where now Wheel City is
23	A. Yes, I am.		23	now located.
24 25	Q. And how are you famil		24 25	And between the City and us we insisted that Williams build
23	A. I lived there for a wi	hile. No. We were on site.	1121	a new Hayward School and they built it up gradient from their 1123
			1121	1123
1 1	Was DENR responsible	for the remediation of that snill?	1	facility
1 2	_	e for the remediation of that spill?	1 2	facility. Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have
	A. For the remediation	?	2	Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have
	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respon	•	_	
2	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respon remediation of that spill?	? sible to direct and control the	3	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has.
2 3 4	A. For the remediationQ. Well, were you respondent remediation of that spill?A. Yes. We directed	?	2 3 4	Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not?
2 3 4 5	 A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respond remediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed	? sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I	2 3 4 5	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those?
2 3 4 5 6	 A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respond remediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed	? sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I vent out and assessed and found that,	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respon remediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we we yes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School.	? sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I vent out and assessed and found that,	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respon remediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we w yes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liv	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respond remediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we way yes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that live remember they were Well.	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directedcould go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayer pointing the finger and the second s	esible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I vent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you filliams said they didn't do it. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayware pointing the finger a right next door. And we wanted the spin of the spin	esible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I went out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you williams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you respon remediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we w yes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liv remember they were W were pointing the finger a right next door. And we w Geological Survey, and Dr	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you //illiams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was yent out, and we working with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directedcould go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayere pointing the finger a right next door. And we way Geological Survey, and Drisite. He modeled data that	esible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I went out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you dilliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was went out, and we working with the control Davis did some modeling for us on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directedcould go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayere pointing the finger a right next door. And we way Geological Survey, and Drisite. He modeled data that	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you yilliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was went out, and we working with the Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directedcould go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayere pointing the finger aright next door. And we way Geological Survey, and Drisite. He modeled data that of entities and people we indeed cause the problems.	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you yilliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was went out, and we working with the Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we way yes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liver remember they were Way were pointing the finger aright next door. And we way Geological Survey, and Drisite. He modeled data that of entities and people we indeed cause the problem. We tracked then are	yes. We went out and I guess I vent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you villiams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was event out, and we working with the to Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields? A. We have had leaks and releases in our oil fields, yes. Q. Now would you characterize them as generally being small? A. Why don't you ask Kim that question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward send they were was right next door. And we was Geological Survey, and Draste. He modeled data that of entities and people we indeed cause the problem. We tracked then at their further assessment at	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you //illiams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was yent out, and we working with the b. Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did after they did admit to it we tracked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields? A. We have had leaks and releases in our oil fields, yes. Q. Now would you characterize them as generally being small?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we ways, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Warre pointing the finger aright next door. And we was Geological Survey, and Drasite. He modeled data that of entities and people we indeed cause the problem. We tracked then a their further assessment a School. And I might add to	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you yilliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was yent out, and we working with the t. Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did after they did admit to it we tracked and cleanup of that plume at Hayward	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields? A. We have had leaks and releases in our oil fields, yes. Q. Now would you characterize them as generally being small? A. Why don't you ask Kim that question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Ware pointing the finger aright next door. And we was Geological Survey, and Drisite. He modeled data that of entities and people we indeed cause the problem. We tracked then a their further assessment a School. And I might add to contamination on the site.	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you filliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was yent out, and we working with the at Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did after they did admit to it we tracked and cleanup of that plume at Hayward that there were other sources of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields? A. We have had leaks and releases in our oil fields, yes. Q. Now would you characterize them as generally being small? A. Why don't you ask Kim that question. Q. Will do. MS. GIEDD: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liver remember they were Warre pointing the finger aright next door. And we was Geological Survey, and Drisite. He modeled data that of entities and people we indeed cause the problem. We tracked then a their further assessment a School. And I might add to contamination on the site was something about a northeast section of the process.	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I yent out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you yilliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was yent out, and we working with the a Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did after they did admit to it we tracked and cleanup of that plume at Hayward that there were other sources of I think somebody asked if there waste site. They had a pit in the property that was called the burn pit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields? A. We have had leaks and releases in our oil fields, yes. Q. Now would you characterize them as generally being small? A. Why don't you ask Kim that question. Q. Will do. MS. GIEDD: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Wayward School. Those of you that spilled wayward School. Those of you that sp	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I went out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you yilliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was went out, and we working with the a Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did after they did admit to it we tracked and cleanup of that plume at Hayward that there were other sources of a I think somebody asked if there waste site. They had a pit in the property that was called the burn pit. kinds of sludge and everything else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields? A. We have had leaks and releases in our oil fields, yes. Q. Now would you characterize them as generally being small? A. Why don't you ask Kim that question. Q. Will do. MS. GIEDD: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. On the Williams matter that you talked about, how did that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. For the remediation Q. Well, were you responremediation of that spill? A. Yes. We directed could go on this, but we wayes, indeed Williams Pipe Hayward School. Those of you that liveremember they were Ware pointing the finger aright next door. And we was Geological Survey, and Drisite. He modeled data that of entities and people we indeed cause the problem. We tracked then a their further assessment a School. And I might add the contamination on the site was something about a northeast section of the pand they used to take all and throw it in that pit and	sible to direct and control the yes. We went out and I guess I went out and assessed and found that, line was the culprit that had polluted yed through that at that time if you yilliams said they didn't do it. They at Bonus Apco, I believe, which was went out, and we working with the a Davis did some modeling for us on at we collected and through a variety determined that Williams Pipeline did after they did admit to it we tracked and cleanup of that plume at Hayward that there were other sources of a I think somebody asked if there waste site. They had a pit in the property that was called the burn pit. kinds of sludge and everything else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And there have been other well, not other but there have been pipeline spills in South Dakota, have there not? A. Yes, there has. Q. And Kim is going to discuss those? A. Kim will talk about those. Q. Let me ask you about crude oil. Are there any crude oil wells in South Dakota? A. Crude oil wells. Q. Well, are there any oil wells in South Dakota? A. There are oil wells in South Dakota. Q. That produce? A. Crude oil. Right. Harding County and Fall River County. Q. And have we ever had any leaks or releases associated with our oil fields? A. We have had leaks and releases in our oil fields, yes. Q. Now would you characterize them as generally being small? A. Why don't you ask Kim that question. Q. Will do. MS. GIEDD: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION

	1124			1126
1	Q. Right.	1	was over Memorial Day 1986 that her kids came in and noticed	
2	A. We're not really sure but we think that for a time they	2	that there were fumes in the basement. And they contacted the	
3	stored liquid fertilizer in those tanks and we think that might	3	City, and the City came in Dubbe (phonetic). Mrs. Dubbe	
4	have possibly caused corrosion in the bottom of the tanks.	4	wasn't it? Yeah. Murtle Dubbe.	
5	Q. And how far did the contaminants travel?	5	MR. SMITH: The Dubbe house.	
6	A. Contaminants traveled I would say a couple hundred yards,	6	THE WITNESS: It takes a while.	
7	crossed West 12th Street and into underneath Hayward	7	A. And also if you ask me to look at any maps, I'm color blind	
8	Elementary School. And there were enough fumes in there that	8	so I can't tell you about the colors. Anyway, so we started	
9	people could smell it in the school, and we had to evacuate the	9	working with Williams at that point saying, you know, there's a	
10	school.	10	school across the road here. Be careful. You know, do you have	
11	Q. Kids were probably happy about that.	11	this under control? And they were saying, oh, yeah, no problem.	
12	A. I tell you what, the school district wasn't happy.	12	Well, then that was okay. That was Memorial Day, May.	
13	Q. You talked about the wellhead and source water protection	13	The first day of school, first or second day of school is	
14	areas and the public water systems. What's the definition of a	14	when which would have been in September is when the City	
15	public water supply?	15	or the school folks then noticed the fumes in the elementary	
16	A. Well, that can be I'm probably not the best person to	16	school.	
17	ask. I think you have other people that can give you the exact	17	At that point we said to Williams, hey, we think you guys	
18	definition of public water supply.	18	did this, and they're saying, no, we don't think so. It's this	
19	Q. Is it a certain number, though, that if it serves a certain	19	mom-and-pop gas station over here. So at that point then we	
20	number of people?	20	began an intensive investigation. And it was finally I mean,	
21	A. Yeah. A certain number of people and depending on, you	21	we lived out there basically during this time period before	
22	know, if they're permanent or transitory or whatever.	22	Christmas to gather the data and to show where it was really	
23	Q. All right.	23	coming from, and I think it was probably March then of the next	
24	A. It gets kind of complicated.	24	year we had enough information for Governor Mickelson to pull	
25	Q. Okay. Is there any did your office get involved at all	25	those guys in and say, look. Here it is. We've got it. You	
	1125			1127
1	in protecting private wells or contamination of a private water	1	clean it up. And they at that point admitted to doing it.	
2	source, a concern of your agency in any way?	2	Q. To your knowledge was there any bond posted by Williams?	
3	A. Did you say protecting?	3	Was there any cash bond or insurance that covered that, or was	
4	Q. Yeah. Well, yeah. Well, first of all protecting I guess.	4	that part of a settlement, legal settlement?	
5	A. Okay. No we have no rules or regulations for protecting.	5	A. Covered to	
6	But in saying that, again, our ground work quality standards are	6	Q. The cost of cleanup.	
7	set for drinking water.	7	A. Oh, they cleaned it up themselves.	
8	So if there would be a problem with somebody's private well	8	Q. Okay.	
9	out there, I mean, if it would get contaminated, then, yes,	9	A. And they paid for the school themselves.	
10	we're involved, and we make sure that either whoever did it	10	Q. On a public you mentioned the public water system. Is a	
11	cleans it up and if they can't clean it up for some reason, that	11	well or a water system that serves more than 20 people, is that	
12	they provide a new source of water for those people.	12	considered public?	
13	MR. RASMUSSEN: Thank you for all the information this	13	A. Again, I don't know the exact	
14	morning. That's all I have.	14	Q. Okay.	
15	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	15	A. I don't know the exact definition but	
16	BY MR. HOHN:	16	MR. HOHN: I think that concludes my questions. Thank	
17	Q. Mr. Markley, on the Williams Pipeline leak, how long after	17	you.	
.,		18	MR. SMITH: Mr. White? Mr. Koenecke?	
18	the leak was verified did it take for the State and the City and	10		
	the leak was verified did it take for the State and the City and others involved to get Williams to accept their responsibility?	19	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith.	
18	·		MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. <u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	
18 19 20	others involved to get Williams to accept their responsibility?	19	·	
18 19 20 21	others involved to get Williams to accept their responsibility? A. Well, that's kind of an interesting question. And I can't	19 20	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	
18 19 20 21 22	others involved to get Williams to accept their responsibility? A. Well, that's kind of an interesting question. And I can't give you I can't give you, you know, a succinct answer on	19 20 21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE:	
18 19	others involved to get Williams to accept their responsibility? A. Well, that's kind of an interesting question. And I can't give you I can't give you, you know, a succinct answer on that. Because they had an initial release, and there was a	19 20 21 22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. I'm going to back up a few pages. That was extensive	

	1128	1	1130
1	remediate the site in Sioux Falls?	1	statement. I'm just going to ask some questions. I don't want
2	A. Yes.	2	to be testifying here.
3	Q. And have you added to and strengthened those laws since	3	Were you were you in the room it was in Governor
4	that time?	4	Mickelson's small conference room when he made the phone call to
5	A. Yes, we have.	5	Williams? Do you remember that?
6	Q. And under those existing laws you were able to force	6	THE WITNESS: No. I was not in the room.
7	Williams to or I believe you said you and the City of Sioux	7	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Hearsay evidence if I
8	Falls together	8	asked you are you aware of any additional authority the DENR
9	A. Right. Yeah.	9	needs to sufficiently regulate petroleum spillage?
10	Q were able to force Williams to build a new school in	10	THE WITNESS: I think we have sufficient legislation,
11	Sioux Falls?	11	laws and rules on the books now to take care of it to make sure
12	A. Right.	12	it gets cleaned up.
13	Q. Would your response to remediation be any different if a	13	COMMISSIONER HANSON: What about enforcement of
14	spill was located in Marshall County?	14	remediation? Are there any rules, regulations, laws? Are you
15	A. No. We'd make them clean it up.	15	strapped in any way from that standpoint for remediation
16	Q. So if TransCanada was to have a pipeline spill or incident	16	purposes?
17	in Marshall County, you'd make TransCanada clean it up?	17	THE WITNESS: I believe we have it all.
18	A. We would make them clean it up.	18	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. You spoke a little bit of
19	Q. Under using existing state law?	19	the pit area.
20	A. Yes, we would.	20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
21	MR. KOENECKE: I have nothing further at this time.	21	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And the dumping that took place
22	Thank you.	22	up there. Was that later or through your work classified as a
23	MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions?	23 24	Superfund site?
25	MS. SEMMLER: None. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners? Commissioner Kolbeck	25	THE WITNESS: Yes. That was classified as a Superfund site and cleaned up and removed from the Superfund list.
	1129		1131
1	appears ready.	1	COMMISSIONER HANSON: There's been a number of
2	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Thank you for being here.	2	questions about that particular site over by the Hayward School
3	Where does the there's been a lot of talk of no one will	3	area.
4	clean up my land. Where does the separation between private	4	Are you familiar with the spill that took place again
5	land and state taking over the cleanup happen?	5	by Williams on the northwest outside the City limits but
6	Obviously you're not just talking about state lands	6	northwest of Sioux Falls?
7	that you'll clean up, it's all land. How does that separation	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	take place, or is there no separation, a spill's a spill and you	8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And was that over an aquifer
9	go where you need to?	9	area?
10	THE WITNESS: A spill's a spill. We make them clean	10	THE WITNESS: That was within a quarter mile of
11	it up if it's public, private, whatever. We make sure that	11	Sioux Falls' source water protection or wellhead overlay
12	whoever caused it cleans it up.	12	district, Ground Water Program. It was right on the edge. I
13 14	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And existing state law gives	13 14	mean, we were lucky.
15	you that authority to go on private land THE WITNESS: Oh, to go on.	15	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Were you associated at all with remediation of that?
16	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Well, to make sure that spill's	16	THE WITNESS: Yes, we were.
17	cleaned up on private land.	17	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And was that fully remediated?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
19	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know who the mayor of	19	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And was that a different type of
20	Sioux Falls was	20	occurrence that created the spillage? I'm calling it a
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I don't. I can't answer	21	spillage, but it was the result of what?
i		22	THE MUTNIFICE I halfour that were a growth of a good to
22	that. Yes. I know.	22	THE WITNESS: I believe that was a result of and I
22 23	that. Yes. I know. COMMISSIONER HANSON: I am compelled to speak.	23	think Kim can get into this a little bit more. That was her

1		1132	1134	1
1	and that was an old pipeline from the late 1940s.	1	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I forgot.	
2	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Were there	2	MR. SMITH: Commissioner Kolbeck.	
3	monitoring wells put in place as a result of the gasoline spill	3	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I did forget two questions that	
4	in the Hayward area?	4	I actually wanted to you spoke of many funds, Superfund.	
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	5	Which fund if a pipeline was built in South Dakota and there	
6	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And are you aware of who put	6	was if there was a spill, which fund would fund cleanup if	
7	those wells in?	7	they couldn't afford it?	
8	THE WITNESS: Some of the wells oh, initially some	8	THE WITNESS: If there was a pipeline spill?	
9	of the wells we put in, the State did, and then after Williams	9	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yeah.	
10	admitted to being the responsible party, then they took over and	10	THE WITNESS: Well, first we would make them use their	
11	put in additional wells around I mean, we literally had that	11	own funds and, what, if they were	
12	facility ringed with wells.	12	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: If they couldn't afford it or	
13	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Are you aware of subsequent	13	if they couldn't pay for it, you said there was a fund in place.	
14	development south of that area down gradient closer to	14	Would that be the Superfund?	
15	Skunk Creek for water purposes	15	THE WITNESS: Well, we have what we call the Regulated	
16	THE WITNESS: Not right no.	16	Substance Response Fund, and it's a State fund. And in that	
17	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. All right. Have those	17	fund any environmental penalties that we collect or interest or	
18	monitoring wells, do you have any information from those	18	anything else like that goes into that fund. So	
19	monitoring wells of recent?	19	And I call it again our fund of last resort. Because	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. In fact, the and Brian Walsh	20	the first thing we do is get the responsible party to clean it	
21	who will be testifying I believe later is project officer on	21	up. If we can't find them or for some reason they're gone, then	
22	that, but he can give you updated information on the wells	22	if we can find a federal fund to go in and take care of it,	
23	around Williams well, actually now it's Magellan, Magellan,	23	we'll do that.	
24	the 12th Street facility.	24	And if neither of those things are going to work, then	
25	But the wells are showing that it's good, that they've	25	we'll use your Regulated Substance Response Fund.	
1				
		1133	1135	5
1	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that	1	1135 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and	5
2	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where	1 2	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that?	5
2	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there.	1 2 3	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right.	5
2 3 4	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know	1 2 3 4	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for	5
2 3 4 5	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls	1 2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that?	5
2 3 4 5 6	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green	1 2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds	5
2 3 4 5 6 7	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there?	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that.	5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time period during that period to your knowledge?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going. THE WITNESS: No. We have, again, contractors on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And it's funded by fines and interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time period during that period to your knowledge? MS. GIEDD: The only answer is yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going. THE WITNESS: No. We have, again, contractors on board that we would call and say, you know, get out there and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time period during that period to your knowledge? MS. GIEDD: The only answer is yes. THE WITNESS: Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going. THE WITNESS: No. We have, again, contractors on board that we would call and say, you know, get out there and get on it.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time period during that period to your knowledge? MS. GIEDD: The only answer is yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. SMITH: It takes one to know one.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going. THE WITNESS: No. We have, again, contractors on board that we would call and say, you know, get out there and get on it. MR. SMITH: Commissioner Hanson, another question.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time period during that period to your knowledge? MS. GIEDD: The only answer is yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. SMITH: It takes one to know one. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Well, thank you. I just wanted to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going. THE WITNESS: No. We have, again, contractors on board that we would call and say, you know, get out there and get on it. MR. SMITH: Commissioner Hanson, another question. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Mr. Markley, I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	remediated the site. In fact, the old Hayward School site, that was cleaned up to State standards, and I believe that's where Wheel City is now located or something out there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Would it surprise you to know to learn if it were presented here that the City of Sioux Falls put in wells for the purpose of drinking water and have a green sand purification area in that area? THE WITNESS: No. That would not surprise me. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley, do you remember who the Secretary for Department of Environment and Natural Resources was for most of the cleanup period? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Some old dude over there. No. John Smith. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did Mr. Smith perform as admirably in his job as the mayor of Sioux Falls did during that same time period during that period to your knowledge? MS. GIEDD: The only answer is yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. SMITH: It takes one to know one. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Well, thank you. I just wanted to call attention. We do have a few people up here who are quite	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interest and that? THE WITNESS: Right. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's the total funding for that? THE WITNESS: Right. There's no other general funds or anything else that go into it. So it sits there. It's ready to go if we need it. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: How long does that process take if you needed to get money out of there? THE WITNESS: No. Right away. We'll do. We'll get on it. I'll try to get ahold of our department secretary or division director, and if I can't, I'll make the command decision, I guess, to do that. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So it wouldn't take very much time to get your hands on that money and get something going. THE WITNESS: No. We have, again, contractors on board that we would call and say, you know, get out there and get on it. MR. SMITH: Commissioner Hanson, another question. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Mr. Markley, I'm going to slice this perhaps just a little bit thinner, but	

		1136			1138
1	responsible, and we know that from your testimony.		1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	However, there are concerns by landowners that if a		2	BY MS. GIEDD:	
3	pipeline were going through their property and not owned by		3	Q. In response to Mr. Hohn you were describing how long it	
4	them and there's a rupture to that pipeline, a failure or if		4	took to get resolution of Williams' liability for the Hayward	
5	they are leave that portion out. If there is a rupture not		5	School incident spill.	
6	of their doing and for some reason you are unable to pursue the		6	Is that a problem you would anticipate with TransCanada,	
7	owner of the pipeline, would they ultimately be responsible		7	determining who's liable for a crude oil spill along the	
8	financially for taking care of that?		8	pipeline?	
9	THE WITNESS: The landowner?		9	A. I don't know why I'm taking so long and hesitating. No. I	
10	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Correct.		10	mean, there would be no one else out there with crude oil. It	
11	THE WITNESS: No.		11	would be quite evident that they would be the responsible party.	
12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: From your standpoint.		12	Q. So that's not one where you would expect there to be some	
13	THE WITNESS: From my standpoint, no.		13	time delay in having someone accept responsibility.	
14	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Obviously you're not an		14	A. Right.	
15	attorney. But from the DENR standpoint.		15	MS. GIEDD: Okay. That's all.	
16	THE WITNESS: No. We would go after the pipeline.		16	MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen.	
17	COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. Let's say for some		17	MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further.	
18	extraordinary reason there's just no pipeline to go after.		18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
19	THE WITNESS: Then we would use as much of our		19	BY MR. HOHN:	
20	Regulated Substance Fund money as we could. I would hope if		20	Q. In your testimony you made reference to a Zone A. Was that	
21	there is no pipeline there, there would be no fluid in the		21	in regard to wellhead protection?	
22	pipeline.		22	A. Yes.	
23	COMMISSIONER HANSON: No product. Okay. Thank you		23	Q. And Zone A I want to see if I understood you correctly.	
24	very much. Appreciate it.		24	Did you say that that zone might be 10 miles from the well?	
25	MR. SMITH: I have just one question for you, Bill.		25	A. 10-year time of travel.	
		1137			1139
		1107			1139
1	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not,	1107	1	Q. 10-year time of travel?	1139
2	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources?	1107	2	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to	1139
3	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the	1107	2	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well.	1139
2 3 4	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for	1107	2 3 4	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well.Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let	1139
2 3 4 5	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the	1101	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or 	1139
2 3 4 5 6	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe,	1101	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast	1101	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters.	1101	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected?	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A.	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? 	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. 	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied 	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead 	1139
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection?	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to waters of the United States as that's defined in federal law,		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as far as you know, in your program? 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to waters of the United States as that's defined in federal law, though, there is the federal fund is out there for those		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as far as you know, in your program? A. I you know, I can find that information out for you. I 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to waters of the United States as that's defined in federal law,		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as far as you know, in your program? A. I you know, I can find that information out for you. I don't know that there is somebody that would testify to that. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to waters of the United States as that's defined in federal law, though, there is the federal fund is out there for those situations.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as far as you know, in your program? A. I you know, I can find that information out for you. I don't know that there is somebody that would testify to that. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to waters of the United States as that's defined in federal law, though, there is the federal fund is out there for those situations. THE WITNESS: Correct.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as far as you know, in your program? A. I you know, I can find that information out for you. I don't know that there is somebody that would testify to that. Q. Okay. BDM is near Britton in case you're wanting to look 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to waters of the United States as that's defined in federal law, though, there is the federal fund is out there for those situations. THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Pardon me. Mr. Rasmussen or		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as far as you know, in your program? A. I you know, I can find that information out for you. I don't know that there is somebody that would testify to that. Q. Okay. BDM is near Britton in case you're wanting to look at the record. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There is also a Federal Petroleum Release Fund, is there not, with respect to certain resources? THE WITNESS: Okay. There's again, there's the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, and that's for underground storage tanks. There is a federal and I the name of it escapes me, but there's a federal oil, I believe, fund. And I think that's operated through EPA and the Coast Guard. But, again, that would have to get into surface waters. And that's not available for ground water or something that doesn't get into the surface water. And that was one of the problems if you guys remember with the Williams Pipeline deal in that none of the contamination reached surface waters of the state. It was all ground water. Therefore, the Feds would not help us on that project. MR. SMITH: Yeah. And that's exactly what I was looking with respect to surface waters either with respect to waters of the United States as that's defined in federal law, though, there is the federal fund is out there for those situations. THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. SMITH: Thank you. Pardon me. Mr. Rasmussen or Ms. Giedd, do you have some follow-up questions?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. The time it would take a drop of water to travel to the well. Q. Okay. I understand now. So what you're saying is let me see if I understand it correctly. Depending on the soils or the sand, the waters being drawn through, the time of travel of 10 years from this point to the well, that's what's being protected? A. That's the Zone A. Q. So that could be quite a distance depending on the soils the aquifer is involved with? A. Yeah. Each is each site is different. Q. Do you know or are you aware has BDM Rural Water applied for source water protection on their well or wellhead protection? A. BDM? I really couldn't I don't know. Q. Would there be someone else testifying today that would, as far as you know, in your program? A. I you know, I can find that information out for you. I don't know that there is somebody that would testify to that. Q. Okay. BDM is near Britton in case you're wanting to look at the record. A. Okay.	1109

		1140				1142
1	could. It was something Mr. Wade raised.		1		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	A. If I can expand a little bit more, again, Brian Walsh will		2	BY M	S. GIEDD:	
3	be speaking later, and, you know, he looked through I don't		3	Q.	State your name for the record.	
4	know if that's one that's close to Williams I'm sorry.		4	A.	My name is Kim McI ntosh.	
5	TransCanada or not. But, you know, he'll be able to tell which		5	Q.	And who do you work for?	
6	source water areas and which wellhead areas are the ones close.		6	A.	I work for the South Dakota Department of Environment and	
7	MR. HOHN: Thank you very much.		7	Natu	aral Resources Ground Water Quality Program.	
8	MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke?		8	Q.	And what do you do in the Ground Water Quality Program?	
9	RECROSS-EXAMINATION		9	A.	I am an environmental senior scientist with the Ground	
10	BY MR. KOENECKE:		10	Wate	er Quality Program, and I head up the section that	
11	Q. Mr. Markley, Mr. Hohn just asked you about 10 years' time		11	Mr. N	Markley spoke of earlier referred to as the spill section.	
12	of travel and whether that would be quite a distance.		12	Q.	And are you involved in the entire range of activities that	
13	Could you give me a reference in feet or inches or yards or		13	the s	pill section does?	
14	miles as to what quite a distance you were referring to?		14	A.	Yes, I am.	
15	A. Well, again, it's going to depend on the aquifer and that		15	Q.	And tell me what those are.	
16	sort of thing. But, yeah, we could be talking miles.		16	A.	Those activities involve anything from initial notification	
17	Q. Approximately how many?		17	of sp	oills or releases, emergency response to spills and	
18	A. I don't know.		18	relea	ases, directing the cleanup, assessment, naming responsible	
19	Q. Very good. You referred to the Williams Pipeline site as		19	parti	es, following up on those sites either through additional	
20	being classified as a Superfund site; is that correct?		20	testi	ng, cleanup. We do site visits. Some of my staff have	
21	A. Correct, in that it was the burn pit area where they were		21	beer	assigned to go out in the field and actually do follow-up	
22	dumping the material into a pit. That was the only part that		22	inspe	ections and watch the cleanup activities that are performed	
23	was a Superfund of that site. So there was other parts of the		23	up to	the point where the site meets state laws and regulations	
24	terminal that were not part of the Superfund site.		24	and	can be closed.	
25	Q. Does the Superfund site classification mean that the fund		25	Q.	And when you say up to the point where it meets state laws	
		1141				1143
1	paid for the remediation of that site?	1141	1		regulations, are you referring in particular to any	1143
2	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If	1141	2	stand	dards?	1143
3	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there.	1141	2	stand	lards? We have both ground water quality standards and some soil	1143
2 3 4	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's	1141	2 3 4	stand A. stand	lards? We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances.	1143
2 3 4 5	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of	1141	2 3 4 5	stand A. stand Q.	lards? We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what?	1143
2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing.	1141	2 3 4 5 6	stand A. stand Q. A.	lards? We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7	stand A. stand Q. A. base	dards? We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stand A. stand Q. A. base	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases.	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stand A. stand Q. A. base relea	dards? We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. so cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stand A. stand Q. A. base relea And no lo	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk.	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	stand A. stand Q. A. base relea And no lo Q.	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination longer poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	stand A. stand Q. A. base relea And no lo Q. hydro	dards? We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. so cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil?	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stand A. stand Q. A. base relead And no lo Q. hydro A.	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. so cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination anger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some occarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stance A. stance Q. A. base releas And no lo Q. hydro A. to re	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil of on particular site conditions, location of the spills or ases and what is at risk from those spills and releases. so cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some occarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination or main in place if that contamination is not going to	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank 	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	stance A. stance Q. A. basse release And no loc Q. hydro A. to re cont	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination emain in place if that contamination is not going to the inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much.	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stance A. stance Q. A. bases releas And no lo Q. hydro A. to re cont envir	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or ases and what is at risk from those spills and releases. so cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination longer poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some locarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination lemain in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the gronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water	11143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stance A. stance Q. A. base release And no loc Q. hydro A. to re- cont envir	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination or main in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the ronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water blies, whether private or public.	1143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness is excused)	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stance A. stance Q. A. basse release And no loc Q. hydro A. to re cont envir supp; Q.	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination emain in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the ronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water blies, whether private or public. So does the contamination just stay there forever?	11143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: Do you need a break, Reed, or are you	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	stance A. stance Q. A. base release And no loc Q. to re cont envir supp Q. A.	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil of on particular site conditions, location of the spills or ases and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination of the spills and releases. The some situations in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination of the spills are the contamination is not going to going to going to go a further risk to the contamination of the spills, whether private or public. So does the contamination just stay there forever? Contamination and I'm not a microbiologist to get into	11143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: Do you need a break, Reed, or are you ready to forge ahead?	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stance A. stance Q. A. basse release And no loc Q. hydro A. to re cont envir supp Q. A. all th	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination emain in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the ronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water offices, whether private or public. So does the contamination just stay there forever? Contamination and I'm not a microbiologist to get into the specifics and details, but contamination has a tendency	11143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: Do you need a break, Reed, or are you ready to forge ahead? CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley aside, most of them	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stance A. stance Q. A. basse release And no loc Q. hydro A. to rec cont envir supp Q. A. all th over	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some occarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination emain in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the ronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water blies, whether private or public. So does the contamination just stay there forever? Contamination and I'm not a microbiologist to get into the specifics and details, but contamination has a tendency time to break down. The microbes that exist in the soil	11143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: Do you need a break, Reed, or are you ready to forge ahead?	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stance A. stance Q. A. basee release And no loc Q. hydro A. to re- cont envir supp Q. A. all th over	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination emain in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the ronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water offices, whether private or public. So does the contamination just stay there forever? Contamination and I'm not a microbiologist to get into the specifics and details, but contamination has a tendency	11143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: Do you need a break, Reed, or are you ready to forge ahead? CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley aside, most of them (Discussion off the record)	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stance A. stance Q. A. basee release And no loc Q. hydro A. to re- cont envir supp Q. A. all th over	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil of on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some ocarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination or main in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the ronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water blies, whether private or public. So does the contamination just stay there forever? Contamination and I'm not a microbiologist to get into the specifics and details, but contamination has a tendency time to break down. The microbes that exist in the soil continue to eat on those hydrocarbon compounds and break	11143
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, actually the responsible party paid for that. If there is let's say there is no responsible party out there. Then the Superfund would come into effect, and the money that's out there could pay for that assessment, cleanup, that sort of thing. Q. I just want to be clear, though. Williams Pipeline Company, as I understand your testimony, paid for the remediation and cleanup at this site we've been discussing? A. Correct. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further. MS. SEMMLER: Nothing. MR. SMITH: Are we done? MR. RASMUSSEN: As far as I'm concerned. MR. SMITH: You may step down then, Bill, and thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness is excused) MR. SMITH: Do you need a break, Reed, or are you ready to forge ahead? CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Markley aside, most of them (Discussion off the record) MS. GIEDD: Kim McIntosh will be called.	1141	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stance A. stance Q. A. basse release And no loc Q. hydro A. to rec cont envir supp Q. A. all the over will of them Q.	We have both ground water quality standards and some soil dards in this state that deal with petroleum substances. And those standards establish what? They establish limits, levels that can remain in the soil and on particular site conditions, location of the spills or asses and what is at risk from those spills and releases. So cleanup is conducted to the point where the contamination onger poses a risk. And so does that mean you actually do leave some occarbon contaminants in the soil? In some situations, yes, we do allow for some contamination emain in place if that contamination is not going to inue to spread or is not going to pose a further risk to the ronment or cause an impact to citizens via drinking water offices, whether private or public. So does the contamination just stay there forever? Contamination and I'm not a microbiologist to get into the specifics and details, but contamination has a tendency time to break down. The microbes that exist in the soil continue to eat on those hydrocarbon compounds and break in down.	11143

1144 1146 1 1 Α. Yes. My section's involved in all types of spills and There is also what we call sparging and venting the soils 2 releases from fertilizer spills, ag chemical spills, solvent 2 where you actually inject oxygen down into the soil, and it 3 3 spills, but I'm kind of limiting my testimony today to talk actually allows the microbes in place to -- they become happier, 4 about petroleum in nature. 4 and they're more -- they've got what they need to actually grow 5 5 And when you referred to that hydrocarbons that remain will more bugs and eat more petroleum products. So there's several 6 6 be broken down by bugs, what kind of activity are you talking different things that we have done in this state. 7 about? 7 And after your excavation and ground water treatment, are 8 Α. Well, there are actual microbes that exist in the soil and 8 there any other activities you use for remediation? 9 9 on the fringes of the ground water that actually consume those We follow both, of course, codified law and the regulations 10 10 hydrocarbons and eat them and use them for a fuel source. that are in place. But in addition to that, our program has 11 11 They're able to break those hydrocarbons down and render them, developed a very extensive handbook that outlines a lot of 12 12 you know, less hazardous type of substance. details as to what a responsible person or a responsible party 13 Okay. I just want to have you -- if you would, describe 13 or as law defines corporations, limited liability partnerships, 14 14 remediation activity with regard to a hydrocarbon spill. What the whole gamut, what those people are obligated to do under 15 15 kind of activities are we talking about? state law 16 16 Well, my experience in South Dakota -- and I've been And so in our handbook it outlines a lot of different 17 17 working for the Ground Water Program in this capacity for about procedures that they have to adhere to, most importantly that we 18 17 years. I've actually been employed by the Department of 18 follow up on the remediation that's taken place by requiring a 19 19 Environment and Natural Resources for just under 22. length of time of ground water monitoring to make sure that the 20 20 But my experience has been that in South Dakota we have the work that was done was sufficient. 21 21 ability based on our population and a lot of other factors to Now in terms of spills that are reported to the department, 22 22 actually go in and excavate contaminated soil. And that is what kind of -- I mean, obviously there's more than hydrocarbon 23 probably one of our most efficient and effective means to 23 spills that get reported to you. 24 24 A. That's correct. I and my section act as a 24-hour seven actually clean up a lot of the petroleum sites, go in and 25 25 actually remove that contaminated soil and take it to another days a week sort of notification. So my staff and myself 1145 1147 1 location where it can either be treated, it can be incinerated. 1 receive all types of spills. Under South Dakota Law and 2 2 regulations it outlines exactly what substances must be reported I mean, there's lots of different ways to manage that 3 3 contaminated soil and in this state at this point is probably in this state. And there's a very, very lengthy list all 4 4 defined in law and regulation what those substances are. one of the cheaper options. 5 5 And it's always -- the decision to what form of remediation It does include petroleum substances, petroleum stock, 6 is used is based on a lot of different factors. It's based on 6 petroleum mixed with other substances, and it also specifically 7 7 the size of the spill. It's based on the location. It's based includes crude oil. 8 8 on whether there's a need to try to remove that soil immediately Q. Is that a limit on the size of a leak that before -- I 9 9 or whether it can actually be treated in place. mean, can you have a small, tiny leak before it has to be 10 So there's lots of different decision factors that have to 10 reported? 11 11 be made before you decide what form of remediation you're going The regulations define two different types of situations. 12 12 They define suspected releases and what constitutes a suspected to use. But in South Dakota we typically like to see that 13 13 soil -- it's easiest to get that soil dug up, get it out of the release. So if a facility was having some sort of mechanical 14 14 ground water, and if we do have impacted ground water, look at upset or those sort of situations, they have 24 hours to report 15 some sort of treatment of that ground water, if necessary. 15 that upset to us, and then they have a certain period of time to 16 16 And what kind of treatment options of ground water have you confirm that upset, whether it was actually a release. 17 17 worked with and required in the state? And then there's also other provisions that say if there 18 We've required some pump and treat where you actually try 18 was a release of a regulated substance greater than 25 gallons,

21 21 other treatment systems where we -- you know, it's pumped to smaller than 25 gallons and they're not cleaned up immediately 22 22 keep it contained. I don't know that those are exactly the most or within 24 hours, those also have to be reported. And there 23 23 popular ways to treat the ground water, but they seem to be are also other sections of that -- of those rules that say if 24 24 fairly efficient -- or actually quite efficient when it comes to there is a smaller quantity release and it reaches a water body 25 petroleum products 25 or threatens to harm human health or the environment such as 01/03/2008 04:16:35 PM Page 1144 to 1147 of 1256

19

20

to pump up the ground water, run it through a series of filters

and that sort of thing and then re-inject. We've also done

19

20

that that must be reported to the office immediately.

It also says that if there are other types of releases

		1148	8		150
1	wet	lands, streams, that sort of thing, in quantities smaller	ຶ ₁	Williams Pipeline releases that are identified as Williams	
2		n 25 gallons, those would also have to be reported.	2	Pipeline releases that are dated 1991.	
3		So those regulations are very important in looking at. So	3	And the reason I refer to these as historic is because the	
4	for	the most part unless you have a release that is under	4	information behind those releases is very vague. Those releases	
5		gallons and you've cleaned it up right away, under state law	5	were reported to our office as a result of the some of the	
6	it's	required to be reported.	6	other activities that happened at Williams Pipeline in primarily	
7	Q.	Has the Department received a number of reports of spills	7	the 1992 at Renner, which I can talk about if you wish.	
8	or re	eleases of hydrocarbon products?	8	But some of those releases were never confirmed. There was	
9	A.	Our office and our program receives hundreds of spills each	9	an actual investigation done by our office and by Williams	
10	yea	r of hydrocarbon type releases.	10	Pipeline, and those releases were never confirmed. Those were	
11	Q.	And I actually asked you to prepare a list for me of	11	information that we received during some of our work with	
12	hydi	ocarbon pipeline releases that the Department has received,	12	Williams, and we asked them to go back and try to confirm	
13	did	not?	13	whether those releases ever occurred.	
14	A.	Yes, you did.	14	You can see the data. Some of those were like 1967 is when	
15	Q.	And that's been marked as WEB 6?	15	they were supposed to have happened. Our Department not our	
16	A.	That's correct.	16	Department but our program, a lot of the environmental	
17	Q.	And would you describe for us what the source of this	17	regulations were modified and greatly beefed up as a result of	
18	infor	rmation is?	18	the Williams Pipeline 12th Street incident. So we really had no	
19	A.	Our program maintains what we call an environmental events	19	reporting requirements before that incident. Just trying to	
20	data	abase, and what this environmental events database is is a	20	give you a little background about some of that data.	
21	list	of it's a database of all types of releases and some	21	Q. If you would look at the 1987 Williams Pipeline release,	
22	inst	ances that are reported to us that are later unconfirmed.	22	it's the first one under historic releases, would you tell me	
23	You	know, we go out and investigate them and determine that	23	about that one?	
24	the	re really wasn't a release.	24	A. That was a release that was reported to Williams Pipeline	
25		It also contains complaints. It contains environmental	25	from a third party that actually identified the release as being	
		1149	9	11	151
1	data	a that was collected on a piece of property maybe for a	1	petroleum substance coming up inside the sleeve that actually	
2		perty transfer where the where no contamination was ever	2	went underneath a railroad crossing where the pipeline	
3		nd. And we've started documenting that in an environmental	3	Williams Pipeline actually went under the railroad crossing.	
4		nts database because that's become so important for future	4	There was a sleeve that had been installed over that pipeline	
5	dev	elopment that people be aware of all of that type of data.	5	facility, and at some point a release then occurred in the	
6		So this is really just some information that I have pulled	6	sleeve on the pipeline in the sleeve area and was actually	
7	_	ether off that environmental event database which is located	8	coming out one end of the sleeve.	
8		on our department website that's accessible to the public.	9	So that release was estimated at about 2,000 gallons of	
10		I 've had to go through here and sort a little bit to pull	10	petroleum. That release was in the Sioux Falls well field. And	
11		just pipeline releases because, you know, we have this abase contains about 11,000 records, and so this is just the	11	there was a lot of work done by Williams Pipeline and their environmental consultants to assess, clean up, and treat the	
12		s the pipeline releases that we identified.	12	ground water in that area and that work was done and our file	
13	Q.	And just hydrocarbon pipeline releases; is that right?	13	was closed on that. It did meet state standards.	
14	Α.	Correct.	14	Q. Do you know what the actual cause of the leak in the	
15	Q.	Now as a result, the Hayward School release would not	15	pipeline was at that site?	
16		ear on this document?	16	A. The information that I saw in the file was that it was a	
17	Α.	That's correct. Because this is just I had them look at	17	corrosion aspect on the line.	
18		pipeline releases.	18	Q. And you also mentioned the Renner Williams Pipeline	
19	_	Okay. You have a number of historic you have two	19	release. Which one is that?	
20		ions; one is historic releases, and then you have a list	20	A. That's the 1992 release. Mr. Markley testified earlier	
21	that	goes from '92 to 2004. Is there a reason they were	21	that that was a project that I was the project manager for.	
22	cate	gorized separately like that?	22	That was a release on the pipeline itself. This release was	
23	A.	They were categorized that way and I did that when I put	23	outside the actual Big Sioux Aquifer, but it was very close. It	
24	this	little spreadsheet together more for myself. Though, I do	24	was about a quarter of a mile from the Big Sioux Aquifer.	
25	war	nt to point out looking at this list there are a number of	25	This release was identified to Williams Pipeline by a third	
	_	sheets Page 114	48 to 1151	of 1256 01/03/2008 04:16	0.F. DN 4

1152 1154 1 1 party. Petroleum products were actually noted on the surface of assessment of that spill and during their assessment actually 2 the ground from that release. The estimated quantity of 2 bored into the pipeline and then caused a much larger release 3 petroleum, and it was gasoline, diesel -- crude -- or not crude 3 that's now resulted in remediation and cleanup. So those were 4 oil. Gasoline, diesel, fuel oil, numbers 1 and 2 were released 4 really third-party damage to the line. 5 5 from that pipeline, and it was estimated between 400 and 800,000 Those cases have not been officially closed out, though. 6 6 gallons was lost. In talking to the project manager it's just a matter of time. 7 That site Williams Pipeline was extremely aggressive in 7 They've met all of our standards, but they're waiting to pull 8 getting in there, stopping the leak, beginning cleanup 8 some of the testing equipment. And once that's done, we'll 9 9 immediately. It was a very large excavation. There was close those files. 10 10 **Q.** In your experience has there been any permanent natural actually excavators that were in the bottom of the pit 11 11 approximately 30 to 40 feet deep digging up the contaminated resource damage done as a result of a pipeline leak that 12 12 soil. There were lots of forms of remediation used on that site couldn't be remediated? 13 to get that site cleaned up very, very quickly. 13 A. No 14 14 Q. One of the things I noted my experience has been with the Have you ever had a municipal well shut down by a 15 15 pipeline releases that happened is that the pipeline facilities hydrocarbon leak? 16 16 A. react very, very quickly. For a number of reasons. One, they 17 $\textbf{Q.}\quad$ And there was some testimony this morning by Mr. Davis -- I need to get in there. They need to stop that leak. They need 17 18 to make sure that they get the releases cleaned up very quickly 18 believe it was about a spill in Rapid City. Do you know what 19 19 because the longer the release sits there the more apt it is to spill he's referring to? 20 20 spread, and they need to get those pipelines back up and A. You know, there was really two that he could have been 21 21 running. Those are dollars being lost by the pipeline being talking about that occurred in Rapid City that the responsible 22 22 party was Amoco Pipeline. In both of those cases because I shut down. 23 23 don't know specifically which spill he was talking about, I And what's the current status of that cleanup? 24 24 asked staff to investigate that for me, and they've indicated Α. That cleanup was completed, and I don't know exactly the 25 25 date, but it was a very short period of time. It was a very that in neither one of those Amoco Pipeline cases in Rapid City 1153 1155 1 aggressive cleanup that they conducted. Met state standards, 1 were there any private wells that were impacted by the 2 2 contamination. and it was closed out within two years. 3 3 And I don't know if I asked you that. With regard to the They're unaware and -- well, their answer was there were no 4 Renner spill, is that site remediated for the Renner spill? 4 city or municipal wells that were impacted and shut down and our 5 Α. I'm sorry. That was the Renner spill I was telling you 5 files -- those projects have met state standards and have been 6 about. 6 closed. 7 7 Q. **Q.** And a question was asked of Mr. Markley with regard to how I'm sorry. Yes, the Sioux Falls well field site? 8 That site also met state standards and was closed out in 8 far you go -- I mean, do you go on private property to remediate 9 9 spills? Could you talk a little bit about that? our files 10 Q. Do you remember how long that remediation took? 10 Well, as Mr. Markley explained, we require the responsible 11 11 Α. I do not. party to do the work. And if the responsible party cannot do 12 12 Q. In terms of all of the pipeline leaks that you have on your the work or refuses to do the work, that's when we look to 13 13 list here, are there some of them that have not been closed out? hiring the contractors that we already have on contract. 14 14 The only ones on this list that have not been closed out --We have a contract standing in place with those contractors 15 and I'll explain this, I guess. There are a couple of releases 15 now because we don't want to take the time that it would take to 16 16 that are identified on here as being Ellsworth at Ellsworth actually develop a contract and go through all of those sort of 17 17 Airforce Base. There's a pipeline that actually runs outside of procedures. So the state has six contracts in place right now 18 18 Rapid into Ellsworth Airforce Base. for both emergency response sort of activities and remediation 19 19 Back in 1986 -- I'm sorry. Back in -- make sure I get this and cleanup activities. 20 20 right. Yeah. 1996 there was a -- repairs done to that pipeline So if by chance we needed to go on to a private property, 21 21 by both Ellsworth Airforce Base and the company that operated it we ask them. And I don't know that we've ever been turned down 22 22 at that time. There were two small releases that occurred. unless they were the people responsible and they were just not 23 23 Then what happened was is later in 2000, and it really was wanting to cooperate with our agency. In that case then we 24 24 1998 but it wasn't reported to us until 2000, Ellsworth Air initiate lawsuits and begin the legal process to get permission

25

to get on there and get the cleanup done and do what we need to

25

force Base sent in an environmental contractor to do further

		1156	1158
1	do to make sure it doesn't spread and cause any other impacts.	1	utilities will chose to upgrade to a different type of PVC or
2	Q. But you're often directing the contractors of either the	2	a or some other type of ductile iron or some other type of
3	responsible party or your contractors to go on to private	3	material that's more petroleum resistant.
4	property to clean up sites?	4	MS. GIEDD: Thank you. I have nothing further.
5	A. All the time, yes.	5	MR. RASMUSSEN: I'd like to offer WEB Exhibit 6, which
6	Q. A couple more questions. One has to do with private wells.	6	she was testifying about.
7	Do your remediation activities protect private wells?	7	MR. SMITH: Objection anyone?
8	A. If there is a release from whatever source, we would	8	MR. KOENECKE: No objection.
9	require that the area the extent of that contamination be	9	MS. SEMMLER: No objection.
10	completely defined both in soil and ground water. And that's	10	MR. SMITH: Okay. WEB 6 is received. I don't know
11	done through soil testing and samples of ground water. We would	11	how much you've got, Reed. Should we start now, or do you want
12	then make them we would then look at what is at risk from	12	to start our break at this point?
13	that contamination.	13	MR. RASMUSSEN: I don't have a whole lot. I guess
14	And it makes no difference to us whether that is a	14	it's up to you.
15	municipal well or if it's a private well being used either for	15	MR. SMITH: I tell you what. I know Kara Semmler has
16 17	consumption in home or whether it's a well-being used to water	16 17	a personal she has a house closing over noon, and so we've
18	cattle. We protect those all the same and if we had to clean up	18	got to we'll try to accommodate that. So I'm just letting you know that.
19	the ground water to protect those, we would do that. Q. And if you can't clean up the ground water to protect	19	MR. RASMUSSEN: Well, that just sounds like a personal
20	those, what do you do?	20	problem.
21	A. In an instance where we would be completely unable to	21	MS. SEMMLER: Karen Cremer's here too for staff. She
22	protect a well by cleaning up the contamination, then our	22	can take my place.
23	requirements for the responsible party are is that they provide	23	MR. SMITH: That's fine. Fire away.
24	an alternate drinking water source. Now that may be a deeper	24	·
25	well, a well in a different location.	25	
25	well, a well in a different location.	1157	1159
25 1	well, a well in a different location. Sometimes there are people that are very interested in		1159 <u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u>
_		1157	
1	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in	1157 1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
1 2	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot	1157 1 2	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN:
1 2 3	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with	1157 1 2 3 4 5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent
1 2 3 4 5 6	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release.	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak?	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have.	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases?	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that we're dealing with.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we try to let those people know whether it's the water system or	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release into the Big Sioux River, which some of the systems actually
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we try to let those people know whether it's the water system or whether it's the city or community, let them know what spills	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we try to let those people know whether it's the water system or whether it's the city or community, let them know what spills and releases are that we have on the files. What information do	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release into the Big Sioux River, which some of the systems actually were asked to shut down so they did not pull contamination into
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we try to let those people know whether it's the water system or whether it's the city or community, let them know what spills and releases are that we have on the files. What information do we know about them so that they can make decisions in planning	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release into the Big Sioux River, which some of the systems actually were asked to shut down so they did not pull contamination into their intakes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we try to let those people know whether it's the water system or whether it's the city or community, let them know what spills and releases are that we have on the files. What information do we know about them so that they can make decisions in planning where they want to put those water lines and whether they want	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release into the Big Sioux River, which some of the systems actually were asked to shut down so they did not pull contamination into their intakes. Q. I want to ask you about a few of the other releases that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we try to let those people know whether it's the water system or whether it's the city or community, let them know what spills and releases are that we have on the files. What information do we know about them so that they can make decisions in planning where they want to put those water lines and whether they want to actually run them through areas where we know there's still	1157 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release into the Big Sioux River, which some of the systems actually were asked to shut down so they did not pull contamination into their intakes. Q. I want to ask you about a few of the other releases that are listed on No. 6. First of all, with the Renner release, as
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sometimes there are people that are very interested in hooking up to rural water because the water quality may be a lot better too. So we look at all of those aspects in talking with the landowner and seeing what do they want, what works best for them. We don't want to do something that's going to create an additional expense for them when they were not responsible for that release. Q. Have you been involved in situations where you have a public water supply line, pipeline, running through soil that's been contaminated by a petroleum or hydrocarbon leak? A. Yes, we have. Q. And what do you do in those cases? A. Well, one of the responsibilities of my staff is to make sure that when new systems are going in or lots of different types of projects in the state happen that we go ahead and we try to let those people know whether it's the water system or whether it's the city or community, let them know what spills and releases are that we have on the files. What information do we know about them so that they can make decisions in planning where they want to put those water lines and whether they want to actually run them through areas where we know there's still some soil or ground water contamination.	1157 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You were asked about whether there had been any permanent damage from any pipeline spills. I want to expand on that a little bit. Has there been any permanent damage anywhere from any type of spill that you're aware of? A. No. My experience is that with time and money spills can all be cleaned up. Q. How about any municipal water systems? Have any been shut down due to any type of spill, not just a pipeline spill? A. I am aware of some systems being asked to shut down because of the potential that they could have been impacted. They were not impacted. But those were not petroleum releases that were that we're dealing with. I'm trying to remember. I think there was a manure release into the Big Sioux River, which some of the systems actually were asked to shut down so they did not pull contamination into their intakes. Q. I want to ask you about a few of the other releases that are listed on No. 6. First of all, with the Renner release, as

1160 1162 1 1 installed. I do not recall, but I know it's quite old. And Quality. It was 200 barrels, estimated at 200 barrels. And the 2 that was a -- it was an actual pinhole leak that had developed 2 release was actually in the Big Sioux River. 3 in a weld is what I think the real thing was. It took some work 3 Q. And you say there were no identified impacts from that 4 to determine exactly what the source of that leak was. 4 5 Q. 5 No. We sent people to the site. There was no fish killed. And that was a belowground pipe; correct? Α. 6 Α. Correct. Yes. 6 There was no evidence by the time we got there of any sort of 7 Just going down the list quickly starting from the top, the 7 release. 8 first one is a 2004 KANEB, 250 barrels of gas. How did that 8 Q. Then we have another 1993 Williams Pipeline. Pipeline 9 9 leak occur, if you know? indicated low pressure. So do I take that to mean that their --10 10 That was a third-party damage to the pipeline. It was a some system indicated there was a leak but nothing was found? 11 11 contractor -- using the word contractor -- that had been hired That's correct. 12 12 Q. And I think you said in that situation they have to report by a farmer to put up a hay barn in a pasture. And the 13 contractor scraped the side of the pipeline. 13 it to you and then tell you what they find; is that right? 14 14 Q. The next one, Ellsworth, is that what you previously talked Correct. So it was put on our books, and then we closed 15 15 about? the file right away. 16 16 And then you've already talked about the Renner one, but I That's where the contractor drilled into the line, yeah. 17 Q. All right. The next one at KANEB was a gasket on a pump 17 guess I did have one more question about that. You said it was 18 station. Do you know anything further or have any further 18 gasoline, diesel fuel, and something else? 19 19 information about that? Fuel oil, both the fuel oils, number 1 and 2, I understand. 20 20 Q. No. That was an actual aboveground release that occurred Were those all in the same pipeline? 21 21 at that pump station. Α. That's correct. 22 22 Q. The next one, 1998, KANEB. Clay Rural Water apparently hit And then you talked about the one that was near the 23 the line while they were doing some work; is that right? 23 Sioux Falls well field already; correct? 24 Α. That's correct. 24 A. That's the 1987 release, yes. 25 25 Q. And caused a hole in the pipe? Q. All right. And the rest of them are just -- you don't have 1161 1163 1 Α. 1 any specific knowledge about any of those, I take it? 2 Q. The next one is another KANEB from '98. What happened in The rest of them, yeah. That's correct. 3 3 that one? That was a pump station leak? MR. RASMUSSEN: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have. 4 That was another pump station leak. That was kind of an 4 MR. SMITH: Thank you. And should we wait until after 5 interesting release because it was actually reported to KANEB 5 lunch to begin cross-examination? Do you want to forge ahead? 6 6 from an anonymous party. So I don't really know exactly who Okay. Mr. Hohn? 7 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION caused that release, whether it was the anonymous party. But 8 KANEB reported it to us as soon as they received notice that 8 BY MR. HOHN: 9 9 Would your office be involved in responding to leaks in there was a release there. 10 Q. And it says 4,500-2,800 gallons. 10 small towns or if there was an anticipation of a contamination 11 11 in a small community? Α. Yeah. They estimated between 4,500 gallons and 2,800 12 12 gallons. Sorry. I'm sorry. I don't -- anticipation of a release? 13 13 Okay. 1998, Amoco. What happened on that one? Let me rephrase that. If there was an expected fuel 14 14 release in a small community in South Dakota, your office would This release showed up on my list. And to be very honest 15 with you, I'm not convinced that this was an actual petroleum 15 be called to investigate; is that correct? 16 16 pipeline. I think this is probably a natural gas release. It's MR. KOENECKE: I don't understand that question myself 17 17 a petroleum substance that maybe was used at that facility for a now 18 18 backup generator. MR. SMITH: Do you mean suspected? 19 19 MR. HOHN: Yes. That's what I mean. Okay. And then the next two, that again goes -- is that 20 that same Ellsworth incident? 20 A. Yes 21 21 Α. That's correct. Are you aware of a -- an incident in Conde, South Dakota 22 22 Q. All right. Then we go down to another Amoco one in '93. within the last two years? If not, that's fine. 23 23 What do you know about that one? I know that we have a spill site in Conde. I believe we 24 24 This release actually happened on the Iowa side. We were actually have more than one in our files on Conde. I don't know notified by Amoco and the Iowa Department of Environmental 25 specifically which one you're talking about. 01/03/2008 04:16:35 PM Page 1160 to 1163 of 1256 34 of 102 sheets

		1164	1166
1	Q. The one I'm well, there may be more. The one I'm asking	1	limited I can speak in a number of different ways, but
2	about would be related to a gas spill.	2	typically our Department works with directly with the
3	A. A large majority of all the releases that we deal with are	3	responsible party or their environmental consultants, and it's
4	petroleum related and mostly associated with gas stations.	4	typically the environmental consultant, not even the responsible
5	Q. Are you familiar with the spills in Conde that your agency	5	party. We work with them to direct and explain to them exactly
6	dealt with?	6	what we expect from them as far as remediation or assessment
7	A. I am not the project manager so I don't know a lot of the	7	and remediation.
8	intimate details about those spills. I do know that there were	8	If property owners are interested, we're very happy to sit
9	spills in Conde.	9	down and explain to them what we're planning to do, how we're
10	Q. Based on based on your experience with other fuel spills	10	planning to do it, and provide them, of course, with copies of
11	near water lines, have there been complaints filed with your	11	all the documents that are produced.
12	office been complaints filed with your office of people	12	Typically we don't find that to be the case. Typically the
13	noticing either taste or odor petroleum taste or odor in	13	property owners are more interested in making sure that their
14	drinking water?	14	land is restored as to, you know, pre conditions. Or if it has
15	A. Oh, yeah. I mean, we investigate those type of releases if	15	to be a large excavation and cleanup, that they have that land
16	they suspect there's contamination getting into their water	16	restored and that they're able to produce their crops and deal
17	line. Typically we find those are service lines that become	17	with things from that standpoint.
18	impacted, not water mains.	18	We don't really see them ever getting they don't direct
19	Q. By service line, what do you mean by service line?	19	what needs to be done. They don't tell anybody what needs to be
20	A. A smaller diameter line that runs from the mains to the	20	done. But we do listen to them if they have concerns on what is
21	home or the residence or in the case of some of the complaints	21	being done on their property.
22	or problems that have surfaced they've been black poly water	22	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. I have nothing further.
23	lines that have been installed by rural water systems. Those	23	MR. SMITH: Staff.
24	lines are much flimsier wall thickness and are much more	24	MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you.
25	susceptible to penetration by petroleum substances.	25	MR. SMITH: Commissioners?
		1165	1167
1	Q. And by penetration are you saying that some of the	1	Commissioner Kolbeck.
2	substance actually goes through the wall and gets into the	2	,
3	water?	3	here, do you know who paid for all of these cleanups? Would it
4	A. Yes.	4	be the company?
5	Q. Enough enough of it gets into the water that people	5	THE WITNESS: My experience has been that all pipeline
6	notice it at the tap in their kitchen?	6	releases are paid for by the pipeline company. Now if there are
7	A. Yes.	7	third-party damages, they may go back and try to cost recover
8	Q. And when you have investigated those, you or your staff	8	those damages but my experience is the company gets in there and
9	have investigated those, as part of your investigation do you	10	they want to get it cleaned up just as quick as possible.
10 11	actually go in the house and sample the water?	11	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So you don't know if that
12	A. Yes.Q. And have you got positive test samples when you've done	12	farmer was charged? THE WITNESS: Well, it was the farmer's contractor who
13	that?	13	actually drilled in. So I don't know in that case whether KANEB
14	A. Yes.	14	went back and pursued any sort of settlement against the
15	MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you very much.	15	contractor. Because in that situation I understand that
16	MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke? Mr. White?	16	One-Call was not used at all.
17	MR. KOENECKE: Well, it is good afternoon I guess at	17	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So that would actually go to
18	this point.	18	the contractor's insurance probably?
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION	19	THE WITNESS: That would be my understanding, but I
20	BY MR. KOENECKE:	20	don't know that for sure in this case.
21	Q. How are you? I have a question, and I'd like to know what	21	MR. SMITH: Commissioner Hanson, do you have a
22	the extent of the involvement of a landowner is in remediation	22	
23	plans for a spill site.	23	·
24	A. The extent of the landowner is really very limited to	24	very much for your testimony. I very much appreciate it.
	factors outside of the actual remediation. They may be	25	
25	ractors outside or the actual refrictions. They may be		

	116	8	1170
1	your list of events that have taken place two of them show	1	So they may have a main that is made more of a stronger PVC
2	grader hit pipeline. And I'd assume whoever typed this meant	2	material, but they run this thin-walled black poly line. And
3	road grader or something of that nature.	3	it's been my experience that those are at more risk and more
4	Do you know if that, in fact, was the type of	4	threat to all types of I mean, we've had reports of
5	equipment that struck it or what type of equipment it was?	5	contamination in people's homes as a result of a heating fuel
6	THE WITNESS: Those are what I refer to as the	6	tank outside the home that has gotten over to those lines.
7	historic spills, and our data from those spills is very, very	7	Q. And do you know whether those lines are the responsibility
8	vague. And those were actual reports to our office that were	8	under the ownership of the homeowner or the service taker or do
9	kind of hearsay sort of thing is they were basically reported	9	you know or are they part of the rural water system and owned
10	to us that somebody thought they remembered this sort of	10	and operated by them? Do you know?
11	incident happening.	11	A. I don't know for sure, but I suspect that those lines are
12	And when I looked at the files it looked to me they	12	being installed by the homeowners, that there's like a well pit
13	were very closely the reports were so close that they	13	that rural water takes the water up to, and then it's the
14	appeared to be the same incident. Williams Pipeline was asked	14	homeowner's responsibility to go from the well pit to the home.
15	to go back and investigate all of those historic ones and were	15	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. Thanks for the
16	not able to confirm that a release had occurred at those	16	latitude. I appreciate it.
17	locations.	17	MR. SMITH: Any redirect following up on that?
18	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Thank you very much.	18	MR. RASMUSSEN: No.
19	Appreciate it.	19	MR. SMITH: Okay. I think you're excused. Thank you
20	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I'm sorry. I just have one	20	very much.
21	more, Kim.	21	(The witness is excused)
22	Do you feel that your agency, the DENR, is ready for a	22	MR. SMITH: I think maybe it's an appropriate time to
23	project like Keystone? Do you have the proper resources and	23	take our noon break. I can't see that clock. I'm sorry.
24	knowledge to deal with a crude oil spill if it were to occur?	24	MR. GERDES: 10 after 12.
25	THE WITNESS: My program and my staff have dealt with	25	MR. SMITH: Let's shoot for 1:15. How is that?
4	1169	9 1	(2 hard mass is taken)
1 2	crude oil spills out in Harding County. Yes. We have the laws	2	(A lunch recess is taken) MR. SMITH: The hearing in Docket HP07-001 is
3	and regulations in place that will help us require a responsible party to go in and assess and clean up these releases.	3	reconvened following our noon recess. We were in the midst of
4	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you.	4	WEB Water's case. But I have a request from an individual
5	MR. SMITH: Any last Commissioner questions?	5	Intervener who has a time emergency. And if Mr. Rasmussen would
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: No, sir.	6	be so kind, I would request that they be allowed to let him
7	MR. SMITH: Any redirect?	7	testify now because he has an urgency to leave.
8	MR. RASMUSSEN: No.	8	MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine.
9	MR. KOENECKE: I'd like to ask another question if I	9	MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point then, Mr. Anderson,
10	could have a little latitude, please, Mr. Smith.	10	please come up here and take a seat here at the center. And
11	MR. RASMUSSEN: I object.	11	then in a second when you get up there then we'll need to have
12	MR. SMITH: Now we're going to go to the goose and	12	you turn to the reporter, and she'll swear you in.
		12	
13	gander rule. You may proceed.	13	(The witness is sworn by the court reporter)
13 14	gander rule. You may proceed. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you.	14	(The witness is sworn by the court reporter) MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by
14	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you.	14	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by
14 15	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION	14 15	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you
14 15 16	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE:	14 15 16	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you can proceed to describe your testimony that you filed.
14 15 16 17	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. Going back to your previous testimony about flimsy lines of	14 15 16 17	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you can proceed to describe your testimony that you filed. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. My name is Scott Anderson. I'm
14 15 16 17 18	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. Going back to your previous testimony about flimsy lines of rural water companies, do you remember that line of questioning?	14 15 16 17 18	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you can proceed to describe your testimony that you filed. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. My name is Scott Anderson. I'm from Langford, South Dakota.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. Going back to your previous testimony about flimsy lines of rural water companies, do you remember that line of questioning? A. Yes.	14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you can proceed to describe your testimony that you filed. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. My name is Scott Anderson. I'm from Langford, South Dakota. MR. SMITH: And did you prefile testimony in this
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. Going back to your previous testimony about flimsy lines of rural water companies, do you remember that line of questioning? A. Yes. Q. Is it your testimony the service lines from the mains to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you can proceed to describe your testimony that you filed. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. My name is Scott Anderson. I'm from Langford, South Dakota. MR. SMITH: And did you prefile testimony in this case?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. Going back to your previous testimony about flimsy lines of rural water companies, do you remember that line of questioning? A. Yes. Q. Is it your testimony the service lines from the mains to the homes are flimsy?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you can proceed to describe your testimony that you filed. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. My name is Scott Anderson. I'm from Langford, South Dakota. MR. SMITH: And did you prefile testimony in this case? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. Going back to your previous testimony about flimsy lines of rural water companies, do you remember that line of questioning? A. Yes. Q. Is it your testimony the service lines from the mains to the homes are flimsy? A. Yes. Some of the lines that are installed are what I refer	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start out, Mr. Anderson, by introducing yourself and tell us where you live, and then you can proceed to describe your testimony that you filed. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. My name is Scott Anderson. I'm from Langford, South Dakota. MR. SMITH: And did you prefile testimony in this case? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. MR. SMITH: And is that what you're holding in front

1 unifor eath on the series metabolish birty put less pass. 2 profiled lestimony, would your footiminy to eccentially the same of the series o			1172		1174
3 servic? 4 The WITNESS. The got a few things to like to add. 5 Miss SMTH. But as far is the hings trait sec in 6 there, you would sently the same adday? There's nothing sould 7 want to change? 8 THE WITNESS. Correct. 8 you could not get to map and a section of a load. —this come up Saturday — 8 THE WITNESS. Correct. 9 Miss SMTH. Olay At this point in time needly use that to cher your prefiled into evidence in the case? 10 In a constraint section of a load. —this come up Saturday — 11 THE WITNESS. Correct. 11 THE WITNESS. Vis. 11 THE WITNESS. Vis. 11 THE WITNESS. Vis. 12 Miss SMTH. Why som we make that — and it?. 13 Soulf adversaria right? 14 THE WITNESS. Vis. 15 Miss SMTH. That will be marked as Soulf Andorson 16 Exhibit 1. And its been chiese. It is there an edigetion? 16 Exhibit 1. And its been chiese. It is there an edigetion? 17 Miss CREENEDER. No edigetion. 18 Miss REALESTER. No edigetion. 19 Miss LEANE No edigetion. 10 Miss SMTHE. No edigetion. 10 Miss SMTH. That will be marked as Soulf Andorson 11 Miss SMTHE. No edigetion. 11 Miss SMTHE. No edigetion. 12 westernes. Why yeard right rows, Soulf, po mend and give us your 23 doctoring or the miss things it disks. 11 Miss you be marked as you find and whatevor due you. 12 think by portion for the Correction of your summaries what you find and whatevor due you. 14 think by portion for the Correction of your summaries what you find and whatevor due you. 15 In the war in both you can be the time things it disks. 16 to come character by Transchoration or approach. You is much as term. 173 In the war in both you can be the time things it disks. 174 The WITNESS. So. Clay. One of the first things it disks. 175 In the war in both you can be a ben't let up to private. 16 to come character by Transchoration or approach. You is summaried. The you can be a ben't let up to private. 174 The will be completed to a both the disk that took up 175 In the war in both you can be a ben't let up to private. 176 The weeken we do gift and up to be come through with more 177 The war	1	under oath as to the same materials that you have in your		it back up? That's another question I have.	
4 Incom, whats going to happen there? I think it would be good 5 MR, SMITE. All risk for with the high fivel we in 6 MR, SMITE. All risk for with the high fivel we in 7 want to change? 8 THE WITNESS. Currect 9 MR, SMITE. Clay, At this point in time would you 10 want to offer your prelified into evidence in the case? 10 want to offer your prelified into evidence in the case? 11 THE WITNESS. Currect 12 MR, SMITE. Why den't we mark that — and it's 13 Sout Androdom right? 14 THE WITNESS: I'vis. 15 Sout Androdom right? 16 Ehible 1. And is been offered. Is there an objection? 17 MR, SMITE. That will be merked as Soot Andresson 18 MR, SMITE. That will be merked as Soot Andresson 19 MR, HONNOCK: No objection. 19 MR, HONNOCK: No objection. 10 MR, HONNOCK: No objection. 10 MR, HONNOCK: No objection. 10 MR, HONNOCK: No objection. 11 MR, WITNESS: Quay, One of the risk through the last of the charmesion to know 19 MR, WONNOCK: No objection. 10 MR, Worth word why your finglin now, Soot, go alread and give us your 10 Sold for the Commission to know 11 Sold for the Commission to know 11 Sold for the Commission to know 11 Sold for the Commission or know to be with the properties. We find that was a even going on the virtue of the policy of the commission to know 11 Sold for the charmesion or being 1 is admitted into 22 evidence. Why don't right now, Soot, go alread and give us your 23 best incommended by TimanGardad or anybody — you know, if it was the first which the sold proper in the first will be sound to make your place in the first will be sound to form you present. Whe man a pretty vig water shad, the charmesion if you was not only the properties. We first in the direct comes it going to wash the propens there? 11 Why soon they was to word if they were to come through with more or you will be interested to be soon will be a simple to wash the proposed to this. This was a dark going on side vis one bring price in the sol to one wash the proposed to the side of the sol through the proposed to	2	prefiled testimony, would your testimony be essentially the	2	2 And will there be any bond in place? You know, is	
5 faith to put up serious another of money to take care of that. 6 there, you would testify the same beday? There's nothing you'd 7 want to drapting? 8 THE WINNESS Cornot. 8 you could not get from point 4 to point 6 feet soons up Sametra'd 10 want to oter your prefiled into evidence in the case? 10 want to oter your prefiled into evidence in the case? 11 life. WINNESS Yes. 11 lime. You know, which program there? 12 MR_SMITH: May don't we mark that – and it's. 13 Soot! Anderson: right? 14 THE WINNESS Yes. 15 MR_SMITH: Why don't we mark that – and it's. 15 MR_SMITH: Why don't we mark that – and it's. 16 Exhibit 1, And it's been ordered. Is there an origination? 16 Exhibit 1, And it's been ordered. Is there an origination? 17 MR_SONINE: No objection. 18 MR_SAMISSIN: No objection. 19 MR_SMITH: Soot! Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into evidence. Why don't right now. Soot; go exhed and give us your exhibit 1 is admitted into evidence with your three governments what you filed and whatever dies you the formatical my formatical mark you filed and whatever dies you the formatical my formatical mark you filed and whatever dies you the formatical my formatical mark you filed and whatever dies you the formatical my formatical mark you filed and whatever dies you have been depreted in the commission to know. 11 to say is 1 and form may parcets. We form I, have not in the order of the dentings issues where we have when our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues where we have when our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues where we have when our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues where we have when our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues where we have when our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues where we have when our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues where we have when our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues where we have any did in the tot or any did in the arrow of protection. If we want our 3 to 4 feet in the grant of the dentings issues wher	3	same?	(3 TransCanada going to put up some money if there is a leak? You	
there, you would testify the same today? Interes nothing you'd 7 want to change? 8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 9 MR. SMITH. Cokey, At this point in time would you want to other your profiled into evidence in the coxe? 10 want to other your profiled into evidence in the coxe? 11 THE WITNESS: Vis. 12 MR. SMITH. Why don't we mark that — and it's 13 Scott Anderson; right? 14 THE WITNESS: Vis. MR. SMITH. Why don't we mark that — and it's 15 MR. ROMINE. That will be marked as Scott Anderson 16 Exhibit. That had become force to be breath of policien? 17 MR. KORNESSEN: No dejection. 18 MR. ROMINE. No dejection. 19 MR. HONN. No objection. 19 MR. HONN. No objection. 10 MR. BOWN. SMITH. Scott Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 20 evidence. Why don't right into. Scott, go alread and give us your 21 stailing your summarise what you filed and whatever dea you 22 stailing yor summarise what you filed and whatever dea you 23 this was even going on. 10 Say is a rent land from my parents. We term. I have not 11 the work from consensating you pare a Shahon you in the work of family lever to bring you wis to within you spour 23 to say is I rent land from my parents. We term. I have not 24 to say is I rent land from my parents. We term. I have not 25 THE WITNESS: Okey. One of the first things I'd like 11 the ground in the worn going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 11 the world was a seek on going on. 12 the world was a seek on going on. 13 the world was a seek on going on. 1	4	THE WITNESS: I've got a few things I'd like to add.	4	know, what's going to happen there? I think it would be good	
The WiTNESS Correct. M. CSMITH. Okay. At this point in time would you went to change? M. Witness: Vis. It WiTNESS: Vis. M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. Why don't we mark that and it's M. SMITH. That will be marked as Soott Anderson M. SMITH. That will be marked as Soott Anderson M. SMITH. That will be marked as Soott Anderson M. SMITH. That will be marked as Soott Anderson M. SMITH. That will be marked as Soott Anderson M. SMITH. That will be marked as Soott Anderson M. SMITH. Soot Anderson Exhibit is obtained in the warked as Soott Anderson M. R. SMITH. Soot Anderson Exhibit is admitted into M. SMITH. Soot Anderson Exhibit is admitted into 20 M. SMITH. Soot Anderson Exhibit is admitted into 21 M. SMITH. Soot Anderson Exhibit is admitted into 22 evidence. Why don't right now. Soott, go ahead and give us your 23 testmony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you 24 testmony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you 25 THE WITNESS. Okay. One of the first things I'd like. 1173 Wasnot for what's going on as far as one being called like. 1174 1175 1176 M. SMITH. Soott Anderson Exhibit is admitted into M. SMITH. Soott Anderson Exhibit is admitted into 29 And why don't right proxy on the soot of the box on the soot of	5	MR. SMITH: But as far as the things that are in		faith to put up serious amounts of money to take care of that.	
## Set Pick Color. At this point in time would you want to first your profiled not occlose in the case? ## WITNESS: Ves. ## WITNESS: Ves	6	there, you would testify the same today? There's nothing you'd	(And in the event of a leak this come up Saturday	
## time. You lonce, what happens then? ## wint to offer your profiled into ovidence in the case? ## wint to offer your profiled into ovidence in the case? ## wint to offer your profiled into ovidence in the case? ## wint to offer your profiled into ovidence in the case? ## wint to offer your profiled into ovidence in the case? ## Wint wint to see the wint to ovide	7	want to change?	7	in a snow storm, something happens the winter of '96 to '97	
the want to offer your profiled into evidence in the case? 11 THE WITNESS Yes. 12 are they won't tell us with they's expressed out any offer surveying crows around. And they are they won't tell us with they's expressed out any offer surveying ones around. And they are they won't tell us with they's expressed out any offer into work in the work they's expressed out they's expressed out they's expressed out they are they won't tell us with they's expressed out they offer into works in they won't expressed in country more's, and protection. 15 MR. SAGITE! They will be marked as Scott Anderson 16 Exhibit 1. And it's been offered. Is there an objection? 18 MR. RASAUSSEN: No objection. 19 MR. HORIE: No objection. 20 MR. SAITE: Stott Anderson Ishibit 1 is admitted into a server and the work of the server of the protection of the work	8	THE WITNESS: Correct.	8	you could not get from point A to point B for several days at a	
11 ora, there's been several surveying are us around. And they 12 are — they want tell us what they're surveying for each stay or that are with they're surveying to reus around. And they 13 south and soon right? 14 THE WITNESS. Yes. 15 MR SMITH. That will be marked as Scott Anderson 15 MR SMITH. That will be marked as Scott Anderson 16 Eshibit. And it's been differed. Is there an objection? 17 MR KOENECKE. No objection. 18 MR ROSHISSIN. No dejection. 19 MR LOHEN No objection. 19 MR LOHEN No objection. 20 MS SEMMLER. No objection. 21 MR SMITH. Scott Anderson Eshibit I is edmitted into 22 coldation. Why both right now, Scott, go alead and give us your 23 stimory or summarize what you filed and whatevor dis you 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS. Clay. One of the first things i'd like 25 the FW WITNESS. Clay. One of the first things i'd like 25 to other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 26 tool of the drainage Issues where we like. Where in a pretty big 27 water shot, the Crow Coek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 28 that ground, what are we going to do with the drift that took up 29 the ground, what are we going to do with the drift that took up 20 that area? And at these going to be a berm left up top there? 21 the spound, what are we going to be abore mit when the spore out? That's one 25 the other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 26 to firm big concerns on this whole project. 27 the Witness And a there going to be abore mit when the spore out? That's one 28 to a big, or is it giving to be abore of those issues. 29 And this morning they were to being about the new 21 waster for objects they conceined if you up at a 30-inch pipe in 29 the ground, what are we going to come through with more 29 that area? And at these going to be abore of those issues. 20 And this morning they were to be good? That's one 21 the ground, what are we going to come through with more 22 to know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 23 to be a sit, or is it giving to be abone to	9	MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time would you	9	time. You know, what happens then?	
13 Soft Anderson: right? 14 THE WITNESS: Ves. 15 NR. SMITH: That will be marked as Soft Anderson 16 Exhibit 1. And It's born offered. Is there an edjection? 16 Exhibit 1. And It's born offered. Is there an edjection? 17 MR. KOENECK: No objection. 18 MR. ROSAUSSIN. No objection. 19 MR. HORN: No objection. 19 MR. HORN: No objection. 19 MR. HORN: No objection. 20 MR. SMITH: Soft Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 21 MR. SMITH: Soft Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 22 evidence. Why don't right now. Soft, go sheed and give us your 23 testimony or summarize what you filed and whalever desy you 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Ckay. One of the first things I'd like 26 to offer deriving grow and the summarized or my log on as far as me boing notified, I'd have no deal this was even going or. 3 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a lot of the drainage issues where we like. When in a pretty big offer and in the wrong direction. It will wesh out 3 to 4 feet that arran? And is there going to be oberne left up top there? 3 What arran And I'm kind of commond if you so much as farm that pround in the wrong direction. It will wesh out 3 to 4 feet that arran? And is there going to be oberne left up top there? 3 What arran And I'm kind of commond if you so much as farm 10 And I'm kind of commond if you so much as farm 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 11 the ground, what are we going to be oberne the grown of the intended in the word of the drainage is a large sugar when the ground of the was the project. The word is a log is going to be abone to stop some of those is sugar. 10 And I'm kind of commond if you up as a form of the project in the drainage is a large sugar to be about the proper. When that well comes is a going to be abone to stop some of those issues. 10 And With the direction in the what we did just up	10	want to offer your prefiled into evidence in the case?	10	The other thing that's been going on lately in the	
13 Scott Anderson: right? 14 INE WITHESS: Yes 15 MR SOMTH: That will be marked as Scott Anderson 16 Exhibit 1. And it's been offered. Is there an objection? 17 MR KORHECKE: No objection. 18 Mer KORHECKE: No objection. 18 Mer KORHECKE: No objection. 19 MR HOHR: No objection. 19 MR HOHR: No objection. 19 MR HOHR: No objection. 19 MR SOMITHES No. Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 20 M. S. SCAMLER: No objection. 20 M. S. SCAMLER: No objection. 21 MR SOMITHE SCAM Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 22 evidence. Why don't right now. Scott, go ahead and give us your 23 testimorry or summarble what you, filed and whatever else you 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITHESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 26 to say is I rord land from my parcnis. We form. I have not 27 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody — you know, if it 28 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody — you know, if it 39 deep. And write not allowed to move any did in that area. 30 deep. And write not allowed to move any did in that area. 40 for the drainage issues where we like. Where in a preity big water stack the Grow Creek Meshand, out 3 to 4 feet 41 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 42 for you know, where not allowed to move any did in that area. 43 What's going to be appen there? When that wells on 30 linch pipe in 44 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 45 of the drainage issues where wellow. When the derift that took up 46 that area? And is there going to be a born in the word of the drainage issues where we like. Where in a preity big 47 water stack the Grow Creek When that well area. 48 finally a province of the first of the drainage issues where we like. Where in a preity big 49 deep. And write not allowed to move any did in that area. 40 for the drainage issues where we like. Where in a preity big 50 do at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow 51 first warra of the first warra of the province of the first warra of the province of the dr	11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11	area, there's been several surveying crews around. And they	
14 The WTINESS: Yes. 15 MR SMITH: That will be marked as Scott Anderson 16 Eshibit 1. And it's been offered. Is there an objection? 17 MR RCENECKE: No objection. 18 MR ASAMUSSIN: No objection. 19 MR HOHN: No objection. 20 MS SEAMULE: No objection. 21 MR SMITH: Scott Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go ahead and give us your evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go ahead and give us your evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go ahead and give us your to state to wheth this popilion running from the information I have to think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 21 to starty or summarize what you lited and vhateour disc you at the state of the Commission to know. 22 to state of the Commission to know. 23 to startery or summarize what you lited and vhateour disc you are start when the ground's froze. Like, you know, soybean rust in the south, just officeront inscots. 1173 1 to say is 1 nent land from my parents. We farm. I have not be not centacted by thransCamada or anybody – you know, if it was to finding is state where we live. Wor'd in a protity big water sheet, the Crow Creek Watersheet, and if you so much as farm that ground in the wrong direction, it will wesh out 3 to 4 loct of deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 3 that ground in the voring direction, it will wesh out 3 to 4 loct the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up that good in hopper there? When that water comes is it going to be a dilea, or is it going to wash this pipe cut? That's ane the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up that care? And is thore going to be a dilea, or is it going to wash this pipe cut? That's ane the ground what are are and if you so much as farm that ground in the worn ground in the water of the commission of the pipe. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in the ground, what are we going to be a dilea, or is it going to wash this pipe eut? That's ane that they too be a dilea, or is it going to	12	MR. SMITH: Why don't we mark that and it's	12	2 are they won't tell us what they're surveying so I can't say	
15 MR. SMITH: That will be marked as Scott Anderson 16 Exhibit 1. And it is been offered. Is there an objection? 17 MR. KOENECKE: No objection. 18 MR. RASMUSSIN: No objection. 19 MR. HONIN: No objection. 19 MR. HONIN: No objection. 20 MS. SEMMLER: No objection. 21 MR. SMITH: Scott Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into evidence. Why don't right now. Scott, go ahead and give us your	13	Scott Anderson; right?	1:	they're surveying for TransCanada. But they're in the area.	
16 Exhibit 1. And it's been offered. Is there an objection? 17 MR. ROSMUSSEN: No objection. 18 MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. 19 MR. RIGNIN. No objection. 19 MR. SMITH: Scott Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 21 it's 75 to 80 degrees will this power the information I have 22 evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go ahead and give us your 22 again, allowing rodents, insects, diseases toyou know, we don't have to worry about a let of that stuff when the ground's fraze. Like, you know, seybean rust in the south, just 2 this is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 2 the world and inform my parents. We farm. I have not 2 bean contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, I'il 2 again because we dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedot. 3 wasnit for what's going on as far as me being morifled. I'd have 3 the ground in the worng direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 3 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 deep the sevented to move any dirt in that area. 10 deep. And we'r	14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	14	They won't say nothing. They are chopping holes in the roads in	
17 responsibile for fixing these roads after they not that 18 MR. RASMUSSEN. No objection. 19 MR. HOHN: No objection. 20 MS. SEMMLER: No objection. 21 MR. SMITH: Scott Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 22 evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go shead and give us your 23 testimony or summarize what you'llied and whatever clee you 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 1173 1 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 2 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it 3 wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this vas even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 to tof the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 weter shed, the row Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feot 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a bern left up top there? 13 What's going to be going to be so bern left up top there? 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 16 of regrounding they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, and if they were to come through with more 18 to a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 19 of my lip concerns on this whole project. 10 And this wash out a going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were to forme than one 21 energy bill they were thying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement — they think wall have to import half the 23 concerns on this whole project. 24 It's 75 to 80 degrees will this ground ever freeze back up 25 again, allowing rodents, insects, diseases to "you know, soybean rust in the south, pass of the serve than the south, pass of the sourh wash of the south will be south that	15	MR. SMITH: That will be marked as Scott Anderson	1:	places in county roads, and nobody seems to have a real good	
18 they're big holes they're putting in there, but once you start 19 MR HOHIE: No objection. 20 MS SEMMLER: No objection. 21 MR SMITH: Soot Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 22 evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go shead and give us your 23 testimony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 26 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 27 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 28 to be contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it 39 users if for what's going on as far as me being notified. I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we like. Were in a pretty big 5 vater shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 4 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 130 What's going to happen there? When that weier comes is it going 140 to be a dise, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 151 of my big concerns on this whole project. 152 If a wash is pipe out? That's one 153 of my big concerns on this whole project. 154 If a wash is piped to be done to stop some of those issues. 255 And this morning they were to come through with more 266 to the draining issue in that area. 277 And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 288 If you know, and if they wre to come through with more 19 pipes, you know, and if they wre to come through with more 19 pipes, you know, when more thing they were to stop some of those issues. 289 And this morning they were to stop some of those issues. 290 And this morning they were to stop some of those issues. 291 And the morning they were to be put i		Exhibit 1. And it's been offered. Is there an objection?		3 3	
19 MR. HOHN: No objection. 20 MS. SEMMIER: No objection. 21 MR. SMITH: Scott Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 21 it's 75 to 80 degrees will this ground ever freeze back up 22 again, allowing rodens; insects, diseases to you know, we 23 testimony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 25 different insects. 26 It is 30 is I rent land from my paronts. We farm. I have not 25 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, will the 26 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, will the 27 again because we dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedlot. 27 3 And wherever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 3 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 3 do at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow 4 that area. And I'm kind of concerned if you pout a 30-inch pipe in 4 that ground, what are we ging to do with the dirt that took up 4 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? That's one 5 of my big concerns on this whole project. 20 And this his piper unty is admitted into 21 it is 75 to 80 degrees will this ground ever freeze back up 2 again, allowing rodents, insects, diseases to you know, we don't have to worry about a lot of that stuff when the ground's frieze. Like, you know, soybean rust in the south, just different insects. 21 I have a big concern that that will never grow anything 2 again because we dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedlot. 22 3 And wherever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 3 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where g		MR. KOENECKE: No objection.		,	
20 M.S. SEMILER: No objection. 21 MR: SMITH: Scott Anderson Exhibit 1 is admitted into 22 evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go ahead and give us your 23 testimony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you 24 think is portionent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 25 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 26 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it 27 again because we dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedlot. 38 wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on. 50 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we like. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out a 10 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out a 10 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 9 that area? And Is there going to be a berm left up top there? 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And Just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were tailing about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 can be a divergent since the ordinate of the proper half the 24 can be a begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 25 can be a diverge		•			
21 it's 75 to 80 degrees will this ground ever freeze back up 22 evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go ahead and give us your 23 testimony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 26 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 27 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 28 testimony and the same poing on. 29 to say if or what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 20 no idea this was even going on. 30 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 31 to for the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 32 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 30 And this former ging to be a berm left up top there? 31 What's going to be apen there? When that water comes is it going 4 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 4 of my big concerns on this whole project. 4 or you know, and if they were to know what's going to be done to stop some of those Issues. 4 or the drainage is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 4 or the drainage is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 4 or the drainage is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 5 or the drainage is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 5 or the drainage is a drainage to a some of those issues. 5 or the drainage is a drainage to a some of those issues. 5 or the drainage is a drainage to a some of the water to be put in and used 5 or the drainage is a drainage to a some of those issues. 5 or make the drainage to the drain		MR. HOHN: No objection.		, ,	
evidence. Why don't right now, Scott, go ahead and give us your testimony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 24 think is pertinent for the Commission to know. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 1173 1 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it as wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 tot of the drainage Issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 4 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 1 the ground, what are we going to be a berm left up top there? 11 I have a big concern that that will never grow anything again because we'dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedlot. 3 And where ever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 there again. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 tot of the drainage Issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 4 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 1 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 1 the ground, what are we going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 1 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 1 my yeard that had been there see some of my main concerns. 14 I have a big concern that that this will never grow anything 4 dapin there grow anything 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 there gags in the don't water any of the trust area. 15 I have a big concern that that the will invere grow anything 4 dapin there we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good		·			
testimony or summarize what you filed and whatever else you think is pertinent for the Commission to know. THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 1173 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not been contacted by TransCanada or anybody - you know, if it wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have no idea this was even going on. The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a folt of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big water shed, the Grow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in the ground, what are we going to be a berm left up top there? What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one of my big concerns on this whole project. You know, and if they were to come through with more pipes, you know, the more times we dig in hat up the drainage is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 23 don't have to worry about a lot of that stuff when the ground, sight in the south, just different insects. 1173 1 I have a big concern that that will never grow anything again because we dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedlot. 3 And wherever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 5 do at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow 6 there again. 7 You can see that pipeline five to seven years in the 8 future we had one cave in this summer actually going through 9 my yard that had been there seven years. And due to a lot of 10 rain it just washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal 11 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 12 line. So those are some of my main concerns. 13 MR SMITH: Okay. At this					
think is pertinent for the Commission to know. THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I'd like 1173 1 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 2 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody – you know, if it 3 wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on a far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on a far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on . The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 11 the area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up — the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those Issues. 19 ow. And whe begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 20 ond like of the right washed to import half the 22 want to implement — they think we'll have to import half the 22 MR KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 20 And who do now. If this line were to be put in and used 24 for 22 MR KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION	1				
1173 1189 1174 1175 1189 1176 1 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 2 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it 3 a wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on. 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 on a idea this was even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 19 Mr. Koenecke? 2 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 22 mode of live do now. If this line were to be put in and used 25 different insects. 3 and dwhere a big concern that that wall in ever gow anything gagin because we dig in a lot of water lines. We ruid if they were take of land where grass gain because we dig in a lot of water lines. We not fixed that was a pretty seems to grow of the fe					
1173 1 to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not 2 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it 3 wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, i'd have 4 no idea this was even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a bern left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big Issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 11 I have a big concern that that will never grow anything 2 again because we dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedlot. 3 And wherever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a function		·		_	
1 I have a big concern that that will never grow anything 2 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it 3 wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and If you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a bern left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 1 I have a big concern that that will never giong in to do water lines. We run a feedlot. 3 And wherever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we there grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we there grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we there grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we there grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we there grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we there grass grows or we do farm it no matt	25	THE WITNESS: Okay. One of the first things I d like		different insects.	1175
2 been contacted by TransCanada or anybody you know, if it 3 wasn't for what's going on as far as me being notified, I'd have 4 no idea this was even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 2 again because we dig in a lot of water lines. We run a feedlot. 3 And wherever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 6 to at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow 6 there again. 7 You can see that pipeline five to seven years in the 8 future we had one cave in this summer actually going through 7 my yard that had been there seven years. And due to a lot of 9 my yard that had been there seven years. And due to a lot of 10 the ground, what are we going to be a berm left up top there? 11 the ground, what are we going to be a berm left up top there? 12 that area? And is there going to a be with the will wash as	1	to say is I rent land from my parents. We farm. I have not		I have a hig concern that that will never grow anything	1175
3 Many the rever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 no idea this was even going on. 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up — the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement — they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 3 And wherever we dig these water lines in when we cross an area 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 5 do at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow 6 there again. 7 You can see that pipeline five to seven years in the 8 tuture — we had one cave in this summer actually going through 11 to a stuture — we had one cave in this summer actually going through 12 that area? And st the applient five to seven years. And due to a lot of 13 trial tijust washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal 14 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 15 line. So those are some of my main concerns. 16 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 17 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 18 is a					
4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we 5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big Issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 4 where grass grows or we do farm it no matter how good a job we do at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow there again. 7 You can see that pipeline five to seven years in the future we had one cave in this summer actually going through my yard that had been there seven years. And due to a lot of rain it just washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch line. So those are some of my main concerns. 13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 14 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from the other parties in the case. So I'm go					
5 The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a 6 lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big 7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 5 do at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow there again. 7 You can see that pipeline five to seven years in the 8 future we had one cave in this summer actually going through 9 my yard that had been there seven years. And due to a lot of 10 rain it just washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal 11 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 11 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 11 time. So those are some of my main concerns. 11 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 12 Line. So those are some of my main concerns. 13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 14 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case.	4		4		
7 water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm 8 that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet 9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 you can see that pipeline five to seven years in the 10 future we had one cave in this summer actually going through 10 rain it just washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal 11 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 12 line. So those are some of my main concerns. 13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 14 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	5	The other thing I want to bring up has to do with a		do at putting that back together, nothing ever seems to grow	
that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up that area? And is there going to be a bern left up top there? What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going what's going to happen there when the water come through with more formy big concerns on this whole project. You know, and if they were to come through with more you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, Mr. Koenecke? Mr. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 20 Mr. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION	6	lot of the drainage issues where we live. We're in a pretty big	(there again.	
9 deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area. 10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 9 my yard that had been there seven years. And due to a lot of 10 rain it just washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal 11 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 12 line. So those are some of my main concerns. 13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 14 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	7	water shed, the Crow Creek Watershed, and if you so much as farm	7	You can see that pipeline five to seven years in the	
10 And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in 11 the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up 12 that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 10 rain it just washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal 11 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 12 line. So those are some of my main concerns. 13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 24 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 Want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	8	that ground in the wrong direction, it will wash out 3 to 4 feet	8	future we had one cave in this summer actually going through	
the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one of my big concerns on this whole project. You know, and if they were to come through with more pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. And this morning they were talking about the new and this morning they were talking about the new and to implement they think we'll have to import half the crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 11 compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch 12 line. So those are some of my main concerns. 13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 4 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 Want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	9	deep. And we're not allowed to move any dirt in that area.	9	my yard that had been there seven years. And due to a lot of	
that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there? 13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 15 Iine. So those are some of my main concerns. 16 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 18 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 19 THE WITNESS: No. 10 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 11 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 Want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used	10	And I'm kind of concerned if you put a 30-inch pipe in	10	rain it just washed it out. And that was a pretty small deal	
13 What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going 14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 18 MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other 14 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	11	the ground, what are we going to do with the dirt that took up	11	compared to this. This was a 2-inch line compared to a 30-inch	
14 to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one 15 of my big concerns on this whole project. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 14 exhibits or anything you want to introduce? 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	12	that area? And is there going to be a berm left up top there?	12	line. So those are some of my main concerns.	
of my big concerns on this whole project. 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	13	What's going to happen there? When that water comes is it going	13	MR. SMITH: Thank you. You don't have any other	
You know, and if they were to come through with more 17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 16 MR. SMITH: Okay. At this point in time then what we 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	14	to be a dike, or is it going to wash this pipe out? That's one		, ,,	
17 pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage 18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 17 do is tender you for cross-examination. It means questions from 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION		of my big concerns on this whole project.			
18 is a big issue in that area. And I just would like to know 19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 18 the other parties in the case. So I'm going to do that right 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION		You know, and if they were to come through with more			
19 what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. 20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 19 now. 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION	17	pipes, you know, the more times we dig that up the drainage		,	
20 And this morning they were talking about the new 21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 20 And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION			1 19	the other parties in the ages. So I'm going to do that right	
21 energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they 22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 21 Mr. Koenecke? 22 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION					
22 want to implement they think we'll have to import half the 23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 24 MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION	19	what's going to be done to stop some of those issues.	19	now.	
23 crude oil we do now. If this line were to be put in and used 23 <u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	19 20	what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. And this morning they were talking about the new	19	now. And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you,	
	19 20 21	what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. And this morning they were talking about the new energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they	19 20 2°	now. And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, Mr. Koenecke?	
24 for a while then discontinued use, what happens there? I mean, 24 BY MR. KOENECKE:	19 20 21 22	what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. And this morning they were talking about the new energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they want to implement they think we'll have to import half the	19 20 2 ² 22	now. And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you.	
25 is this thing just going to corrode in the ground? Do they take 25 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Anderson.	19 20 21 22	what's going to be done to stop some of those issues. And this morning they were talking about the new energy bill they were trying to pass in Congress. By 2020 they want to implement they think we'll have to import half the	19 20 22 23	now. And we begin with the Applicant. Is it you, Mr. Koenecke? MR. KOENECKE: It is, Mr. Smith. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	

		1176		1178
1	A.	Good afternoon.	1	Q. Do you use that for all your water needs?
2	Q.	Have you been in the room at all here today?	2	A. No.
3	A.	No. I just got here.	3	Q. Do you have other sources of water that you use?
4	Q.	I see. So you wouldn't have heard the testimony this	4	A. Where I live that's the only source of water we have at my
5	morr	ning?	5	house. At the feedlot we have surface wells.
6	A.	No.	6	Q. Approximately how many?
7	Q.	Have you been listening to the testimony during the week at	7	A. Seven, eight of them.
8	all?		8	Q. And do you know how far off the line you are, the proposed
9	A.	No, I haven't.	9	pipeline route?
10	Q.	I noted in your testimony that you discussed relics and	10	A. I would say I am 2 miles.
11	cultu	ral resources. Can you turn to the back page, please.	11	Q. And that's at your house and feedlot both?
12	A.	Okay.	12	A. No. That's at my house. I live a mile west of the
13	Q.	Are you looking at the last paragraph of your testimony	13	feedlot.
14	entit	led Cultural Resources?	14	Q. So the feedlot would be within a mile of the line?
15	Α.	Uh-huh.	15	A. Correct.
16	Q.	Do you have any particular experience or expertise in	16	Q. And your house is yet another mile west?
17		s or cultural resources?	17	A. Correct.
18		I don't have any expertise, but I know we do find a lot of	18	MR. KOENECKE: Nothing further. Thank you very much.
19		s in that area.	19	MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen.
20	Q.	You find them on your own land?	20	MR. RASMUSSEN: No questions.
21	Α.	Yes.	22	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn, do you have any questions?
23	Q. A.	Where you're currently farming? Yes.	23	MR. HOHN: Yes, I do.
24	Q.	You farm over the top of them?	24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOHN:
25	A.	They come up yeah. You maybe see a rock and get out to	25	Q. Mr. Anderson, on the second page of your testimony, second
		mey serve up years rearranges see a rearrange get out to		The second page of year testimony, second
		1177		1179
1	pick	1177 it up and you find an arrowhead or Indian hammer. Things	1	1179 paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes
1 2	•		1 2	
_	•	it up and you find an arrowhead or Indian hammer. Things	_	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes
2	like	it up and you find an arrowhead or Indian hammer. Things that.	_	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that?
3	like Q.	it up and you find an arrowhead or Indian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that?	2 3	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes.
2 3 4	like Q. A.	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen.	2 3 4	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great
2 3 4 5	like Q. A. Q.	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years?	2 3 4 5	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say
2 3 4 5 6	like Q. A. Q. A.	it up and you find an arrowhead or Indian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years?	2 3 4 5 6	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	like Q. A. Q. A.	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the	2 3 4 5 6 7	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	like Q. A. Q. A. page	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Iike Q. A. Q. A. page A. page you'v	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. page A. Q. you'v	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. page A. Q. you' A.	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. page A. Q. you' A. Q.	it up and you find an arrowhead or Indian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. page A. Q. you' A. Q. A. cont	it up and you find an arrowhead or I ndian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that ye had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not facted me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. you' A. Q. cont	it up and you find an arrowhead or Indian hammer. Things that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not eacted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. page A. Q. you' A. Cont	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that ye had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not acted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were proper, you don't have any personal knowledge of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A. Cont	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not acted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were proper, you don't have any personal knowledge of that? Just what happened in my parents' yard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that? A. Well, if it's going to be 75 to 80 degrees, I can't imagine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. page A. Q. you' A. Cont Q. not p	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not acted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were proper, you don't have any personal knowledge of that? Just what happened in my parents' yard. You've been a witness to that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that? A. Well, if it's going to be 75 to 80 degrees, I can't imagine that ground will ever freeze on top of that line and for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A. Cont	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not eacted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were proper, you don't have any personal knowledge of that? Just what happened in my parents' yard. You've been a witness to that? My wife was there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that? A. Well, if it's going to be 75 to 80 degrees, I can't imagine that ground will ever freeze on top of that line and for a certain area around it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Cont Q. not p. A. Q. A.	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not acted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were proper, you don't have any personal knowledge of that? Just what happened in my parents' yard. You've been a witness to that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that? A. Well, if it's going to be 75 to 80 degrees, I can't imagine that ground will ever freeze on top of that line and for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Cont Q. not p. A. Q. A. Q.	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the at your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not eacted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were proper, you don't have any personal knowledge of that? Just what happened in my parents' yard. You've been a witness to that? My wife was there. But not you yourself?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that? A. Well, if it's going to be 75 to 80 degrees, I can't imagine that ground will ever freeze on top of that line and for a certain area around it. Q. Okay. On that same page, third paragraph, you make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Cont Page A. Q.	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the eat your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not eacted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were proper, you don't have any personal knowledge of that? Just what happened in my parents' yard. You've been a witness to that? My wife was there. But not you yourself? Not me personally.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that? A. Well, if it's going to be 75 to 80 degrees, I can't imagine that ground will ever freeze on top of that line and for a certain area around it. Q. Okay. On that same page, third paragraph, you make reference to BDM rural water system. In response to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Cont Page A. Q.	that. Approximately how many times have you done that? Half a dozen. Over how many years? 15. In looking at or could you look please further up the eat your Landowner Relations paragraph. Uh-huh. I believe it was your testimony previously here today that we had no contact with Keystone? No. No, that isn't your testimony or No. I've had no contact with Keystone. They have not eacted me. So when you state here that, Land agents' actions that were experience, you don't have any personal knowledge of that? Just what happened in my parents' yard. You've been a witness to that? My wife was there. But not you yourself? Not me personally. Very well. On page 2 you state that you're currently now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	paragraph, about the fifth line up where it starts out, Pipes running 75 to 80 degrees, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. In the next sentence it states that, That would be a great breeding ground for spores from soybean rust. Why do you say that, or what do you mean by that? A. Soybean rust is a disease that's been moving up from the south. It's more prevalent down there because the ground doesn't freeze, doesn't get cold enough to kill it down there. Here if you have a chunk of ground that's not freezing, you know, whenever you have nonfrozen ground it just allows more diseases to happen. Q. So you're saying the normal seasons here where we get a deep frost, 3, 4, 5 feet deep, that's beneficial? A. Right. Q. And if the pipeline goes through that property, how is that going to change that? A. Well, if it's going to be 75 to 80 degrees, I can't imagine that ground will ever freeze on top of that line and for a certain area around it. Q. Okay. On that same page, third paragraph, you make reference to BDM rural water system. In response to

		1180		1182
1	A.	Yes.	1	this summer when we had the 7-to 9-inch rains there for quite a
2	Q.	And you stated when he asked you the question about whether	2	while it's just like the ground gave way under there. The whole
3	you ı	use it at the feedlot you said you use wells.	3	line actually caved in.
4		Would the BDM water system be able to meet your water needs	4	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: You put that line in?
5	at th	e feedlot?	5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	A.	Yes.	6	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Have you had any trouble with
7	Q.	Is there a cost associated with that?	7	your I guess I'll call them professionally installed I don't
8	A.	Yes.	8	mean as a derogatory comment to you but your BDM lines?
9	Q.	The water source you use now are shallow wells? Is that	9	THE WITNESS: Yes. We've had them cave in too.
10	what	you stated?	10	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: It's more of
11	A.	Yeah. 15 to 20 feet deep.	11	THE WITNESS: Soil issue.
12	Q.	And you had eight of them?	12	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Not isolated incident? That's
13	A.	Yes.	13	what I needed to know. Thanks.
14	Q.	Do the shallow wells water your entire feedlot?	14	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much for stopping
15	A.	Yes, they do.	15	by and for the testimony and information you've provided to us.
16	Q.	Have you ever checked with BDM to see what that would cost	16	Could you give me an idea I'm not sure if you're
17	you i	f you were forced to buy all your water from BDM?	17	aware of the mile markers that we have used for locating
18	Α.	No, I haven't, but it would be, I mean, a substantial	18	properties on a pipeline. And you may not have an exhibit close
19		unt. It's \$50 a month just for my house and if you were to	19	to you there, but can you give us some idea what the location is
20		a thousand head of cattle on there, I'm assuming it would be	20	of your property?
21	a lot		21	You'll find an MP and then a number once you find your
22	Q.	Do you happen to know once you've paid the minimum bill,	22	property.
23	-	ou happen to know what the cost per thousand is on BDM?	23	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Is it the same as it's the
24 25	A. Q.	I do not.	25	same as your mom's?
23	Q.	Okay. If you know, can you state how many head of 1181	23	THE WITNESS: Correct. That the pipeline actually 1183
1	livest	cock do you have on your feedlot or some general number of	1	goes through, yes.
2	how	many cattle?	2	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Would that be maybe I
3	A.	About 700.	3	can help you out here. Page 1, last mile post is 238.
4		MR. HOHN: Thank you.	4	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
5		MR. SMITH: Staff, questions of Mr. Anderson?	5	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And then on page 2, the top
6		MS. SEMMLER: None. Thank you.	6	mile post is 239. Is that your area?
7		MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	Mr. A	anderson?	8	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay.
9		CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Scott, thanks for coming very much.	9	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And thank you,
10		from your general knowledge do you know if there are any	10	Mr. Kolbeck. Thank you, Mr. Smith.
11	crude	e oil pipelines in Minnesota or Canada?	11	MR. SMITH: Any additional Commissioner questions?
12 13		THE WITNESS: I know there's one in Minnesota.	12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: No, sir.
l	whot	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Do you have any knowledge of	13 14	MR. SMITH: Did you have any last things to say in
14	vvi iel	her or not that ground over those pipelines freezes? THE WITNESS: I do not.	15	response to any of the questions that have been asked? THE WITNESS: No.
16		CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thanks very much.	16	MR. SMITH: Okay. Then you're excused, Mr. Anderson,
17		MR. SMITH: Commissioner Kolbeck.	17	and thank you very much.
18		COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yeah. I just have one	18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	clarif	ying. I noted your concerns down here. Could you	19	(The witness is excused)
20		nin I think your concern is years down the road with the	20	MR. SMITH: Appreciate it. I think with that then,
21			21	we're back to your case, Mr. Rasmussen.
	trend	th; is that correct?		were back to your case, wit. Nashhassen.
22	trend	h; is that correct? Could you explain what happened in your mom's yard	22	MR. RASMUSSEN: We'll call Kelli Buscher.
	trend agair	Could you explain what happened in your mom's yard		
22		Could you explain what happened in your mom's yard	22	MR. RASMUSSEN: We'll call Kelli Buscher.

			1184			1186
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION		1	those would be?	
2	BY M	S. GIEDD:		2	A. We have standards in the state for total suspended solids.	
3	Q.	Would you state your name for the record.		3	It varies based on the use. Anything from about 90 milligrams	
4	A.	Kelli Buscher.		4	per liter or parts per million up to as high as 165 parts per	
5	Q.	And what's your educational background?		5	million.	
6	A.	I have a bachelor of science degree in civil engineering.		6	Q. Are there standards that are imposed on petroleum	
7	Q.	Are you a registered professional engineer?		7	hydrocarbons in surface water?	
8	A.	I am.		8	A. Yes, there are.	
9	Q.	Whom are you employed by?		9	Q. And what are those standards?	
10	A.	The South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural		10	A. Again, it depends on the use. Most of the water bodies in	
11	Resc	ources.		11	the state have a numeric criteria for oil and grease as	
12	Q.	And how long have you been employed for the Department?		12	10 milligrams per liter. We also have a parameter for total	
13	A.	I've been with the Department full time for 16 years. I		13	petroleum hydrocarbons of 10 milligrams per liter. If something	
14	also	spent two summers in college.		14	is classified for domestic water supply, meaning drinking water	
15	Q.	What program do you work for currently?		15	use, then we have a standard of 1 milligram per liter.	
16	A.	I work for the Surface Water Quality Program.		16	Q. You indicated that point sources are required to get	
17	Q.	And what do you do for that program?		17	permits to discharge into waters of the state?	
18	A.	I'm a natural resources engineering director for the		18	A. That's correct.	
19	Surfa	ace Water Quality Program. I'm responsible for directing		19	Q. First let me start out with what are waters of the state?	
20	the t	eam that administers the Surface Water Discharge Permitting		20	A. Our definition of waters of the state is very broad.	
21	Prog	ram in the state.		21	Basically it's listed in State Statute. I don't have that in	
22	Q.	Would you describe the Surface Water Quality Program,		22	front of me, but to summarize, it's everything, as I mentioned,	
23	pleas	e.		23	from wetlands, dry draws, tributaries, all the way up to the	
24	A.	The Surface Water Quality Program is responsible as the		24	Missouri River or large impoundments.	
25	nam	e implies for protecting surface water in state. In		25	Q. And what kind of point sources are regulated?	
			1185			1187
1	parti	cular we issue permits to point sources that discharge		1	A. The program that I'm responsible for is the municipal and	
2	pollu	itants into the state, into waters of the state. We also		2	industrial sources. For example, if a city has a waste water	
3		responsible for setting water quality standards for water		3	treatment facility if they're going to release any water into	
4	bodi	es in the state, making sure that those water resources are		4	waters of the state, they have to have a permit from us.	
5		ected.		5	A couple of local examples would be the City of Pierre and	
6	Q.	And how do you establish water quality standards?		6	the City of Ft. Pierre. They have very different systems, but	
7	A.	EPA, the Federal Environmental Protection Agency, does a		7	they both have permits under our program for releasing any water	
8		f the science behind it and provides us with information		8	from those treatment systems.	
9		helps us determine what kind of water quality parameters we		9	We also regulate industrial sources. For example, John	
10	have	to set.		10	Morrell, the Gold Mines out in the Black Hills. Sources like	
11		The State is responsible for determining the different uses		11	that also have permits from us to discharge into waters of the	
12 13		ater bodies in the state. Basically not all water bodies		12	state.	
		created equal. We have wetlands. We have dry draws. We		13	Q. And do these permits establish limits for the discharges?	
14 15		e resources like the Missouri River. And all of those get a		14 15	A. Yes. We put specific limits allowing if they meet those	
16	_	rent level of protection. And after you establish the uses of surface water bodies		16	limits, they're allowed to discharge into waters of the state. Those limits are developed based on the criteria that I	
		And after you establish the uses of surface water bodies,			Those limits are developed based on the criteria that I	
17 18		nere standards of quality for those waters then?		17 18	mentioned a few minutes ago. We also look at federal levels that EDA has set on specific	
19	A.	Yes. Then we have specific water quality parameters that		19	We also look at federal levels that EPA has set on specific	
20		y to each of those uses. We also have a number of we call		20	types of discharges. We have to look at both sources when we're	
		n narrative standards. We have both numeric and narrative.		21	developing those limits.	
21 22		ative is something like no toxics in toxic amounts as		21	Q. And if you're not a point source, are you allowed to	
23	oppo Q.	osed to a specific number on a parameter.		23	discharge into waters of the state?	
24	Q. A.	And some of your parameters are actually numbers?		23 24	A. I can't say you're not. EPA basically puts people into	
25	Q.	Yes. Can you just give us an idea of what kind of parameters		25 25	classifications, nonpoint source and point source. We regulate the point sources. They have to have a permit from us. A	
		Can you just give us an idea of what kind of parameters 4 04:16:35 PM Page	e 1184 to 1			02 sheets
		rugo			10 01 1	25015

	1188			1190
1	nonpoint source would be something like agricultural runoff.	1	point. We process their application and give them coverage	
2	That's not required to have a permit.	2	under that permit. It's something we can turn around in a day	
3	Q. If there's a spill or a leak that occurs into waters of the	3	or two. So we usually tell people to get the application in	
4	state, is that considered a discharge?	4	before they start construction. But they don't need to have the	
5	A. It would be I guess we look at it more as a spill,	5	permit until they'd have a discharge.	
6	though, as an unintentional or accidental release.	6	Q. Is there also a Storm Water Construction Permit that you	
7	Q. So you wouldn't go back and require a permit for a spill or	7	handle through your office?	
8	a release?	8	A. Yes, there is.	
9	A. No.	9	Q. And is that one of the permits that you looked at to	
10	Q. Are you generally familiar with TransCanada's proposed	10	determine whether or not it would be required?	
11	operation?	11	A. We did look at that. And actually they will be exempt from	
12	A. Very preliminarily.	12	having to get coverage under that permit.	
13	Q. Did you have some staff assigned to work on this matter?	13	Q. And that exemption is established where?	
14	A. Yes. I've had some people attend meetings that TransCanada	14	A. That was established by Congress. In 2005 Congress passed	
15	has had with our Department. You know, and they got the	15	the Energy Policy Act. Under this Act they clarified some	
16	overview of the project. I've also received some I think it	16	requirements under an earlier version of the Federal Clean Water	
17	must have been on a mailing list. I received some general	17	Act. And that provision of the 2005 Act said that any energy	
18	mailings that they sent out.	18	transmission lines, the construction of energy transmission	
19	Q. Did your program ever make a determination about whether a	19	lines, is exempt from the federal permitting program.	
20	Surface Water Discharge Permit would be required of TransCanada	20	I didn't speak to that earlier, but there is the program	
21	for any portion or for its proposed operation?	21	that we implement, has actually been turned over to us by the	
22	A. Yes, we did.	22	Federal Government. At the federal level it was authorized by	
23	Q. And what determination did you make?	23	the Federal Clean Water Act. And EPA originally issued permits	
24	A. Based on the information that had been presented to us, in	24	under there. They've turned the program over to the State.	
25	addition to I guess based on our general understanding of	25	Now in that 2005 Act Congress specifically said that we	
	1189			1191
1	pipeline construction, we told them that they would need to have	1	cannot issue permits under the authorities of the Clean Water	
2	a permit if they discharged in the water related to construction	2	Act, either state or EPA.	
3	dewatering.	3	Q. And that would be for the Storm Water Discharge Permit?	
4	I was here when Jim Goodman testified earlier this morning,	4	A. For the Storm Water Discharge Permit for energy	
5	and he referred to that. There's a couple of different permits	5	transmission lines.	
6	you'd have to have if you were pumping any water out of a trench	6	Q. Not for the previous dewatering permit you talked about?	
7	or dewatering that construction site. He referred to the water	7	A. No. That would be a separate permit, and they're still	
8	rights permits.	8	required to have that one.	
9 10	We also have a permit if they're going to discharge that	10	MS. GIEDD: Okay. I have nothing further.	
11	water into waters of the state. So we told them in that case if they were going to have that kind of discharge, they would have	11	<u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u> BY MR. RASMUSSEN:	
12	to have a permit from us.	12	Q. You mentioned some meetings that were held. What was the	
13	We also told them it's very common with pipeline	13	subject matter or purpose of those meetings?	
14	construction that you have to test the integrity of the line.	14	A. I was not in attendance at those meetings.	
15	Water is usually used to do that. If they use that water and	15	Q. Do you know what was discussed at those meetings or why	
16	then need to discharge that, they would also need to have a	16	were they called?	
17	permit for that discharge.	17	A. I understand they were called to discuss the project, but	
18	Q. And when do you normally get applications for those kind of	18	beyond that I wasn't there to discuss the details.	
19	permits?	19	Q. I was a little unclear on the discharge permit that would	
20	A. Shortly before the construction starts is typically when we	20	be needed when they do the hydrostatic testing of the line.	
21	see them. We have a general permit, and in this case or these	21	What has to be done to obtain that permit?	
22	types of discharges the permit's already been issued. The	22	A. That's a general permit. We have a short application form	
23	limits, the requirements, have all been set and are established.	23	that would need to be filled out and submitted to the	
24	There were because the consideration of the constraint of the cons	24	Department. They we write up the equal page for the page; and	
	Then people are allowed to request to be covered under that	24	Department. Then we write up the cover page for the permit and	J
25	permit. And it's a paperwork exercise on our part then at that	25	send out a letter.	

	1192			1194
1	Q. So that's the paperwork	1	pipe?	
2	A. That's the paperwork that we have to do.	2	A. I believe that's required on the application, yes.	
3	Q. So your office doesn't really do any particular evaluation	3	Q. And would your office or another office in the Department	
4	or do any work necessarily other than fill out the paperwork?	4	of Environment and Natural Resources issue the withdrawal	
5	A. The initial work on the permit was done when we issued the	5	permit?	
6	general permit. We looked at what levels could be discharged	6	A. Someone else in our office would, yes, based on the	
7	safely into any water in the state. So we established those	7	information in that application. We have developed a form that	
8	levels, and that has to be reevaluated every five years.	8	is trying to streamline the process. It covers both the	
9	When someone submits an application we determine if they're	9	appropriation of water, which Jim Goodman spoke about, and the	
10	eligible to be covered under that permit. But then we write the	10	discharge of the water that I mentioned.	
11	information to them on how they comply with that permit.	11	Q. And on that withdrawal is there any sampling of the water	
12	Q. So the general permit applies to anyone that wants to	12	used to fill the line before it's placed in the line for	
13	discharge water in a situation like this?	13	hydrostatic testing as far as you know?	
14	A. In a temporary discharge situation, yes. And hydrostatic	14	A. You're asking if there's any sampling before it's placed in	
15	testing and construction dewatering are two very common types of	15	the pipe?	
16	discharges that would be covered by this permit.	16	Q. Is the water that's placed in the pipe for testing sampled	
17	MR. RASMUSSEN: Thank you. I don't have anything	17	when it's placed in the line for testing?	
18	else.	18	A. I guess I couldn't speak to what the Water Rights Program	
19	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn, do you have questions?	19	would require. We wouldn't require testing before it was placed	
20	MR. HOHN: Yes.	20	in the line.	
21	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	21	Q. When the at the point of discharge, wherever they and	
22	BY MR. HOHN:	22	there could be is there one discharge point, or could there	
23	Q. On the Storm Water Discharge Permit did I hear you	23	be a number of discharge points?	
24	correctly, TransCanada would be exempted?	24	A. In this particular case I would anticipate the potential	
25	A. That's correct. They're exempt from the Construction Storm	25	for a number of discharge points.	
	1193		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1195
1 1				
_	Water Permit.	1	Q. Okay. Thank you. At the point of discharge would your	
2	Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway	2	office monitor the discharged water and take samples?	
	Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects?	2	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee,	,
2 3 4	Q. Are storm water permits required for state highwayprojects?A. Yes.	2 3 4	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada.	,
2 3 4 5	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? 	2 3 4 5	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified	,
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it?	,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We 	2 3 4 5 6 7	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it.	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged?	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a	,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is exempt or it's not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What we do is develop requirements based on the most restrictive	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is exempt or it's not. MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What we do is develop requirements based on the most restrictive standard that would apply along the entire course.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is exempt or it's not. MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: We're not going to be able to amend the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What we do is develop requirements based on the most restrictive standard that would apply along the entire course. Q. And so if the water were going to be discharged into a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is exempt or it's not. MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: We're not going to be able to amend the law here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What we do is develop requirements based on the most restrictive standard that would apply along the entire course. Q. And so if the water were going to be discharged into a creek at one location and open ground in another, would the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is exempt or it's not. MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: We're not going to be able to amend the law here. Q. Regarding the water use for hydrostatic testing, that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What we do is develop requirements based on the most restrictive standard that would apply along the entire course. Q. And so if the water were going to be discharged into a creek at one location and open ground in another, would the permit vary because of those?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is exempt or it's not. MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: We're not going to be able to amend the law here. Q. Regarding the water use for hydrostatic testing, that's done with a lot of pipelines; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What we do is develop requirements based on the most restrictive standard that would apply along the entire course. Q. And so if the water were going to be discharged into a creek at one location and open ground in another, would the permit vary because of those? A. If it was covered under one permit, no. In some cases if	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Are storm water permits required for state highway projects? A. Yes. Q. Are they required for rural water systems? A. Yes. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object on relevance. We heard they're not applicable. MR. SMITH: Sustained. She's testified it's a matter of federal law. Q. Well, let me ask you, do you have any are you aware of why they're exempted, the specifics as to why a steel oil pipe would be exempt and a plastic rural water would not? MR. KOENECKE: I'll object on relevance. It just doesn't matter. MR. SMITH: I'm going to sustain that. It either is exempt or it's not. MR. HOHN: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: We're not going to be able to amend the law here. Q. Regarding the water use for hydrostatic testing, that's done with a lot of pipelines; correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	office monitor the discharged water and take samples? A. We would not take samples. We would require the permittee, in this case TransCanada. Q. And would they need to send those samples to a certified lab, or how would they go about testing it? A. We don't have a certification program for labs on the waste water side, as I know you're aware of on the drinking water side. They would be required to use approved methods. So as long as either the lab or if they personally had the capability of doing the test, they have to use the required methods for analyzing it. Q. With regard to the discharge location, the permit, I assume, would state where the water would be discharged? A. In a pipeline situation, as I mentioned, there's a potential for a number of different discharge locations. What we do is develop requirements based on the most restrictive standard that would apply along the entire course. Q. And so if the water were going to be discharged into a creek at one location and open ground in another, would the permit vary because of those? A. If it was covered under one permit, no. In some cases if there's going to be substantial differences, I think we've	

			1196			1198
1	restrictive	requirements.		1	A. Mark Mayer.	
2	_	would the permit require a settling pond at the point		2	Q. And what is your educational background, Mark?	
3	of discharg	e, or is that up to the Applicant to propose to you		3	A. I have a Bachelor of Science in civil engineering from	
4	how they'll	discharge?		4	South Dakota State University, and a Master's of Science in	
5	A. The	permittee would have to meet the limits that we set.		5	civil engineering from the University of Kansas.	
6	How they	go about meeting that is their responsibility.		6	Q. Are you a registered professional engineer?	
7	Q. Wou	ld you would you know or be able to estimate what		7	A. In the State of South Dakota, yes.	
8	volume of v	water would be needed to fill a 30-inch pipe		8	Q. And you're employed by the Department of Environment and	
9	220 miles?			9	Natural Resources?	
10	A. Not	without my calculator.	1	10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Wou	ld it be safe to say it would be a lot of water?	1	11	Q. And what do you do?	
12	A. See	ms like it would be a lot of water.	1	12	A. I'm the administrator of the Drinking Water Program.	
13		MR. HOHN: Thank you.	1	13	Q. And how long have you been doing that?	
14		MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, is it you?	1	14	A. A little over two years.	
15		MR. KOENECKE: It is. Thank you.	1	15	Q. And did you work for the Department before that?	
16		CROSS-EXAMINATION	1	16	A. Before that I was the engineering director for the Drinking	
17	BY MR. KOI	ENECKE:	1	17	Water Program since May 2003.	
18	Q. Good	d afternoon, Ms. Buscher. How are you today?	1	18	Q. And would you describe the Drinking Water Program, please.	
19	A. I'm	fine. Thank you.	1	19	A. The Drinking Water Program is the regulatory program within	
20	Q. I hav	ve one line of questioning. I understood your	2	20	the Department that regulates public drinking water systems to	
21	testimony t	o be that Keystone would require a Surface Water	2	21	ensure that they're complying with the Safe Drinking Water Act.	
22	Discharge F	Permit for construction. Am I right?	2	22	Q. And what is the Safe Drinking Water Act?	
23	A. Con	struction, dewatering.	2	23	A. The Safe Drinking Water Act is a federal regulations	
24	Q. Corr	ect. Do you have any knowledge of whether there's a	2	24	that the State has primacy of, meaning that they have been	
25	Surface Wa	ter Discharge Permit required from operations of the	2	25	delegated the requirements to implement that. That covers the	
١,			1197			1199
1	pipeline?			1	water quality standards necessary to deliver safe drinking water	
	• -			_		
2	A. The	re would be no permit required for that.		2	to the public.	
3	A. The	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you.		2	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by	
3 4	A. The	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions?		2 3 4	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements?	
3 4 5	A. The	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you.		2 3 4 5	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements?A. Public water system is a water system that serves either	
3 4 5 6		MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of		2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of 	
3 4 5 6 7	A. Thei	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of 7? None?		2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three 	
3 4 5 6 7 8		MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Busche	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of 7? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end?	1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating.	1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you.	1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating.	1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any	1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Busche they're test	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect?	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Busched they're test segments,	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect? MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 11 13 14 11 15 16 17 18	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system, and that's more like a cafe or a rest stop. And that's 25 or	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ms. Busched they're test segments,	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect? MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Ms. Buscher. Thank you	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system, and that's more like a cafe or a rest stop. And that's 25 or more people for 60 days of the year, but they don't have to be	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Busched they're test segments,	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect? MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Ms. Buscher. Thank you Next witness.	1 1 1 1 1 1 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120	Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system, and that's more like a cafe or a rest stop. And that's 25 or more people for 60 days of the year, but they don't have to be the same people.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. Busched they're test segments,	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect? MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Ms. Buscher. Thank you Next witness. (The witness is excused)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 11 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system, and that's more like a cafe or a rest stop. And that's 25 or more people for 60 days of the year, but they don't have to be the same people. Q. Do you regulate rural water systems? 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Busched they're test segments,	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect? MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Ms. Buscher. Thank you Next witness. (The witness is excused) MR. RASMUSSEN: Mark Mayer.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 112 13 114 115 116 117 118 119 20 21	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system, and that's more like a cafe or a rest stop. And that's 25 or more people for 60 days of the year, but they don't have to be the same people. Q. Do you regulate rural water systems? A. Yes. Rural water systems would be considered a community 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Busched they're test segments,	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect? MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Ms. Buscher. Thank you Next witness. (The witness is excused) MR. RASMUSSEN: Mark Mayer. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 11 13 14 11 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 22 22 23 23 24 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system, and that's more like a cafe or a rest stop. And that's 25 or more people for 60 days of the year, but they don't have to be the same people. Q. Do you regulate rural water systems? A. Yes. Rural water systems would be considered a community water system. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ms. Busched they're test segments, very much.	MR. KOENECKE: Very good. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have any questions? MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of r? None? Commissioner Kolbeck. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you know usually when ing these pipes hydraulically if they're testing in or is it from end to end? THE WITNESS: I'd be speculating. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have any MS. GIEDD: I do not. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen, any redirect? MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further. MR. SMITH: You're excused, Ms. Buscher. Thank you Next witness. (The witness is excused) MR. RASMUSSEN: Mark Mayer. (The witness is sworn by the court reporter) DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223 224	 Q. And what are the public water systems that are regulated by these requirements? A. Public water system is a water system that serves either 15 connections or an average of 25 people more than 60 days of the year. The public water system is broken down into three separate categories. There's a community water system, which is the what we would typically think of as a town or a rural water system. And that's a system that serves 25 or more year-round customers or 15 service connections. The other two categories are noncommunity systems. There's a nontransient noncommunity system, which is a water system such as a school or a factory. They serve water to the same 25 people for more than six months of the year. And then there's also a subset called a transient noncommunity system, and that's more like a cafe or a rest stop. And that's 25 or more people for 60 days of the year, but they don't have to be the same people. Q. Do you regulate rural water systems? A. Yes. Rural water systems would be considered a community water system. Q. And are there certain parameters or constituents in the 	

		1200		1202
1	bacteriological standards that are necessary to meet, and those	1	they would have to get additional samples. They probably	
2	are health-based standards.	2	sample, depending on their frequency but probably annually and	
3	Q. Were those established by EPA?	3	then if they were to have a detection, then they would have to	
4	A. Those are established by EPA, yes.	4	sample quarterly to get a running annual or an annual average of	
5	Q. And do you require public water systems to test for these	5	that level.	
6	parameters?	6	And if they exceeded those maximum contaminant levels, they	
7	A. Yes. Public water systems are required to test, depending	7	would have a violation, and then we would be working with that	
8	on their classification. You know, a transient versus a	8	water system to address that violation.	
9	noncommunity versus a community water system have different	9	Q. And what do you do to when you say work with them, what	
10	testing requirements. The community water systems will have the	10	would happen?	
11	most extensive amount of testing.	11	A. Well, they would be required to notify the public that	
12	Q. In addition, do you provide any engineering functions with	12	they've had the violation, and then they would be working on a	
13	regard to public water systems?	13	compliance strategy to lower the levels below the maximum	
14	A. The Drinking Water Program is also responsible for	14	contaminant level if it were being exceeded.	
15	reviewing plans and specifications of drinking water system	15	MR. RASMUSSEN: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.	
16	projects that can consist of distribution or treatment.	16	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn, do you have questions?	
17	The Drinking Water Program specifically that I work with	17	MR. HOHN: Yes.	
18	and for, we only review plans and specifications for drinking	18	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	
19	water system projects that do not involve state or federal	19	BY MR. HOHN:	
20	funding.	20	Q. Mr. Mayer, are you familiar with the term Consumer	
21	Q. Would you have any reason to have reviewed the plans and	21	Confidence Report?	
22	specifications for TransCanada's proposed pipeline?	22	A. Yes, I am.	
23	A. No, I would not.	23	Q. And could you explain what that report is and why it's	
24	Q. It does not fall within your authority or jurisdiction?	24	required or what it requires?	
25	A. No, it does not.	25	A. The Consumer Confidence Report is a requirement of the Safe	
		1201		1203
1	MS. GIEDD: Thank you.	1	Drinking Water Act that each community water system must issue a	1203
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2	Drinking Water Act that each community water system must issue a annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in	1203
_	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN:	1 2 3	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority	1203
2 3 4	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system.	1 2 3 4	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can	1203
2 3 4 5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX?	1 2 3 4 5	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to	1203
2 3 4 5 6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the	1 2 3 4 5 6	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation.	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level?	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that.	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any?	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No.	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene?	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene? A. Toluene, the MCL or maximum contaminant level is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene? A. No.	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene? A. Toluene, the MCL or maximum contaminant level is 1 milligram per liter.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene? A. No. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Rasmussen asked you about sampling	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene? A. Toluene, the MCL or maximum contaminant level is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene? A. No. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Rasmussen asked you about sampling you said that at least annually. Are there some things that are	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene? A. Toluene, the MCL or maximum contaminant level is 1 milligram per liter. Q. And what about whatever else makes up BTEX? Do you have that too?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene? A. No. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Rasmussen asked you about sampling you said that at least annually. Are there some things that are sampled more on than annually?	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene? A. Toluene, the MCL or maximum contaminant level is 1 milligram per liter. Q. And what about whatever else makes up BTEX? Do you have that too?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene? A. No. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Rasmussen asked you about sampling you said that at least annually. Are there some things that are	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene? A. Toluene, the MCL or maximum contaminant level is 1 milligram per liter. Q. And what about whatever else makes up BTEX? Do you have that too? A. Xylenes are 10 milligrams per liter.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene? A. No. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Rasmussen asked you about sampling you said that at least annually. Are there some things that are sampled more on than annually? A. I'm not exactly the right person to ask specifics on the	1203
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RASMUSSEN: Q. You mentioned the parameters for a community water system. Do you know what those are for what we refer to as BTEX? A. I believe BTEX, those compounds would be covered under the volatile organic chemicals classification, which there are numerous ones of those. But the benzenes and toluenes and xylenes, those kind of things are contaminants that are tested under the volatile organic chemical rule. Q. Do you know what the level is for, for instance, benzene? A. For benzene I do have the I do have the Part 141 of the Federal Regulations here, and I did anticipate that question. Q. Very good. A. The level for benzene would be .005 milligrams per liter, which is the equivalent of five parts per billion. Q. What about toluene? A. Toluene, the MCL or maximum contaminant level is 1 milligram per liter. Q. And what about whatever else makes up BTEX? Do you have that too? A. Xylenes are 10 milligrams per liter. Q. Okay. And if a drinking water system was to exceed any of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	annual water quality report to their consumers. The report in South Dakota, our Department generally prepares a vast majority of that report and provides it to the system, and then they can add to it for public relations measures or if they need to specifically address a violation that they may have had in the prior year, they can have an opportunity or they need to take that opportunity to inform their consumers what they've done to address that violation. Q. Are you aware of any community or public water system in South Dakota that has had to report a benzene exceeding the maximum contaminant level? A. I am not aware of that. Q. Same question with regard to toluene. Are you aware of any? A. No. Q. And xylene? A. No. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Rasmussen asked you about sampling you said that at least annually. Are there some things that are sampled more on than annually? A. I'm not exactly the right person to ask specifics on the volatile organic chemicals rule as far as sampling frequency.	1203

		1204		1206
1	done typically monthly. Some sampling is done annually. Some	1	they need to be concerned about but they need to be aware of it,	
2	is done triennially, some quarterly.	2	you know, that kind of	
3	Q. The sampling that's required for the Consumer Confidence	3	Like, for instance, a nitrate. If it's an infant or a	
4	Report, the data that goes into that report, how many do you	4	pregnant woman or whatever, those chemicals that population	
5	know how many items are actually sampled for or required to be	5	would be more concerned than a healthy adult.	
6	sampled currently in South Dakota?	6	Q. I gather from your earlier response that your office has	
7	A. I don't have that exact number off the top of my head.	7	never had a benzene, toluene, or xylene sample that you had to	
8	It's a lot it's quite a list.	8	deal with. But if you encountered that, is there what are	
9	Q. Is it in the 80 to 90 range, would you think?	9	the remedies that a consumer could take to deal with water that	
10	A. Yes.	10	had levels?	
11	Q. 80 to 90 different tests?	11	A. I'm not aware of those. I'm not saying that we have never	
12	A. Yes.	12	had one. I'm just personally not aware of that.	
13	Q. The testing for the petroleum products and benzene, toluene	13	In terms of what remedies are you asking me what	
14	byproducts, are those expensive tests to run as far as you know?	14	remedies a water system might take if they had those levels or	
15	A. They are well, it depends on what you consider	15	those constituents found in their source or what a consumer	
16	expensive, I guess. I don't know what the exact number is off	16	would do?	
17	the top of my head, but it's not cost prohibitive, I don't	17	Q. Essentially I'm asking both, I guess. What could the	
18	believe.	18	system do, and what could a consumer do?	
19	Q. If a rural water system crossed by this pipeline were to	19	A. Well, the system would either need to look into alternative	
20	get a positive sample that they couldn't account for, couldn't	20	sources or blending of that water to with other water that	
21	explain where it came from, your office would then try to help	21	has less or an acceptable level so that the blended water	
22	them deal with that issue?	22	would be below the standard. Or perhaps a treatment system	
23	A. If we had any water system, whether it was a rural water	23	could be installed that would reduce that.	
24	system or any public water system that reported, you know, a	24	As far as what the consumer themselves would do, I'm not	
25	level of those chemicals, we would be working with them to help	25	sure off the top of my head.	
		1205		1207
1	them try and figure that out.	1	Q. If a rural water system were to build a pipeline to provide	
2	Q. And in the meantime, until the source was found and	2	water to consumers, before that could be built would their plans	
3	corrected, would the water system be required to continue to	3	need to be reviewed and approved by your office or some office	
4	publish notice on a periodic basis?	4	in the Department?	
5	A. If they were in violation of the standard, they would, yes.	5	A. Yes.	
6	Otherwise, the Consumer Confidence Report, that has a table in	6	Q. And the reason for that review is what?	
7	there that identifies any detections of any of the regulated	7	A. We're required to review the plans and specs of water and	
8				
9	contaminants over a period of five years. And that's presented	8	waste water system components to ensure that they are following	
	to them annually.	9	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction.	
10	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the	9	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at	
10 11	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in	9 10 11	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans?	
10 11 12	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where	9 10 11 12	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of	
10 11 12 13	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking	9 10 11 12 13	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them.	
10 11 12 13 14	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water?	9 10 11 12 13 14	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water	
10 11 12 13 14 15	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans?	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose? A. Yes. The public notice is designed to give the public	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be. Q. Let me ask another question. Are you aware of any rural	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose? A. Yes. The public notice is designed to give the public to allow the public an awareness of what contaminants have been	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be. Q. Let me ask another question. Are you aware of any rural water systems that have built a system without your approval?	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose? A. Yes. The public notice is designed to give the public to allow the public an awareness of what contaminants have been detected in their water. And then there's required language	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be. Q. Let me ask another question. Are you aware of any rural water systems that have built a system without your approval? A. No.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose? A. Yes. The public notice is designed to give the public to allow the public an awareness of what contaminants have been detected in their water. And then there's required language that describes what the health-based effects of that particular	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be. Q. Let me ask another question. Are you aware of any rural water systems that have built a system without your approval? A. No. Q. Thank you. The last question I have for you is are you	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose? A. Yes. The public notice is designed to give the public to allow the public an awareness of what contaminants have been detected in their water. And then there's required language that describes what the health-based effects of that particular detected contaminant are, and then it also would identify	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be. Q. Let me ask another question. Are you aware of any rural water systems that have built a system without your approval? A. No. Q. Thank you. The last question I have for you is are you aware of any state agency that would have review approval for a	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose? A. Yes. The public notice is designed to give the public to allow the public an awareness of what contaminants have been detected in their water. And then there's required language that describes what the health-based effects of that particular detected contaminant are, and then it also would identify additional measures that they might need to take, whether 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be. Q. Let me ask another question. Are you aware of any rural water systems that have built a system without your approval? A. No. Q. Thank you. The last question I have for you is are you aware of any state agency that would have review approval for a petroleum or crude oil pipeline and would review the plans	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to them annually. Q. Would it ever get would it ever get to a point where the water could not be used? Is there a level that is reached in any of these three elements, benzene, toluene, and xylene, where the water system might have to not provide water, drinking water? A. I don't know that the answer to that specific question. Q. The purpose of the notice to the public is so that the public can make a judgment. Is that the purpose? A. Yes. The public notice is designed to give the public to allow the public an awareness of what contaminants have been detected in their water. And then there's required language that describes what the health-based effects of that particular detected contaminant are, and then it also would identify	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the appropriate design standards for that type of construction. Q. And is there a safety component too that you're looking at when you review the plans? A. Well, the design standards typically have some level of safety inherent in them. Q. I realize you're involved with water projects and water systems. Can those projects be built before you've signed off on their plans? A. They're not supposed to be. Q. Let me ask another question. Are you aware of any rural water systems that have built a system without your approval? A. No. Q. Thank you. The last question I have for you is are you aware of any state agency that would have review approval for a	

		1208			1210
1	A.	Any state agency?	1	Mr. Rasmussen?	
2	Q.	Yes.	2	MS. GIEDD: Not by me.	
3	A.	I don't know.	3	MR. RASMUSSEN: Nothing further.	
4		MR. HOHN: Thank you.	4	MR. SMITH: You're excused, Mr. Mayer. Thank you.	
5		MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke.	5	(The witness is excused)	
6		<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	6	MR. SMITH: Call your next witness.	
7	BY N	IR. KOENECKE;	7	MR. RASMUSSEN: I think that takes care of the	
8	Q.	Mr. Mayer, good afternoon. How are you. I represent	8	witnesses from the State that WEB subpoenaed, but I believe	
9	Tran	sCanada and the Keystone project in this proceeding, and	9	Mr. Walsh has been subpoenaed by TransCanada.	
10	I've	got just a couple questions for you.	10	MR. KOENECKE: Mr. Smith, I guess I talked to	
11		Do you recall the last question of Mr. Hohn regarding a	11	Ms. Giedd. I haven't talked to anybody else. But it seems	
12	state	agency reviewing plans before construction?	12	appropriate to follow the same regime with these witnesses from	
13	A.	Yes.	13	DENR that we've been following.	
14	Q.	Is it your understanding that's what the PUC is doing in	14	MR. SMITH: Is that okay?	
15	this	proceeding?	15	MS. GIEDD: Fine with me.	
16	A.	I've had very little involvement in this so I'm if the	16	MR. SMITH: Is that okay, Mr. Rasmussen?	
17	App	lication which I've never seen includes plans and	17	MR. RASMUSSEN: Oh, yeah. That's fine.	
18	spec	cifications, then I guess that would be the case.	18	MR. SMITH: Okay. Mr. Walsh, do you want to take the	
19	Q.	Thank you.	19	stand.	
20	Α.	But I don't know that for certain.	20	(The witness is sworn by the court reporter)	
21	Q.	You mentioned I believe in your previous testimony water	21	<u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u>	
22	_	ment plants?	22	BY MS. GIEDD:	
23	Α.	Yes.	23	Q. Would you state your name for the record, please.	
24	Q.	And BTEX compounds?	24	A. Yes. My name is Brian Walsh.	
25	Α.	Yes.	25	Q. What's your educational background?	
1	0	1209	1	A Library of Doobston on Colones degrees in any drawns antel	1211
2	Q.	And I'm trying to make sure I understand. You've had a	2	A. I have a Bachelor or Science degree in environmental	
3	A.	t tenure in your current position. Am I right?	3	science with a co-major in biology from Creighton University in Omaha, Nebraska.	
4	Q.	Right. Do the water treatment plants currently in place in	4	Q. And who are you employed by?	
5	-	h Dakota treat for BTEX compounds?	5	A. The South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural	
6	A.	They wouldn't necessarily treat for them unless there was a	6	Resources.	
7		wn you know, unless that was a known component in their	7	Q. And what program do you work in?	
8		ce water.	8	A. The Ground Water Quality Program.	
9	Q.	And would your testimony be that those treatment plants	9	Q. And what do you do for the Ground Water Quality Program?	
10	could	be existing could be modified to treat for BTEX	10	A. I am a member of the Superfund and federal facilities team.	
11		pounds, or would it be that an additional treatment plant	11	My tasks in that team are to provide State oversight on projects	
12	woul	d have to be created or installed?	12	being conducted under the State with Circle Authority, and	
13	A.	Well, it's possible that they would need to have an	13	Circle has the federal law creating Superfund. Those projects	
14	addi	tional treatment system added to their current treatment	14	include forest service action on federal land because they can	
15	plan	t to address that.	15	tuck those cleanup actions under Circle Authority. An example	
16	Q.	I'm trying to understand. Was it your testimony that	16	would be like Riley Pass, the abandoned uranium mine.	
17	Sout	h Dakota's never had BTEX in the water or that it's not	17	In addition to those activities which also include formerly	
18	withi	n your own personal knowledge?	18	used defense sites and other projects, I'm the project manager	
19	A.	It is not within my own personal knowledge.	19	for the formerly what's Williams Pipeline, now Magellan	
20		MR. KOENECKE: I've got nothing further. Thank you.	20	Pipeline, 12th Street terminal in Sioux Falls and their	
21		MR. SMITH: Staff?	21	Watertown terminal in Watertown, South Dakota. Also included in	
22		MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thank you.	22	that group is the still Williams Pipeline abandoned terminal in	
23		MR. SMITH: Commissioner questions?	23	Sioux Falls.	
24		CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: None. Thank you.	24	And then in addition to that, I provide oversight State	
25		MR. SMITH: Is there redirect by either the State or	25	management on spill cases. We've had quite a bit of talk about	02 shoots

	1212	2		1214
1	spill cases today of both petroleum and nonpetroleum and both	1	A. No problem.	
2	responsible party lead, meaning the responsible parties cleaning	2	Q. Approximately how many meetings have you had with	
3	it up, and State investigations and cleanup being conducted	3	representatives of the Keystone project?	
4	either with Regulated Substance Response Funds or the Last Trust	4	A. I believe it's five or six.	
5	Funds, which is federal money.	5	Q. When you say that, you mean face-to-face meetings?	
6	Q. Now at some point you became involved in TransCanada's	6	A. Yes.	
7	Application for a pipeline?	7	Q. Do you know when the first one was?	
8	A. I became involved with the project not specifically with	8	A. February of I think it was '05, I believe.	
9	their Application to the PUC, but as we started to hear about	9	Q. And when was the last one?	
10	the project I was designated as the DENR's point of contact	10	A. The last one I was at was in October of this year.	
11	coordinator for our efforts on anything we would need to be	11	Q. Do you have meetings scheduled in the future which haven't	
12	involved in with the pipeline.	12	occurred yet?	
13	Q. And so would you just generally describe what your what	13	A. Not currently, no.	
14	you've been doing as the point of contact on the TransCanada	14	Q. Do you expect that there might be some of those?	
15	Application?	15	A. There may be if they have additional information requests.	
16	A. Certainly. I've had the pleasure to go to all the meetings	16	Q. Will you continue to be the agency's contact as I	
17	as a representative of the for the State for the DENR.	17	understand it, you're the DENR contact for Keystone?	
18	Excuse me. And in addition to that, my job was to attempt to	18	A. That's correct. I will be until I'm directed not to be any	
19	coordinate the people that I believed were necessary to be at	19	longer.	
20	the meeting or may have some need to be at the meeting, the	20	Q. I sympathize with that remark. Appreciate that.	
21	Surface Water Quality Program or other programs.	21	Did you say you're also the agency contact for Williams	
22	In addition, if we received public inquiries about the	22	Pipeline from Sioux Falls to Watertown?	
23	project or we received information requests either from	23	A. Right. And to be clear, they are now Magellan. Those are	
24	TransCanada or, for example, the contractors working for the PUC	24	formerly owned and operated by Williams Pipeline, is now	
25	about information DENR could provide them, I was the point of	25	Magellan Pipeline.	
	1213	3		1215
1	contact for that information. So I could assemble it and return	1	Q. Are there other petroleum pipelines in South Dakota for	
2	it to them or act as sort of the first draft for our responses	2	which you're responsible?	
3	to the public and get that process going.	3	A. Only if those pipelines would have a release and I would be	
4	Q. And did you have any contacts did TransCanada or	4	assigned that case. Now it's likely if a Magellan Pipeline had	
5	TransCanada's consultants contact you and request information	5	a release, I would likely be assigned that case.	
6	from the Department?	6	Q. So if the KANEB Pipeline going through WEB Water's	
7	A. Yes, they did.	7	territory had a release, would you be assigned to that as well,	
8	Q. And just generally would you describe what information?	8	or would that be someone else?	
9	A. The predominant request through that came through me was	9	A. Potentially I would be assigned it. That would be up to my	
10	for information on source water protection areas, locations of	10	supervisor to assign that case.	
11	them and more detailed information that we had on it.	11	MR. KOENECKE: I've got nothing further. Thank you.	
12	Q. And what about PUC's consultants? Did you have contacts	12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
13	with PUC's consultants?	13	MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen.	
14	A. I did.	14	CROSS-EXAMINATION_	
		15	BY MR. RASMUSSEN:	
15	Q. And what was that about?	16	Q. Who is your supervisor?	
	And what was that about?A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas.	16		
16		17	A. Mr. Bill Markley.	
16 17	A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas.		A. Mr. Bill Markley.Q. I think you said you've been the project manager for some	
16 17 18	A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas. There was also additional questions potentially about water well	17	_	
16 17 18 19	A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas. There was also additional questions potentially about water well logs or water rights or geology information, which I tried to	17 18	Q. I think you said you've been the project manager for some	
16 17 18 19 20	A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas. There was also additional questions potentially about water well logs or water rights or geology information, which I tried to direct those inquiries to the appropriate people in DENR.	17 18 19	Q. I think you said you've been the project manager for some hydrocarbon spills in the past?	
16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas. There was also additional questions potentially about water well logs or water rights or geology information, which I tried to direct those inquiries to the appropriate people in DENR. MS. GIEDD: Mr. Koenecke, I will turn him over to you.	17 18 19 20	Q. I think you said you've been the project manager for some hydrocarbon spills in the past?A. That's correct.	
16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas. There was also additional questions potentially about water well logs or water rights or geology information, which I tried to direct those inquiries to the appropriate people in DENR. MS. GIEDD: Mr. Koenecke, I will turn him over to you. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Ms. Giedd.	17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I think you said you've been the project manager for some hydrocarbon spills in the past? A. That's correct. Q. Which ones have you been involved with? 	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Basically the same topic, source water protection areas. There was also additional questions potentially about water well logs or water rights or geology information, which I tried to direct those inquiries to the appropriate people in DENR. MS. GIEDD: Mr. Koenecke, I will turn him over to you. MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Ms. Giedd. DIRECT EXAMINATION.	17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I think you said you've been the project manager for some hydrocarbon spills in the past? A. That's correct. Q. Which ones have you been involved with? A. Again, many of those spills are at the Magellan Pipeline 	

	1	1216		1218
1	additional gas station releases in Pierre, South Dakota here in	1	Q. Yes.	
2	town. And that would include my the projects I'm managing	2	A. I usually get that information request. If there is a	
3	and the State lead projects with the State funds.	3	piece of information they requested I am not aware of who to get	
4	Q. Have you been a project manager for any pipeline spills?	4	it from in the DENR, I would take that to Mr. Bill Markley and	
5	A. No.	5	ask him. And if he wasn't aware, it would end up in the	
6	Q. Okay.	6	Division Director's office so we could determine who needed to	
7	MR. RASMUSSEN: Thank you. That's all I have.	7	get that response for TransCanada.	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION	8	Q. Okay. In the meetings that have been held thus far let	
9	BY MR. HOHN:	9	me back up. Are you familiar with what blueprint drawings look	
10	Q. Mr. Walsh, what was the first meeting you attended with	10	like on a construction project?	
11	TransCanada representatives, if you recall?	11	A. Yes. I'm familiar with that.	
12	A. I believe that was the February I believe it was the '05	12	Q. And do they detail the plans and specifications as to what	
13	meeting. There was several people from my agency there. I want	13	is going to be built? Is that a fair statement?	
14	to say that most of the State agencies were also represented in	14	A. In general I would agree with that, yes.	
15	addition to there being staff from TransCanada and with their	15	Q. Okay. As of this date, have you seen detailed blueprint	
16	contractors.	16	drawings on the TransCanada Pipeline?	
17	Q. Okay.	17	A. No, I have not.	
18	A. Well, was that the first one? I'm trying to think back if	18	Q. Have there been discussion on detailed blueprint drawings	
19	that was the first one or not. It may have been a smaller one	19	as to when they might be available?	
20	•	20	A. Not with me. Although, our agency wouldn't review the	
21	first with Mr. Greg Moffit (phonetic) I think that's correct from TransCanada.	21	3 . 3 ,	
22		22	detailed blueprint drawings because we have no siting authority over the pipeline.	
23		23	_	
24	held on the project in South Dakota? A. I am.	24		
25		25	those, or have you been told you'll see those? A. I don't believe I've been told that. Nor do I believe	
23	3 11 3	1217	A. I don't believe I've been told that. Nor do I believe	1219
1		1217	there's a State requirement for them to provide them to us	1219
2	A. Were those the scoping meetings for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement or the PUC public meetings?	2	there's a State requirement for them to provide them to us. Q. What I asked I guess was have you been told your agency	
3	Q. I believe it would be the initial scoping meetings where	3	will see those?	
4	TransCanada went out and met with the public.	4	A. Not to my knowledge.	
5		5		
6	A. I don't recall the date of those meetings.Q. Did you attend those, do you think?	6	MR. HOHN: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Staff, do you have questions?	
7	A. No, I did not.	7		
8	Q. Okay. And then you mentioned the EIS meetings. How many	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION DV MS SEMMLED.	
9		9	BY MS. SEMMLER: Q. I believe we heard about your Department's jurisdiction	
10	of those were there in the state that you might have attended? A. I didn't attend the scoping meeting. I did attend a public	10	earlier in some testimony. And your specific Department's	
11		11		
12	comment meeting in Yankton in September of this year. Q. Okay. And then the State department has held meetings,	12	jurisdiction would only require action on your part after a	
13		13	spill takes place; is that correct? A. That is correct. And in addition to the permits we've	
14	published notices and held meetings at various places in the state on the Draft EIS.	14	•	
15		15	discussed in testimony that may be required if they take certain	
16	Did you attend those?	16	action during construction.	
	A. We did not I did not attend those, no.	17	MS. SEMMLER: Thank you.	
17	Q. Okay. With regard to this project, who do you report to		MR. SMITH: Commissioners, do you have questions of	
18	regarding TransCanada?	18 19	Mr. Walsh?	
19	A. Mr. Bill Markley.	20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Walsh, the process you've	
20 21	Q. Okay. With regard to liaison, how is information	21	described with the interaction between your agency and the	
	distributed through the various agencies? If an issue comes up	22	proposed Keystone Pipeline, would that be any different for any	
22	that you're looking at with TransCanada and they need	23	other hydrocarbon pipeline?	
23 24	information on, who decides where to send that or distribute to	23	THE WITNESS: No, it would not.	
25	the various agencies or programs?	25	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. MR SMITH: Other Commissioner questions?	
23	A. Within the DENR? /2008 04:16:35 PM Page	1216 to 1219	MR. SMITH: Other Commissioner questions? of 1256 48 of 1	102 sheets

	12	220	1222
1	Commissioner Kolbeck.	1	MR. SMITH: I'm thinking you've probably been here
2	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Would you feel that the	2	long enough this week to pretty well know the drill. So I'll
3	proposed pipeline would put any additional burden or	3	walk you through it if you need me to help you.
4	unachievable expectations of your job or your Department?	4	THE WITNESS: You sure can.
5	THE WITNESS: No. I don't believe so.	5	MR. SMITH: Okay. Well, why don't we start out by
6	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Thank you.	6	having you introduce yourself. You've been sworn.
7	MR. SMITH: Ms. Giedd, do you have redirect?	7	MR. MOECKLY: Yes.
8	MS. GIEDD: I do not.	8	MR. SMITH: Why don't you start by introducing
9	MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke?	9	yourself, name and address, and then we'll move on from there.
10	MR. KOENECKE: I do not.	10	MR. MOECKLY: Okay. My name is Kent Moeckly. And my
11	MR. SMITH: You may step down.	11	address is Box 903, Britton, South Dakota 57430.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.	12	I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to be here
13	(The witness is excused)	13	and actually to be here all week because I've learned an awful
14	MS. GIEDD: Mr. Smith, I think that's the last of the	14	lot. As much as on the road traveling up and down the state
15	State-subpoenaed witnesses. I want to thank the Commissioners	15	with everybody at the hearings that we've had. As much. I'm
16	and all the parties for giving me such leeway in allowing me to	16	going to say more because we really had some good testimony.
17	facilitate their direct.	17	Especially this morning. I was really impressed.
18	Thank you.	18	I'm a landowner well, before I start that, I wanted
19	MR. SMITH: Uh-huh. You're welcome.	19	to resubmit this resolution concerning TransCanada Keystone
20	MR. RASMUSSEN: And thank you for questioning	20	Pipeline, which has already been received.
21	everybody for us.	21	MR. SMITH: Is that the
22	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: No offense to the other attorneys,	22	THE WITNESS: Marshall County Resolution.
23	Ms. Giedd, but you were very efficient. No one's more efficient	23	MR. SMITH: It's in. You know, it's already an
24	than you were.	24	exhibit, but if you want to resubmit it, that's fine. Why don't
25	MS. GIEDD: Thank you.	25	we call that Moeckly 2. How's that?
4	(Discussion off the recent)	_	THE WITNESS. And I reight so well offer also to
1	(Discussion off the record)	1	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to
2	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10	1 2	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007,
3	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes.	1 2 3	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along
2 3 4	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken)	1 2 3 4	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution.
2 3 4 5	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back	1 2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to
2 3 4 5 6	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what	1 2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are	1 2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on. How does that strike everyone?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper. MR. KOENECKE: Haven't seen it yet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on. How does that strike everyone? MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. We'll probably come up	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper. MR. KOENECKE: Haven't seen it yet. MR. SMITH: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on. How does that strike everyone? MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. We'll probably come up with some more extra witnesses over the weekend.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper. MR. KOENECKE: Haven't seen it yet. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have copies. Do you want to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on. How does that strike everyone? MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. We'll probably come up with some more extra witnesses over the weekend. MR. SMITH: We'll be looking forward to that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper. MR. KOENECKE: Haven't seen it yet. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have copies. Do you want to have copies to distribute to everyone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on. How does that strike everyone? MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. We'll probably come up with some more extra witnesses over the weekend. MR. SMITH: We'll be looking forward to that. COMMISSIONER HANSON: There are 8,000 more State	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper. MR. KOENECKE: Haven't seen it yet. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have copies. Do you want to have copies to distribute to everyone? MR. SMITH: I don't know that we need that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on. How does that strike everyone? MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. We'll probably come up with some more extra witnesses over the weekend. MR. SMITH: We'll be looking forward to that. COMMISSIONER HANSON: There are 8,000 more State employees.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper. MR. KOENECKE: Haven't seen it yet. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have copies. Do you want to have copies to distribute to everyone? MR. SMITH: I don't know that we need that. MR. KOENECKE: I am going to object to this. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Discussion off the record) MR. SMITH: We're in recess for approximately 7 to 10 minutes. (A short recess is taken) MR. SMITH: Okay. We're back on the record and back in session following our recess. At this time I here's what I'm going to propose is that given the hour of the day and the length of the week we've had and the number of people that are from out of town here, is that we take Mr. Moeckly who's been unbelievably patient in waiting all week and then call it a week, and we'll reconvene Monday morning at 9:30. How does that strike everybody? That's what we had scheduled, and it just seems like on Monday that way people if they're traveling have time to get here and so on. How does that strike everyone? MR. RASMUSSEN: That's fine. We'll probably come up with some more extra witnesses over the weekend. MR. SMITH: We'll be looking forward to that. COMMISSIONER HANSON: There are 8,000 more State employees. MR. SMITH: Are you prepared, Mr. Moeckly, for going	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: And I might as well offer also to resubmit the Argus Leader Newspaper dated December 5, 2007, article entitled PUC Posts County's Pipeline Stipulations Along with the Resolution. MR. SMITH: Those offers of exhibits I've got to inquire whether there are objections. The resolution, we're going to call that Moeckly 2 because I'll preserve 1 for prefiled testimony. MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection. MR. KOENECKE: No objection. MR. SMITH: To the resolution. It's already been received once. MS. SEMMLER: No objection. MR. SMITH: And now we turn to Moeckly 3, which is going to be the offered newspaper. MR. KOENECKE: Haven't seen it yet. MR. SMITH: Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have copies. Do you want to have copies to distribute to everyone? MR. SMITH: I don't know that we need that. MR. KOENECKE: I am going to object to this. It discusses the Marshall County Resolution. That's in evidence.

1224 1226 1 1 Best Evidence Rule. The resolution does speak for itself. comes from the east, the right of the page and meanders down off 2 THE WITNESS: Hopefully everyone read it in the Argus 2 the coteau until it crosses our land at about mile post marker 3 3 Leader anyhow so --230, I believe it is. 230. You can see it there. Right there. 4 4 MR. SMITH: I think it was pretty well read to us It runs parallel with a structure that is called the 5 5 here. So we haven't had time to read the paper, but we did hear Crow Creek Ditch westerly, east and west. The water runs 6 6 what it said. And thank you. westerly to some marsh and water land that you can see before 7 THE WITNESS: I forgot. Again, I am a landowner in the end of the left side of the map called Renzienhausen Slough. 8 Marshall County, South Dakota, a county clear up here on the 8 And then from this Renzienhausen Slough it meanders 9 9 north side of the state. We butt up against the North Dakota southwesterly to the Jim River at a place called Tacoma Park. 10 10 border. And as it's been testified to in a very, very, very wet Now the water is flowing through that creek right now. 11 11 area. Not only tremendous rainfall in the last many years, but And in the springtime of the year when all of the coteau melts 12 12 the water rages down that creek going over roads, going under we've always had a high ground water level up in our neck of the 13 woods. 13 roads, doing whatever it can, to find I tell way to the 14 14 Jim River. And this is my home. I grew up on the farm I'm living 15 15 in right now, which is 6 miles west of Britton, went to school And when it gets down into our land it swells out into 16 16 there, and am now back living on the family farmstead. a very wide body of water and uses the ditch. It uses the 17 This foreign company from a foreign country, as we 17 overflow. There was a levy that was put up to control this 18 heard earlier in the hearings sometimes called TransCanada, 18 water, the spring rains and water especially, and it just stays 19 19 sometimes called TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP -- one of the a column of water all the way west to the Brown County line. 20 20 Keystone TransCanada employees told me that, again, that it's --I realize that some experts have testified here before 21 Keystone is a wholly owned subsidiary of TransCanada, the 21 you that this Crow Creek is of major importance to the drainage 22 22 to the Jim River from the coteau. And I concur. It really is. Canadian company. 23 We heard earlier that this Keystone -- was it referred 23 It is a -- it's been a large body of water or channel for water 24 to as a company? It looks like it's a partnership. LP would 24 for my life, since the Native Americans were here, and it will 25 25 lead me to believe it's a limited partnership, is owned be in the future. 1225 1227 1 1 exclusively by TransCanada and was organized in the State of My grandfather was around and involved in the digging 2 Delaware, curiously enough. We're in South Dakota. But this of this Crow Creek ditch. And my father, of course, lived to be 3 3 Canadian company had to go to Delaware to set up some sort of an 93 years old and knew this ground completely, so much better 4 entity that would start to force its way down through our 4 than myself I wish he was here because he could tell you where 5 5 property in South Dakota. And not just me. All of us. every drop of water goes every direction. 6 6 Well, this entity, wherever it came from and whoever I'm just going over this because this is one of I'm 7 7 it belongs to, we think it's TransCanada, is attempting to take going to guess several crossings of this proposed corridor. And 8 8 my land against my will. we've heard testimony -- I'll say I, but we all have --9 These foreigners are attempting to destroy four 9 testimony this morning from Dr. Davis and I believe Dr. Rahn who 10 10 quarters of my land with a hazardous liquid pipeline. A stated that a leak in one of these waterways would be so 11 11 hazardous liquid pipeline. Our own government calls it a catastrophic because it would find its way to other channels and 12 12 to the Jim River and to the Missouri River. hazardous liquid pipeline. 13 13 Now if that doesn't raise a few flags, I don't know Now I don't know how anybody can take that lightly. I 14 what should. I mean, the Governor should be down here sitting 14 honestly don't see how anybody could take a hazardous liquid 15 15 with me right now saying what are the ramifications, what are pipeline and cavalierly run it through an area like this and 16 the repercussions, what on earth is going on here. Instead, I 16 say, well, the probability of a leak is very, very, very slim. 17 17 see a picture of the Governor in the paper in a TransCanada ad But what if it happens? It's too late. The horses 18 18 for heaven sakes saying we need Canadian oil. Thanks, Gov. are out of the barn. It's over. And I know we had testimony 19 You're there when I need you. 19 this morning from all of these good State employees that said, 20 20 One of the quarters that's crossed has a creek running oh, we have all kind of plans, by gosh. We can remediate, and 21 21 we can do this and do that. And I even heard that -- what was east to west, and I guess if you look on your Exhibit 16, which 22 is a map, you can see Crow Creek plainly on the bottom half 22 it? It was thousands of gallons of gasoline had dumped into the 23 23 of -- is that Exhibit 16, that map? Sioux River and everything was fine. Probably not for 24 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: TC 16. 24 Sioux City, but everything was fine for us. 25 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. And it's kind of clear that it The importance of this issue, the water issue alone --

1230 1228 1 1 I mean, I'm worried sick that that pipe's going to break up on from a foreign country has just barged into my life and many 2 our land somewhere else. And I've seen the pictures, Minnesota, 2 lives on down the line, and they're saying, well, we'll do the 3 3 Canada, all over the world. When these things break it is the best we can. We'll do the best we can. 4 4 worst, sickest site in the world. And everybody has told us in I had submitted this Marshall County Resolution and 5 5 the paper clipping, but Jim Bush and I -- to give you some this room that can you get it all? Well, no, we can't. Well, 6 6 how much can you get? Well, that depends on the soil, the this, background, Jim Bush -- and some of you have talked to Jim Bush 7 the that. It depends. Probability. 7 because he spoke at the Britton hearing, if I'm not mistaken. 8 The only thing that I'm assured of here is that it's 8 Jim Bush and I decided to go talk to the Marshall 9 going to be a hazardous liquid pipeline. That's 100 percent 9 County Commissioners. And I had heard that they weren't -- you 10 10 clear. We know that. But it's the contingency that's bugging know, they were thinking about this thing not in this light, in 11 11 all of us. What the heck are we going to do when that thing it's reality time light. They were thinking like it's so easy 12 breaks? 12 for people to do and say, oh, it's progress. It is progress. 13 And by the way, it's going to break. I know that 13 Oh, they were thinking about all of these taxes that they were 14 14 TransCanada has said, oh, gee, one person said 39 years, another going to get. 15 15 person said 7 years, this and that. Well, Murphy's Law was So Jim Bush and I went up to the courthouse to meet 16 16 brought up here a couple of times this morning, and I'm not so with them and sitting around a table much like this. And after 17 17 we told them everything we knew about it and just what we're sure we better be careful about Murphy's Law on a hazardous 18 pipeline. Because Murphy's Law will dump that thing and I don't 18 looking at here as far as a threat not only to us but, you know, 19 19 want it to dump on me and I don't want it to dump on anybody. to them as County Commissioners and their county -- it's their 20 20 And the problem is once it dumps, we're right back to county -- and told them, look, we're in this together and you're 21 21 the same question: How do we clean it up? How do we do it? the Commissioners, we're the landowners, we should be on the 22 22 Nobody knows. Nobody knows. same side here of looking out for our best interests. 23 TransCanada says they'll do the best they can. They 23 And so after a lot of discussion and we left, they 24 say, well, gee we'll try. We'll try. But nobody knows. The 24 thanked us for coming and low and behold while we're here down 25 25 people that are in the business say, well, we'll do the best we in Pierre we find out that this resolution has been passed by 1229 1231 1 can. Well, if that takes place up north by me up by the 1 the board. 2 2 North Dakota border, where our rural water -- I drink that rural And they are very obviously now with their eyes more 3 water, BDM rural water -- sure the wells over -- they're a 3 opened saying let's take a look at this thing, and let's see 4 little deeper north of Britton, but the aquifer runs right 4 what's going on here. And they started realizing that there was 5 straight west right over to where this pipe is going to -- I 5 some financial ramifications, some environmental ramifications 6 learned the word, surficial aquifer, which is the upper ground 6 here, some tax ramifications that they maybe have had overstated 7 7 water, I guess. to them. And they're now saying, look, TransCanada, let's just 8 8 And there was a map here -- not a map. It was the sober up here, and let's stop this craziness of barging into the 9 staff's witness, Brenda Winkle (sic); is that right? 9 country, barging into the state --10 10 MS. SEMMLER: Winkler. MR. KOENECKE: I'm going to object to this. We've let 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Staff Exhibit 19, which it 11 the witness have a lot of latitude, but testifying as to what 12 12 couldn't be clearer. Our BDM James Aquifer runs westerly and somebody else thinks and says, that's far outside the bounds I 13 13 ties right into this surface aquifer that even comes up think, Mr. Smith. 14 literally to the surface, and some of the farmers north of me 14 MR. SMITH: I think that's true. Let's try to hold --15 were here testifying to that. 15 this is the evidence part of this, and to the extent you can, 16 16 Mr. Moeckly, I know you're emotional about this, but let's try That's always been wet ground. Always been wet 17 17 ground. And these are hooked together. So now not only do I to keep it to the facts, if we could, please. 18 18 have to worry about a breakdown here where I am at Crow Creek or THE WITNESS: Okay. The fact is Marshall County has 19 19 passed a resolution. You can read it. And it doesn't just hug a break on my land anywhere that it hits me on all four 20 20 quarters, but I have to worry about this son of a gun breaking TransCanada anymore. 21 21 north of me, contaminating the water, one aguifer to the next MR. KOENECKE: It's in evidence, Mr. Moeckly. We all 22 22 know what it says. until it gets to BDM, and then they'll pump it back to me. 23 23 Petroleum water. Probably not drinkable. Could probably light THE WITNESS: Would you like it read? 24 24 MR. KOENECKE: I sure wouldn't. I've seen it. read your cigarette with it, but you couldn't drink it. 25 So this foreign company, private company, by the way, 25 it, and so has everybody else.

1234 1232 1 1 MR_SMITH: I think it's been read to us once, and two-way deal. But I found out otherwise with TransCanada. 2 2 we've all read it. Now I've tried to ask myself, you know, why. Why is 3 3 THE WITNESS: Well, people need to know that we have a all of this happening? My life has stopped this year since all 4 County Commission that's now with open eyes, and they want 4 of this stuff started. And, of course, I think it comes back to 5 answers. Just like we want answers. 5 money. There's no question about it. I mean, it's a money 6 6 MR. KOENECKE: Once again, the resolution speaks for deal. This isn't the Salvation Army coming through here. 7 7 itself. You're testifying as to what their eyes are. This is But it's fossil fuel money. Some people call that 8 way out of bounds, I think, Mr. Smith. 8 black money. And so with that I suppose goes all of what I've 9 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. experienced and what apparently other people have experienced. 10 10 MR. SMITH: I think he's agreed generally to -- I'm In sitting through these hearings I've listened to a 11 11 going to overrule that just because he's agreed generally to lot of objections to this thing, to this preposterous pipeline, 12 stick to the facts. 12 and I've learned that the barrels apparently on the Application 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And just by way of suggestion, 13 per day was 435,000 and in the middle of this process has jumped 14 14 Mr. Moeckly, we know very much what your opinions are on this to what, 590,000? And I've learned that the original pressure, 15 15 pipeline. At the end of the day we are looking for evidence and psi, was 1,400 with the Application and now has magically turned 16 facts on the effect of this pipeline if it were to be approved. 16 out to be over 1,700 psi. 17 17 MR. KOENECKE: Well, I object to that. I don't know If you have any other facts on that, we really need to get them 18 before we make a decision. 18 where that fact's in evidence. 19 19 THE WITNESS: Sure. You bet. Well, I'm hoping that COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I agree. 20 20 part of the evidence is what I can bring to you from my corner MR. SMITH: Yeah. I think that's a misstatement of 21 21 the record. of the state. And that's why I'm discussing this. I just don't 22 22 want anything minimized anymore on this matter. Because it just COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Can I explain why? 23 seems like this thing has been whisked so fast. And I just 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Your testimony is dated on 24 can't imagine that reasonable people would allow something this 24 25 25 October 30, I think, and it states in there 1,400 to 1,700 psi. important to be whisked through. 1233 1235 1 The land men that have stopped by to talk with me, the 1 So it's kind of hard for me to believe you just realized this when you were sitting in the --2 TransCanada land men, one gentleman was by the name of Scandlin 2 3 3 (phonetic). And another gentleman was O'Rork (phonetic) I THE WITNESS: Well, no. I probably didn't just 4 believe it was. And I know we've heard some testimony about 4 realize it here, but I'm sure I've heard it here. 5 5 some pretty tough dealings with landowners. And I'm sorry to COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. 6 6 hear that. And I can tell you that in my dealings with -- my THE WITNESS: Thank you. But the point is -- the 7 7 brief visits with these two men there was an attitude that we're point is what's going on here? We've got this big fancy State 8 8 bigger than you are, our pockets -- we have more money was a Department Application, and the numbers are changing as we're 9 statement and that we are going to have our way, and you can 9 rolling. 10 10 resist us but it's futile. More pressure. Thinner wall. I'm sure I heard 11 11 testimony here from somebody saying, oh, look, it's better And, of course, that doesn't make you happy when you 12 12 meet somebody like that. Now I realize they're supposed to material. The product is better. We build it better so we can 13 13 negotiate with us. They're supposed to negotiate. They're make it thinner. And it's stronger. 14 supposed to come in and sit down and say here's who I am, here's 14 Why does that fall hard for me to believe that you can 15 what we'd like to do, dah-dah-dah, and then hand me an easement 15 thin something and make it stronger and that you can also 16 that lists terms and say where are we on this thing? Let's 16 decrease the corrosiveness of it, if that's the right word. 17 17 negotiate. I'm very suspicious of all of this. The crude oil is 18 18 There was never an ounce of negotiation. Never. It toxic. I've heard all of this testimony, how many chemicals it 19 19 was -- people have said take it or leave it. That's exactly has, how dangerous it is. It's dangerous. It dangerous to my 20 what it was. We'll pay you this amount of money. Sign it, and 20 land. It's dangerous to my health. It's dangerous to my 21 21 I'm out of here. And apparently other people have had similar business. And it's permanent. It doesn't evaporate. It just 22 22 sits in the soil. And I'm lucky if it sits in the soil. experiences from what I've heard before this Commission. 23 23 I don't understand anybody that wants somebody to do But we know that, what is it called, the dilutants in 24 24 something for them using this kind of tactic. I'm just it that are put in it to make it move, which are highly 25 surprised. Negotiation is usually a -- I thought it was a 25 explosive or flammable, run right through once it hits the soil

	1	236	1	238
1	on a leak and goes into the water apparently. Did I hear	1	educational taxes. The first fund is called the general fund.	
2	soluble?	2	And then the other two, three, and four, special education fund,	
3	Well, this project apparently there is so much money	3	a pension fund, and a capital outlay fund. He said these three,	
4	around that I was looking at November 25, '07 Aberdeen American	4	special education fund, pension fund, and the capital outlay	
5	Newspaper, and I found an insert in the middle with all the	5	fund will receive a benefit from TransCanada's investment. He	
6	advertising that's the glitziest thing I've ever seen. And it	6	said the general fund will receive nothing. And I don't	
7	has a lovely family standing out TransCanada has a lovely	7	understand it totally but something about if the money comes in	
8	family standing out in a grain field, I guess.	8	in one place, it goes out in the other, and it stays the same.	
9	Now this had to cost a lot of money.	9	But in the other three funds there's a formula. And	
10	MR. KOENECKE: I object. I don't know what the	10	so he did some calculations just estimating because I think	
11	relevance is to this whatsoever.	11	the the amount of investment at Marshall County is about	
12	THE WITNESS: It's yours. It's yours.	12	30 million, if I'm not mistaken. He did the first calculation.	
13	MR. SMITH: Mr. Moeckly, I just think, you know	13	He said let's do it for 100 million because it's easier to	
14	well, I'm going to sustain the objection. We've seen all kinds	14	figure.	
15	of flyers and whatever from all kinds of parties, including some	15	Under the mill levies for each one of these,	
16	people who have your point of view. And I'm not speculating on	16	\$100 million investment would estimate \$470,000 in taxes. So he	
17	the amount of money that costs, and I don't know that it's	17	said that's what we're looking at in those two school districts.	
18	relevant here.	18	Now it's not a \$100 million investment. It's down around 30, I	
19	THE WITNESS: Well, thank you. Let's look at the	19	think. 25 to 35, somewhere in there.	
20	according to TransCanada's words estimated annual taxes Keystone	20	So a third, 30. We're looking at about a little over	
21	will pay to South Dakota school districts they've published in	21	\$100,000 in taxes to come to our two school districts. Now he	
22	the paper. I would imagine everybody's seen it because it's in	22	said unless they told me wrong, this is my understanding of it.	
23	every paper I look at.	23	Well, the published amount was at 700,000. And really	
24	TransCanada has claimed by their little advertisement	24	when I talked to somebody that knows it looks like it's only	
25	here that the Britton-Hecla School, now that's my little high	25	about 100,000. So I'm saying we haven't heard straight stuff	
		237		239
1	school, will receive annual taxes Keystone will pay to	1	from these people that are asking you for a permit. They	
2	South Dakota school districts \$409,000 \$409,145.21. And	2	haven't really told everybody the whole truth. And I think that	
3	Langford is the school district in the southern part of	3 4	has a bearing on your decision.	
5	Marshall County, and it says that Langford school will receive	5	I would think that you wouldn't want to deal with	
6	\$302,142.55. So it's roughly 700,000 that the county, our two school districts, are estimated to receive, estimated annual	6	somebody that's not straight up. So I learned a little bit in this venture into the	
7	taxes Keystone will pay to South Dakota.	7	school taxation. But I I'm bothered by it. I'm bothered by	
8	I called our superintendent who is Mr. Don Kirkegaard,	8	not the numbers so much. I'm just bothered by the fact that it	
9	and I thought I just have to because it's very complex how	9	looks like the numbers are way off. And that's a credibility	
10	they do these things	10	thing.	
11	MR. KOENECKE: Objection. Hearsay.	11	There was some talk about materials in these hearings,	
12	MR. SMITH: I'm going to overrule that. Please	12	the material that the pipe is made out of, and the source of the	
13	proceed.	13	product, where it's going to come from. And I think it was	
14	THE WITNESS: Okay. And thank you. Mr.	14	Florida, Oregon, and India. And Mr. Buster Gray had told us up	
15	Kirkegaard, he said, come on over. Come up to the office. And	15	at the Marshall County, one of the early meetings that was in	
16	I went over. He was very gracious. And I said, do you know	16	a we met at a Hutterite colony that's right near us.	
17	anything about this? And he said, I do.	17	And he had made the comment because somebody had said,	
18	MR. KOENECKE: Same objection. Hearsay. What	18	well, where are you going to get all of that steel? And he had	
19	somebody else said is not evidence.	19	made the comment to us that, well, sure. China. China's going	
20	THE WITNESS: I can give it my understanding as	20	to be a supplier just like probably a lot of people. But China.	
21	opposed to referring to a third party.	21	China is going to be a supplier.	
22	MR. SMITH: Just proceed, and why don't you just tell	22	I have a little problem with products from China. And	
23	us what he told you.	23	I've dealt with their tools and this and that, and I know that	
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. I was told and it was my	24	the quality isn't there. And on something like this that is	
25	understanding that there are four funds involved in state	25	high tension, high pressure and we're going to resort to going	

1240 1242 1 1 to a supplier in China to get our high-quality steel, it should neighbors, land people up there are negotiating for an awful lot 2 2 make us all nervous. It really should. It makes me nervous. more money per acre across. 3 3 Mr. Ed Miller testified to the statistics --Now I guess it hasn't been that way in the past. But 4 MR. KOENECKE: Yes, he did. And we don't need to hear 4 they are now doing it. The pipelines are coming to the table, 5 them again. I'm going to object to a recitation of the Miller 5 and they're treating those landowners up there fairer. 6 6 testimony. And so I think TransCanada could be treating people 7 THE WITNESS: But I'm referring to it. I'm not --7 fairer here. I can't imagine why not. 8 MR. SMITH: Let's not go over it again, though, 8 Now John Sieh wisely advised that the PUC needs to put 9 because we went over that in detail yesterday. 9 the brakes on and obtain the legislative authority to require 10 10 THE WITNESS: No. No. But what I want to do, thank this company to post cash bonds. Put the brakes on. Stop. 11 11 you, is simply say that what I learned here is that there are a There was an editorial in the -- the Aberdeen paper a while back 12 lot of spills, a lot of oil leaks. He has the numbers. Our own 12 and said slow this thing down. When I try to do something fast, 13 I make mistakes. 13 what is it, pipeline safety hazardous office, they have their 14 14 statistics. They print them. And they've told us about all the Now that applies to everything, all of us. We need to 15 15 slow this whole thing down and look at it. Ms. Winkler leaks and spills and -- some large, some small. 16 16 So we have those figures. And Enbridge, another testified this morning that -- originally that there should 17 pipeline company, we have their figures apparently. They all 17 be -- should have been borings through our water land north of 18 18 talk about the same thing. 19 19 And then one graph, gee whiz, it showed TransCanada MS. SEMMLER: I believe that's a misstatement of the 20 20 down here and all the rest of them up here. Credibility. You record. I don't believe she testified there should have been. 21 21 know, I know when you want to sell a car you'll say that it's THE WITNESS: You testified again -- you asked 22 22 never been in an accident or maybe a fender-bender or something questions again, and then she went back and went with you on 23 like that because you want to make the sale. But doggone it, 23 that and said, well, maybe after the project's --24 24 this is a big deal. And I just think that we have to get the MS. SEMMLER: Mischaracterization of the record. I'll 25 25 truth if we're going -- if we're going to be able to know who object to that statement. 1241 1243 1 1 we're dealing with here. MR. SMITH: Well, her testimony speaks for itself. 2 2 Now I see people sighing and wishing that I would be THE WITNESS: Okay. TransCanada does not have an 3 3 quiet and wishing that I would go away and I will go away, you emergency response plan. And I think the record says it won't 4 know, but this is really important. This is really important. 4 be completed until '09. 2009. I believe that's what the record 5 5 said. Somebody testified to it here. And I know it's just another deal for a lot of people probably 6 6 here. But it's an important deal for me and my neighbors up and Now jamming a project this dangerous through and not 7 7 down this state. having all these plans, even the EIS isn't out -- I guess it's 8 8 If TransCanada would have simply come to us and had an going to be out soon, but that's not even completed. 9 easement, an agreement that they would have signed and shown 9 Ms. Winkler I believe did say that there was some data 10 10 that they're behind this thing, that they're somebody that's that was insufficient. I have it scribbled down here. 11 11 going to be there, and would have put terms in it where in plain MS. SEMMLER: Again, I think the record speaks for 12 12 language they just accept, we'll assume the liability, we'll itself. I don't think we need to repeat her testimony. 13 13 take the responsibility, look, it's our idea we're wanting to THE WITNESS: It will. Thank you. I suppose that my 14 bring this thing through your land, and, sure it's a hazardous 14 time is running short and I do appreciate this opportunity and I 15 15 liquid but we're going to assume and accept all of the liability do want to thank you for this opportunity. 16 16 and we're going to put it in a document that we're going to sign I am -- I'm thinking that this project, based upon the 17 17 just like you're going to sign and we're going to assume if water that this pipe is going to go through north of us that's 18 18 anything goes wrong, it's ours unless you really negligently do our source water for BDM rural water alone should force a 19 something horrible -- and I understand that. That's simple. 19 reroute on this. Absolutely. I mean, if this project were 20 20 That's simple to understand. approved after everything we know about where this pipe goes 21 21 But if they would have just been up front and put a through, the water, the sand, after all of this testimony, after 22 document together that really was a good document so that we're 22 all of the research that's been done and everyone in this room 23 23 both protected and we both can go away saying, jeez, we got a knows that that pipe is going to go into sandy water, a source 24 good deal, we got treated fairly -- the wind power, they're 24 water for our drinking, if this project is not rerouted, if this 25 offering annual monies up in -- your neighbors up in Canada, our 25 project is approved and the inevitable will happen, people will

	1244			1246
1	be hanging by their thumbs. And we could avoid it. We could	1	blew up, it would really literally destroy it. But if it did	
2	absolutely avoid it.	2	not blow up, the value of the the loss of the property would	
3	I believe Dr. Davis said that it's so much easier to	3	be incredible of the farming unit, the farm.	
4	avoid the problem than to create it.	4	Q. Would it be down to zero?	
5	I appreciate this opportunity to talk before you,	5	A. We would have to see.	
6	TransCanada, everyone. And I ask you to use your best judgment,	6	Q. So you think it's possible that the value of your property	
7	Commissioners. Thank you.	7	would go down to zero?	
8	MR. SMITH: Mr. Moeckly, at this time with respect to	8	A. I think anything is possible with something like this.	
9	your prefiled testimony and with respect to the matters that you	9	Q. If I understand correctly, you're here testifying on your	
10	asserted in there, if given under oath today, would anything in	10	own behalf today?	
11	your testimony change?	11	A. Yes.	
12	THE WITNESS: No.	12	Q. As well as two corporations?	
13	MR. SMITH: I realize you've added some things in	13	A. A family corporation.	
14	this, but, I mean, with respect to the things in your testimony.	14	Q. One or two?	
15	With that, would you like to offer your prefiled	15	A. No. Merl Moeckly Company.	
16	testimony into evidence?	16	Q. What about MMP, Inc.?	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I would. Thank you.	17	A. Well, that's another corporation. It's a family	
18	MR. SMITH: We'll label that, Cheri. We'll label that	18	corporation, but Merl Moeckly Company is the farming company. $\\$	
19	Moeckly 1.	19	Q. So are those private corporations?	
20	It's been offered. Is there an objection?	20	A. Yes.	
21	MR. KOENECKE: No objection.	21	Q. So could I buy shares in that?	
22	MR. RASMUSSEN: No objection.	22	A. No. No. I guess you could I tell you what. After this	
23	MR. HOHN: No objection.	23	pipe goes through and breaks, I'll sell you	
24	MS. SEMMLER: No objection.	24	Q. I'm in the phone book. So are those South Dakota	
25	MR. SMITH: Moeckly 1 is received into evidence. At	25	corporations?	
	1245			1247
1	this point in time, Mr. Moeckly, I think you know the drill on	1	A. South Dakota. You bet.	
2	this, tender you for questions from the parties. And we'll	2	Q. And your testimony was that TransCanada is a private	
3	begin with Mr. Koenecke.		foreign corporation?	
		3		
4	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you,	4	A. Yes.	
4 5	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here	4 5	A. Yes.Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York	
4 5 6	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today.	4 5 6	A. Yes.Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New YorkStock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation?	
4 5 6 7	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION	4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a 	
4 5 6 7 8	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE:	4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. 	
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton?	4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public 	
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? A. It was for estate planning when my folks were alive. 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land? A. Yes. Q. And you said that it would destroy your land to have the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? A. It was for estate planning when my folks were alive. Q. Is it some kind of corporate shell game? 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land? A. Yes. Q. And you said that it would destroy your land to have the pipeline brought through it?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? A. It was for estate planning when my folks were alive. Q. Is it some kind of corporate shell game? A. No, no. It's straight up. Didn't go through Delaware at 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land? A. Yes. Q. And you said that it would destroy your land to have the pipeline brought through it? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? A. It was for estate planning when my folks were alive. Q. Is it some kind of corporate shell game? A. No, no. It's straight up. Didn't go through Delaware at all. 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land? A. Yes. Q. And you said that it would destroy your land to have the pipeline brought through it?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? A. It was for estate planning when my folks were alive. Q. Is it some kind of corporate shell game? A. No, no. It's straight up. Didn't go through Delaware at 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land? A. Yes. Q. And you said that it would destroy your land to have the pipeline brought through it? A. Yes. Q. So it's your testimony that a 30-inch pipeline buried	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? A. It was for estate planning when my folks were alive. Q. Is it some kind of corporate shell game? A. No, no. It's straight up. Didn't go through Delaware at all. Q. Seeking to avoid liability? 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr. Moeckly. I do some have questions about your testimony here today. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KOENECKE: Q. You testified you live 6 miles west of Britton? A. Yes. Q. And you've lived there all your life? A. Yes. Well, it's my childhood home and I was away and then I'm back. Q. How far is your home from the pipeline route? A. I would say about a quarter of a mile. Q. And if I understand correctly, it crosses four quarters of your land? A. Yes. Q. And you said that it would destroy your land to have the pipeline brought through it? A. Yes. Q. So it's your testimony that a 30-inch pipeline buried 4 feet under four quarters of land would destroy the entire	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. And so if I can buy shares of TransCanada on the New York Stock Exchange, doesn't that make that a public corporation? A. Well, it may be a public corporation, but it's definitely a private company. Q. I guess I don't understand that. Either it's a public company or a private company, isn't it? A. Well, it's a private company to me. If its shares are traded publicly, then so be it. Q. You could call in to Britton to an investment advisor and buy shares in TransCanada, couldn't you? A. I'm taking your word for it. I don't know. I don't know anything about that. Q. Why do you have these corporations? A. It was for estate planning when my folks were alive. Q. Is it some kind of corporate shell game? A. No, no. It's straight up. Didn't go through Delaware at all. Q. Seeking to avoid liability? A. No. Absolutely not. 	

		1	248	1250
1	Q.	That would be avoiding taxes, wouldn't it?	1	1 resolution?
2	Α.	Well, I would call it tax planning.	2	2 A. With Jim Bush and I?
3	Q.	You've sat here through the entirety of the hearing this	3	3 Q. Yes.
4	weel	k, haven't you?	4	4 A. No. We were the only two that day.
5	Α.	Yes, I have.	5	5 Q. Did anybody appear opposing this resolution?
6	Q.	And, in fact, you've had an opportunity to cross-examine	6	6 A. I don't know. Not at the same time we were there, no.
7	each	and every witness that's been at the stand?	7	7 Q. Well, on what date were you there?
8	Α.	I have.	8	8 A. What's the date of the resolution?
9	Q.	Do you remember how many of those witnesses you've	9	9 Q. If I said November 27, would you believe me?
10	exar	nined?	10	· ·
11	Α.	I think just one. Mr. Jones.	11	11 Q. That's what it says.
12	Q.	I believe your testimony earlier this afternoon was that	12	-
13	nobo	ody knows what the effects of the pipeline or a pipeline	13	-
14	spill	would be; isn't that correct?	14	
15	À.	I'm not sure if I said that.	15	
16	Q.	I think you sat there and said nobody knows.	16	
17	A.	Nobody knows what again? Nobody knows what?	17	17 Q. Did they vote in your presence on this resolution?
18	Q.	I wrote down nobody knows. What was it that nobody knows?	18	
19	Α.	Nobody knows what? I'll have to ask you to repeat it.	19	
20	Q.	I wrote down you said nobody knows. Do you disagree with	20	
21		statement? Do you disagree with me, my recollection?	21	
22	A.	What is the whole statement? That nobody knows what? I	22	<i>y</i> 3
23		t remember.	23	3
24	Q.	That's all I've written down. You said it. You said	24	
25	-	ody knows. I'm asking you are you now saying you didn't say	25	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	249	1251
1	nobo	ody knows?	1	1 Q. Of me?
2		CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Koenecke, I think we understand	2	2 A. TransCanada.
3	the	point you're trying to make. I'm not sure how it adds to	3	3 Q. Very well. It must have been more than that.
4		evidentiary record.	4	4 A. No. That was pretty much the essence, that they really
5		MR. KOENECKE: I'll move on, Commissioner. Thank you.	5	
6	Q.			5 have to be careful because this thing is so much deeper than an
7		You've failed to exercise your opportunity to test the	6	
	cred	You've failed to exercise your opportunity to test the ibility of every other witness this week other than	_	6 advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the
8			6	 advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just
8 9		ibility of every other witness this week other than	6 7	 advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at.
_	Mr.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not?	6 7 8	 advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add?
9	Mr	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose.	6 7 8 9	 advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had
9	Mr	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it?	6 7 8 9 10	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It
9 10 11	Mr	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to.	6 7 8 9 10	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15,
9 10 11 12	Mr	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 30 minutes.
9 10 11 12 13	Mr	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's yant to the evidence here.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20?
9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably.
9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Q. A. A. relev Q. you	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's want to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. G. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Q. A. relev Q. you A.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. relev Q. you A. Q.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada? Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon? A. Oh, no. If I would have had the benefit of the expert
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. A. A. Q. A. relev Q. you A. Q. A.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada? Yes. Once.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon? A. Oh, no. If I would have had the benefit of the expert testimony that has been presented here, I would have held them
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. relev. you A. Q. A. Q.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada? Yes. Once. Have you spoken to the Commissioners individually?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon? A. Oh, no. If I would have had the benefit of the expert testimony that has been presented here, I would have held them two hours.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Q. A. relev Q. you A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada? Yes. Once. Have you spoken to the Commissioners individually? I may have to a couple, but it was just in passing.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon? A. Oh, no. If I would have had the benefit of the expert testimony that has been presented here, I would have held them two hours. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Q. A. relev Q. you A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada? Yes. Once. Have you spoken to the Commissioners individually? I may have to a couple, but it was just in passing. It's your testimony that you and Jim Bush are the people	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon? A. Oh, no. If I would have had the benefit of the expert testimony that has been presented here, I would have held them two hours. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. J. A. Q. A. you A. Q. A. Q. resp A.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada? Yes. Once. Have you spoken to the Commissioners individually? I may have to a couple, but it was just in passing. It's your testimony that you and Jim Bush are the people onsible for getting the Commission to pass the resolution?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon? A. Oh, no. If I would have had the benefit of the expert testimony that has been presented here, I would have held them two hours. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. J. A. Q. A. you A. Q. A. Q. resp A.	ibility of every other witness this week other than lones, have you not? No. I didn't fail. I chose. But you haven't done it? I chose not to. MR. SMITH: Mr. Koenecke, I don't know that that's vant to the evidence here. How many occasions have you upon how many occasions have spoken to the Marshall County Commission? Jim Bush and I went up well, about TransCanada? Yes. Once. Have you spoken to the Commissioners individually? I may have to a couple, but it was just in passing. It's your testimony that you and Jim Bush are the people onsible for getting the Commission to pass the resolution? I don't think I would claim that. I would like to think	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	advertisement saying you're going to get so many taxes or the glitzy stuff that, you know, I was mentioning. There's just more substance here that has to be looked at. Q. And how long were you there speaking, I should add? A. Well, you know, because we were just in and then they had business. Jim spoke for just I suppose 15 minutes maybe. It wasn't very I don't remember. But it wasn't very long. 15, 20 minutes. Q. Jim spoke for 15 or 20? A. No. The two of us together I think probably. Q. So would have the presentation been in essence what you've given us here this afternoon? A. Oh, no. If I would have had the benefit of the expert testimony that has been presented here, I would have held them two hours. MR. KOENECKE: Very good. I have nothing further. Thank you. MR. SMITH: Mr. Rasmussen. MR. RASMUSSEN: I'm sorry, but I bet you the

	125	52	1254
1	have no questions.	1	even more.
2	MR. SMITH: Mr. Hohn.	2	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Learning that they need permits
3	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>	3	to cross every road and learning that it's been in the works
4	BY MR. HOHN:	4	three years and learning that the liability rests with the
5	Q. I just have two questions, Mr. Moeckly. Do you plan to go	5	company according to DENR's testimony and all of that?
6	to any other counties?	6	Do you think that would shock them that they are
7	A. I'd like to.	7	concerned for things that don't exist?
8	Q. Okay. I just one question I'd like to clarify or get	8	THE WITNESS: That don't exist? You mean the
9	you to clarify for us. You spoke of more money being paid in	9	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: You know, I think I'll just let
10	Canada and you spoke of language in the resolution that you felt	10	it go.
11	placed liability on you and deflected it away from TransCanada.	11	Like the liability issue. I think DENR testified that
12	Of those two, which is the more important, the money or the	12	the liability lies with the pipeline company. The roads, the
13	liability to you?	13	testimony I believe would state that they need permits to cross
14	A. Oh, the liability. Absolutely the liability. That's	14	every county road, things like that.
15	Q. And one last quick question. Your family corporation, do	15	Having that knowledge, I wonder if we'd have the same .
16 17	you mind telling the Commission who owns the property with you?	16 17	outcome.
18	A. My two sisters and I.	18	THE WITNESS: Well, you would. Because the roads is a
19	MR. HOHN: Thank you. MR. SMITH: Ms. Semmler, does staff have any questions	19	very important issue. Really important to the County. But the
20	of the witness?	20	fact that the that there's a fund somewhere that could pick up if the company can't do it or is gone, disappears, then they
21	MS. SEMMLER: No questions. Thanks.	21	would be hesitant all over again.
22	MR. SMITH: Do the Commissioners have questions of the	22	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay.
23	witness?	23	THE WITNESS: I mean, to me excuse me, but that's
24	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yeah.	24	the importance of a deposit, of having TransCanada make a
25	MR. SMITH: Commissioner Kolbeck.	25	deposit here at the state level. Call it a bond. But it needs
	125		1255
1	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Very brief. Having sat here	1	to be something liquid, not just a promise. Because you can't
2	through the testimony would you tell anything different to the	2	go with a promise anymore in any business it seems like. I
3	Marshall County Commission than you have now than you did	3	mean, a bank needs collateral. South Dakota needs collateral,
4	previously?	4	cash, for a disaster cleanup. Not a payment but for cleanup.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	5	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: All right. Thank you,
6	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Would you mention that they	6	Mr. Moeckly.
7	they need county road encroachment permits to cross every county	7	THE WITNESS: The county needs cash. The landowner
8	road?	8	needs cash. Yep. Thanks.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes. Oh, sure.	9	MR. SMITH: Any other Commissioner questions?
10	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Would you maybe tell them that	10	COMMISSIONER HANSON: No.
11	the first meeting with the State that TransCanada had was three	11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Nope.
12	years ago, three and a half years ago, to maybe help them with	12	3
13	their determination of what whisking is?	13	3
14	I think you were trying to insinuate that it was	14	
15	rushed and you wanted people to slow down. Do you think maybe	15	your patience in sitting here.
16	the County Commissioners would have a different opinion now	16	,
17	after testimony that it's been three years it's been in the	17	,
18	works?	18	
19	THE WITNESS: That's a if they had the benefit of	19 20	business they want to bring before the Commission right now, I'm
20 21	the testimony I heard this week. COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think maybe they	20	going to declare us in recess until 9:30 a.m. on Monday morning.
22	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Do you think maybe they	21	(The proceedings are in recess at 3:50 p.m.)
23	wouldn't have written a resolution? THE WITNESS: Oh, if they had this testimony?	23	
24	THE WITNESS: Oh, if they had this testimony? COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yes.	24	
25	THE WITNESS: Oh, no. No. No. This will shock them	25	
<u> </u>	·	52 to 125	5 of 1256 01/03/2008 04:16:35 PM
	, and the second se		

1256 1 STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) 2 CERTIFICATE :SS 3 COUNTY OF HUGHES) 4 5 I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered Professional 6 Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in and 7 for the State of South Dakota: 8 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed shorthand 9 reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings had in the 10 above-entitled matter on the 7th day of December 2007, and that 11 the attached is a true and correct transcription of the 12 proceedings so taken. 13 Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 2nd day of January 14 2008. 15 16 17 18 Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public 19 Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter 20 21 22 23 24 25

1162:19, 1172:16, **1091** [1] - 1034:5 **1283** [2] - 1037:24, **1470** [2] - 1042:22, 1 # 1172:21, 1183:3, 1099 [1] - 1034:6 1037:24 1042:23 1186:15, 1201:19, **1289** [2] - 1038:2, **1471** [1] - 1035:20 **11** [3] - 1037:25, **#13**[1] - 1039:5 1223:7, 1244:19, 1038:14, 1042:17 1038:3 1474 [2] - 1042:16, 1244:25 **11,000** [1] - 1149:11 **129** [2] - 1030:9, 1042:20 \$ 1,028-1,256 [1] -11/20/07 [1] - 1037:20 1082:3 1476 [1] - 1035:20 1028:8 1479 [1] - 1035:21 **1102** [1] - 1034:6 **12th** [5] - 1124:7, 1,400 [2] - 1234:15, 1132:24, 1150:18, **1106** [1] - 1034:7 1481 [1] - 1035:21 **\$100** [2] - 1238:16, 1234:25 1211:20, 1215:23 **149** [1] - 1037:12 **1107** [1] - 1034:7 1238:18 **1,700** [2] - 1234:16, **13** [3] - 1038:2, **1109** [1] - 1034:9 1490 [1] - 1035:22 **\$100,000** [1] - 1238:21 1234:25 1038:15, 1086:4 **1491** [2] - 1035:22, **1123** [1] - 1034:9 **\$20** [1] - 1039:10 **10** [20] - 1037:14, **1125** [1] - 1034:10 **13(1** [1] - 1042:18 1035:23 \$302,142.55[1] -1037:25, 1038:14, **1301** [1] - 1029:7 **14A**[1] - 1038:20 **1127** [1] - 1034:10 1237:5 1042:17, 1054:11, 1138 [2] - 1034:11, **1313** [1] - 1038:3 **14B** [1] - 1038:20 **\$409,000** [1] - 1237:2 1083:7, 1095:3, **1315** [3] - 1038:4, 14C [1] - 1038:21 1034:11 **\$409,145.21** [1] -1104:8, 1104:10, 1038:4, 1038:5 14D [1] - 1038:21 **1140** [1] - 1034:12 1237:2 1113:9, 1113:17, **1324** [1] - 1038:5 **14E**[1] - 1038:22 **1142** [1] - 1034:13 **\$470,000** [1] - 1238:16 1118:13, 1138:24, **1325** [2] - 1038:6, **15** [10] - 1038:3, **1158** [1] - 1037:23 **\$50** [1] - 1180:19 1139:7, 1140:11, 1038:6 1038:23, 1042:19, 1159 [1] - 1034:14 1170:24, 1186:12, **1327** [1] - 1037:25 1177:6, 1180:11, **116** [1] - 1030:6 1186:13, 1201:22, 133 [1] - 1030:10 1199:6, 1199:11, **1163** [1] - 1034:14 1221:2 1337 [1] - 1035:8 **1165** [1] - 1034:15 1251:11, 1251:12, **10,000** [1] - 1078:19 '05 [2] - 1214:8, **1341** [3] - 1122:19, 1251:14 **1169** [1] - 1034:15 10-minute [1] -1216:12 1122:21, 1123:25 **15,000** [1] - 1083:7 **117** [1] - 1030:7 1091:12 1370 [1] - 1035:9 **'07** [1] - 1236:4 **150** [1] - 1030:13 **1171** [1] - 1034:17 **10-year** [2] - 1138:25, '09 [1] - 1243:4 **139** [1] - 1030:10 **1501** [1] - 1028:19 **1172** [1] - 1042:7 1139:1 **'40s** [1] - 1159:25 13A [1] - 1038:16 **1511** [1] - 1036:2 **1175** [1] - 1034:17 100 [9] - 1048:23, 13B [1] - 1038:16 **1514** [2] - 1042:12, **'76** [1] - 1048:24 **1178** [1] - 1034:18 1085:1, 1090:2, 13C [1] - 1038:17 '80 [1] - 1048:24 1042:13 **1184** [1] - 1034:19 1090:20, 1098:24, 13D [1] - 1038:17 '80s [1] - 1073:16 **1516** [1] - 1036:3 **119** [1] - 1030:7 1098:25, 1228:9, 13E [1] - 1038:18 **1523** [1] - 1036:3 '92 [1] - 1149:21 **1191** [1] - 1034:20 1238:13 13F [1] - 1038:18 1538 [1] - 1036:4 **'93** [1] - 1161:22 **1192** [1] - 1034:20 **100,000** [2] - 1105:13, **13G** [1] - 1038:19 **'96** [1] - 1174:7 **1540** [1] - 1036:4 1196 [1] - 1034:21 1238:25 **14** [7] - 1029:7, **1542** [1] - 1036:5 **'97** [1] - 1174:7 1197 [1] - 1034:22 **1000** [1] - 1033:10 1038:3, 1038:10, **1548** [1] - 1036:5 **'98** [1] - 1161:2 **11:57** [1] - 1042:4 **1003** [1] - 1033:12 1038:11, 1038:12, **1556** [1] - 1036:6 **'Sour'** [1] - 1039:3 **12** [5] - 1038:2, 1009 [1] - 1033:12 1038:12, 1038:19 **1557** [1] - 1036:7 1038:15, 1042:18, **1012** [1] - 1033:13 0 **14(2** [1] - 1042:19 **1560** [2] - 1042:13, 1049:12, 1170:24 1014 [1] - 1033:13 1042:18 **1403** [1] - 1035:9 **12/5/07** [1] - 1042:10 **1016** [1] - 1033:15 **1406** [1] - 1035:10 **1563** [1] - 1036:8 **120** [1] - 1030:9 **0** [1] - 1098:24 **1019** [1] - 1033:15 **141** [1] - 1201:12 **1566** [1] - 1036:8 **1201** [1] - 1034:23 **0.1** [2] - 1113:15, 1022 [1] - 1033:16 **1410** [1] - 1035:11 **1577** [1] - 1036:9 1202 [1] - 1034:23 **1043** [1] - 1033:17 1113:17 **1421** [3] - 1035:12, **1589** [1] - 1036:9 **1208** [1] - 1034:24 **1048** [1] - 1033:18 **005** [1] - 1201:15 1042:17, 1042:21 **1590** [1] - 1036:10 **1210** [1] - 1035:2 1050 [1] - 1033:18 **1424** [1] - 1035:12 **1591** [1] - 1036:10 **1213** [1] - 1035:3 1 **1051** [1] - 1033:20 **1437** [1] - 1035:13 **1593** [1] - 1036:11 **1215** [1] - 1035:3 **1052** [1] - 1037:21 **1439** [1] - 1035:13 **1596** [1] - 1036:12 **1216** [1] - 1035:4 1055 [2] - 1037:21, **1440** [1] - 1035:14 16 [7] - 1038:4, 1 [32] - 1037:20, **122** [1] - 1037:10 1037:22 **1445** [1] - 1035:15 1038:23, 1042:20, 1038:9, 1040:14, **1222** [1] - 1035:5 **1056** [1] - 1033:20 **145** [2] - 1030:11, 1184:13, 1225:21, 1040:16, 1040:19, **1223** [1] - 1042:9 1066 [1] - 1033:21 1030:11 1225:23, 1225:24 1040:21, 1041:2, **124** [1] - 1037:10 1067 [1] - 1033:21 **1451** [3] - 1035:16, **160** [1] - 1028:16 1041:4, 1041:7, **1244** [1] - 1042:9 **1069** [1] - 1033:22 1042:16, 1042:20 1600 [4] - 1042:14, 1041:10, 1041:13, **1245** [1] - 1035:6 **1071** [1] - 1033:22 **1454** [1] - 1035:16 1042:15, 1042:18, 1041:15, 1041:18, **1252** [1] - 1035:6 **1075** [1] - 1033:23 **1464** [1] - 1035:17 1042:19 1041:21, 1041:25, 125N [1] - 1038:10 **1076** [1] - 1034:2 **1465** [1] - 1035:17 1042:2, 1042:7, **1608** [1] - 1036:13 **1272** [1] - 1035:8 **1077** [1] - 1037:22 **1466** [2] - 1035:18, **1615** [1] - 1036:13 1042:9, 1042:12, **1274** [2] - 1037:23, **1080** [1] - 1034:3 1035:18 **1627** [1] - 1036:14 1060:9, 1105:13, 1038:2 **1083** [1] - 1034:3 **147** [1] - 1030:13 **163** [1] - 1030:14 1105:14, 1152:4, **128** [1] - 1037:13 **1087** [1] - 1034:4

1647 [1] - 1036:14 1151:20 21C [1] - 1039:10 1250:12 **390** [1] - 1031:3 2 **1648** [1] - 1036:15 **1993** [1] - 1162:8 21D [1] - 1039:11 274 [1] - 1037:11 3:50 [1] - 1255:21 1996 [1] - 1153:20 282 [1] - 1030:21 **165** [1] - 1186:4 22 [4] - 1038:7, 1650 [1] - 1036:15 **1998** [3] - 1153:24, 1039:11, 1042:23, 297 [1] - 1030:22 4 1160:22, 1161:13 1144:19 2nd [1] - 1256:13 **1653** [1] - 1036:16 **1657** [1] - 1036:17 **1:15** [1] - 1170:25 **220** [1] - 1196:9 4 [17] - 1037:22, 227 [2] - 1029:10, **1661** [2] - 1042:15, **1A**[2] - 1038:9, 1038:11, 1041:8, 1040:17 1037:20 1042:19 1041:22, 1042:3, 1st [1] - 1028:22 **22A** [1] - 1039:12 **1663** [1] - 1036:18 3 [25] - 1037:21, 1042:13, 1055:10, 1665 [1] - 1036:18 22B [1] - 1039:13 1038:10, 1041:8, 1055:22, 1055:24, 2 22C [1] - 1039:14 **1669** [1] - 1036:19 1041:11, 1041:16, 1076:3, 1089:15, 22D [1] - 1039:15 **1676** [1] - 1036:19 1041:22, 1042:3, 1097:24, 1107:13, 22E [1] - 1039:16 **1677** [1] - 1036:20 2 [24] - 1037:21, 1042:10, 1042:13, 1107:16, 1173:8, 1678 [1] - 1036:20 23 [2] - 1039:17, 1054:20, 1054:23, 1179:14, 1245:23 1038:10, 1041:2, **1680** [1] - 1036:21 1087:9 1054:25, 1058:4, **4,500** [1] - 1161:11 1041:5, 1041:7, **230** [2] - 1226:3 1681 [1] - 1036:21 1059:21, 1060:17, 4,500-2,800 [1] -1041:11, 1041:16, 238 [1] - 1183:3 **1687** [3] - 1036:23, 1067:11, 1077:15, 1041:19, 1041:21, 1161:10 **239** [1] - 1183:6 1037:17, 1037:18 1082:3, 1094:24, **4/27/07** [1] - 1037:20 1042:2, 1042:9, **1691** [1] - 1037:19 **23A** [1] - 1039:18 1042:12, 1052:6, 1094:25, 1107:12, **40** [1] - 1152:11 24 [6] - 1039:20, 1696 [1] - 1036:23 1052:11, 1052:14, 1114:23, 1173:8, **400** [3] - 1090:13, 1079:6, 1079:10, 1697 [1] - 1036:24 1064:23, 1152:4, 1179:14, 1223:14 1114:23, 1152:5 16A[1] - 1038:24 1115:15, 1147:14, 1162:19, 1177:23, **30** [9] - 1074:6, **412** [1] - 1029:14 1147:22 **17** [5] - 1038:4, 1074:10, 1105:17, 1178:10, 1183:5, **414** [1] - 1091:24 24,000 [1] - 1093:14 1039:2, 1042:20, 1222:25, 1223:7 1105:22, 1152:11, **423** [1] - 1031:3 24-hour [1] - 1146:24 1072:15, 1144:18 **2,000** [1] - 1151:8 1234:25, 1238:12, **435,000** [1] - 1234:13 **245** [1] - 1030:18 **1703** [1] - 1036:24 2,000-gallon [1] -1238:18, 1238:20 **44** [2] - 1030:4, 24A [1] - 1039:20 **172** [1] - 1030:14 **30-inch** [4] - 1173:10, 1053:11 1037:13 24B [1] - 1039:21 17A[1] - 1039:3 1175:11, 1196:8, 2,800 [1] - 1161:11 450 [1] - 1028:22 24C [1] - 1039:21 17B [1] - 1039:4 1245:22 2-inch [1] - 1175:11 **457** [1] - 1031:4 24D [1] - 1039:22 **17C** [1] - 1039:5 2.5 [1] - 1040:11 **300** [2] - 1085:6. **459** [1] - 1031:4 **24E** [1] - 1039:22 **18** [4] - 1038:5, 1090:13 20 [8] - 1038:6, **47-51** [1] - 1039:23 24F [1] - 1039:23 1039:6, 1040:11, 300,000-gallon [1] -1039:7, 1042:22, **49** [2] - 1061:6, 1061:9 24G [1] - 1039:23 1042:21 1053:7 1075:5, 1127:11, **490** [1] - 1029:3 1800s [1] - 1095:14 **24H** [1] - 1039:24 **30s** [1] - 1086:12 1180:11, 1251:13, **491** [1] - 1031:6 **182** [1] - 1030:15 **24I** [1] - 1040:2 1251:14 **31** [1] - 1110:6 493 [1] - 1037:16 **184** [1] - 1030:15 **24J** [1] - 1040:2 20,000-gallon [1] -**321** [1] - 1030:22 **186** [1] - 1030:17 24K [1] - 1040:3 **324** [1] - 1030:23 1066:20 5 **19** [5] - 1038:5, 24L [1] - 1040:3 34 [1] - 1104:19 200 [2] - 1162:1 1039:6, 1042:21, **24M** [1] - 1040:4 **35** [1] - 1238:19 **2000** [2] - 1153:23, 1229:11 24N [1] - 1040:4 **5** [11] - 1037:22, **350** [1] - 1030:23 1153:24 **194** [1] - 1061:6 **240** [1] - 1040:5 1038:11. 1041:23. 20005 [1] - 1028:20 356 [1] - 1030:24 1940s [1] - 1132:1 24P [1] - 1040:6 1042:4, 1042:14, **359** [1] - 1030:24 **2003** [1] - 1198:17 **195** [3] - 1030:17, 25 [12] - 1040:7, 1077:7, 1077:11, 2004 [4] - 1078:8, **36** [1] - 1037:2 1061:6, 1061:9 1045:5, 1147:18, 1077:14, 1113:1, 1095:3, 1149:21, **361** [1] - 1031:2 1179:14, 1223:2 **195.6** [2] - 1037:14, 1147:21, 1148:2, 1160:8 **363** [1] - 1037:8 1037:15 1148:5, 1199:6, **50** [8] - 1055:17, **2005** [3] - 1190:14, 368 [1] - 1037:14 1085:7, 1090:1, **1967** [1] - 1150:14 1199:10, 1199:15, 1190:17, 1190:25 **37** [1] - 1038:21 1093:6, 1093:13, **1971** [1] - 1044:3 1199:17, 1236:4, 2007 [5] - 1028:8, 380 [2] - 1037:14, 1098:24 1238:19 **1975** [3] - 1092:5, 1029:15, 1045:5, 1037:15 **500** [2] - 1031:6, 1105:15, 1106:6 **250** [1] - 1160:8 1223:2, 1256:10 389 [1] - 1037:15 1081:22 **1977** [1] - 1092:6 **259** [1] - 1030:19 2008 [1] - 1256:14 **39** [16] - 1030:3, 25A [1] - 1040:8 **500,000** [2] - 1060:9, **1986** [2] - 1126:1, **2009** [1] - 1243:4 1037:2, 1037:3, 26 [1] - 1040:9 1105:14 1153:19 2020 [1] - 1173:21 1037:3, 1037:4, **526** [1] - 1031:7 **1987** [3] - 1059:16, **260** [1] - 1030:19 **20A** [1] - 1039:8 1037:4, 1037:5, 266 [1] - 1030:21 **559** [1] - 1031:7 1150:21, 1162:24 21 [2] - 1038:6, 1037:5, 1037:6, **56** [1] - 1040:3 **1990** [2] - 1039:12, 26A [1] - 1040:10 1042:22 1037:6, 1037:7, **57042** [1] - 1029:10 1059:17 26B [1] - 1040:11 213 [1] - 1030:18 1037:7, 1037:8, 57069 [1] - 1091:25 **1991** [1] - 1150:2 **27** [4] - 1040:12, 1037:9, 1037:9, **21A**[1] - 1039:8 57402 [1] - 1029:3 **1992** [2] - 1150:7, 1049:8, 1250:9, 1228:14 21B [1] - 1039:9

57430 [1] - 1222:11 1040:4, 1040:5, **780** [1] - 1032:9 912 [1] - 1032:22 1160:20 3 **57501** [2] - 1028:17, 1040:6, 1040:7, **784** [2] - 1042:21, 919 [3] - 1032:22, Absolutely [3] -1040:8, 1040:9, 1042:22 1041:18, 1041:19 1243:19, 1247:23, 1029:8 1040:10, 1040:11, **58** [1] - 1040:2 786 [3] - 1032:9. 922 [1] - 1032:23 1252:14 1040:12 **58-60** [1] - 1040:4 1042:12, 1042:17 927 [1] - 1033:2 absolutely [1] -**620** [1] - 1031:11 1244:2 **581** [1] - 1031:8 **791** [1] - 1032:10 928 [1] - 1041:10 **639** [1] - 1031:12 **796** [1] - 1032:10 abundant [1] - 1096:2 **584** [1] - 1031:8 93 [1] - 1227:3 **64** [2] - 1030:4, 1030:5 accept [5] - 1089:22, 7th [2] - 1029:15, 936 [3] - 1033:3, **589** [1] - 1031:9 **642** [1] - 1031:12 1256:10 1125:19, 1138:13, **590,000** [1] - 1234:14 1041:11, 1041:11 **644** [1] - 1031:13 1241:12, 1241:15 **591** [1] - 1031:10 938 [1] - 1033:3 **645** [1] - 1031:14 8 acceptable [1] -**59W1/4** [1] - 1038:10 **941** [1] - 1033:4 1206:21 **65** [1] - 1040:3 944 [1] - 1033:5 5H1 [1] - 1028:23 access [2] - 1093:2, **650** [1] - 1040:14 945 [1] - 1041:21 8 [4] - 1037:24, 1093:3 6 **652** [1] - 1031:15 **95** [1] - 1109:4 1038:13, 1042:16, **663** [1] - 1031:15 accessible [3] -961 [2] - 1041:21, 1079:12 1048:19, 1149:8 **664** [1] - 1031:17 1041:22 **8,000** [1] - 1221:20 6 [11] - 1037:23, accident [1] - 1240:22 **671** [2] - 1040:16, 970 [2] - 1041:23. **80** [5] - 1174:21, 1038:12, 1041:23, accidental [1] -1040:17 1041:23 1179:2, 1179:18, 1042:4, 1042:15, 1188:6 **672** [1] - 1031:17 **971** [2] - 1033:6, 1148:15, 1158:5, 1204:9, 1204:11 accommodate [1] -**673** [1] - 1031:18 1033:6 80,000-gallon [2] -1158:10, 1159:21, 1158:17 **683** [1] - 1031:19 **974** [1] - 1033:8 1224:15, 1245:9 1066:23, 1073:13 accommodating [1] -689 [2] - 1031:20, 977 [1] - 1042:2 800,000 [1] - 1152:5 **6,000** [1] - 1074:11 1221:24 980 [4] - 1033:8, 1040:19 **60** [3] - 1073:13, 81 [1] - 1030:5 according [4] -**690** [1] - 1031:20 1042:2, 1042:3, **81-82** [1] - 1039:24 1199:6, 1199:18 1059:16, 1059:17, 692 [1] - 1031:22 1042:3 817 [1] - 1032:11 60-to [1] - 1066:23 1236:20, 1254:5 991 [1] - 1033:9 819 [1] - 1032:11 609 [1] - 1031:11 According [1] -7 994 [3] - 1042:4, 61 [1] - 1064:23 **822** [1] - 1032:12 1108:5 1042:4, 1042:5 618 [72] - 1038:9, **827** [1] - 1032:13 account [7] - 1058:10, 995 [1] - 1033:9 1038:9, 1038:10, 7 [7] - 1028:8, **832** [4] - 1041:7, 1058:13, 1058:16, 998 [1] - 1033:10 1038:10, 1038:11, 1037:23, 1038:12, 1041:7, 1041:8, 1058:19, 1080:19, 9:30 [2] - 1221:11, 1038:11, 1038:12, 1042:5, 1042:15, 1041:8 1080:22, 1204:20 1255:20 1038:12, 1038:13, 1221:2, 1228:15 **835** [1] - 1032:14 accumulates [1] -1038:13, 1038:14, 7-to [1] - 1182:1 **847** [1] - 1032:14 1084:10 Α 1038:14, 1038:15, **7/30/07** [1] - 1042:4 **856** [1] - 1032:15 acquainted [1] -1038:15, 1038:16, 700 [4] - 1031:22, 860 [1] - 1032:15 1099:20 1038:16, 1038:17, 1040:21, 1106:23, **87** [1] - 1030:6 A.G.'s [1] - 1043:9 acre [1] - 1242:2 1038:17, 1038:18, 1181:3 878 [1] - 1032:16 a.m [3] - 1029:16, **Acreage** [1] - 1037:11 1038:18, 1038:19, **700,000** [2] - 1237:5, 882 [1] - 1032:16 1042:4, 1255:20 act [2] - 1146:24, 1038:19, 1038:20, 1238:23 **889** [1] - 1032:18 **AB** [1] - 1028:23 1213:2 1038:20, 1038:21, 707 [1] - 1031:23 890 [2] - 1032:18, abandoned [2] -Act [12] - 1190:15, 1038:21, 1038:22, 709 [1] - 1031:23 1041:13 1211:16, 1211:22 1190:17, 1190:23, 1038:23, 1038:23, 713 [1] - 1031:24 8:30 [1] - 1029:16 Aberdeen [5] - 1029:3, 1190:25, 1191:2, 1038:24, 1039:2, 724 [1] - 1031:24 1037:25, 1037:25, 1198:21, 1198:22, 1039:3, 1039:4, **727** [1] - 1032:2 1236:4, 1242:11 9 1198:23, 1199:25, 1039:5, 1039:6, **739** [3] - 1032:3, ability [1] - 1144:21 1203:1 1039:6, 1039:7, 1041:2, 1041:2 ablation [1] - 1084:15 **ACT** [1] - 1028:5 1039:8, 1039:8, 9 [3] - 1037:24, **74-76** [1] - 1039:6 able [13] - 1099:2, acted [1] - 1094:3 1039:9, 1039:10, 1038:13, 1042:16 743 [1] - 1032:4 1122:17, 1127:25, action [3] - 1211:14, 1039:11, 1039:11, 9-inch [1] - 1182:1 **75** [5] - 1096:3, 1128:6, 1128:10, 1219:11, 1219:15 1039:12, 1039:13, 9/7 [2] - 1042:4, 1140:5, 1144:11, 1096:6, 1174:21, actions [2] - 1177:16, 1039:14, 1039:15, 1042:5 1166:16, 1168:16, 1179:2, 1179:18 1211:15 1039:16, 1039:17, 90 [3] - 1186:3, 1180:4, 1193:19, **750** [1] - 1041:25 active [4] - 1114:21, 1039:18, 1039:20, 1204:9, 1204:11 **751** [1] - 1032:5 1196:7, 1240:25 1114:22, 1114:24, 1039:20, 1039:21, 901 [1] - 1032:20 above-entitled [2] -**752** [1] - 1032:6 1115:18 1039:21, 1039:22, 902 [1] - 1041:15 1029:13, 1256:10 **756** [3] - 1032:7, actively [1] - 1093:7 1039:22, 1039:23, 903 [1] - 1222:11 1041:4, 1041:5 above-ground [2] activities [13] -1039:23, 1039:24, 906 [2] - 1041:16, 1070:14, 1070:17 **760** [1] - 1119:21 1093:4, 1093:10, 1040:2, 1040:3, 1041:16 aboveground [3] -**761** [1] - 1032:7 1093:17, 1142:12, 1040:3, 1040:4, **907** [1] - 1032:20 1111:7, 1111:11, 77 [1] - 1038:19 1142:16, 1142:22,

1144:15, 1146:8, administrator [3] ago [9] - 1088:17, 1078:24, 1078:25, 1172:21, 1175:25, 1150:6, 1155:18, 1092:20, 1110:9, 1089:15, 1090:13, 1079:7, 1079:20, 1178:25, 1181:5, 1155:19, 1156:7, 1198:12 1104:14, 1105:17, 1085:6, 1089:13, 1181:8, 1183:16 1211:17 admirably [1] -1181:25, 1187:17, 1089:19, 1095:25, annexed [2] activity [4] - 1069:24, 1133:15 1253:12 1096:1, 1096:8, 1068:12, 1074:24 1070:10, 1144:6, admit [1] - 1121:17 agree [4] - 1058:6, 1096:10, 1096:16, annual [7] - 1202:4, 1144:14 1109:5, 1218:14, 1097:6, 1097:9, 1203:2, 1236:20, admitted [5] actual [10] - 1086:18, 1234:19 1097:13, 1097:15, 1237:1, 1237:6, 1052:14, 1055:24, 1144:8, 1150:9, 1097:19, 1100:9 agreed [4] - 1064:8, 1241:25 1127:1, 1132:10, 1151:14, 1151:23, 1085:23, 1232:10, alluvium's [1] annually [5] - 1202:2, 1172:21 1100:13 1160:2, 1160:20, 1203:20, 1203:21, adult [1] - 1206:5 1232:11 1161:15, 1165:25, alone [2] - 1227:25, Agreement [1] -1204:1, 1205:9 advertisement [3] -1168:8 1243:18 1119:4, 1236:24, 1037:19 anonymous [2] -Acute [1] - 1039:4 Alta [1] - 1039:3 1161:6, 1161:7 1251:6 agreement [2] ad [1] - 1225:17 Altamont [1] - 1054:11 advertising [1] -1041:16, 1241:9 answer [13] - 1063:1, AD [1] - 1037:2 1063:24, 1094:10, 1236:6 agricultural [1] alternate [3] - 1057:4, Ad [2] - 1037:13 advised [1] - 1242:8 1188:1 1062:16, 1156:24 1102:7, 1116:17, 1116:18, 1125:21, Adam [1] - 1028:16 Agriculture [1] alternative [1] advisor [1] - 1247:13 1129:21, 1133:18, add [7] - 1047:4, 1049:22 1206:19 advisory [2] - 1114:5, 1135:24, 1155:3, 1079:9, 1093:16, 1114:6 ahead [9] - 1055:1, amend [1] - 1193:19 1174:16, 1205:15 1121:19, 1172:4, 1071:24, 1111:13, America [1] - 1039:16 affect [1] - 1052:22 1203:5, 1251:9 1120:5, 1141:20, answered [3] affected [2] - 1061:2, American [3] -1064:16, 1064:22, Add'I [3] - 1037:16, 1157:15, 1163:5, 1037:25, 1037:25, 1074:20 1076:6 1172:22, 1234:23 1042:2, 1042:3 1236:4 affiliation [1] -**Answers** [1] - 1039:15 added [3] - 1128:3, 1049:14 ahold [1] - 1135:12 Americans [1] -1209:14, 1244:13 Air [1] - 1153:24 1226:24 answers [4] - 1052:8, affirmed [2] - 1051:17, 1077:8, 1232:5 addition [9] - 1146:10, Airforce [4] - 1111:22, Amoco [7] - 1073:13, 1141:24 1153:17, 1153:18, 1074:17, 1154:22, anticipate [3] -1188:25, 1200:12, afford [2] - 1134:7, 1138:6, 1194:24, 1211:17, 1211:24, 1153:21 1154:25, 1161:13, 1134:12 1201:13 1212:18, 1212:22, Akeley [1] - 1091:21 1161:22, 1161:25 afraid [1] - 1089:6 1216:15, 1219:13 amount [12] - 1050:17, anticipated [1] -Akeley-Lawrence [1] afternoon [8] additional [16] -1095:12, 1096:20, 1080:13 1091:21 1165:17, 1167:23, anticipation [2] -1069:16, 1100:21, 1100:13, 1103:10, **Al** [1] - 1049:19 1175:25, 1176:1, 1163:10, 1163:12 1130:8, 1132:11, 1196:18, 1208:8, Alberta [5] - 1039:2, 1115:23, 1180:19, 1139:25, 1142:19, 1039:12, 1039:13, 1200:11, 1233:20, anyhow [1] - 1224:3 1248:12, 1251:17 1236:17, 1238:11, **Anyway** [2] - 1125:25, 1157:6, 1183:11, 1039:16, 1040:7 afterwards [1] -1202:1, 1205:23, 1238:23 1126:8 Alignment [1] -1110:18 1209:11, 1209:14, Amount [1] - 1039:7 anyway [1] - 1080:14 1037:10 ag [1] - 1144:2 1213:17, 1214:15, amounts [2] - 1174:5, alive [1] - 1247:18 Apco [1] - 1121:11 age [2] - 1078:19, 1216:1, 1220:3 1185:21 appear [2] - 1149:16, allow [5] - 1073:12, 1083:6 Additional [3] analysis [6] - 1057:8, 1108:16, 1143:13, 1250:5 agencies [5] - 1070:3, 1037:10, 1042:3, 1057:14, 1057:17, APPEARANCES [2] -1205:19, 1232:24 1083:24, 1216:14, 1042:5 1057:22, 1082:13, 1028:15, 1029:1 1217:21, 1217:24 allowed [9] - 1076:7, address [8] - 1076:23, 1114:9 appeared [2] -1107:25, 1117:9, agency [16] - 1048:14. 1091:20, 1202:8, analyzing [1] -1168:14, 1249:25 1171:6, 1173:9, 1050:25, 1102:24, 1203:6, 1203:9, 1195:12 appearing [6] -1119:10, 1125:2, 1187:15, 1187:21, 1209:15, 1222:9, AND [1] - 1028:5 1189:24, 1195:24 1028:17, 1028:20, 1155:23, 1164:5, 1222:11 ANDERSON [1] -1168:22, 1207:22, allowing [3] - 1174:22, 1028:23, 1029:4, Addressed [1] -1187:14, 1220:16 1171:17 1029:5, 1029:11 1208:1, 1208:12, 1039:3 Anderson [26] applicable [1] -1214:21, 1216:13, allows [2] - 1146:3, adds [1] - 1249:3 1030:6, 1030:14, 1193:8 1179:11 1218:20, 1219:2, adequate [1] -1031:10, 1034:16, 1219:20 Alluvial [1] - 1073:11 Applicant [6] -1179:25 1038:8, 1038:11, Agency [1] - 1185:7 alluvial [4] - 1068:15, 1028:17, 1028:20, adhere [1] - 1146:17 1079:9, 1082:4, 1038:13, 1038:13, 1028:23, 1075:17, agency's [1] - 1214:16 Adjustment [1] -1038:23, 1039:1, 1175:20, 1196:3 1083:2 agent [3] - 1041:22, 1037:13 1039:6, 1040:1, Applicant's [2] -1041:23, 1042:4 alluvium [26] administers [1] -1040:4, 1042:6, agents' [1] - 1177:16 1053:14, 1055:3, 1037:1, 1042:13 1184:20 1055:5, 1055:7, 1042:7, 1171:9, application [9] aggregate [1] - 1096:5 Administration [1] -1171:14, 1171:17, 1043:1, 1044:22, 1059:20, 1059:24, aggressive [2] -1061:5 1172:13, 1172:15, 1068:1, 1190:1, 1073:24, 1078:14, 1152:7, 1153:1

4

1190:3, 1191:22, 1229:4, 1229:6, 1120:7, 1120:16, assume [8] - 1062:22, 1247:22, 1247:24 5 1192:9, 1194:2, 1229:13, 1229:21 1124:14, 1140:6, 1063:16, 1168:2, avoided [1] - 1079:3 1157:21, 1213:10, 1194:7 Aquifer [10] - 1054:11, 1195:14, 1241:12, avoiding [1] - 1248:1 1241:15, 1241:17, Application [12] -1068:11, 1073:11, 1213:16 aware [22] - 1048:15, 1037:2, 1037:11, 1085:22, 1088:5, Argus [4] - 1042:10, 1250:16 1130:8, 1132:6, 1094:15, 1094:16, 1096:14, 1098:8, 1223:2, 1223:23, assuming [1] -1132:13, 1139:13, 1120:17, 1208:17, 1151:23, 1151:24, 1224:2 1180:20 1149:5, 1159:7, 1212:7, 1212:9, 1229:12 Army [1] - 1234:6 assured [1] - 1228:8 1159:12, 1163:21, 1212:15, 1234:12, aquifers [11] aromatic [1] - 1072:15 attached [3] - 1037:2, 1182:17, 1193:11, 1234:15, 1235:8 1053:13, 1054:13, array [1] - 1116:10 1041:23, 1256:11 1195:8, 1203:10, APPLICATION [1] -1058:8, 1073:8, arrowhead [1] attempt [1] - 1212:18 1203:13, 1203:14, 1078:24, 1079:3, 1028:4 1177:1 1206:1, 1206:11, attempting [2] -1083:11, 1083:13, applications [2] article [2] - 1042:10, 1225:7, 1225:9 1206:12, 1207:18, 1089:13, 1089:25, 1044:11, 1189:18 1207:22, 1218:3, 1223:3 attend [6] - 1188:14, 1096:11 applied [2] - 1046:20, 1218:5 Article [27] - 1037:25, 1217:6, 1217:10, Arden [4] - 1033:19, 1139:13 1038:6, 1038:22, 1217:15, 1217:16 awareness [1] applies [2] - 1192:12, 1051:14, 1051:22, 1038:23, 1038:24, 1205:19 attendance [1] -1094:18 awful [2] - 1222:13, 1242:14 1039:2, 1039:3, 1191:14 area [58] - 1046:11, 1242:1 **apply** [2] - 1185:19, 1039:4, 1039:5, attended [3] - 1049:9, 1054:6, 1054:8, 1195:18 1039:7, 1039:8, 1216:10, 1217:9 1055:13, 1056:20, appointed [1] - 1256:8 1039:9, 1039:10, attendees [1] -В appreciate [5] -1056:22, 1058:1, 1039:12, 1039:13, 1049:10 1058:10, 1060:23, 1039:14, 1039:15, 1085:13, 1167:24, attention [1] - 1133:22 bachelor [1] - 1184:6 1060:24, 1061:3, 1170:16, 1243:14, 1039:16, 1039:17, attitude [1] - 1233:7 Bachelor [3] -1061:10, 1063:3, 1039:18, 1040:5, 1244:5 Attorney [4] -1043:23, 1198:3, 1063:18, 1064:2, Appreciate [7] -1040:6, 1040:7, 1028:13, 1028:14, 1211:1 1066:4. 1068:11. 1040:8, 1040:9, 1061:20, 1127:23, 1029:7, 1043:21 bachelor's [1] -1073:15, 1074:22, 1040:10, 1040:11 1136:24, 1168:19, attorney [2] - 1088:3, 1092:4 1074:24, 1079:16, articles [2] - 1037:23, 1183:20, 1213:24, 1136:15 background [8] -1079:19, 1089:2, 1038:2 1214:20 attorneys [1] -1043:22, 1056:19, 1089:8, 1093:11, artifacts [1] - 1097:3 approaching [1] -1220:22 1092:3, 1150:20, 1102:13, 1103:24, aside [2] - 1107:17, 1115:7 Austin [1] - 1028:19 1184:5, 1198:2, 1104:5, 1104:7, 1141:21 appropriate [4] author [1] - 1100:4 1210:25, 1230:6 1112:5, 1118:7, 1170:22, 1207:9, aspect [1] - 1151:17 authorities [1] **backup** [1] - 1161:18 1118:10, 1118:15, 1210:12, 1213:19 aspects [1] - 1157:3 1191:1 backwards [1] -1130:19, 1131:3, appropriation [1] assemble [1] - 1213:1 authority [6] -1094:8 1131:9, 1132:4, 1194:9 asserted [1] - 1244:10 1118:23, 1129:14, bacteriological [3] -1132:14, 1133:7, approval [2] assess [3] - 1115:22, 1130:8, 1200:24, 1200:1, 1203:25, 1140:21, 1151:6, 1207:19, 1207:22 1151:11, 1169:3 1218:21, 1242:9 1205:24 1151:12, 1156:9, approved [5] - 1195:9, assessed [1] - 1121:6 Authority [2] -1157:24, 1173:9, **bad** [1] - 1048:23 1207:3, 1232:16, Assessment [1] -1211:12, 1211:15 Badlands [1] -1173:12, 1173:18, 1243:20, 1243:25 1042:15 authorized [2] -1111:23 1174:11, 1174:13, approximate [1] assessment [8] -1102:12, 1190:22 1175:3, 1176:19, **ball** [1] - 1043:25 1085:4 1108:14, 1110:17, available [21] -1179:20, 1183:6, band [1] - 1095:25 Approximate [1] -1121:18, 1141:5, 1044:16, 1086:24, 1224:11, 1227:15 bands [1] - 1079:2 1038:15 1142:18, 1154:1, 1092:25, 1095:14, Areas [1] - 1081:8 bank [1] - 1255:3 apt [1] - 1152:19 1166:6 1095:21, 1095:22, areas [35] - 1052:22, barely [2] - 1086:1, aquifer [30] - 1053:1, assign [1] - 1215:10 1096:24, 1098:21, 1053:12, 1053:14, 1086:12 1054:7, 1055:9, assigned [6] -1100:19, 1101:12, 1053:17, 1053:20, barged [1] - 1230:1 1055:16, 1061:1, 1142:21, 1188:13, 1102:23, 1103:16, 1054:1, 1054:4, barging [2] - 1231:8, 1064:3, 1068:13, 1215:4, 1215:5, 1105:7, 1105:25, 1057:5, 1058:21, 1231:9 1068:15, 1079:1, 1215:7, 1215:9 1106:18, 1106:23, 1060:6, 1060:19, barn [2] - 1160:12, assist [2] - 1068:24, 1079:5, 1079:10, 1107:3, 1119:3, 1061:4, 1061:7, 1227:18 1079:13, 1082:21, 1119:3 1119:7, 1137:9, 1073:8, 1079:3, Barnett [1] - 1029:2 1090:15, 1098:14, Assistant [1] -1218:19 1081:6, 1090:21, barrels [4] - 1160:8, 1098:22, 1099:3, 1043:21 average [2] - 1199:6, 1090:22, 1097:11, 1162:1, 1234:12 1107:16, 1118:10, associated [5] -1202:4 1107:18, 1113:12, Base [5] - 1111:22, 1118:17, 1122:13, 1113:7, 1123:14, avoid [7] - 1062:9, 1114:13, 1118:16, 1153:17, 1153:18, 1122:14, 1131:8, 1131:14, 1164:4, 1062:12, 1244:1, 1119:6, 1119:20, 1153:21, 1153:25

1244:2, 1244:4,

1180:7

1139:11, 1140:15,

based [27] - 1089:6, below [4] - 1071:2, bigger [2] - 1174:19, bonds [1] - 1242:10 bring [5] - 1078:2, 6 1109:10, 1112:13, 1098:21, 1202:13, 1233:8 Bonus [1] - 1121:11 1173:5, 1232:20, 1112:15, 1113:16, 1206:22 biggest [2] - 1066:19, 1241:14, 1255:19 **Book** [1] - 1041:19 1113:23, 1114:2, belowground [1] -1066:23 book [1] - 1246:24 **Britton** [9] - 1104:1, 1160:5 1114:5, 1114:6, **Bill** [10] - 1034:8, books [6] - 1106:22, 1139:21, 1222:11, 1119:18, 1143:7, Ben [3] - 1032:4, 1049:20, 1109:21, 1224:15, 1229:4, 1118:2, 1118:3, 1144:21, 1145:6, 1230:7, 1236:25, 1041:24, 1041:25 1110:1, 1116:12, 1119:15, 1130:11, 1145:7, 1164:10, 1245:9, 1247:13 bender [1] - 1240:22 1136:25, 1141:15, 1162:14 1186:3, 1187:16, Britton-Hecla [1] beneath [1] - 1083:8 1215:17, 1217:19, **Boom** [1] - 1039:15 1188:25, 1193:24, 1218:4 1236:25 beneficial [1] border [2] - 1224:10, 1194:6, 1195:17, 1179:14 **bill** [2] - 1173:21, 1229:2 broad [3] - 1059:2, 1195:25, 1200:2, 1180:22 1063:25, 1186:20 benefit [3] - 1238:5, bored [1] - 1154:2 1205:21, 1243:16 billion [4] - 1074:9, broken [3] - 1110:12, 1251:18, 1253:19 borings [2] - 1069:16, Based [4] - 1047:22, 1112:22, 1113:1, 1144:6, 1199:7 benzene [12] -1242:17 1105:7, 1164:10, brought [6] - 1061:24, 1066:21, 1072:1, 1201:16 bothered [3] - 1239:7, 1188:24 1072:16, 1074:10, Billion [1] - 1040:11 1078:15, 1086:13, 1239:8 basement [1] - 1126:2 **Binding** [1] - 1037:19 1135:25, 1228:16, 1112:22, 1201:11, bottom [3] - 1124:4, basements [1] -1201:12, 1201:15, biology [1] - 1211:2 1245:20 1152:10, 1225:22 1074:3 Brown [1] - 1226:19 1203:11, 1204:13, **bit** [13] - 1073:6, boulder [2] - 1096:2, basis [2] - 1097:2, 1205:12, 1206:7 1079:20, 1084:20, 1096:6 Bryan [3] - 1036:2, 1205:4 Benzene [1] - 1112:22 1115:25, 1130:18, 1042:12, 1101:8 boulder-sized [1] -**Bay** [1] - 1101:9 benzenes [1] - 1201:8 1131:23, 1135:22, BTEX [10] - 1072:4, 1096:2 **BDM** [16] - 1040:21, 1072:11, 1074:5, berm [1] - 1173:12 1140:2, 1149:9, boulders [1] - 1108:12 1041:2, 1139:13, 1155:9, 1159:5, 1201:5, 1201:6, best [11] - 1095:20, boundaries [1] -1139:16, 1139:21, 1211:25, 1239:6 1201:20, 1208:24, 1098:20, 1103:15, 1119:23 1177:24, 1179:22, black [4] - 1164:22, 1209:5, 1209:10, 1124:16, 1157:4, bounds [2] - 1231:12, 1180:4, 1180:16, 1169:23, 1170:2, 1209:17 1228:23, 1228:25, 1232:8 1180:17, 1180:23, 1234:8 **bugging** [1] - 1228:10 1230:3, 1230:22, Box [4] - 1028:16, 1182:8, 1229:3, Black [4] - 1038:3, bugs [2] - 1144:6, 1244:6 1029:3, 1029:10, 1229:12, 1229:22, 1100:5, 1111:21, 1146:5 **Best** [5] - 1029:6, 1222:11 1243:18 build [4] - 1122:24, 1033:17, 1043:9, 1187:10 BP [1] - 1039:10 Beadle [1] - 1060:14 blended [1] - 1206:21 1128:10, 1207:1, 1043:20, 1224:1 brakes [2] - 1242:9, bearing [1] - 1239:3 blending [1] - 1206:20 1235:12 **BEST** [3] - 1043:17, 1242:10 beautiful [1] - 1085:11 1043:20, 1048:8 blew [1] - 1246:1 building [3] - 1047:9, brand [1] - 1079:21 became [2] - 1212:6, blind [1] - 1126:7 1071:9, 1071:10 **bet** [3] - 1232:19, break [12] - 1091:8, 1212:8 built [8] - 1071:9, 1247:1, 1251:24 blow [4] - 1086:21, 1091:13, 1141:19, become [4] - 1045:23, 1122:25, 1134:5, better [11] - 1052:24, 1095:8, 1112:22, 1143:21, 1143:22, 1146:3, 1149:4, 1207:2, 1207:15, 1059:9, 1075:5, 1246:2 1144:11, 1158:12, 1164:17 1079:11, 1079:25, blown [2] - 1088:19, 1207:19, 1207:25, 1170:23, 1228:1, bedrock [3] - 1085:10, 1157:3, 1227:3, 1218:13 1098:4 1228:3, 1228:13, 1090:11, 1090:25 1228:17, 1235:11, **bulk** [2] - 1215:23, blown-up [1] - 1098:4 1229:19 beefed [1] - 1150:17 1235:12 1215:25 blueprint [4] - 1218:9, breakdown [1] -**BEFORE** [1] - 1028:10 Betty [1] - 1038:19 **Bulletin** [1] - 1087:9 1218:15, 1218:18, 1229:18 began [1] - 1126:20 between [8] - 1048:23, burden [2] - 1075:17, 1218:21 breaking [1] - 1229:20 begin [4] - 1155:24, 1220:3 1104:15, 1107:14, board [2] - 1135:18, breaks [2] - 1228:12, 1163:5, 1175:20, 1122:24, 1129:4, 1231:1 **Burden** [1] - 1042:2 1246:23 1245:3 1152:5, 1161:11, Board [1] - 1037:24 Burger [1] - 1039:22 breeding [1] - 1179:5 beginning [2] -1219:20 **boat** [1] - 1079:16 buried [1] - 1245:22 Brenda [4] - 1032:8, 1082:3, 1152:8 beyond [3] - 1076:7, burn [4] - 1121:22, **Bob** [1] - 1039:18 1042:12, 1101:8, behalf [8] - 1028:17, 1076:9, 1191:18 1121:24, 1121:25, bodies [5] - 1185:4, 1229:9 1028:20, 1028:23, Big [6] - 1040:7, 1185:12, 1185:16, 1140:21 Brett [1] - 1028:15 1029:4, 1029:11, 1096:13, 1151:23, 1186:10 **Burning** [1] - 1039:17 Brian [7] - 1031:5, 1052:2, 1094:3, 1151:24, 1159:17, burying [1] - 1046:25 body [3] - 1147:24, 1035:2, 1049:21, 1246:10 1162:2 **Buscher** [6] - 1034:19, 1226:16, 1226:23 1120:13, 1132:20, behind [3] - 1150:4, **big** [11] - 1082:19, **boil** [1] - 1205:25 1183:22, 1184:4, 1140:2, 1210:24 1185:8, 1241:10 1088:20, 1090:19, Bombing [1] brief [2] - 1233:7, 1196:18, 1197:7, behold [1] - 1230:24 1094:22, 1173:6, 1197:18 1111:23 1253:1 believer [1] - 1103:7 1173:15, 1173:18, bond [4] - 1127:2, Bush [9] - 1230:5, **briefly** [4] - 1046:8, belongs [2] - 1044:20, 1174:18, 1175:1, 1230:6, 1230:8, 1127:3, 1174:2, 1052:15, 1066:12, 1235:7, 1240:24 1225:7 1230:15, 1249:16, 1254:25 1102:4

1249:21, 1250:2, Canadian [4] -1099:4, 1199:8, 1133:21, 1141:21, 1211:13, 1211:15 1250:22 1039:15, 1224:22, 1199:12 1169:6, 1181:9, cities [2] - 1083:14, 1225:3, 1225:18 categorized [2] -1181:13, 1181:16, 1118:4 business [6] -1183:12, 1209:24, 1091:20, 1228:25, cannot [3] - 1095:12, 1149:22, 1149:23 citizens [2] - 1117:2, 1219:19, 1219:24, 1143:16 1235:21, 1251:11, 1155:11, 1191:1 categorizing [1] -1255:2, 1255:19 capability [1] -1105:20 1220:22, 1232:13, City [32] - 1039:8, Buster [3] - 1030:16, 1249:2, 1255:11 1195:10 cattle [3] - 1156:17, 1051:24, 1065:24, 1036:22, 1239:14 chance [3] - 1057:6, 1068:12, 1068:14, capable [1] - 1055:6 1180:20, 1181:2 1058:7, 1155:20 **butt** [1] - 1224:9 1068:15, 1071:25, capacity [1] - 1144:17 caused [6] - 1115:21, buy [5] - 1048:9, capital [2] - 1238:3, 1124:4, 1129:12, change [3] - 1172:7, 1072:24, 1073:10, 1179:17, 1244:11 1180:17, 1246:21, 1238:4 1154:2, 1160:25, 1073:11, 1073:21, 1247:5, 1247:14 1161:7 changes/route [1] -1074:24, 1076:24, Capitol [1] - 1029:14 **BY** [48] - 1043:17, cavalierly [1] -1037:10 1086:15, 1122:22, car [1] - 1240:21 changing [2] - 1088:8, 1122:24, 1125:18, 1048:12, 1050:2, 1227:15 Carcinogens [1] -1051:19, 1056:16, 1235:8 1126:3, 1126:14, 1039:2 cave [2] - 1175:8, 1128:7, 1131:5, 1066:14, 1067:17, 1182:9 channel [1] - 1226:23 care [7] - 1111:2, 1069:23, 1071:15, caved [1] - 1182:3 channels [3] -1133:3, 1133:5, 1116:19, 1130:11, 1075:16, 1076:22, 1154:18, 1154:21, 1053:15, 1055:8, CD [2] - 1041:8, 1134:22, 1136:8, 1080:12, 1083:19, 1154:25, 1187:5, 1227:11 1086:17 1174:5, 1210:7 1187:6, 1227:24 1087:8, 1091:17, career [1] - 1092:7 center [1] - 1171:10 characterize [3] city [6] - 1071:4, 1099:14, 1102:22, Center [2] - 1070:8, 1093:23, 1093:24, careful [4] - 1126:10, 1106:13, 1107:11, 1123:17 1079:19, 1098:10, 1091:21 1228:17, 1250:24, 1109:24, 1123:22, 1155:4, 1157:17, characterized [1] -1251:5 certain [16] - 1074:2, 1187:2 1125:16, 1127:21, 1096:8 1094:4, 1100:10, carefully [1] - 1059:4 1138:2, 1138:19, civil [3] - 1184:6, 1105:17, 1105:18, charge [2] - 1044:10, carries [1] - 1084:8 1140:10, 1142:2, 1198:3, 1198:5 1105:19, 1111:20, 1068:18 cartoonish [1] -1159:2, 1163:8, claim [1] - 1249:23 1124:19, 1124:21, charged [1] - 1167:11 1095:11 1165:20, 1169:16, claimed [1] - 1236:24 1137:2, 1147:15, cheaper [1] - 1145:4 case [32] - 1043:5, 1175:24, 1178:24, clarification [3] -1179:20, 1199:23, **check** [1] - 1049:8 1060:25, 1072:1, 1184:2, 1191:11, 1096:22, 1097:1, 1208:20, 1219:14 **checked** [1] - 1180:16 1073:10, 1077:4, 1192:22, 1196:17, 1107:12 certainly [4] chemical [3] - 1144:2, 1079:16, 1094:11, 1197:24, 1201:3, **clarified** [1] - 1190:15 1062:22, 1088:3, 1199:25, 1201:10 1096:13, 1096:16, 1202:19, 1208:7, clarify [5] - 1084:5, 1096:13, 1106:16 1101:25, 1102:2, chemicals [6] -1210:22, 1213:23, 1097:23, 1118:18, 1102:17, 1139:21, Certainly [2] -1066:7, 1201:7, 1215:15, 1216:9, 1252:8, 1252:9 1203:25, 1212:16 1155:23, 1164:21, 1203:23, 1204:25, 1219:8, 1245:8, clarifying [1] -1166:12, 1167:13, CERTIFICATE [1] -1206:4, 1235:18 1252:4 1181:19 1167:20, 1171:4, 1256:2 Cheri [3] - 1028:24, byproducts [1] -Clark [19] - 1037:22, 1171:20, 1172:10, certification [1] -1244:18, 1256:18 1204:14 1038:5, 1053:13, 1175:18, 1183:21, 1195:7 CHERI [1] - 1256:5 Certified [2] - 1256:6, childhood [1] -1054:9, 1055:10, 1189:10, 1189:21, C 1055:11, 1060:12, 1194:24, 1195:4, 1256:19 1245:12 1062:8, 1088:18, 1208:18, 1215:4, certified [1] - 1195:5 China [5] - 1239:19, 1088:24, 1089:14, **CERTIFY** [1] - 1256:8 1215:5, 1215:10 1239:20, 1239:21, **CA** [1] - 1039:14 1090:5, 1091:23, cases [15] - 1052:25, cetera [1] - 1078:17 1239:22, 1240:1 cafe [1] - 1199:17 1091:24, 1098:8, 1067:22, 1068:17, CFR [4] - 1037:14, China's [1] - 1239:19 Calculated [1] -1107:19, 1107:23, 1069:3, 1070:25, 1037:15, 1061:6, chooses [1] - 1062:7 1037:16 1108:3, 1108:7 1094:4, 1097:8, 1061:9 chopping [1] calculation [1] **class** [1] - 1096:2 1154:5, 1154:22, CHAIRMAN [38] -1174:14 1238:12 classification [3] -1154:25, 1157:12, 1028:10, 1028:11, chose [5] - 1062:7, calculations [1] -1140:25, 1200:8, 1157:23, 1195:22, 1051:9, 1064:11, 1079:21, 1158:1, 1238:10 1211:25, 1212:1 1201:7 1064:15, 1064:19, 1249:9, 1249:11 calculator [1] classifications [1] cash [5] - 1127:3, 1071:24, 1072:22, **chosen** [1] - 1057:4 1196:10 1187:24 1242:10, 1255:4, 1073:1, 1073:4, **Chris** [3] - 1031:19, calendar [2] - 1047:7, 1255:7, 1255:8 1073:19, 1073:25, classified [4] -1040:18, 1040:19 1047:18 1130:22, 1130:24, **Cassels** [3] - 1032:6, 1074:4, 1074:8, Christmas [1] -**Calgary** [1] - 1028:23 1041:3, 1041:4 1140:20, 1186:14 1074:12, 1074:18, 1126:22 Canada [8] - 1028:23, Clay [2] - 1096:1, catastrophic [1] -1075:1, 1086:11, chunk [1] - 1179:10 1078:16, 1081:18, 1160:22 1227:11 1086:20, 1087:19, cigarette [1] - 1229:24 1084:14, 1181:11, categories [5] -1087:25, 1091:24, **clay** [7] - 1050:25, Cindy [1] - 1049:17 1228:3, 1241:25, 1098:17, 1098:23, 1133:10, 1133:15, 1057:6, 1062:17, Circle [3] - 1211:12, 1252:10

7

1064:1, 1096:11, clock [1] - 1170:23 1039:22, 1039:23 1086:3, 1086:7, 1118:8, 1119:3, 8 1104:6, 1108:11 **close** [10] - 1050:12, comment [8] - 1075:6, 1086:17, 1108:21, 1120:3 clays [5] - 1050:14, 1050:24, 1091:1, 1096:7, 1102:10, 1109:2, 1109:14, community [11] -1129:2, 1129:13, 1060:4, 1060:8, 1115:8, 1140:4, 1107:22, 1182:8, 1119:5, 1157:17, 1096:19, 1108:12 1129:16. 1129:19. 1140:6, 1151:23, 1217:11, 1239:17, 1163:11, 1163:14, Clayton [1] - 1039:23 1154:9, 1168:13, 1239:19 1129:23, 1130:7, 1199:8, 1199:21, 1130:13, 1130:18, clean [25] - 1052:25, 1182:18 comments [5] -1200:9, 1200:10, 1038:19, 1038:21, 1130:21, 1131:1, 1201:4, 1203:1, 1053:1, 1110:23, closed [10] - 1071:6, 1131:8, 1131:14, 1203:10 1142:24, 1151:13, 1039:6, 1095:7, 1111:1, 1115:3, 1131:17, 1131:19, 1115:23, 1116:20, 1153:2, 1153:8, 1095:24 compaction [1] -1153:13, 1153:14, 1132:2, 1132:6, 1122:2, 1125:11, Comments [7] -1047:10 1132:13, 1132:17, 1127:1, 1127:25, 1154:5, 1155:6, 1039:23, 1039:24, companies [3] -1133:4, 1133:9, 1128:15, 1128:17, 1162:14 1040:2, 1040:3, 1047:13, 1069:4, 1134:1, 1134:3, 1128:18, 1129:4, closely [1] - 1168:13 1040:3, 1040:4, 1169:18 1134:9, 1134:12, 1129:7, 1129:10, closer [2] - 1081:24, 1040:4 companion [1] -1135:1, 1135:4, 1134:20, 1144:24, COMMISSION [3] -1105:2 1132:14 1135:9, 1135:15, 1151:11, 1156:4, closing [1] - 1158:16 1028:1, 1028:10, **company** [24] -1135:21, 1136:10, 1156:17, 1156:19, 1028:12 1045:7, 1045:19, closure [1] - 1110:20 1136:12, 1136:14, 1169:3, 1228:21 Commission [20] -1046:3, 1063:9, co [2] - 1100:4, 1211:2 1136:17, 1136:23, Clean [3] - 1190:16, 1028:13, 1046:24, 1153:21, 1167:4, co-author [1] - 1100:4 1167:2, 1167:10, 1190:23, 1191:1 1052:17, 1056:1, co-major [1] - 1211:2 1167:6, 1167:8, 1167:17, 1167:23, cleaned [15] - 1086:5, 1058:25, 1059:6, 1224:17, 1224:22, Coast [2] - 1040:8, 1168:18, 1168:20, 1117:3, 1122:17, 1067:10, 1067:13, 1224:24, 1225:3, 1137:7 1169:4, 1181:18, 1229:25, 1240:17, 1122:21, 1127:7, 1093:21, 1104:17, coded [1] - 1089:25 1182:4, 1182:6, 1129:17, 1130:12, 1172:24, 1232:4, 1242:10, 1246:18, codified [1] - 1146:9 1182:10, 1182:12, 1130:25, 1133:2, 1233:22, 1249:15, 1247:8, 1247:10, cold [1] - 1179:9 1182:14, 1182:23, 1147:21, 1148:5, 1249:22, 1250:20, 1247:11, 1254:5, Cole [2] - 1045:6, 1183:2. 1183:5. 1152:13, 1152:18, 1250:23, 1252:16, 1254:12, 1254:20 1047:21 1159:9, 1167:9 1253:3, 1255:19 1183:8, 1183:9, Company [6] - 1039:8, collateral [2] - 1255:3 1197:9, 1197:13, cleaning [2] -Commission's [1] -1065:7, 1127:25, collect [1] - 1134:17 1220:2, 1220:6, 1156:22, 1212:2 1251:25 1141:8, 1246:15, collected [2] -1221:20, 1225:24, cleans [2] - 1125:11, Commissioner [26] -1246:18 1121:14, 1149:1 1234:19, 1234:22, comparable [1] -1129:12 1061:15, 1066:9, collection [2] -1234:24, 1235:5, cleanup [28] -1084:3, 1085:16, 1111:12 1048:16, 1073:23 1252:24, 1253:1, 1085:17, 1086:8, 1069:24, 1069:25, compared [3] college [2] - 1092:7, 1253:6, 1253:10, 1070:3, 1070:10, 1086:10, 1087:25, 1105:13, 1175:11 1184:14 1253:21, 1253:24, 1110:17, 1121:18, 1088:4, 1088:7, compelled [1] colony [1] - 1239:16 1254:2, 1254:9, 1091:4, 1128:25, 1129:23 1127:6, 1129:5, color [4] - 1089:20, 1254:22, 1255:5, 1133:12, 1134:6, 1134:2, 1135:20, Compensation [1] -1089:25, 1099:2, 1255:10 1141:5, 1141:9, 1167:1, 1167:21, 1070:5 1126:7 Commissioners [18] -1142:18, 1142:20, 1169:5, 1181:17, compilation [2] colored [1] - 1103:24 1061:15, 1084:2, 1183:11, 1197:8, 1142:22, 1143:9, 1095:13, 1095:20 colors [2] - 1078:12, 1087:19, 1091:7, 1152:8, 1152:23, 1209:23, 1219:25, compiled [3] -1126:8 1108:19, 1128:25, 1220:1, 1249:5, 1152:24, 1153:1, 1095:15, 1097:10, colorwise [1] - 1090:6 1166:25, 1181:7, 1252:25, 1255:9 1154:3, 1155:19, 1120:6 column [1] - 1226:19 1197:6, 1219:17, **COMMISSIONER** [107] 1155:25, 1166:15, Complaint [1] comfortable [1] -1220:15, 1230:9, 1211:15, 1212:3, - 1028:11, 1061:17, 1039:22 1102:15 1230:19, 1230:21, 1255:4 1061:20, 1062:2, complaints [4] coming [10] - 1079:4, 1244:7, 1249:19, 1062:20, 1062:25, **Cleanup** [1] - 1039:9 1148:25, 1164:11, 1109:18, 1126:23, 1252:22, 1253:16 1063:8, 1063:12, **cleanups** [1] - 1167:3 1164:12, 1164:21 1151:1, 1151:7, commissions [1] -1063:19, 1063:23, clear [6] - 1095:14, completed [4] -1181:9, 1213:24, 1098:10 1064:4, 1064:9, 1141:7, 1214:23, 1069:16, 1152:24, 1230:24, 1234:6, common [3] -1064:21, 1065:8, 1224:8, 1225:25, 1243:4, 1243:8 1242:4 1045:25, 1189:13, 1065:11, 1065:13, 1228:10 completely [5] command [1] -1192:15 1065:21, 1065:25, Clearbrook [1] -1050:11, 1053:1, 1135:13 commonly [1] -1066:8, 1075:2, 1039:8 1156:10, 1156:21, commencing [1] -1092:18 1075:8, 1078:1, **clearer** [1] - 1229:12 1227:3 1029:15 communications [2] clearly [2] - 1055:5, 1084:4, 1084:18, Completion [5] -Comment [6] -1045:2, 1048:3 1084:25, 1085:12, 1059:9 1048:18, 1050:4, 1039:20, 1039:20, communities [3] -1085:18, 1085:21, **clipping** [1] - 1230:5 1050:7, 1069:8, 1039:21, 1039:21,

1069:9 cone [1] - 1067:23 1047:4, 1047:5, 1201:18, 1202:6, contracts [1] -9 completion [1] conference [1] -1047:8, 1047:9, 1202:14, 1203:12, 1155:17 1100:19 1130:4 1189:1, 1189:2, 1205:22, 1205:24 contributed [1] -1189:7, 1189:14, complex [1] - 1237:9 contaminants [10] -Confidence [4] -1071:11 1189:20, 1190:4. 1057:7, 1061:1, compliance [1] -1202:21, 1202:25, control [4] - 1112:4, 1190:18, 1192:15, 1066:4, 1082:5, 1121:3, 1126:11, 1202:13 1204:3, 1205:6 1196:22, 1207:9, complicated [1] confirm [3] - 1147:16, 1115:5, 1124:5, 1226:17 1208:12, 1218:10, 1143:12, 1201:9, 1124:24 1150:12, 1168:16 Control [1] - 1070:8 1219:15 1205:8, 1205:19 comply [1] - 1192:11 confirmed [2] conversation [3] consult [1] - 1080:3 Contaminants [1] -1150:8, 1150:10 1045:5, 1045:8, complying [1] consultant [4] -1198:21 Congress [4] -1124:6 1101:17 1066:6, 1068:5, 1173:21, 1190:14, contaminated [10] conversations [3] component [2] -1069:4, 1166:4 1067:7, 1068:16, 1190:25 1045:4, 1101:15, 1207:10, 1209:7 consultants [5] -1070:24, 1122:19, 1101:21 connected [3] components [1] -1151:11, 1166:3, 1125:9, 1144:22, CONVERSION [1] -1207:8 1089:13, 1101:15, 1213:5, 1213:12, 1144:25, 1145:3, composed [1] -1101:22 1028:5 1213:13 1152:11, 1157:10 1078:13 connection [3] convinced [1] consulted [1] - 1100:6 contaminating [2] -1052:3, 1054:7, 1161:15 compounds [5] -Consulting [2] -1058:7, 1229:21 1143:22, 1201:6, 1100:23 cooperate [1] -1039:11, 1057:12 Contamination [1] -1208:24, 1209:5, connections [2] -1155:23 1143:19 1209:11 1199:6, 1199:11 consulting [2] cooperation [1] -1100:25, 1101:7 contamination [26] -Conoco [1] - 1040:7 1104:15 concentrated [1] -1072:23, 1110:23, consume [1] - 1144:9 1093:16 consequence [7] -Cooperative [1] -Consumer [4] -1115:21, 1115:23, 1060:19, 1060:23, 1029:9 concentration [2] -1121:20, 1122:3, 1202:20, 1202:25, 1061:3, 1061:4, cooperative [1] -1072:11, 1074:5 1204:3, 1205:6 1122:18, 1125:1, concern [7] - 1054:2, 1061:7, 1061:10, 1104:14 consumer [4] -1137:13, 1143:9, 1090:24 1072:4, 1090:25, coordinate [1] -1143:13, 1143:14, 1206:9, 1206:15, 1112:21, 1125:2, consequences [1] -1212:19 1143:18, 1143:20, 1206:18, 1206:24 1175:1, 1181:20 1060:25 coordinator [1] -1143:25, 1149:2, consumers [3] -Concerned [1] consider [4] - 1059:1, 1212:11 1155:2, 1156:9, 1203:2, 1203:8, 1040:5 1059:6, 1063:4, copies [3] - 1166:10, 1156:13, 1156:22, 1207:2 concerned [6] -1204:15 1223:18, 1223:19 1157:22, 1157:24, consumption [1] consideration [1] -1053:24, 1141:14, **copy** [6] - 1067:14, 1159:18, 1163:10, 1156:16 1173:10, 1206:1, 1064:5 1086:23, 1089:11, 1164:16, 1170:5 considerations [1] -Contact [1] - 1042:4 1206:5, 1254:7 1097:5, 1103:22, content [1] - 1066:2 contact [12] - 1047:21, concerning [1] -1062:21 1103:23 contents [1] - 1037:15 1049:22, 1068:6, 1222:19 considered [3] -Corn [1] - 1038:18 context [1] - 1074:8 1177:11, 1177:14, 1127:12, 1188:4, concerns [9] corner [2] - 1125:25, contingency [1] -1212:10, 1212:14, 1052:17, 1052:21, 1199:21 1232:20 1228:10 1213:1, 1213:5, 1055:14, 1135:25, consist [1] - 1200:16 corporate [1] -1214:16, 1214:17, continue [4] -1136:2, 1166:20, consistent [1] -1247:19 1143:15, 1143:22, 1173:15, 1175:12, 1214:21 1079:23 corporation [7] -1205:3, 1214:16 contacted [4] -1181:19 consolidated [1] -1246:13, 1246:17, Continued [1] -1104:17, 1126:2, concise [1] - 1092:22 1081:8 1246:18, 1247:3, 1029:1 1173:2, 1177:15 concludes [1] -1247:6, 1247:7, constituents [3] contacts [2] - 1213:4, contract [3] - 1155:13, 1127:16 1072:4, 1199:23, 1252:15 1155:14, 1155:16 1213:12 concur [2] - 1108:14, 1206:15 corporations [5] contractor [8] contain [3] - 1106:24, 1226:22 constitutes [1] -1146:13, 1246:12, 1116:2, 1116:20 1115:22, 1153:25, Conde [5] - 1163:21, 1246:19, 1246:25, 1147:12 1160:11, 1160:13, contained [4] -1163:23, 1163:24, Constr [1] - 1037:19 1247:17 1160:16, 1167:12, 1070:16, 1070:25, 1164:5, 1164:9 Correct [13] - 1114:18, **construct** [1] - 1043:3 1167:15 1079:17, 1145:22 conditions [2] -CONSTRUCT [1] -1136:10, 1137:21, contractor's [1] contains [6] - 1066:6, 1143:7, 1166:14 1028:6 1140:21, 1141:10, 1167:18 1072:15, 1109:11, 1149:14, 1160:6, conduct [3] - 1043:9, constructed [2] -1148:25, 1149:11 contractors [9] -1082:13, 1097:21 1162:14, 1172:8, 1059:18, 1071:8 Contaminant [2] -1116:5, 1116:19, 1178:15, 1178:17, conducted [8] -Construction [3] -1135:17, 1155:13, 1072:14, 1072:20 1057:8, 1057:13, 1190:6, 1192:25, 1182:25, 1196:24 1155:14, 1156:2, contaminant [10] correct [34] - 1051:2, 1057:17, 1057:22, 1196:23 1156:3, 1212:24, 1074:10, 1112:16, 1052:5, 1057:3, 1143:9, 1153:1, construction [19] -1112:21, 1114:3, 1216:16 1211:12, 1212:3 1059:13, 1065:9, 1042:13, 1047:3,

1065:22, 1070:1, 1255:7 1197:22, 1210:20, 1030:23, 1031:3, cross [19] - 1053:12, 10 1070:10, 1082:6, County [72] - 1037:22, 1221:25 1031:3, 1031:4, 1053:14, 1053:20, 1085:1, 1100:12, 1038:4, 1038:4, courthouse [1] -1031:4, 1031:6, 1054:6, 1055:4, 1140:20, 1146:24, 1031:7, 1031:7, 1038:5, 1039:9, 1230:15 1056:1, 1057:5, 1148:16, 1149:17, cover [3] - 1078:10, 1031:11, 1031:11, 1071:19, 1080:6, 1041:5, 1042:9, 1160:5, 1160:24, 1053:13, 1054:5, 1082:17, 1191:24 1031:15, 1031:17, 1085:8, 1085:9, 1161:21, 1162:11, 1031:18, 1031:20, 1054:9, 1055:10, coverage [2] - 1190:1, 1097:19, 1163:5, 1162:21, 1162:23, 1055:11, 1060:12, 1031:20, 1031:22, 1175:3, 1175:17, 1190:12 1163:2, 1163:15, 1031:23, 1031:23, 1060:13, 1060:14, 1248:6, 1253:7, covered [7] - 1127:3, 1181:21, 1186:18, 1060:15, 1060:16, 1031:24, 1032:3, 1254:3, 1254:13 1189:24, 1192:10, 1192:25, 1193:22, 1032:5, 1032:7, 1062:8, 1062:10, 1192:16, 1195:22, cross-examination [4] 1214:18, 1215:20, 1087:10, 1088:18, 1032:7, 1032:9, - 1056:1, 1080:6, 1195:25, 1201:6 1032:10, 1032:10, 1216:21, 1219:12, 1088:23, 1088:24, 1163:5, 1175:17 Covered [1] - 1127:5 1219:13, 1248:14, 1089:1, 1089:2, 1032:14, 1032:14, **Cross-Examination** covers [2] - 1194:8, 1032:15, 1032:15, 1256:11 1089:7, 1089:14, [112] - 1030:4, 1198:25 corrected [2] -1032:18, 1032:20, 1098:8, 1103:20, 1030:4, 1030:5, cradle [1] - 1110:16 1087:23, 1205:3 1103:24, 1104:12, 1032:22, 1032:23, Craig [1] - 1072:19 1030:5, 1030:6, 1104:15, 1104:17, correctly [6] -1033:3, 1033:3, 1030:9, 1030:10, Craycroft [1] -1104:19, 1105:1, 1033:4, 1033:6, 1127:24, 1138:23, 1030:10, 1030:13, 1049:14 1033:6, 1033:8, 1139:5, 1192:24, 1105:5, 1105:9, 1030:14, 1030:14, craziness [1] - 1231:8 1033:9, 1033:10, 1245:16, 1246:9 1105:12, 1105:25, 1030:17, 1030:18, create [3] - 1067:23, 1033:10, 1033:13, 1106:7, 1107:19, **corridor** [1] - 1227:7 1030:18, 1030:21, 1157:5, 1244:4 1033:13, 1033:16, corrode [1] - 1173:25 1107:20, 1107:23, 1030:22, 1030:22, created [3] - 1131:20, 1108:7, 1123:13, 1033:18, 1033:20, 1030:23, 1031:3, Corrosion [1] -1185:13, 1209:12 1033:21, 1033:22, 1128:14, 1128:17, 1031:3, 1031:4, 1039:10 creating [1] - 1211:13 1034:3, 1034:3, 1169:1, 1222:22, 1031:4, 1031:6, corrosion [2] -Credibility [1] -1034:4, 1034:6, 1223:22, 1224:8, 1031:7, 1031:7, 1124:4, 1151:17 1240:20 1226:19, 1230:4, 1034:7, 1034:7, corrosiveness [1] -1031:11, 1031:11, credibility [2] -1230:9, 1230:19, 1034:10, 1034:10, 1031:15, 1031:17, 1235:16 1239:9, 1249:7 1231:18, 1232:4, 1034:14, 1034:15, 1031:18, 1031:20, cost [9] - 1052:24, Creek [10] - 1038:14, 1034:17, 1034:18, 1237:4, 1238:11, 1031:20, 1031:22, 1071:18, 1127:6, 1068:11, 1073:11, 1034:20, 1034:21, 1239:15, 1249:15, 1031:23, 1031:23, 1167:7, 1180:7, 1132:15, 1173:7, 1034:23, 1034:24, 1250:20, 1250:23, 1031:24, 1032:3, 1180:16, 1180:23, 1225:22, 1226:5, 1253:3, 1253:16, 1035:3, 1035:4, 1032:5, 1032:7, 1204:17, 1236:9 1226:21, 1227:2, 1254:18 1035:6, 1035:6, 1032:7, 1032:9, cost-effective [1] -1229:18 1035:8, 1035:9, **COUNTY** [1] - 1256:3 1032:10, 1032:10, 1052:24 creek [4] - 1195:20, 1035:12, 1035:12, 1032:14, 1032:14, County's [1] - 1223:3 costs [4] - 1071:17, 1225:20, 1226:10, 1035:16, 1035:16, 1032:15, 1032:15, county-wide [1] -1117:6, 1117:8, 1226:12 1035:17, 1035:20, 1103:9 1032:18, 1032:20, 1236:17 Creighton [1] - 1211:2 1035:21, 1035:21, 1032:22, 1032:23, couple [14] - 1056:19, coteau [6] - 1081:16, Cremer [1] - 1028:14 1036:3, 1036:3, 1033:3, 1033:3, 1061:18, 1067:18, 1081:23, 1081:24, Cremer's [1] - 1158:21 1036:4, 1036:8, 1033:4, 1033:6, 1069:21, 1074:16, 1226:2, 1226:11, Cretaceous [1] -1036:8, 1036:9, 1033:6, 1033:8, 1075:14, 1124:6, 1226:22 1081:12 1036:13, 1036:13, 1153:15, 1156:6, 1033:9, 1033:10, Coteau [1] - 1081:18 cretaceous [1] -1036:14, 1036:18, 1033:10, 1033:13, 1187:5, 1189:5, Counsel [1] - 1028:13 1085:10 1036:18, 1036:19, 1033:13, 1033:16, 1208:10, 1228:16, counsel [1] - 1043:6 crews [1] - 1174:11 1036:23, 1036:24, 1033:18, 1033:20, 1249:20 counted [1] - 1079:6 Crimp [1] - 1039:14 1036:24, 1042:22 1033:21, 1033:22, course [8] - 1092:7, counties [4] criteria [2] - 1186:11, CROSS [25] - 1050:1, 1034:3, 1034:3, 1092:13, 1146:9, 1104:19, 1118:4, 1187:16 1056:15, 1067:16, 1034:4, 1034:6, 1166:10, 1195:18, 1118:9, 1252:6 **crop** [1] - 1090:19 1071:14, 1080:11, 1034:7, 1034:7, 1227:2, 1233:11, country [3] - 1224:17, crops [1] - 1166:16 1234:4 1083:18, 1087:7, 1034:10, 1034:10, 1230:1, 1231:9 Cross [113] - 1030:4, 1102:21, 1106:12, 1034:14, 1034:15, courses [3] - 1051:25, county [17] - 1054:10, 1030:4, 1030:5, 1107:10, 1125:15, 1034:17, 1034:18, 1077:1, 1092:8 1055:15, 1060:10, 1030:5, 1030:6, 1127:20, 1163:7, 1034:20, 1034:21, **Court** [1] - 1040:10 1089:17, 1098:10, 1030:9, 1030:10, 1165:19, 1175:23, 1034:23, 1034:24, court [12] - 1043:15, 1103:9, 1106:8, 1030:10, 1030:13, 1178:23, 1192:21, 1035:3, 1035:4, 1051:17, 1076:20, 1118:17, 1174:15, 1030:14, 1030:14, 1196:16, 1202:18, 1035:6, 1035:6, 1088:10, 1091:15, 1224:8, 1230:19, 1030:17, 1030:18, 1208:6, 1215:14, 1035:8, 1035:9, 1109:22, 1141:24, 1230:20, 1237:5, 1030:18, 1030:21, 1216:8, 1219:7, 1035:12, 1035:12, 1171:13, 1183:23, 1253:7, 1254:14, 1030:22, 1030:22, 1245:7, 1252:3 1035:16, 1035:16,

1035:17, 1035:20, Cultural [1] - 1176:14 1111:9, 1114:21, date [11] - 1049:8, 1135:14, 1145:5, 11 1035:21, 1035:21, cultural [4] - 1058:11, 1117:1, 1118:2, 1078:19, 1085:25, 1145:10, 1232:18, 1036:3, 1036:3, 1080:19, 1176:11, 1118:3, 1123:3, 1086:3, 1095:4, 1239:3 1036:4, 1036:8, 1123:8, 1123:10, 1176:17 1152:25, 1217:5, decision-makers [1] -1036:8. 1036:9. 1123:11, 1134:5, 1069:15 culture [1] - 1080:1 1218:15, 1250:7, 1036:13, 1036:13, 1142:6, 1144:16, 1250:8, 1250:13 decisions [1] curiously [1] - 1225:2 1036:14, 1036:18, 1144:20, 1145:12, Dated [1] - 1256:13 1157:19 current [6] - 1110:8, 1036:18, 1036:19, 1147:1, 1163:14, dated [3] - 1150:2, declare [1] - 1255:20 1122:15, 1122:16, 1036:23, 1036:24, 1163:21, 1171:18, 1223:2, 1234:24 1152:23, 1209:2, **decrease** [1] - 1235:16 1036:24 1184:10, 1198:4, David [3] - 1028:15, 1209:14 decreasing [1] -CROSS-1198:7, 1203:3, CURT [1] - 1051:3 1031:21, 1035:15 1115:7 **EXAMINATION** [25] -1203:11, 1204:6, Davis [26] - 1033:19, deep [8] - 1090:20, Curt [2] - 1029:5, 1050:1, 1056:15, 1209:5, 1211:5, 1037:21, 1051:14, 1098:13, 1098:21, 1035:7 1211:21, 1215:1, 1067:16, 1071:14, 1051:16, 1051:20, 1152:11, 1173:9, curtailed [1] - 1074:1 1216:1, 1216:23, 1080:11, 1083:18, 1051:22, 1054:1, customers [1] -1179:14, 1180:11 1222:11, 1224:8, 1087:7, 1102:21, 1199:11 1055:1, 1056:7, deeper [4] - 1090:1, 1224:9, 1225:2, 1106:12, 1107:10, 1056:17, 1061:13, 1156:24, 1229:4, cut [1] - 1116:8 1125:15, 1127:20, 1225:5, 1229:2, 1064:12, 1067:18, 1251:5 CV [1] - 1077:4 1163:7, 1165:19, 1236:21, 1237:2, 1072:22, 1076:14, defense [1] - 1211:18 cycles [1] - 1174:19 1237:7, 1246:24, 1175:23, 1178:23, 1094:19, 1099:19, deficiency [1] -1247:1, 1255:3, 1192:21, 1196:16, 1099:20, 1099:23, 1131:25 D 1256:7, 1256:13 1202:18, 1208:6, 1100:7, 1103:6, define [5] - 1060:22, 1215:14, 1216:8, **Dakota's** [1] - 1209:17 1121:13, 1122:9, 1084:6, 1108:10, 1219:7, 1245:7, D.C [1] - 1028:20 dam [1] - 1047:9 1154:17, 1227:9, 1147:11, 1147:12 1252:3 dah [3] - 1233:15 damage [5] - 1154:4, 1244:3 defined [5] - 1061:4, dah-dah-dah [1] cross-examine [1] -1154:11, 1159:4, Davis' [1] - 1099:15 1061:8, 1137:18, 1248:6 1159:6, 1160:10 1233:15 days [7] - 1045:17, 1147:4, 1156:10 cross-sections [2] -**DAKOTA** [3] - 1028:2, damaged [1] -1086:6, 1115:16, defines [2] - 1061:9, 1085:8, 1085:9 1028:5, 1256:1 1053:25 1146:25, 1174:8, 1146:13 crossed [6] - 1079:5, Dakota [105] damages [2] - 1167:7, 1199:6, 1199:18 definitely [1] - 1247:7 1079:10, 1096:17, 1028:17, 1029:3, 1167:8 **DD**[1] - 1042:21 definition [7] -1124:7, 1204:19, 1029:7, 1029:8, Damages [1] deadline [1] - 1086:6 1037:14, 1037:15, 1225:20 1029:14, 1029:15, 1040:10 **Deal** [1] - 1039:19 1108:10, 1124:14, crosses [2] - 1226:2, 1043:3, 1043:21, Dan [2] - 1036:7, deal [18] - 1079:14, 1124:18, 1127:15, 1044:3, 1044:4, 1042:13 1245:16 1116:8, 1137:12, 1186:20 1044:6, 1044:19, dangerous [6] crossing [4] -1143:4, 1164:3, deflected [1] -1045:21, 1045:24, 1058:23, 1079:13, 1235:19, 1235:20, 1166:16, 1168:24, 1252:11 1151:2, 1151:3 1048:2, 1048:22, 1243:6 1175:10, 1204:22, **Degree** [1] - 1043:23 1049:15, 1049:16, crossings [2] dashed [3] - 1058:4, 1206:8, 1206:9, degree [6] - 1044:2, 1062:16, 1080:17 1071:19, 1227:7 1051:25, 1052:19, 1234:1, 1234:6, 1073:3, 1092:4, 1053:3, 1053:6, Crow [7] - 1038:14, dashes [3] - 1064:5, 1239:4, 1240:24, 1092:5, 1184:6, 1054:20, 1055:18, 1173:7, 1225:22, 1064:7, 1064:8 1241:5, 1241:6, 1211:1 1226:5, 1226:21, 1065:1, 1066:18, data [14] - 1050:4, 1241:24 degrees [3] - 1174:21, 1066:24, 1068:23, 1227:2, 1229:18 1098:21, 1105:7, dealing [2] - 1159:15, 1179:2, 1179:18 1070:1, 1077:2, CRR [1] - 1028:24 1106:25, 1120:6, 1241:1 **DEIS** [1] - 1037:13 1078:11, 1078:16, **crude** [21] - 1037:15, 1121:14, 1126:22, dealings [2] - 1233:5, **Delaware** [3] - 1225:2, 1081:13, 1088:21, 1042:14, 1043:3, 1149:1, 1149:5, 1233:6 1225:3, 1247:20 1090:8, 1090:10, 1066:6, 1072:15, 1150:14, 1150:20, dealt [3] - 1164:6, delay [1] - 1138:13 1090:19, 1090:21, 1082:13, 1082:16, 1168:7, 1204:4, 1168:25, 1239:23 delegated [4] -1091:21, 1091:25, 1123:7, 1138:7, 1243:9 debris [1] - 1084:8 1111:8, 1111:9, 1092:12, 1092:14, 1138:10, 1147:7, Data [3] - 1037:17, December [4] -1111:17, 1198:25 1092:16, 1092:18, 1152:3, 1168:24, 1042:22, 1042:23 1028:8, 1029:15, deliver [1] - 1199:1 1092:21, 1093:5, 1169:1, 1173:23, database [10] -1223:2, 1256:10 **Delwin** [5] - 1032:19, 1093:15, 1093:17, 1181:11, 1207:23, 1048:23, 1093:1, decide [2] - 1110:19, 1039:21, 1040:3, 1094:21, 1095:1, 1235:17 1100:20, 1101:14, 1145:11 1041:14, 1041:15 1095:2, 1097:11, Crude [2] - 1123:9, 1148:20, 1148:21, decided [3] - 1075:24, **DENR** [21] - 1056:4, 1098:8, 1101:11, 1123:13 1149:4, 1149:7, 1157:23, 1230:8 1068:13, 1070:1, 1104:16, 1104:20, culprit [1] - 1121:7 1149:11 decides [1] - 1217:23 1078:8, 1080:3, 1104:21, 1105:1, **culprits** [2] - 1072:2, databases [2] decision [7] -1083:21, 1098:11, 1105:8, 1110:3, 1072:3 1093:2, 1106:24 1069:15, 1109:7, 1098:16, 1119:8,

1121:1, 1130:8, Derric [9] - 1034:5, 1073:1, 1120:15, 1129:25, 1131:19, 1037:22, 1040:14, 12 1136:15, 1168:22, 1078:9, 1086:24, 1143:20, 1146:12, 1139:12, 1145:2, 1040:16, 1040:19, 1210:13, 1212:17, 1091:19, 1092:3, 1164:8, 1191:18 1145:6, 1145:10, 1041:2, 1041:4, 1041:7, 1041:10, 1212:25, 1213:19, 1146:6, 1146:16, 1094:25, 1099:6, detected [2] -1214:17, 1217:25, 1108:2, 1109:17 1205:20, 1205:22 1147:11, 1156:25, 1041:13, 1041:15, 1218:4, 1254:11 **Des** [1] - 1078:17 detection [2] -1157:14, 1158:1, 1041:18, 1041:21, DENR's [2] - 1212:10, 1166:1, 1174:25, 1041:25, 1042:2, describe [10] - 1089:6, 1064:13, 1202:3 1254:5 1185:11, 1185:15, 1042:7, 1042:9, 1090:9, 1117:12, detections [1] -1187:6, 1189:5, 1042:12, 1042:12, deny [1] - 1044:24 1205:7 1144:13, 1148:17, 1195:16, 1200:9, 1042:13, 1042:14, Department [41] -1171:16, 1184:22, determination [3] -1203:25, 1204:11, 1042:15 1044:7, 1045:18, 1198:18, 1212:13, 1188:19, 1188:23, 1219:21, 1253:2, **DIRECT** [16] -1049:18, 1049:20, 1213:8 1253:13 1253:16 1043:16, 1048:11, 1049:22, 1053:4, described [3] determine [7] difficult [1] - 1093:23 1051:18, 1076:21, 1055:20, 1065:4, 1107:13, 1108:8, 1115:20, 1148:23, 1091:16, 1099:13, dig [3] - 1173:17, 1065:18, 1068:22, 1219:20 1160:4, 1185:9, 1109:23, 1123:21, 1092:16, 1094:4, describes [1] -1175:2, 1175:3 1190:10, 1192:9, 1110:3, 1110:5, 1205:21 1218:6 digging [2] - 1152:11, 1142:1, 1159:1, 1184:1, 1191:10, 1118:25, 1119:2, 1227:1 determined [1] describing [1] -1197:23, 1201:2, 1119:16, 1133:11, digital [1] - 1095:23 1138:3 1121:15 1210:21, 1213:22 1142:6, 1144:18, dike [1] - 1173:14 description [2] determining [2] directed [4] - 1093:10, 1148:7, 1148:12, 1096:1, 1096:4 1138:7, 1185:11 dilutants [1] - 1235:23 1101:12, 1121:5, 1150:15, 1150:16, Description [1] develop [3] - 1114:1, direct [12] - 1052:2, 1161:25, 1166:2, 1214:18 1052:6, 1060:17, 1037:18 1155:16, 1195:17 1184:10, 1184:12, directing [3] -1076:3, 1077:3, design [6] - 1056:22, developed [10] -1184:13, 1188:15, 1142:18, 1156:2, 1077:9, 1082:1, 1064:17, 1099:6, 1090:15, 1094:21, 1191:24, 1194:3, 1184:19 1103:2, 1207:9, 1095:1, 1112:10, 1121:3, 1166:5, 1198:8, 1198:15, direction [2] - 1173:8, 1166:18, 1213:19, 1207:12 1112:14, 1119:17, 1198:20, 1203:3, 1220:17 1227:5 designated [1] -1146:11, 1160:2, 1207:4, 1211:5, directly [2] - 1065:15, 1187:16, 1194:7 Direct [84] - 1030:3, 1212:10 1213:6, 1220:4, 1166:2 1030:9, 1030:13, designed [3] - 1047:7, **Developer** [1] - 1040:6 1235:8 **Director** [1] - 1044:10 1047:8, 1205:18 1030:17, 1030:21, developing [2] department [9] -1031:2, 1031:6, director [3] - 1135:13, designing [1] -1080:17, 1187:20 1046:6, 1046:11, 1184:18, 1198:16 1031:10, 1031:14, 1102:24 development [2] -1049:19, 1115:13, 1031:17, 1031:19, Director's [1] - 1218:6 destroy [5] - 1225:9, 1132:14, 1149:5 1120:6, 1135:12, 1031:22, 1032:2, dirt [3] - 1110:6, 1245:19, 1245:23, dewater [2] - 1047:2, 1146:21, 1149:8, 1032:4, 1032:6, 1173:9, 1173:11 1245:25, 1246:1 1047:11 1217:12 1032:9, 1032:13, **Dirty** [1] - 1039:16 detail [23] - 1054:14, dewatering [7] -Department's [2] -1032:18, 1032:20, disagree [3] - 1109:5, 1059:6, 1060:10, 1046:17, 1046:23, 1219:9, 1219:10 1032:22, 1033:2, 1248:20, 1248:21 1063:16, 1067:12, 1189:3, 1189:7, depicted [2] -1033:5, 1033:8, 1071:20, 1078:21, 1191:6, 1192:15, disappears [1] -1059:20, 1107:16 1033:9, 1033:12, 1196:23 1254:20 1079:19, 1083:12, deposit [5] - 1060:2, 1033:12, 1033:15, disaster [1] - 1255:4 1088:15, 1088:19, diameter [2] -1083:5, 1084:7, 1033:15, 1033:17, 1095:6, 1095:10, Discharge [7] -1050:16, 1164:20 1254:24, 1254:25 1033:18, 1033:20, 1095:13, 1103:3, 1184:20, 1188:20, Diane [3] - 1029:6, deposited [1] -1034:2, 1034:5, 1103:5, 1103:8, 1043:9, 1043:20 1191:3, 1191:4, 1034:6, 1034:9, 1059:24 1103:15, 1104:9, 1192:23, 1196:22, **dibromide** [1] - 1066:5 1034:9, 1034:13, deposits [12] -1107:21, 1115:18, 1196:25 diesel [3] - 1152:3, 1078:10, 1078:15, 1034:14, 1034:17, 1218:12, 1240:9 discharge [27] -1152:4, 1162:18 1034:19, 1034:20, 1079:13, 1081:14, detailed [21] - 1073:5, 1045:23, 1112:3, difference [2] -1082:4, 1083:6, 1034:22, 1034:23, 1088:14, 1088:24, 1185:1, 1186:17, 1107:14, 1156:14 1083:8, 1084:19, 1035:2, 1035:3, 1089:7, 1089:16, 1187:11, 1187:15, differences [1] -1085:5, 1093:20, 1035:5, 1035:8, 1096:23, 1097:12, 1187:22, 1188:4, 1195:23 1093:22 1035:11, 1035:15, 1103:11, 1103:16, 1189:9, 1189:11, different [40] -1035:20, 1036:2, depression [2] -1104:12, 1105:4, 1189:16, 1189:17, 1045:25, 1046:5, 1036:7, 1036:12, 1067:23 1190:5, 1191:19, 1105:9, 1105:11, 1062:11, 1062:14, depth [5] - 1050:25, 1036:17, 1036:23, 1105:25, 1106:6, 1192:13, 1192:14, 1078:12, 1078:22, 1037:2, 1037:3, 1084:22, 1098:17, 1109:10, 1213:11, 1193:24, 1194:10, 1079:7, 1084:16, 1098:23, 1099:4 1037:4, 1037:5, 1218:15, 1218:18, 1194:21, 1194:22, 1088:18, 1098:19, 1037:6, 1037:7, derogatory [1] -1218:21 1194:23, 1194:25, 1107:13, 1110:12, 1037:9, 1037:21, 1182:8 details [7] - 1059:3, 1113:15, 1128:13, 1195:1, 1195:13,

1195:16, 1196:3, 1196:4 discharged [6] -1071:4, 1189:2, 1192:6, 1195:2, 1195:14, 1195:19 discharges [4] -1187:13, 1187:19, 1189:22, 1192:16 discontinued [1] -1173:24 discuss [3] - 1123:5, 1191:17, 1191:18 discussed [4] -1065:5, 1176:10, 1191:15, 1219:14 discusses [1] -1223:22 discussing [3] -1069:24, 1141:9, 1232:21 Discussion [2] -1141:22, 1221:1 discussion [7] -1085:22, 1094:20, 1096:15, 1120:19, 1218:18, 1230:23 Disease [1] - 1070:8 disease [1] - 1179:7 diseases [2] -1174:22, 1179:12 disk [3] - 1067:12, 1067:14, 1095:8 disposal [2] -1070:19, 1070:20 dissolved [2] -1066:21, 1071:2 distance [4] -1082:17, 1139:10, 1140:12, 1140:14 distinction [3] -1117:17, 1117:25, 1118:1 distribute [2] -1217:23, 1223:19 distributed [1] -1217:21 distribution [2] -1169:25, 1200:16 district [3] - 1124:12, 1131:12, 1237:3 districts [5] - 1236:21, 1237:2, 1237:6, 1238:17, 1238:21 disturbances [1] -1037:12 Ditch [1] - 1226:5 ditch [2] - 1226:16, 1227:2 division [2] - 1045:22,

1135:13 **Division** [1] - 1218:6 divisions [2] - 1046:6 **DNV** [3] - 1039:11, 1057:11, 1057:21 **DO** [1] - 1256:8 **Docket** [1] - 1171:2 document [9] -1057:20, 1072:14, 1086:22, 1087:12, 1092:23, 1149:16, 1241:16, 1241:22 documented [4] -1053:5, 1056:2, 1056:5, 1065:3 documenting [1] -1149:3 documents [7] -1053:7, 1053:10, 1059:17, 1070:7, 1102:23, 1107:4, 1166:11 doggone [1] - 1240:23 dollars [1] - 1152:21 domestic [5] -1044:15, 1044:25, 1045:14, 1048:14, 1186:14 Don [1] - 1237:8 done [42] - 1067:2, 1074:13, 1087:17, 1094:1, 1095:17, 1095:18, 1096:25, 1100:22, 1102:5, 1104:12, 1104:14, 1104:19, 1105:17, 1106:6, 1115:3, 1141:13, 1145:20, 1146:6, 1146:20, 1150:9, 1151:10, 1151:12, 1153:20, 1154:8, 1154:11, 1155:25, 1156:11, 1165:12, 1166:19, 1166:20, 1166:21, 1173:19, 1177:3, 1191:21, 1192:5, 1193:22, 1203:8, 1204:1, 1204:2, 1243:22, 1249:10 donuts [1] - 1048:9 door [1] - 1121:12 dots [1] - 1064:5 doubt [1] - 1249:24 down [72] - 1049:3, 1051:16, 1058:8, 1062:9, 1062:12, 1066:22, 1071:6, 1073:17, 1073:18,

1073:19, 1074:3,

1078:15, 1078:16, 1079:4, 1079:8, 1081:9, 1081:12, 1081:18, 1081:19, 1082:5, 1082:17, 1082:20, 1084:11, 1085:10, 1090:13, 1090:19, 1090:24, 1132:14, 1141:15, 1143:21, 1143:23, 1144:6, 1144:11, 1146:2, 1152:22, 1154:14, 1155:4, 1155:21, 1159:11, 1159:12, 1159:18, 1160:7, 1161:22, 1166:9, 1179:8, 1179:9, 1181:19, 1181:20, 1199:7, 1220:11, 1222:14, 1225:4, 1225:14, 1226:1, 1226:12, 1226:15, 1230:2, 1230:24, 1233:14, 1238:18, 1240:20, 1241:7, 1242:12, 1242:15, 1243:10, 1246:4, 1246:7, 1248:18, 1248:20, 1248:24, 1253:15 downstream [2] -1074:5, 1074:19 dozen [1] - 1177:4 **Dr** [35] - 1054:1, 1054:9, 1055:1, 1056:7, 1056:17, 1072:22, 1076:19, 1080:6, 1080:13, 1085:18, 1085:24, 1086:9, 1087:4, 1088:13, 1094:18, 1095:24, 1096:8, 1098:2, 1098:17, 1099:15, 1099:19, 1099:20, 1099:23, 1099:25, 1100:3, 1100:4, 1100:5, 1100:7, 1103:6, 1108:9, 1108:14,

drawn [5] - 1058:24, 1064:7, 1108:5, 1109:9, 1139:6 draws [2] - 1185:13, 1186:23 drill [3] - 1098:21, 1222:2, 1245:1 drilled [6] - 1048:22, 1050:13, 1090:13, 1093:13, 1160:16, 1167:13 driller [3] - 1050:5, 1050:20, 1050:21 Driller's [1] - 1069:9 driller's [1] - 1050:18 drillers [1] - 1048:17 drillers' [1] - 1100:18 drilling [11] - 1093:4, 1093:5, 1093:8, 1093:10, 1093:12, 1093:16, 1094:1, 1100:21, 1104:5, 1104:23, 1105:19 drink [2] - 1229:2, 1229:24 drinkable [1] -1229:23 Drinking [11] -1198:12, 1198:16, 1198:18, 1198:19, 1198:21, 1198:22, 1198:23, 1199:25, 1200:14, 1200:17, 1203:1 drinking [21] - 1068:7, 1068:15, 1073:6, 1112:24, 1112:25, 1114:3, 1125:7, 1133:6, 1143:16, 1156:24, 1164:14, 1186:14, 1195:8, 1198:20, 1199:1, 1200:15, 1200:18, 1201:23, 1201:25, 1205:13, 1243:24 drop [4] - 1118:12, 1118:13, 1139:2, 1227:5 dropped [1] - 1090:17 drops [1] - 1084:15 **drumlin** [1] - 1084:12 dry [2] - 1185:13, 1186:23 **Dubbe** [4] - 1126:3, 1126:4, 1126:5 ductile [1] - 1158:2 dude [1] - 1133:13

drawings [4] - 1218:9,

1218:16, 1218:18,

1218:21

due [4] - 1105:22, 13 1159:11, 1159:22, 1175:9 dug [1] - 1145:13 duly [1] - 1256:8 duly-appointed [1] -1256:8 dump [3] - 1228:18, 1228:19 **dumped** [1] - 1227:22 dumping [2] -1130:21, 1140:22 dumps [1] - 1228:20 during [8] - 1093:12, 1126:21, 1133:16, 1133:17, 1150:11, 1154:1, 1176:7, 1219:15 **During** [1] - 1094:20 **DUSTY** [1] - 1028:10 duties [1] - 1099:10 **Dylla** [1] - 1039:20

Ε

e-mail [1] - 1045:15 early [1] - 1239:15 Earth [1] - 1092:13 earth [2] - 1095:19, 1225:16 easement [2] -1233:15, 1241:9 Easement [1] -1041:16 easier [2] - 1238:13, 1244:3 easiest [1] - 1145:13 east [7] - 1079:12, 1093:11, 1097:9, 1104:8, 1225:21, 1226:1, 1226:5 **East** [5] - 1029:7, 1029:9, 1029:11, 1040:13, 1091:24 eastern [13] - 1052:19, 1073:14, 1078:10, 1090:11, 1093:11, 1093:14, 1093:17, 1093:19, 1093:21, 1094:2, 1097:11, 1104:20, 1104:21 easy [1] - 1230:11 eat [3] - 1143:22, 1144:10, 1146:5 economic [1] -1042:15 Ed [4] - 1041:6, 1041:7, 1041:21, 1240:3

1121:13, 1227:9,

draft [1] - 1213:2

Draft [2] - 1217:1,

Drainage [1] - 1038:14

drainage [3] - 1173:6,

1173:17, 1226:21

1117:17, 1118:10

drawing [1] - 1041:22

draw [3] - 1090:19,

1244:3

1217:14

edge [5] - 1089:2, elements [1] - 1205:12 engineering [9] -1187:18, 1187:23, 1168:1 14 1089:17, 1103:24, Elevation [1] -1044:2, 1051:24, 1190:23, 1191:2, Evidence [1] - 1224:1 1122:14, 1131:12 1038:14 1053:22, 1184:6, 1200:3, 1200:4 evidence [17] -Edge [1] - 1111:21 eligible [1] - 1192:10 1184:18, 1198:3, **EPA's** [1] - 1114:2 1077:14, 1077:15, 1198:5, 1198:16, Edit [1] - 1039:11 Ellis [2] - 1030:12, **equal** [1] - 1185:13 1088:1, 1130:7, 1200:12 equipment [4] -1162:6, 1172:10, editor [1] - 1133:24 1037:4 Engineering [3] -1067:19, 1154:8, 1172:22, 1223:22, editorial [1] - 1242:11 Ellsworth [8] -1044:10, 1045:7, 1231:15, 1231:21, 1168:5 Editorial [1] - 1037:25 1111:22, 1153:16, 1065:19 1232:15, 1232:20, 1153:18, 1153:21, equivalent [1] education [2] -Engineers [1] -1234:18, 1237:19, 1153:24, 1160:14, 1201:16 1238:2, 1238:4 1244:16, 1244:25, educational [6] -1161:20 1038:24 escapes [1] - 1137:6 engineers [2] -1249:13 Emerado [1] - 1039:18 escarpment [1] -1043:22, 1092:3, evident [1] - 1138:11 1102:24, 1103:2 1184:5, 1198:2, emergency [7] -1081:22 evidentiary [1] enlarged [1] - 1067:13 1210:25, 1238:1 1110:24, 1111:3, especially [4] -ENSR [1] - 1049:14 1249:4 Edward [3] - 1032:13, 1116:1, 1142:17, 1053:16, 1054:8, 1033:5, 1041:20 1155:18, 1171:5, ensure [2] - 1198:21, 1055:2, 1226:18 exact [6] - 1120:11, 1207:8 1124:17, 1127:13, 1243:3 Especially [1] -Edwards [2] -1127:15, 1204:7, Emissions [2] entered [1] - 1060:13 1222:17 1031:14, 1040:14 1204:16 essence [2] - 1251:4, effect [2] - 1141:4, 1039:12, 1039:13 entire [6] - 1044:12, exactly [10] - 1106:1, 1232:16 emotional [1] -1044:16, 1142:12, 1251:16 1180:14, 1195:18, essentially [1] -1137:16, 1145:22, effective [2] - 1052:24, 1231:16 1147:2, 1152:24, emphasize [1] -1245:23 1172:2 1144:23 1160:4, 1161:6, 1096:18 entirety [1] - 1248:3 Essentially [1] -Effects [1] - 1039:4 1166:5, 1203:22, entities [2] - 1118:4, effects [3] - 1067:3, employed [12] -1206:17 1233:19 1044:5, 1044:6, 1121:15 1205:21, 1248:13 establish [6] - 1118:4, Examinatin [1] -1069:3, 1092:15, entitled [4] - 1029:13, efficient [5] - 1144:23, 1143:5, 1143:6, 1035:22 1110:2, 1110:3, 1176:14, 1223:3, 1185:6, 1185:16, 1145:24, 1220:23 Examination [226] -1110:5, 1144:18, effort [3] - 1080:1, 1256:10 1187:13 1030:3, 1030:4, 1184:9, 1184:12, entity [2] - 1225:4, established [9] -1084:5, 1104:14 1030:4, 1030:5, 1198:8, 1211:4 1225:6 1113:23, 1119:2, **efforts** [3] - 1066:16, 1030:5, 1030:6, employees [3] -Environment [17] -1189:23, 1190:13, 1067:2, 1212:11 1030:6. 1030:7. 1221:21, 1224:20, 1039:17, 1044:7, 1190:14, 1192:7, eight [2] - 1178:7, 1030:7, 1030:9, 1227:19 1053:4, 1055:20, 1199:25, 1200:3, 1180:12 1030:9, 1030:10, Enbridge [1] -1065:4, 1065:18, 1200:4 **EIS** [3] - 1217:8, 1030:10, 1030:11, 1240:16 1068:22, 1092:16, **estate** [1] - 1247:18 1217:14, 1243:7 1030:11, 1030:13, encounter [4] -1094:4, 1110:3, estimate [3] - 1050:24, either [25] - 1044:23, 1030:13, 1030:14, 1196:7, 1238:16 1047:14, 1098:22, 1133:11, 1142:6, 1047:10, 1047:17, 1030:14, 1030:15, 1099:4, 1099:5 1144:19, 1184:10, estimated [8] -1048:19, 1058:22, 1030:15, 1030:17, encountered [1] -1194:4, 1198:8, 1151:8, 1152:2, 1069:2, 1090:6, 1030:17, 1030:18, 1206:8 1211:5 1152:5, 1161:11, 1094:12, 1114:8, 1030:18, 1030:19, encroachment [1] environment [3] -1162:1, 1236:20, 1119:25, 1125:10, 1030:19, 1030:21, 1253:7 1075:22, 1143:16, 1237:6 1137:17, 1142:19, 1030:21, 1030:22, end [9] - 1064:13, 1147:25 estimates [1] - 1085:2 1145:1, 1156:2, 1030:22, 1030:23, 1078:23, 1090:13, environmental [17] estimating [1] -1156:15, 1164:13, 1030:23, 1030:24, 1151:7, 1197:11, 1071:18, 1080:25, 1238:10 1191:2, 1193:16, 1030:24, 1031:2, 1218:5, 1226:7, 1115:22, 1134:17, estimation [1] -1195:10, 1199:5, 1031:3, 1031:3, 1232:15 1142:9, 1148:19, 1050:21 1206:19, 1209:25, 1031:4, 1031:4, endangered [2] -1148:20, 1148:25, 1212:4, 1212:23 et [1] - 1078:17 1031:6, 1031:6, 1149:3, 1149:7, Either [2] - 1100:16, 1058:17, 1081:2 etc [1] - 1041:8 1031:7, 1031:7, 1150:16, 1151:11, ended [1] - 1046:2 1247:9 ethylene [2] - 1066:5 1031:8, 1031:8, 1153:25, 1166:3, Energy [3] - 1037:25, **elaborate** [2] - 1065:2, evacuate [1] - 1124:9 1031:9, 1031:10, 1166:4, 1211:1, 1039:17, 1190:15 1076:7 evaluation [1] -1031:11, 1031:11, 1231:5 Electric [1] - 1029:9 energy [4] - 1173:21, 1192:3 1031:12, 1031:12, 1190:17, 1190:18, Environmental [5] electronically [2] evaporate [1] -1031:13, 1031:14, 1074:17, 1092:13, 1191:4 1086:21, 1095:7 1235:21 1031:15, 1031:15, 1161:25, 1185:7, **ENERGY** [1] - 1028:5 elementary [1] evening [1] - 1043:4 1031:17, 1031:17, 1217:1 1126:15 enforcement [1] event [3] - 1063:20, 1031:18, 1031:19, **EPA** [11] - 1070:7, 1130:13 Elementary [4] -1149:7, 1174:6 1031:20, 1031:20, 1112:16, 1114:6, engineer [3] - 1044:4, 1066:20, 1067:4, events [4] - 1148:19, 1031:22, 1031:22, 1137:7, 1185:7, 1184:7, 1198:6 1120:20, 1124:8 1148:20, 1149:4,

1031:23, 1031:23, 1035:21, 1035:22, 1040:15, 1040:18, explained [2] -15 1166:15 1031:24, 1031:24, 1035:23, 1036:2, excavators [1] -1040:20, 1041:1, 1062:4, 1155:10 1032:2, 1032:3, 1036:3, 1036:3, 1152:10 1041:3, 1041:6, **Explosion** [1] - 1039:8 1032:4, 1032:5, 1036:4, 1036:4, 1041:9, 1041:12, exceed [1] - 1201:23 explosive [1] -1032:6, 1032:7, 1036:5, 1036:5, 1041:14, 1041:17, **exceeded** [2] - 1202:6, 1235:25 1032:7, 1032:9, 1036:6, 1036:7, 1041:20, 1041:24, 1202:14 **exposed** [1] - 1053:16 1032:9, 1032:10, 1036:8, 1036:8, 1042:1, 1042:6, exceeding [1] **extensive** [4] - 1070:9, 1032:10, 1032:11, 1036:9, 1036:9, 1042:8, 1042:11 1203:11 1127:22, 1146:11, 1032:11, 1032:12, 1036:10, 1036:10, exist [4] - 1143:21, **exceeds** [1] - 1201:25 1200:11 1032:13, 1032:14, 1036:11, 1036:12, 1144:8, 1254:7, **except** [2] - 1045:10, **extent** [9] - 1045:16, 1032:14, 1032:15, 1036:13, 1036:13, 1254:8 1083:4 1046:3, 1046:16, 1032:15, 1032:16, 1036:14, 1036:14, existing [5] - 1127:24, 1054:14, 1120:2, **Exchange** [1] - 1247:6 1032:16, 1032:18, 1036:15, 1036:15, 1128:6, 1128:19, exclusively [1] -1156:9, 1165:22, 1032:18, 1032:20, 1036:16, 1036:17, 1129:13, 1209:10 1165:24, 1231:15 1225:1 1032:20, 1032:22, 1036:18, 1036:18, **Expand** [1] - 1040:8 Excuse [1] - 1212:18 extra [1] - 1221:18 1032:22, 1032:23, 1036:19, 1036:19, expand [2] - 1140:2, extraordinary [1] excuse [4] - 1071:2, 1033:2, 1033:3, 1036:20, 1036:20, 1159:4 1136:18 1109:3, 1116:12, 1033:3, 1033:4, 1036:21, 1036:21, expect [6] - 1096:15, **extremely** [1] - 1152:7 1254:23 1033:5, 1033:6, 1036:23, 1036:23, 1097:20, 1138:12, excused [20] - 1051:8, Exxon [3] - 1040:9, 1033:6, 1033:8, 1036:24, 1036:24 1166:6, 1214:14, 1076:14, 1076:17, 1040:10, 1040:11 1033:8, 1033:9, examination [6] -1218:23 1087:4, 1091:4, eyes [5] - 1089:24, 1033:9, 1033:10, 1043:10, 1056:1, expectations [1] -1091:6, 1109:17, 1097:6, 1231:2, 1033:10, 1033:12, 1062:23, 1080:6, 1220:4 1109:19, 1141:18, 1232:4, 1232:7 1033:12, 1033:13, 1163:5, 1175:17 **expected** [1] - 1163:13 1170:19, 1170:21, 1033:13, 1033:15, **EXAMINATION** [48] expense [1] - 1157:6 1183:16, 1183:19, F 1033:15, 1033:16, 1043:16, 1048:11, expensive [2] -1197:18, 1197:20, 1033:17, 1033:18, 1050:1, 1051:18, 1210:4, 1210:5, 1204:14, 1204:16 1033:18, 1033:20, face [3] - 1095:19, 1056:15, 1066:13, experience [22] -1220:13, 1255:14, 1033:20, 1033:21, 1067:16, 1069:22, 1214:5 1255:17 1052:23, 1053:22, 1033:21, 1033:22, 1071:14, 1075:15, face-to-face [1] -1056:20, 1056:22, Executive [1] -1033:22, 1033:23, 1076:21, 1080:11, 1214:5 1064:12, 1064:15, 1039:11 1034:2, 1034:3, 1083:18, 1087:7, facilitate [2] - 1047:8, 1064:24, 1065:14, **exempt** [5] - 1190:11, 1034:3, 1034:4, 1091:16, 1099:13, 1220:17 1065:16, 1104:5, 1190:19, 1192:25, 1034:5, 1034:6, 1102:21, 1106:12, facilities [4] - 1070:21, 1105:23, 1144:16, 1193:13, 1193:17 1034:6, 1034:7, 1107:10, 1109:23, 1070:23, 1152:15, 1144:20, 1152:14, exempted [2] -1034:7, 1034:9, 1123:21, 1125:15, 1211:10 1154:10, 1159:8, 1192:24, 1193:12 1034:9, 1034:10, 1127:20, 1138:1, facility [11] - 1045:24, 1164:10, 1167:5, exemption [1] -1034:10, 1034:11, 1138:18, 1140:9, 1075:21, 1123:1, 1167:8, 1170:3, 1190:13 1034:11, 1034:12, 1142:1, 1159:1, 1132:12, 1132:24, 1176:16 exercise [2] - 1189:25, 1034:13, 1034:14, 1163:7, 1165:19, 1147:13, 1151:5, 1249:6 experienced [2] -1034:14, 1034:15, 1169:15, 1175:23, 1161:17, 1187:3, 1234:9 Exh [1] - 1037:2 1034:15, 1034:17, 1178:23, 1184:1, 1215:24, 1215:25 experiences [1] exhibit [4] - 1086:18, 1034:17, 1034:18, 1191:10, 1192:21, **FACILITY** [1] - 1028:5 1233:22 1094:23, 1182:18, 1034:19, 1034:20, 1196:16, 1197:23, fact [10] - 1089:12, expert [6] - 1056:24, 1222:24 1034:20, 1034:21, 1201:2, 1202:18, 1096:18, 1100:3, 1057:2, 1058:1, Exhibit [19] - 1052:6, 1034:22, 1034:23, 1208:6, 1210:21, 1132:20, 1133:1, 1094:3, 1094:10, 1052:11, 1052:14, 1034:23, 1034:24, 1213:22, 1215:14, 1168:4, 1231:18, 1251:18 1054:20, 1054:23, 1035:2, 1035:3, 1216:8, 1219:7, 1239:8, 1248:6, expertise [8] -1054:25, 1055:10, 1035:3, 1035:4, 1245:7, 1252:3 1254:19 1046:11, 1064:12, 1055:22, 1077:7, 1035:5, 1035:6, **examine** [1] - 1248:6 fact's [1] - 1234:18 1064:16, 1075:4, 1077:11, 1077:14, 1035:6, 1035:8, examined [1] factors [4] - 1144:21, 1080:15, 1102:13, 1077:15, 1089:15, 1035:8, 1035:9, 1248:10 1145:6, 1145:10, 1176:16, 1176:18 1158:5, 1172:16, 1035:9, 1035:10, **example** [6] - 1088:16, 1165:25 experts [2] - 1048:3, 1172:21, 1225:21, 1035:11, 1035:12, 1113:2, 1187:2, factory [1] - 1199:14 1226:20 1225:23, 1229:11 1035:12, 1035:13, 1187:9, 1211:15, facts [4] - 1231:17, explain [11] - 1046:23, exhibits [2] - 1175:14, 1035:13, 1035:14, 1212:24 1232:12, 1232:16, 1078:6, 1094:25, 1223:5 1035:15, 1035:16, **examples** [1] - 1187:5 1232:17 1153:15, 1166:5, **Exhibits** [23] - 1037:1, 1035:16, 1035:17, excavate [1] - 1144:22 faculty [1] - 1068:23 1166:9, 1181:20, 1037:20, 1038:1, 1035:17, 1035:18, excavation [3] fail [1] - 1249:9 1181:22, 1202:23, 1038:8, 1039:1, 1035:18, 1035:20, 1146:7, 1152:9, failed [1] - 1249:6 1040:1, 1040:13, 1204:21, 1234:22 1035:20, 1035:21,

failure [2] - 1063:20, 1167:11 few [15] - 1047:16, 1089:25, 1098:14, foot [3] - 1062:14, 16 Farmer [1] - 1038:22 1136:4 1079:8, 1086:5, 1098:22, 1099:4, 1081:22, 1085:1 fair [5] - 1070:11, farmer's [1] - 1167:12 1086:6, 1091:2, 1099:24, 1107:23, **FOR** [1] - 1028:4 1110:16, 1125:4, 1072:10, 1075:9, farmers [2] - 1098:11, 1097:21, 1102:6, force [7] - 1116:25, 1103:14, 1218:13 1102:7, 1111:5, 1126:13, 1134:10, 1229:14 1127:25, 1128:6, fairer [2] - 1242:5, 1127:22, 1133:22, 1134:20, 1150:22, farming [3] - 1176:22, 1128:10, 1153:25, 1159:20, 1172:4, 1160:8, 1172:25, 1242:7 1246:3, 1246:18 1225:4, 1243:18 1187:17, 1225:13 1213:2, 1214:7, fairly [4] - 1070:9, farmstead [1] forced [1] - 1180:17 1216:10, 1216:18, Field [1] - 1100:16 1083:10, 1145:24, 1224:16 foreign [5] - 1224:17, field [7] - 1097:20, 1216:19, 1216:20, fast [2] - 1232:23, 1229:25, 1230:1, 1241:24 1238:1, 1238:12, 1100:7, 1142:21, faith [1] - 1174:5 1242:12 1247:3 1253:11 1151:9, 1153:7, fall [2] - 1200:24, fate [1] - 1057:23 foreigners [1] - 1225:9 First [5] - 1042:22, 1235:14 1162:23, 1236:8 father [1] - 1227:2 forest [1] - 1211:14 1098:7, 1108:3, fields [2] - 1123:15, **Fall** [1] - 1123:13 favor [1] - 1249:25 forever [1] - 1143:18 1159:21, 1186:19 1123:16 Falls [24] - 1053:6, favorable [1] - 1080:4 forge [2] - 1141:20, Fischer [1] - 1039:24 1053:9, 1065:24, features [1] - 1080:25 fifth [1] - 1179:1 1163:5 1068:12, 1068:14, figure [3] - 1045:11, fish [1] - 1162:5 February [2] - 1214:8, forget [1] - 1134:3 1205:1, 1238:14 Fish [2] - 1049:15, 1069:4, 1071:4, 1216:12 **forgive** [1] - 1062:25 1049:16 Figure [1] - 1042:21 1072:23, 1078:25, Fedder [1] - 1072:19 forgot [2] - 1134:1, five [6] - 1074:9, 1102:3, 1122:22, federal [23] - 1070:9, figures [2] - 1240:16, 1224:7 1175:7, 1192:8, 1128:1, 1128:8, 1240:17 form [10] - 1044:21, 1111:12, 1112:13, 1128:11, 1129:20, file [7] - 1048:17, 1201:16, 1205:8, 1077:3, 1084:11, 1116:9, 1119:19, 1214:4 1131:6, 1133:5, 1048:18, 1116:22, 1134:22, 1137:5, 1096:14, 1098:5, 1133:16, 1151:9, fixing [1] - 1174:17 1117:9, 1151:12, 1099:11, 1145:5, 1137:6, 1137:18, flags [1] - 1225:13 1153:7, 1162:23, 1151:16, 1162:15 1137:19, 1187:18, 1145:11, 1191:22, 1211:20, 1211:23, filed [6] - 1052:2, flammable [1] -1190:19, 1190:22, 1194:7 1214:22 1235:25 1164:11, 1164:12, 1193:10, 1198:23, format [2] - 1095:22, Falls' [1] - 1131:11 flexible [1] - 1221:23 1171:16, 1172:23, 1200:19, 1211:10, 1095:23 familiar [14] - 1069:11, 1193:25 flimsier [1] - 1164:24 1211:13, 1211:14, Formation [2] -1073:1, 1087:12, files [7] - 1107:3, flimsy [2] - 1169:17, 1212:5 1090:8, 1090:10 1088:23, 1120:22, Federal [6] - 1137:1, 1153:9, 1154:9, 1169:21 formations [1] -1120:24, 1131:4, 1155:5, 1157:18, floodplain [1] - 1060:2 1185:7, 1190:16, 1050:14 1133:23, 1164:5, 1163:24, 1168:12 Florida [2] - 1045:8, 1190:22, 1190:23, formerly [3] - 1211:17, 1188:10, 1202:20, 1201:13 filings [1] - 1042:13 1239:14 1211:19, 1214:24 1216:22, 1218:9, fill [4] - 1192:4, flow [5] - 1040:21, federally [3] - 1111:8, forms [1] - 1152:12 1218:11 1193:25, 1194:12, 1067:24, 1082:22, 1111:9, 1111:17 **formula** [1] - 1238:9 family [6] - 1224:16, 1196:8 Feds [1] - 1137:14 1090:13, 1090:18 forth [2] - 1051:1, 1236:7, 1236:8, filled [3] - 1050:10, flowing [6] - 1059:24, feedlot [9] - 1175:2, 1074:23 1246:13, 1246:17, 1116:10, 1191:23 1060:1, 1082:20, 1178:5. 1178:11. fortunate [1] - 1093:6 1252:15 filters [1] - 1145:19 1090:14, 1226:10 1178:13, 1178:14, forward [1] - 1221:19 fancy [1] - 1235:7 final [1] - 1107:4 fluid [2] - 1108:17, 1180:3, 1180:5, fossil [1] - 1234:7 far [20] - 1063:17, finally [3] - 1110:18, 1136:21 1180:14, 1181:1 four [8] - 1075:18, 1068:1, 1076:10, 1112:1, 1126:20 flyers [1] - 1236:15 feet [25] - 1054:11, 1110:12, 1225:9, 1109:12, 1124:5, financial [1] - 1231:5 focus [1] - 1113:20 1055:17, 1081:12, 1229:19, 1237:25, 1139:18, 1141:14, 1085:1, 1085:6, financially [1] - 1136:8 folks [3] - 1045:18, 1238:2, 1245:16, 1155:8, 1166:6, 1085:7, 1090:1, fine [10] - 1091:9, 1126:15, 1247:18 1245:23 1172:5, 1173:3, 1090:2, 1090:13, 1158:23, 1163:22, follow [7] - 1075:10, Four [1] - 1041:23 1178:8, 1194:13, 1090:20, 1091:2, 1171:8, 1196:19, 1087:21, 1137:23, fourth [1] - 1112:1 1203:23, 1204:14, 1096:3, 1096:6, 1210:17, 1221:17, 1142:21, 1146:9, Frank [1] - 1039:6 1206:24, 1218:8, 1098:24, 1098:25, 1222:24, 1227:23, 1146:18, 1210:12 free [2] - 1070:25, 1230:18, 1231:12, 1100:16, 1140:13, 1227:24 follow-up [3] -1101:13 1245:14 1152:11, 1173:8, Fine [1] - 1210:15 1075:10, 1137:23, freeze [3] - 1174:21, Fargo [2] - 1038:23, 1179:14, 1180:11, **Fined** [1] - 1039:10 1142:21 1179:9, 1179:19 1038:25 1245:23 following [8] - 1072:1, fines [1] - 1135:1 freeze/frost [1] -Farm [1] - 1038:11 felt [1] - 1252:10 1106:16, 1142:19, finger [1] - 1121:11 1174:19 farm [7] - 1038:23, 1170:17, 1171:3, fender [1] - 1240:22 fingers [2] - 1083:2 freezes [1] - 1181:14 1173:1, 1173:7, fender-bender [1] -Fire [1] - 1158:23 1207:8, 1210:13, freezing [1] - 1179:10 1175:4, 1176:24, 1240:22 1221:6 first [29] - 1045:4, frequencies [1] -1224:14, 1246:3 fertilizer [2] - 1124:3, Following [1] -1055:16, 1062:14, 1203:25 farmer [2] - 1160:12, 1144:2 1091:12 1075:18, 1085:20, frequency [3] -

1057:18, 1202:2, 1238:1, 1238:6 geology [22] - 1038:4, Glenn [1] - 1039:20 17 G 1203:23 General [3] - 1040:12, 1038:4, 1038:5, glitziest [1] - 1236:6 Friday [1] - 1047:14 1043:21, 1095:3 1077:1, 1079:15, glitzy [1] - 1251:7 gallery [2] - 1073:17, friends [1] - 1098:11 General's [1] - 1029:7 1079:23, 1081:9, global [1] - 1084:13 1073:24 1092:4, 1092:5, fringes [1] - 1144:9 generally [14] -**Gold** [1] - 1187:10 gallons [11] - 1083:13, 1047:8, 1047:15, 1093:7, 1095:4, front [5] - 1103:18, Goodman [6] -1147:18, 1147:21, 1095:12, 1101:10, 1113:3, 1171:22, 1060:4, 1083:3, 1033:17, 1043:8, 1148:2, 1148:5, 1114:13, 1114:19, 1102:14, 1104:22, 1186:22, 1241:21 1048:13, 1100:18, 1151:8, 1152:6, 1105:8, 1105:10, 1115:9, 1123:17, frost [1] - 1179:14 1189:4, 1194:9 1161:10, 1161:11, 1105:11, 1105:20, froze [1] - 1174:24 1188:10, 1203:3, goose [1] - 1169:12 1161:12, 1227:22 1107:15, 1107:17, Ft [1] - 1187:6 1212:13, 1213:8, gosh [1] - 1227:20 Game [2] - 1049:15, 1232:10, 1232:11 1213:18 fuel [10] - 1068:2, Goss [3] - 1033:5, 1049:16 George [4] - 1033:2, generator [1] -1068:6, 1144:10, 1041:20, 1041:21 game [1] - 1247:19 1039:20, 1041:9, 1161:18 1152:4, 1162:18, **Gov** [1] - 1225:18 gamut [1] - 1146:14 1041:10 generic [2] - 1045:9, 1162:19, 1163:13, government [2] gander [1] - 1169:13 Geotek [2] - 1065:19, 1164:10, 1170:5, 1096:4 1093:3, 1225:11 gaps [2] - 1116:9, gentleman [2] -1069:4 1234:7 Government [1] -1116:10 Gerdes [2] - 1028:15, Fuel [2] - 1039:8, 1233:2, 1233:3 1190:22 gardens [1] - 1074:23 1028:16 Geologic [15] -1162:19 Governor [4] -GARY [1] - 1028:11 **GERDES** [1] - 1170:24 Fueling [1] - 1039:16 1080:3, 1083:12, 1126:24, 1130:3, gas [8] - 1065:11, 1092:21, 1094:22, GIEDD [24] - 1091:17, fuels [1] - 1068:6 1225:14, 1225:17 1067:20, 1126:19, 1095:1, 1095:2, 1099:12, 1109:24, full [1] - 1184:13 grab [1] - 1043:24 1160:8, 1161:16, 1116:14, 1123:20, 1098:5, 1098:20, fully [1] - 1131:17 gracious [1] - 1237:16 1164:2, 1164:4, 1105:12, 1105:14, 1133:18, 1137:24, fumes [3] - 1124:8, grader [2] - 1168:2, 1106:2, 1106:3, 1216:1 1138:2, 1138:15, 1126:2, 1126:15 1168:3 **Gas** [5] - 1038:22, 1107:21, 1107:25, 1141:23, 1142:2, functions [2] gradient [10] -1039:3, 1039:11, 1108:6 1158:4, 1184:2, 1044:14, 1200:12 1066:22, 1073:12, 1039:12, 1039:14 geologic [19] - 1044:2, 1191:9, 1197:15, fund [25] - 1110:21, 1073:17, 1073:18, gasket [1] - 1160:17 1048:5, 1050:14, 1197:24, 1201:1, 1110:22, 1111:1, 1073:22, 1074:3, gasoline [21] - 1066:4, 1062:13, 1062:15, 1210:2, 1210:15, 1134:5, 1134:6, 1082:5, 1122:25, 1066:7, 1066:15, 1210:22, 1213:20, 1092:24, 1095:13, 1134:13, 1134:16, 1132:14 1066:17, 1066:21, 1095:15, 1095:20, 1220:8, 1220:14, 1134:17, 1134:18, graduated [1] - 1044:2 1220:25 1067:5, 1067:7, 1096:25, 1097:21, 1134:19, 1134:22, grain [1] - 1236:8 Giedd [16] - 1029:6, 1067:8, 1067:24, 1098:12, 1100:4, 1137:7, 1137:19, grandfather [1] -1033:12, 1033:15, 1068:16, 1070:15, 1100:16, 1104:12, 1140:25, 1238:1, 1227:1 1070:18, 1070:25, 1104:18, 1105:3, 1034:5, 1034:9, 1238:2, 1238:3, Granger [3] - 1045:6, 1071:2, 1072:9, 1105:5, 1107:21 1034:11, 1034:13, 1238:4, 1238:5, 1049:1 1072:11, 1073:12, 1034:19, 1034:22, geological [5] -1238:6, 1254:19 grant [1] - 1044:24 1132:3, 1152:3, 1051:24, 1065:22, 1035:2, 1137:23, Fund [9] - 1070:5, graph [1] - 1240:19 1162:18, 1227:22 1075:4, 1086:23, 1197:14, 1210:11, 1110:22, 1111:17, grass [2] - 1083:4, Gasoline [1] - 1152:4 1087:9 1213:21, 1220:7, 1116:6, 1134:16, 1175:4 1220:23 gather [2] - 1126:22, Geological [15] -1134:25, 1136:20, grasslands [2] -1206:6 Gilt [1] - 1111:21 1037:21, 1038:6, 1137:1, 1137:4 1058:14, 1080:23 1054:21, 1055:19, given [7] - 1045:15, gathered [2] funded [1] - 1135:1 grave [1] - 1110:16 1085:19, 1109:7, 1105:19, 1106:18 1055:21, 1056:4, funding [2] - 1135:4, gravel [5] - 1055:17, 1109:8, 1221:7, gee [3] - 1228:14, 1083:21, 1092:17, 1200:20 1060:3, 1096:21, 1228:24, 1240:19 1092:18, 1098:9, 1244:10, 1251:17 Funds [3] - 1117:4, 1100:14, 1108:12 glacial [8] - 1054:7, Gehring [1] - 1039:20 1104:16, 1104:24, 1212:4, 1212:5 gravels [1] - 1096:12 1105:2, 1121:13 1054:13, 1078:10, Gene [2] - 1032:6, funds [6] - 1134:4, gravity [1] - 1071:1 geologically [4] -1078:20, 1083:8, 1041:4 1134:11, 1135:6, Gray [5] - 1030:16, 1093:20, 1096:12, 1060:19, 1060:22, general [21] - 1044:17, 1216:3, 1237:25, 1036:22, 1037:5, 1059:8, 1060:9, 1060:24, 1061:9 1109:4 1238:9 1037:5, 1239:14 glacier [3] - 1081:18, geologist [1] - 1099:1 1063:25, 1064:8, futile [1] - 1233:10 grays [1] - 1089:21 1084:8, 1084:10 Geologist [2] -1097:4, 1104:25, future [6] - 1052:20, grease [1] - 1186:11 glacier's [2] - 1084:10, 1108:14, 1109:10, 1078:9, 1092:20 1068:14, 1149:4, great [4] - 1085:22, 1084:13 1135:6, 1181:1, geologists [3] -1175:8, 1214:11, 1095:12, 1100:13, 1181:10, 1188:17, 1095:17, 1095:18, glaciers [2] - 1059:25, 1226:25 1179:4 1078:15 1188:25, 1189:21, 1105:16 **Future** [1] - 1039:17 greater [6] - 1060:10, 1191:22, 1192:6, Geology [2] - 1037:17, glad [1] - 1086:12 1074:11, 1088:15, 1192:12, 1218:14, 1092:11 glare [1] - 1062:6 1097:12, 1098:24,

1147:18 hanging [5] - 1095:6, 1063:18, 1077:24, 18 1110:21, 1111:4, Hazardous [1] greatly [1] - 1150:17 1211:22 1095:10, 1095:22, 1061:5 1078:3, 1093:14, groups [2] - 1045:25, 1097:16, 1244:1 1098:10, 1098:11, green [1] - 1133:6 hazardous [9] -1101:3, 1119:7, 1098:10 Hannan [3] - 1036:7, 1144:12, 1225:10, Greenhorn [1] -1042:13, 1042:18 1137:14, 1169:2, **grow** [3] - 1146:4, 1225:11, 1225:12, 1081:13 1175:1, 1175:5 Hanson [4] - 1061:16, 1227:14, 1228:9, 1183:3, 1204:21, Greenhouse [3] -1204:25, 1222:3, 1039:11, 1039:12, grows [2] - 1083:5, 1084:3, 1135:20, 1228:17, 1240:13, 1241:14 1253:12 1039:13 1175:4 1167:21 helpful [3] - 1069:15, **HCA** [1] - 1037:14 Greg [1] - 1216:20 **Growth** [1] - 1038:19 **HANSON** [45] -1028:11, 1061:17, 1069:18, 1086:13 Guard [1] - 1137:8 head [7] - 1122:20, grew [1] - 1224:14 helps [1] - 1185:9 Grote [3] - 1032:4, guess [36] - 1064:21, 1061:20, 1062:2, 1142:10, 1180:20, 1062:20, 1062:25, 1180:25, 1204:7, **HEREBY** [1] - 1256:8 1075:3, 1089:22, 1041:24, 1041:25 1063:8, 1063:12, 1204:17, 1206:25 hesitant [1] - 1254:21 ground [72] - 1037:14, 1096:18, 1096:19, 1063:19, 1063:23, headed [1] - 1110:13 hesitating [1] - 1138:9 1097:4, 1100:10, 1044:11, 1044:22, 1064:4, 1064:9, Health [1] - 1039:4 1046:25, 1051:25, 1106:21, 1110:6, heterogenous [1] -1052:19, 1052:22, 1119:4, 1120:15, 1078:1, 1084:4, health [7] - 1113:23, 1108:11 1121:5, 1125:4, 1084:18, 1084:25, 1114:5, 1114:6, Hi [2] - 1064:21, 1053:24, 1055:9, 1085:12, 1129:23, 1061:2, 1065:16, 1135:14, 1153:15, 1147:25, 1200:2, 1167:23 1130:7, 1130:13, 1158:13, 1162:17, 1205:21, 1235:20 high [15] - 1060:19, 1068:3, 1070:14, 1130:18, 1130:21, 1070:17, 1070:25, 1165:17, 1182:7, health-based [5] -1060:22, 1060:25, 1081:1, 1082:22, 1188:5, 1188:25, 1131:1, 1131:8, 1113:23, 1114:5, 1061:3, 1061:4, 1092:24, 1093:23, 1194:18, 1204:16, 1131:14, 1131:17, 1061:7, 1061:10, 1114:6, 1200:2, 1206:17, 1208:18, 1131:19, 1132:2, 1093:24, 1100:16, 1205:21 1081:22, 1090:23, 1132:6, 1132:13, 1210:10, 1219:2, 1186:4, 1224:12, 1104:18, 1104:25, healthy [1] - 1206:5 1225:21, 1227:7, 1132:17, 1133:4, 1236:25, 1239:25, 1108:8, 1110:11, hear [9] - 1044:1, 1133:9, 1135:21, 1112:2, 1112:3, 1229:7, 1236:8, 1240:1 1069:8, 1094:12, 1242:3, 1243:7, 1136:10, 1136:12, High [1] - 1040:10 1112:9, 1112:10, 1192:23, 1212:9, 1246:22, 1247:9 1136:14, 1136:17, 1112:13, 1112:14, high-quality [1] -1224:5, 1233:6, 1136:23, 1167:23, guessed [1] - 1047:23 1112:17, 1112:25, 1236:1, 1240:4 1240:1 1168:18, 1182:14, 1113:20, 1125:6, Guide [2] - 1038:18, heard [18] - 1069:10, higher [2] - 1072:12, 1183:9, 1221:20, 1137:9, 1137:14, 1038:19 1093:21, 1095:7, 1088:10 1143:3, 1144:9, 1255:10 guidelines [1] -1176:4, 1193:8, highlight [1] - 1101:13 happier [1] - 1146:3 1145:14, 1145:15, 1119:2 1219:9, 1224:18, highly [2] - 1090:16, happy [6] - 1050:12, 1145:16, 1145:19, **Gulf** [1] - 1040:8 1224:23, 1227:8, 1235:24 1124:11, 1124:12, 1145:23, 1146:7, gun [1] - 1229:20 1227:21, 1230:9, **highway** [2] - 1104:7, 1146:19, 1151:12, 1166:8, 1233:11, guys [4] - 1126:17, 1233:4, 1233:22, 1193:2 1152:2, 1156:10, 1251:25 1235:4, 1235:10, 1126:25, 1137:11, **Highway** [2] - 1029:7, hard [5] - 1051:10, 1156:11, 1156:18, 1174:16 1235:18, 1238:25, 1104:8 1156:19, 1157:22, 1084:11, 1086:20, 1253:20 Hills [3] - 1100:5, 1159:24, 1173:8, 1235:1, 1235:14 Н Hearing [1] - 1052:14 1111:22, 1187:10 1173:11, 1173:25, Harding [2] - 1123:13, hearing [3] - 1171:2, hired [1] - 1160:11 1174:21, 1179:5, 1169:1 1230:7, 1248:3 hiring [1] - 1155:13 half [6] - 1066:24, 1179:8, 1179:10, harm [1] - 1147:25 hearings [5] historic [6] - 1149:19, 1093:11, 1093:19, 1179:11, 1179:19, harvest [1] - 1063:14 1061:22, 1222:15, 1149:20, 1150:3, 1173:22, 1225:22, 1181:14, 1182:2, harvests [1] - 1063:9 1224:18, 1234:10, 1150:22, 1168:7, 1253:12 1195:20, 1224:12, Hastings [6] -1239:11 1168:15 Half [1] - 1177:4 1227:3, 1229:6, 1031:16, 1031:19, Hearsay [3] - 1130:7, hit [3] - 1090:13, Halverson [1] -1229:16, 1229:17 1040:15, 1040:16, 1237:11, 1237:18 1160:22, 1168:2 1039:23 Ground [14] - 1044:10, 1040:18, 1040:19 hearsay [1] - 1168:9 hits [2] - 1229:19, hammer [1] - 1177:1 1049:20, 1049:21, hay [1] - 1160:12 heating [1] - 1170:5 1235:25 hand [3] - 1055:3, 1110:9, 1110:10, Hayward [17] heaven [1] - 1225:18 **Hofer** [12] - 1032:17, 1108:6, 1233:15 1112:2, 1112:8, 1066:19, 1067:4, heck [1] - 1228:11 1032:19, 1032:21, handbook [2] -1131:12, 1142:7, 1068:10, 1068:20, Hecla [1] - 1236:25 1039:21, 1040:2, 1146:11, 1146:16 1142:8, 1142:9, 1069:25, 1071:6, Heidi [1] - 1031:2 1040:3, 1041:12, handed [1] - 1054:19 1144:17, 1211:8, 1120:19, 1121:8, held [9] - 1029:13, 1041:13, 1041:14, 1211:9 handle [2] - 1044:11, 1121:18, 1122:19, 1089:15, 1191:12, 1041:15, 1041:17, 1190:7 ground's [1] - 1174:23 1122:25, 1124:7, 1216:23, 1216:25, 1041:18 group [8] - 1044:17, hands [1] - 1135:16 1131:2, 1132:4, 1217:12, 1217:13, Hohn [77] - 1029:5, handwritten [1] -1045:13, 1047:6, 1133:1, 1138:4, 1218:8, 1251:19 1030:5, 1030:7, 1041:22 1110:14, 1110:15, 1149:15 **help** [16] - 1063:6, 1030:10, 1030:11,

1030:14, 1030:15, 1160:25 hydraulically [1] -1115:12, 1116:2, 1147:5, 1211:14, 19 1030:18, 1030:19, holes [5] - 1093:8, 1197:10 1145:8, 1147:19, 1211:17, 1216:2 1030:22, 1030:24, 1093:14, 1104:23, Hydrocarbon [1] -1147:21, 1152:9 included [4] - 1052:9, 1031:3, 1031:7, 1117:24, 1211:21 1174:14, 1174:18 1037:23 **impact** [7] - 1058:10, 1031:8, 1031:11, 1058:13, 1058:17, Holocene [1] - 1083:6 hydrocarbon [19] includes [3] -1031:12, 1031:18, home [7] - 1156:16, 1094:7, 1094:8, 1058:19, 1080:19, 1072:16, 1147:7, 1031:20, 1031:23, 1164:21, 1170:6, 1113:7, 1113:9, 1080:22, 1143:16 1208:17 1032:3, 1032:5, 1114:20, 1143:12, Impact [1] - 1217:2 including [2] - 1053:5, 1170:14, 1224:14, 1032:7, 1032:10, 1245:12, 1245:14 1143:22, 1143:24, impacted [6] -1236:15 1032:12, 1032:15, homeowner [1] -1144:14, 1146:22, 1145:14, 1155:1, increase [1] - 1115:5 1032:16, 1032:18, 1170:8 1148:8, 1148:10, 1155:4, 1159:13, Increase [1] - 1039:11 1032:20, 1032:23, homeowner's [1] -1148:12, 1149:13, 1159:14, 1164:18 **incredible** [1] - 1246:3 1033:3, 1033:6, 1170:14 1154:15, 1157:10, impacts [3] - 1071:18, indeed [2] - 1121:7, 1033:8, 1033:10, 1215:19, 1219:22 1156:1, 1162:3 homeowners [1] -1121:16 1033:13, 1033:16, hydrocarbons [8] impermeable [2] -1170:12 independent [3] -1033:18, 1033:21, 1066:22, 1071:3, homes [2] - 1169:21, 1083:10, 1084:17 1057:13, 1057:17, 1033:23, 1034:4, 1170:5 1072:15, 1144:5, implement [3] -1057:22 1034:6, 1034:10, 1144:10, 1144:11, honest [2] - 1102:9, 1173:22, 1190:21, independently [1] -1034:11, 1034:14, 1186:7, 1186:13 1198:25 1161:14 1057:20 1034:18, 1034:20, Hydrogeology [2] implies [2] - 1096:4, honestly [1] - 1227:14 India [1] - 1239:14 1034:23, 1035:4, 1072:14, 1072:20 hooked [1] - 1229:17 1184:25 Indian [1] - 1177:1 1035:6, 1035:7, hydrologic [1] import [1] - 1173:22 hooking [1] - 1157:2 indicate [1] - 1082:3 1035:12, 1035:14, 1098:12 importance [4] hope [2] - 1062:3, indicated [6] -1035:16, 1035:18, hydrologist [2] -1105:24, 1226:21, 1136:20 1047:20, 1069:25, 1035:21, 1036:3, 1099:2, 1120:13 1227:25, 1254:24 Hopefully [1] - 1224:2 1154:24, 1162:9, 1036:5, 1036:9, hydrology [2] important [11] **hoping** [1] - 1232:19 1162:10, 1186:16 1036:11, 1036:14, 1101:10, 1102:14 1097:17, 1098:16, horizontal [1] indicates [1] - 1071:5 1036:16, 1036:19, hydrostatic [4] -1148:3, 1149:4, 1063:13 individual [1] - 1171:4 1036:20, 1036:24, 1191:20, 1192:14, 1232:25, 1241:4, horrible [1] - 1241:19 individually [1] -1056:9, 1078:2, 1193:21, 1194:13 1241:6, 1252:12, horses [1] - 1227:17 1249:19 1080:7, 1087:6, 1254:18 industrial [2] - 1187:2, Hoskinson [1] -1087:16, 1102:20, ı importantly [1] -1187:9 1033:11 1138:3, 1140:11, 1146:17 hour [1] - 1221:7 industry [4] - 1075:2, 1163:6, 1178:21, **imposed** [1] - 1186:6 1093:3, 1118:6, hours [7] - 1047:16, idea [10] - 1100:24, 1192:19, 1202:16, impoundments [1] -1109:1, 1115:13, 1169:23 1208:11, 1252:2 1101:19, 1101:20, 1186:24 industry's [1] - 1075:4 1115:15, 1147:14, 1112:19, 1114:19, HOHN [40] - 1050:2, impressed [1] -1147:22, 1251:20 inevitable [1] -1173:4, 1182:16, 1051:3, 1056:10, 1222:17 house [11] - 1045:17, 1243:25 1182:19, 1185:25, 1067:17, 1069:19, impression [2] -1107:2, 1126:5, **infant** [1] - 1206:3 1241:13 1075:14, 1075:16, 1096:9, 1098:2 1158:16, 1165:10, infiltration [3] -1076:7, 1076:13, identified [7] -IN [1] - 1028:4 1178:5, 1178:11, 1057:6, 1061:1, 1080:8, 1087:5, 1068:13, 1149:12, in-house [2] -1178:12, 1178:16, 1073:16 1087:8, 1087:18, 1150:1, 1150:25, 1045:17, 1107:2 1179:23, 1180:19 **info** [1] - 1037:16 1151:25, 1153:16, 1088:12, 1090:3, inadequate [1] -HP07-001 [3] - 1028:4, **inform** [2] - 1055:25, 1102:22, 1125:16, 1162:3 1059:5 1043:1, 1171:2 1203:8 1127:16, 1138:19, identifies [1] - 1205:7 Hudson [3] - 1035:19, **Inc** [1] - 1246:16 information [64] -1139:25, 1140:7, identify [3] - 1081:16, inches [1] - 1140:13 1042:16, 1042:20 1037:24, 1038:4, 1119:24, 1205:22 1163:8, 1163:19, incidences [1] hug [1] - 1231:19 1038:4, 1038:5, 1165:15, 1172:19, **ignorance** [1] - 1084:5 1073:5 huge [2] - 1113:2, 1044:16, 1045:3, 1178:22, 1178:24, **lles** [6] - 1034:5, incident [10] - 1071:6, 1113:4 1048:4, 1048:25, 1181:4, 1192:20, 1078:9, 1091:19, **HUGHES** [1] - 1256:3 1128:16, 1138:5, 1049:2, 1073:9, 1192:22, 1193:18, 1106:14, 1108:20, 1150:18, 1150:19, 1074:4, 1092:25, human [1] - 1147:25 1196:13, 1202:17, 1108:22 1161:20, 1163:21, 1095:20, 1096:25, hundred [2] - 1090:12, 1202:19, 1208:4, ILES [1] - 1086:25 1168:11, 1168:14, 1098:12, 1098:13, 1124:6 1216:9, 1219:5, imagine [4] - 1179:18, 1182:12 1101:9, 1102:23, hundreds [2] -1244:23, 1252:4, 1232:24, 1236:22, incidents [1] -1081:12, 1148:9 1103:4, 1103:8, 1252:18 1242:7 1133:23 1103:9, 1103:11, Hutchinson [2] hold [2] - 1092:19, immediate [1] incinerated [1] -1103:16, 1105:19, 1060:15, 1062:10 1231:14 1090:25 1145:1 1105:23, 1106:18, Hutterite [1] - 1239:16 holding [1] - 1171:22 immediately [6] include [5] - 1094:7, 1106:22, 1120:3, **hydraulic** [1] - 1054:7 hole [2] - 1096:24,

1120:9, 1120:12, 1120:14, 1120:15, 1125:13, 1126:24, 1132:18, 1132:22, 1139:19, 1139:25, 1148:18, 1149:6, 1150:4, 1150:11, 1151:16, 1157:18, 1160:19, 1174:20, 1182:15, 1185:8, 1188:24, 1192:11, 1194:7, 1212:23, 1212:25, 1213:1, 1213:5, 1213:8, 1213:10, 1213:11, 1213:18, 1214:15, 1217:20, 1217:23, 1218:2, 1218:3 ingrained [1] -1122:20 inherent [1] - 1207:13 initial [6] - 1043:10, 1047:20, 1125:22, 1142:16, 1192:5, 1217:3 initiate [1] - 1155:24 inject [2] - 1145:20, 1146:2 injection [1] - 1112:4 injury [1] - 1075:22 inquire [1] - 1223:6 inquiries [2] -1212:22, 1213:19 insects [2] - 1174:22, 1174:25 insert [1] - 1236:5 inside [1] - 1151:1 insinuate [1] -1253:14 insisted [1] - 1122:24 inspections [1] -1142:22 inspectors [1] -1111:9 installation [2] -1093:8, 1104:23 installed [8] - 1151:4, 1160:1, 1164:23, 1169:22, 1170:12, 1182:7, 1206:23, 1209:12 instance [6] - 1083:9, 1084:9, 1113:8, 1156:21, 1201:11, 1206:3 instances [2] -1148:22, 1167:25 Instead [1] - 1225:16 insufficient [1] -1243:10

insurance [2] -1127:3, 1167:18 intake [5] - 1063:7, 1063:14, 1063:20, 1117:22, 1120:1 intakes [8] - 1063:2, 1073:7, 1073:12, 1073:14, 1073:19, 1074:1, 1119:23, 1159:19 integrity [2] - 1056:20, 1189:14 intended [2] - 1095:5, 1095:9 intensive [1] - 1126:20 intentionally [2] -1062:7, 1062:12 interaction [1] -1219:20 intercept [1] - 1067:5 interest [6] - 1065:17, 1069:3, 1078:13, 1081:13, 1134:17, 1135:2 interested [3] -1157:1, 1166:8, 1166:13 interesting [5] -1061:21, 1076:15, 1085:14, 1125:20, 1161:5 interests [1] - 1230:22 interim [1] - 1074:19 Internet [3] - 1094:13, 1099:18, 1119:4 interpret [1] - 1105:22 interpretation [2] -1098:20, 1106:4 interpretations [2] -1102:14, 1103:12 interpreting [1] -1105:18 interrupt [1] - 1054:17 intersect [1] - 1047:1 Intervener [2] -1043:4, 1171:5 Intervenes [1] -1038:23 intimate [1] - 1164:8 introduce [2] -1175:14, 1222:6 introducing [2] -1171:15, 1222:8 Introductory [1] -1092:11 investigate [9] -1092:22, 1093:7,

1093:14, 1104:18,

1148:23, 1154:24,

1163:15, 1164:15,

1168:15 investigated [2] -1165:8, 1165:9 investigating [1] -1069:2 investigation [4] -1070:6, 1126:20, 1150:9, 1165:9 investigations [1] -1212:3 investment [5] -1238:5, 1238:11, 1238:16, 1238:18, 1247:13 1200:19 involved [25] -1066:25, 1068:5, 1068:18, 1070:3, 1100:25, 1102:14, 1104:22, 1119:13, 1122:9, 1122:13, 1124:25, 1125:10, 1125:19, 1139:11, 1142:12, 1144:1, 1157:8, 1163:9, 1207:14, 1212:6, 1212:8, 1212:12, 1215:21, 1227:1, 1237:25 involvement [3] -1066:16, 1165:22, 1208:16 involving [1] -1065:15 lowa [5] - 1081:21, 1092:5, 1092:6, 1161:24, 1161:25 iron [1] - 1158:2 issue [12] - 1173:18, 1182:11, 1185:1, 1191:1, 1194:4, 1203:1, 1204:22, 1217:21, 1227:25, 1254:11, 1254:18 1189:22, 1190:23, 1192:5 issues [2] - 1173:6, 1173:19 item [1] - 1075:20 items [3] - 1075:18, 1107:2, 1204:5 itself [8] - 1071:1, 1094:15, 1151:22,

involve [2] - 1142:16, isolated [1] - 1182:12 issued [4] - 1069:17,

J Jackson [1] - 1038:22 James [12] - 1028:18, 1031:14, 1041:11, 1041:11, 1053:16, 1081:20, 1082:6, 1082:17, 1082:20, 1085:22, 1088:5, 1229:12 jamming [1] - 1243:6 Janssen [3] - 1036:17, 1042:15, 1042:19 January [1] - 1256:13 Jean [1] - 1039:22 jeez [1] - 1241:23 Jennifer [1] - 1028:21 Jenny [1] - 1035:19 Jim [28] - 1033:17, 1040:14, 1043:11, 1043:22, 1043:24, 1044:5, 1046:4, 1047:20, 1051:8, 1051:12, 1100:18, 1189:4, 1194:9, 1226:9, 1226:14, 1226:22, 1227:12, 1230:5, 1230:6, 1230:8, 1230:15, 1249:16, 1249:21, 1250:2, 1250:22, 1251:11, 1251:14 **job** [5] - 1044:14, 1133:16, 1175:4, 1212:18, 1220:4 John [11] - 1028:13, 1032:2. 1033:14. 1036:12, 1041:2, 1043:8, 1043:12, 1049:15, 1133:14, 1187:9, 1242:8 Johnson [2] -1086:10, 1088:7 JOHNSON [37] -1028:10, 1051:9, 1064:11, 1064:15, 1064:19, 1071:24, 1072:22, 1073:1, 1073:4, 1073:19, 1073:25, 1074:4, 1074:8, 1074:12, 1074:18, 1075:1, 1086:11, 1086:20, 1087:19, 1087:25, 1091:24, 1133:10, 1133:15, 1133:21,

1141:21, 1169:6,

1181:9, 1181:13,

1181:16, 1183:12,

1209:24, 1219:19, 20 1219:24, 1220:22, 1232:13, 1249:2, 1255:11 Jones [4] - 1030:3, 1037:2, 1248:11, 1249:8 judgment [2] -1205:17, 1244:6 jumped [1] - 1234:13 June [3] - 1045:5, 1049:6, 1049:8 jurisdiction [3] -1200:24, 1219:9, 1219:11

K

KANEB [8] - 1160:8, 1160:17, 1160:22, 1161:2, 1161:5, 1161:8, 1167:13, 1215:6 Kansas [1] - 1198:5 Kara [2] - 1028:13, 1158:15 Karachi [1] - 1039:5 Karen [2] - 1028:14, 1158:21 keep [3] - 1044:14, 1145:22, 1231:17 **Kelli** [3] - 1034:19, 1183:22, 1184:4 **Kent** [4] - 1035:5, 1042:8, 1042:9, 1222:10 kept [1] - 1122:1 KEYSTONE [2] -1028:4, 1028:6 Keystone [33] -1037:17, 1038:24, 1040:8, 1043:2, 1045:18, 1049:14, 1057:9, 1057:10, 1057:14, 1057:19, 1057:24, 1058:4, 1058:5, 1059:1, 1079:4, 1101:16, 1106:17, 1168:23, 1177:11, 1177:14, 1196:21, 1208:9, 1214:3, 1214:17, 1219:21, 1222:19, 1224:19, 1224:20, 1224:21, 1224:23, 1236:20, 1237:1, 1237:7 Keystone's [1] -1038:15

1223:23, 1224:1,

1232:7, 1243:1,

1243:12

Keystone/UEI [1] -1248:16, 1248:17, Koenecke's [1] laboratory [1] - 1114:9 largest [1] - 1061:25 21 1049:16 1248:18, 1248:19, 1179:23 labs [1] - 1195:7 Last [1] - 1212:4 Kids [1] - 1124:11 1248:20, 1248:22, Kolbeck [10] -Lacey [1] - 1049:16 last [25] - 1043:4, 1248:25, 1249:1 1085:17, 1087:25, kids [1] - 1126:1 Lack [1] - 1039:3 1048:22, 1063:24, Koch [1] - 1078:25 kill [1] - 1179:9 1128:25, 1134:2, lacustrine [1] - 1104:3 1069:14, 1071:16, KOENECKE [51] -1167:1, 1181:17, 1075:5, 1083:7, killed [1] - 1162:5 lady [1] - 1125:23 1051:6, 1106:11, 1183:10, 1197:8, 1106:5, 1111:2, Kim [12] - 1034:13, lakes [1] - 1047:11 1106:13, 1107:6, 1220:1, 1252:25 1112:7, 1116:14, 1065:5, 1110:14, Land [1] - 1177:16 KOLBECK [63] -1127:19, 1127:21, 1116:16, 1134:19, 1114:16, 1115:17, land [38] - 1041:22, 1128:21, 1140:10, 1028:11, 1064:21, 1163:22, 1169:5, 1123:5, 1123:6, 1041:23, 1041:23, 1141:11, 1158:8, 1123:18, 1131:23, 1065:8, 1065:11, 1176:13, 1183:3, 1054:6, 1054:11, 1163:16, 1165:17, 1065:13, 1065:21, 1183:13, 1207:21, 1141:23, 1142:4, 1055:17, 1056:5, 1165:20, 1166:22, 1065:25, 1066:8, 1208:11, 1214:9, 1168:21 1058:8, 1063:17, 1169:9, 1169:14, 1075:2, 1075:8, 1214:10, 1220:14, kind [34] - 1045:20, 1091:1, 1098:21, 1169:16, 1170:15, 1224:11, 1252:15 1085:18, 1085:21, 1046:9, 1047:12, 1129:4, 1129:5, 1172:17, 1175:22, 1086:3, 1086:7, lasts [1] - 1047:17 1099:3, 1103:3, 1129:7, 1129:14, 1112:19, 1115:25, 1175:24, 1178:18, 1086:17, 1108:21, late [3] - 1109:1, 1129:17, 1166:14, 1193:7, 1193:14, 1109:2, 1109:14, 1132:1, 1227:17 1116:6, 1117:15, 1166:15, 1173:1, 1196:15, 1196:17, 1129:2, 1129:13, 1117:19, 1124:24, lately [1] - 1174:10 1176:20, 1211:14, 1129:16, 1129:19, 1197:3, 1208:7, 1125:20, 1144:3, 1225:8, 1225:10, lateral [2] - 1078:22, 1209:20, 1210:10, 1134:1, 1134:3, 1144:6, 1144:15, 1226:2, 1226:6, 1081:21 1213:21, 1213:23, 1145:16, 1146:22, 1134:9, 1134:12, 1226:15, 1228:2, latitude [3] - 1169:10, 1161:4, 1168:9, 1215:11, 1220:10, 1135:1, 1135:4, 1229:19, 1233:1, 1170:16, 1231:11 1223:10, 1223:16, 1135:9, 1135:15, 1171:6, 1173:10, 1233:2, 1235:20, Law [9] - 1044:19, 1223:21, 1231:10, 1167:2, 1167:10, 1185:9, 1185:25, 1241:14, 1242:1, 1053:21, 1074:16, 1167:17, 1168:20, 1231:21, 1231:24, 1186:25, 1189:11, 1242:17, 1245:17, 1103:6, 1103:7, 1232:6, 1234:17, 1169:4, 1181:18, 1189:18, 1201:9, 1245:19, 1245:23, 1147:1, 1228:15, 1206:2, 1225:25, 1236:10, 1237:11, 1182:4, 1182:6, 1245:25 1228:17, 1228:18 1237:18, 1240:4, 1182:10, 1182:12, 1227:20, 1233:24, law [16] - 1075:17, landowner [7] -1244:21, 1245:4, 1182:23, 1183:2, 1235:1, 1247:19 1075:18, 1115:11, 1136:9, 1157:4, 1245:8, 1249:5, 1183:5, 1183:8, kinds [4] - 1084:16, 1165:22, 1165:24, 1118:2, 1118:3, 1251:21 1197:9, 1197:13, 1121:23, 1236:14, 1222:18, 1224:7, 1128:19, 1129:13, 1220:2, 1220:6, Koenecke [56] -1236:15 1255:7 1137:18, 1146:9, 1028:15, 1030:3, 1225:24, 1234:19, Kingsbury [2] -Landowner [1] -1146:13, 1146:15, 1030:6, 1030:9, 1234:22, 1234:24, 1060:14, 1062:9 1147:4, 1148:5, 1177:8 1030:13, 1030:15, 1235:5, 1252:24, Kirk [2] - 1033:14, 1193:10, 1193:20, landowners [4] -1030:17, 1031:2, 1253:1, 1253:6, 1049:15 1136:2, 1230:21, 1211:13 1031:6, 1031:8, 1253:10, 1253:21, Kirkegaard [2] -Lawrence [1] -1233:5, 1242:5 1031:9. 1031:11. 1253:24, 1254:2. 1237:8, 1237:15 lands [1] - 1129:6 1091:21 1254:9, 1254:22, 1031:12, 1031:13, kitchen [1] - 1165:6 Langford [3] laws [8] - 1127:24, 1031:17, 1031:20, 1255:5 Klimisch [1] - 1039:21 1171:18, 1237:3, 1128:3, 1128:6, 1031:22, 1031:24, Kolbeck's [2] knowledge [20] -1237:4 1130:11, 1130:14, 1032:7, 1032:9, 1129:25, 1135:23 1142:23, 1142:25, 1066:3, 1073:4, language [3] -1032:11, 1032:22, Koski [3] - 1030:8, 1169:1 1073:5, 1083:23, 1205:20, 1241:12, 1033:3, 1033:6, 1037:3, 1037:3 lawsuits [2] - 1116:22, 1084:20, 1105:4, 1252:10 1033:9, 1034:7, Kothari [4] - 1030:20, 1105:7, 1109:11, 1155:24 large [20] - 1054:15, 1034:10, 1034:12, 1037:6, 1037:6, 1127:2, 1133:17, 1054:17, 1055:2, lay [1] - 1047:1 1034:15, 1034:15, 1037:7 1163:1, 1168:24, layers [2] - 1050:22, 1073:14, 1077:16, 1034:17, 1034:21, 1177:17, 1181:10, 1077:17, 1078:2, 1050:25 1034:24, 1035:3, L 1181:13, 1196:24, 1086:13, 1086:22, laying [1] - 1047:11 1035:6, 1035:8, 1209:18, 1209:19, 1089:1, 1094:25, lead [3] - 1212:2, 1035:10, 1036:13, 1219:4, 1254:15 1096:5, 1103:19, 1216:3, 1224:25 **L.A**[2] - 1030:16, 1036:15, 1036:18, Knowledge [1] -1111:20, 1152:9, **leaded** [2] - 1072:10 1036:22 1036:23, 1051:5, 1039:3 1164:3, 1166:15, **Leader** [3] - 1042:10, lab [2] - 1195:6, 1101:17, 1101:21, **known** [5] - 1092:18, 1186:24, 1226:23, 1223:2, 1224:3 1195:10 1106:10, 1127:18, 1099:23, 1100:3, 1240:15 Leader's [1] - 1223:24 label [2] - 1244:18 1140:8, 1165:16, 1209:7 larger [7] - 1061:23, Leak [1] - 1038:22 labeled [2] - 1104:2, 1175:21, 1196:14, knows [16] - 1228:22, 1085:13, 1086:19, leak [39] - 1053:8, 1108:7 1208:5, 1213:20, 1228:24, 1238:24, 1088:15, 1095:5, 1053:11, 1060:25, Laboratories [1] -1220:9, 1245:3, 1243:23, 1248:13, 1098:4, 1154:2 1064:1, 1064:13, 1092:11 1249:2, 1249:12

1065:22, 1066:20, 1045:10, 1045:14, light-colored [1] -1190:18, 1190:19, location [10] -22 1066:21, 1066:23, 1045:24, 1050:11, 1103:24 1191:5 1038:15, 1050:11, 1068:10, 1073:13, 1127:4, 1155:24 lightly [1] - 1227:13 liquid [8] - 1124:3, 1103:13, 1143:7, 1079:17, 1082:14, legend [6] - 1078:21, 1225:10, 1225:11, 1145:1, 1145:7, likelihood [3] -1102:3, 1115:14, 1085:3, 1086:12, 1057:9, 1057:14, 1225:12, 1227:14, 1156:25, 1182:19, 1117:4, 1123:24, 1086:19, 1089:18, 1228:9, 1241:15, 1195:13, 1195:20 1058:7 1125:17, 1125:18, 1089:24 1255:1 locations [9] likely [4] - 1099:3, 1147:8, 1147:9, legislation [2] list [18] - 1045:10, 1045:10, 1045:15, 1099:5, 1215:4, 1151:14, 1152:8, 1119:19, 1130:10 1049:10, 1113:2, 1047:22, 1047:23, 1215:5 1152:17, 1154:11, 1055:5, 1055:6, **legislative** [1] - 1242:9 Lillian [2] - 1031:10, 1113:4, 1113:6, 1154:15, 1157:10, length [3] - 1050:17, 1130:25, 1147:3, 1168:17, 1195:16, 1040:4 1160:2, 1160:4, 1148:11, 1148:21, 1146:19, 1221:8 limit [3] - 1047:17, 1213:10 1160:9, 1161:3, 1149:20, 1149:25, lengthy [1] - 1147:3 **log** [1] - 1048:13 1112:16, 1147:8 1161:4, 1162:10, 1153:13, 1153:14, logs [5] - 1045:14, Leslie [1] - 1049:14 limitations [1] -1174:3, 1174:6, 1160:7, 1161:14, 1100:17, 1100:19, less [5] - 1057:6, 1097:3 1188:3, 1227:10, 1213:18 1168:1, 1188:17, 1058:7, 1085:7, limited [4] - 1146:13, 1227:16, 1236:1 1144:12, 1206:21 1165:24, 1166:1, 1204:8 look [37] - 1043:6, leakage [1] - 1063:21 listed [2] - 1159:21, 1059:6, 1061:22, Letter [2] - 1039:18, 1224:25 leaked [1] - 1082:4 1186:21 1063:4, 1078:21, limiting [1] - 1144:3 1041:22 Leaking [2] - 1111:16, listen [2] - 1062:3, 1081:11, 1095:8, letter [2] - 1041:23, limits [10] - 1079:19, 1137:4 1191:25 1131:5, 1143:6, 1166:20 1097:5, 1098:13, leaking [1] - 1111:18 listened [2] - 1109:1, 1100:12, 1105:24, letting [1] - 1158:17 1187:13, 1187:14, Leaks [1] - 1039:7 1234:10 1107:25, 1126:7, level [23] - 1050:18, 1187:15, 1187:16, leaks [18] - 1052:21, 1126:25, 1139:21, listening [4] -1059:5, 1074:10, 1187:20, 1189:23, 1053:5, 1053:9, 1085:19, 1099:17, 1145:14, 1149:17, 1090:17, 1103:7, 1196:5 1150:21, 1155:12, 1053:23, 1056:2, line [49] - 1046:19, 1108:23, 1176:7 1103:10, 1104:25, 1064:24, 1064:25, listing [1] - 1048:14 1156:12, 1157:3, 1114:3, 1118:22, 1048:1, 1048:19, 1065:6, 1065:15, lists [1] - 1233:16 1177:7, 1187:18, 1185:15, 1190:22, 1058:4, 1062:16, 1187:19, 1188:5, 1067:1, 1114:14, liter [11] - 1074:7, 1201:11, 1201:15, 1064:23, 1065:6, 1115:10, 1123:14, 1190:11, 1206:19, 1113:10, 1113:15, 1201:18, 1202:5, 1080:17, 1082:3, 1218:9, 1225:21, 1123:16, 1153:12, 1085:19, 1093:2, 1113:18, 1186:4, 1202:14, 1203:12, 1163:9, 1240:12, 1186:12, 1186:13, 1230:20, 1231:3, 1204:25, 1205:11, 1100:19, 1106:24, 1231:7, 1235:11, 1240:15 1186:15, 1201:15, 1206:21, 1207:12, 1107:3, 1108:5, 1236:19, 1236:23, learn [1] - 1133:5 1201:19, 1201:22 1224:12, 1254:25 1108:23, 1109:1, 1241:13, 1242:15 learned [6] - 1222:13, literally [3] - 1132:11, levels [12] - 1114:5, 1109:9, 1151:17, looked [17] - 1056:25, 1229:6, 1234:12, 1154:4, 1157:9, 1229:14, 1246:1 1114:6, 1143:6, 1057:11, 1058:21, 1234:14, 1239:6, live [7] - 1051:24, 1160:16, 1160:23, 1187:18, 1192:6, 1240:11 1059:3, 1063:15, 1171:15, 1173:6, 1192:8, 1201:24, 1164:17, 1164:19, Learning [1] - 1254:2 1065:6, 1079:4, 1201:25, 1202:6, 1164:20, 1169:18, 1178:4, 1178:12, 1079:12, 1096:24, learning [2] - 1254:3, 1169:24, 1170:2, 1181:25, 1245:9 1202:13, 1206:10, 1104:11, 1107:12, 1254:4 1206:14 1173:23, 1175:11, lived [5] - 1120:25, 1140:3, 1168:12, least [9] - 1045:4, levies [1] - 1238:15 1175:12, 1178:8, 1121:9, 1126:21, 1190:9, 1192:6, 1048:14, 1053:19, 1178:14, 1179:1, 1227:2, 1245:11 levy [1] - 1226:17 1251:8 1056:3, 1069:10, 1179:19, 1181:24, lives [1] - 1230:2 liability [12] - 1138:4, **Looking** [1] - 1103:22 1071:11, 1074:16, 1182:3, 1182:4, 1146:13, 1241:12, livestock [1] - 1181:1 looking [31] - 1045:12, 1094:10, 1203:20 1241:15, 1247:22, 1189:14, 1191:20, living [2] - 1224:14, 1047:22, 1060:17, leave [6] - 1086:14, 1194:12, 1194:17, 1252:11, 1252:13, 1224:16 1062:2, 1067:1, 1088:6, 1136:5, 1194:20, 1196:20, 1252:14, 1254:4, **LLP** [1] - 1028:19 1226:19, 1230:2 1070:7, 1082:1, 1143:11, 1171:7, 1254:11, 1254:12 lobe [2] - 1081:19, 1084:25, 1096:19, 1233:19 lines [25] - 1046:14, liable [1] - 1138:7 1081:20 1047:11, 1063:2, 1100:17, 1100:18, leaving [1] - 1096:10 liaison [1] - 1217:20 Lobe [2] - 1078:16, 1103:3, 1103:5, led [1] - 1120:20 1077:17, 1077:20, **lie** [1] - 1107:22 1078:17 1104:2, 1108:2, 1087:20, 1087:21, leeway [1] - 1220:16 liens [1] - 1117:9 local [5] - 1118:4, 1108:3, 1109:9, 1157:20, 1164:11, left [7] - 1043:4, lies [1] - 1254:12 1118:22, 1119:2, 1137:17, 1148:3, 1164:17, 1164:23, 1055:3, 1099:17, life [4] - 1226:24, 1120:3, 1187:5 1149:25, 1176:13, 1164:24, 1169:17, 1133:25, 1173:12, 1230:1, 1234:3, locally [1] - 1096:2 1177:7, 1207:10, 1169:20, 1169:22, 1226:7, 1230:23 located [4] - 1122:23, 1245:11 1170:6, 1170:7, 1217:22, 1221:19, **left-hand** [1] - 1055:3 light [4] - 1103:24, 1128:14, 1133:3, 1230:18, 1230:22, 1170:11, 1175:2, **Legal** [1] - 1040:9 1229:23, 1230:10, 1149:7 1232:15, 1236:4, 1175:3, 1182:8, legal [7] - 1044:23, 1230:11 locating [1] - 1182:17

1238:17, 1238:20 1108:6 1105:25, 1106:7, McIntosh [6] -23 1151:21, 1154:6, looks [7] - 1046:18, 1164:7, 1211:18, mappable [2] -1107:20, 1128:14, 1034:13, 1065:5, 1062:6, 1062:11, 1215:18, 1216:4 1098:14, 1098:22 1128:17, 1222:22, 1110:14, 1114:16, 1223:22, 1224:8, 1090:6, 1224:24, managing [1] - 1216:2 mapped [3] - 1054:13, 1141:23, 1142:4 1238:24, 1239:9 manner [1] - 1101:1 1097:7, 1097:9 1230:4. 1230:8. MCL [3] - 1112:16, Looks [1] - 1040:8 mantled [1] - 1078:25 mapping [9] -1231:18, 1237:4, 1114:7, 1201:18 1238:11, 1239:15, loose [1] - 1084:15 manure [1] - 1159:16 1079:23, 1095:14, mean [41] - 1046:24, 1249:15, 1250:19, 1095:17, 1095:18, 1063:6, 1081:7, loss [1] - 1246:2 map [79] - 1037:21, 1250:23, 1253:3 1096:25, 1097:21, 1087:25, 1090:6, lost [3] - 1125:23, 1041:22, 1046:7, 1100:17, 1104:22, Marshall/Day [1] -1091:2, 1093:11, 1152:6, 1152:21 1048:5, 1054:15, 1063:3 1101:7, 1115:25, loud [1] - 1077:25 1054:17, 1054:20, 1105:20 massaged [1] -1055:2, 1055:7, maps [23] - 1037:10, 1116:8, 1123:25, lovely [2] - 1236:7 1095:15 1055:11, 1055:13, 1041:21, 1060:10, 1125:9, 1126:20, low [5] - 1062:17, 1088:1, 1088:24, master's [1] - 1092:5 1131:13, 1132:11, 1055:16, 1055:18, 1062:18, 1108:16, 1162:9, 1230:24 1058:24, 1059:21, 1089:7, 1092:25, Master's [1] - 1198:4 1138:10, 1140:25, 1094:21, 1095:15, 1143:11, 1145:2, lower [4] - 1057:5, 1061:21, 1062:6, match [2] - 1095:16, 1062:13, 1067:11, 1097:12, 1097:13, 1106:1 1146:22, 1147:9, 1067:22, 1113:15, 1077:16, 1077:17, 1097:14, 1100:4, 1155:8, 1162:9, material [20] -1202:13 1077:21, 1078:2, 1102:23, 1105:12, 1163:18, 1163:19, 1050:22, 1059:24, **LP** [4] - 1028:4, 1164:15, 1164:19, 1105:25, 1107:3, 1078:6, 1079:12, 1043:2, 1224:19, 1060:2, 1060:3, 1107:13, 1107:14, 1170:4, 1173:24, 1079:18, 1079:21, 1060:4, 1062:16, 1224:24 1179:6, 1180:18, 1079:22, 1080:17, 1107:15, 1107:16, 1064:1, 1064:2, lucky [2] - 1131:13, 1081:16, 1083:2, 1126:7 1068:2, 1090:5, 1182:8, 1214:5, 1235:22 1225:14, 1228:1, 1084:23, 1085:13, Maps [1] - 1037:14 1090:7, 1096:2, lunch [2] - 1163:5, 1234:5, 1243:19, 1088:15, 1088:18, March [1] - 1126:23 1099:3, 1104:4, 1171:1 1244:14, 1254:8, 1088:20, 1089:14, Mark [4] - 1034:22, 1140:22, 1158:3, 1254:23, 1255:3 1089:16, 1089:17, 1197:21, 1198:1, 1170:2, 1235:12, M 1089:25, 1090:5, 1198:2 1239:12 meander [1] - 1060:2 1090:7, 1095:1, meanders [2] materials [10] mark [1] - 1172:12 Madison [1] - 1029:10 1096:1, 1097:1, 1050:13, 1055:16, 1226:1, 1226:8 marked [11] - 1037:12, Magellan [8] -1097:2, 1097:4, meaning [4] -1037:16, 1040:2, 1062:15, 1063:18, 1132:23, 1211:19, 1097:5, 1097:9, 1052:6, 1054:19, 1091:1, 1107:16, 1108:16, 1186:14, 1214:23, 1214:25, 1097:10, 1097:16, 1107:22, 1107:23, 1198:24, 1212:2 1055:5, 1055:10, 1215:4, 1215:22, 1097:23, 1097:24, means [6] - 1069:11, 1058:3, 1077:7, 1172:1, 1239:11 1215:24 1097:25, 1098:3, 1069:12, 1083:7, 1148:15, 1172:15 **Materials** [2] - 1061:5, magically [1] -1098:6, 1098:7, 1098:8 1114:9, 1144:23, marker [1] - 1226:2 1234:15 1098:9, 1098:19, markers [1] - 1182:17 matter [11] - 1029:14, 1175:17 mail [1] - 1045:15 1100:13, 1103:18, meant [2] - 1059:23, Markley [18] - 1034:8, 1052:3, 1123:23, mailing [1] - 1188:17 1103:19, 1103:20, 1154:6, 1175:4, 1168:2 1049:20, 1109:21, mailings [1] - 1188:18 1103:25, 1104:2, 1188:13, 1191:13, meantime [1] - 1205:2 1110:1, 1125:17, 1104:8, 1105:10, main [2] - 1170:1, 1193:9, 1193:15, 1127:23, 1133:10, measures [2] -1175:12 1107:17, 1107:21, 1232:22, 1256:10 1203:5, 1205:23 1135:21, 1140:11, mains [3] - 1164:18, 1109:9, 1109:10, MATTER [1] - 1028:4 1141:21, 1142:11, mechanical [1] -1164:20, 1169:20 1109:11, 1225:22, 1151:20, 1155:7, matters [1] - 1244:9 1147:13 maintain [4] -1225:23, 1226:7, 1155:10, 1159:25, Maximum [1] mechanism [1] -1229:8 1044:13, 1093:1, 1215:17, 1217:19, 1112:16 1068:1 Map [28] - 1037:22, 1093:2, 1106:24 median [1] - 1081:13 1218:4 maximum [6] -1038:6, 1038:10, maintains [1] marsh [1] - 1226:6 1074:10, 1114:3, Meera [1] - 1030:20 1038:10, 1038:11, 1148:19 1201:18, 1202:6, Marshall [42] - 1038:4, meet [7] - 1151:13, 1038:11, 1038:12, major [2] - 1211:2, 1041:5, 1042:9, 1202:13, 1203:12 1180:4, 1187:14, 1038:12, 1038:13, 1226:21 1053:13, 1054:5, Mayer [6] - 1034:22, 1196:5, 1200:1, 1038:13, 1038:14, majority [5] - 1089:9, 1060:13, 1062:5, 1197:21, 1198:1, 1230:15, 1233:12 1038:14, 1040:12, 1114:2, 1117:1, 1062:8, 1087:10, 1202:20, 1208:8, meeting [15] -1040:21, 1041:2, 1164:3, 1203:3 1088:16, 1088:23, 1210:4 1045:17, 1045:19, 1041:16, 1095:2, makers [1] - 1069:15 1089:1, 1089:2, mayor [2] - 1129:19, 1046:3, 1046:4, 1095:3, 1098:5, man [1] - 1114:23 1089:7, 1103:20, 1133:16 1046:7, 1049:6, 1098:20, 1105:12, manage [1] - 1145:2 1103:24, 1104:12, McComsey [2] -1049:9, 1196:6, 1105:14, 1106:2, 1104:15, 1104:19, management [1] -1028:24, 1256:18 1212:20, 1216:10, 1106:3, 1107:21, 1105:1, 1105:5, 1211:25 MCCOMSEY [1] -1216:13, 1217:10, 1107:25, 1108:3, 1105:9, 1105:12, manager [6] -1256:5 1217:11, 1253:11

meetings [20] microbiologist [1] -1186:5, 1238:12, 1221:22, 1222:10, 1106:15, 1108:25, 24 1083:23, 1188:14, 1143:19 1238:13, 1238:16, 1222:25, 1223:7, 1125:14, 1127:23, 1191:12, 1191:13, microphone [1] -1238:18 1223:14, 1231:16, 1154:17, 1173:20, 1231:21, 1232:14, Million [1] - 1039:10 1176:5, 1189:4, 1191:14, 1191:15, 1077:23 1212:16, 1214:2, middle [2] - 1234:13, mind [2] - 1088:8, 1236:13, 1244:8, 1221:11, 1222:17, 1214:5, 1214:11, 1236:5 1252:16 1244:19, 1244:25, 1227:9, 1227:19, 1216:22, 1217:1, 1245:1, 1245:5, 1228:16, 1242:16, midst [1] - 1171:3 minds [1] - 1249:24 1217:2, 1217:3, 1246:15, 1246:18, 1255:20 might [29] - 1047:14, Mine [1] - 1111:21 1217:5, 1217:8, 1252:5, 1255:6, Morrell [1] - 1187:10 1055:6, 1057:23, mine [1] - 1211:16 1217:12, 1217:13, 1255:12 Morrow [1] - 1049:16 1059:9, 1059:10, Miner [2] - 1053:13, 1218:8, 1239:15 Moffit [1] - 1216:20 Most [3] - 1093:10, 1071:22, 1074:22, 1060:14 meets [3] - 1115:24, Moines [1] - 1078:17 1115:11, 1186:10 1079:20, 1087:20, mineralized [1] -1142:23, 1142:25 mom [1] - 1126:19 most [25] - 1062:15, 1092:22, 1093:16, 1090:16 melting [3] - 1059:25, mom's [2] - 1181:22, 1064:22, 1069:2, 1096:20, 1101:14, Mines [6] - 1044:3, 1084:10, 1084:13 1101:18, 1105:24, 1182:24 1083:5, 1093:18, 1051:25, 1066:24, melts [1] - 1226:11 1094:1, 1095:4, 1106:1, 1107:2, 1068:23, 1077:2, mom-and-pop [1] member [2] - 1068:23, 1107:3, 1111:3, 1187:10 1126:19 1096:16, 1096:21, 1101:14, 1103:5, 1211:10 1121:19, 1124:3, moment [2] - 1088:17, minimized [2] -1138:24, 1205:13, 1103:15, 1105:4, Memorial [2] - 1126:1, 1089:15 1053:19, 1232:22 1105:8, 1118:16, 1205:23, 1206:14, 1126:12 minimum [1] -Monday [5] - 1047:15, memory [1] - 1122:20 1214:14, 1217:9, 1133:12, 1141:21, 1180:22 1048:10, 1221:11, men [3] - 1233:1, 1218:19, 1223:1 1221:14, 1255:20 1144:23, 1145:22, Minnesota [4] -1233:2, 1233:7 migration [1] -1146:17, 1148:4, money [22] - 1043:13, 1039:7, 1181:11, 1066:21 1195:17, 1195:25, mention [2] - 1056:3, 1135:10, 1135:16, 1181:12, 1228:2 1200:11, 1216:14 Mike [10] - 1033:7, 1253:6 1136:20, 1141:4, minute [4] - 1054:17, mostly [2] - 1066:2, mentioned [24] -1040:4, 1042:1, 1159:8, 1174:3, 1083:13, 1088:7, 1042:2, 1042:3, 1174:5, 1212:5, 1164:4 1055:10, 1059:12, 1113:20 1065:17, 1065:19, 1233:8, 1233:20, mouth [1] - 1043:25 1059:20, 1065:7, minutes [4] - 1187:17, 1068:21, 1122:8, move [7] - 1082:5, 1234:5, 1234:7, 1068:25, 1071:25, 1221:3, 1251:11, 1122:10 1108:17, 1115:6, 1072:22, 1078:18, 1234:8, 1236:3, 1251:13 1098:18, 1099:15, mile [12] - 1041:19, 1236:9, 1236:17, 1173:9, 1222:9, Mischaracterization 1066:24, 1131:10, 1238:7, 1242:2, 1235:24, 1249:5 1100:9, 1103:6, [1] - 1242:24 1151:24, 1178:12, movement [1] -1118:8, 1127:10, 1252:9, 1252:12 miss [1] - 1062:4 1178:14, 1178:16, monies [1] - 1241:25 1078:20 1151:18, 1186:22, missed [1] - 1079:16 moving [2] - 1051:11, 1187:17, 1191:12, 1182:17, 1183:3, monitor [2] - 1044:13, missing [2] - 1111:4, 1183:6, 1226:2, 1179:7 1194:10, 1195:15, 1195:2 1112:6 1245:15 **MP** [1] - 1182:21 1201:4, 1203:19, monitoring [8] -Missouri [7] - 1079:8, mileage [1] - 1079:9 1208:21, 1217:8 MR [357] - 1043:1, 1093:8, 1104:24, 1093:12, 1097:8, mentioning [2] miles [11] - 1079:10, 1110:18, 1115:2, 1043:8, 1043:11, 1097:9, 1185:14, 1079:12, 1097:19, 1043:12, 1043:13, 1105:16, 1251:7 1132:3, 1132:18, 1186:24, 1227:12 1103:10, 1138:24, Merl [2] - 1246:15, 1132:19, 1146:19 1043:24, 1048:9, misstatement [2] -1140:14, 1140:16, 1246:18 Montgomery [1] -1048:12, 1049:23, 1234:20, 1242:19 1178:10, 1196:9, 1050:2, 1051:3, Met [1] - 1153:1 1039:9 mistaken [2] - 1230:7, 1224:15, 1245:9 month [2] - 1097:21, 1051:5, 1051:6, met [8] - 1110:19, 1238:12 mill [1] - 1238:15 1051:8, 1051:11, 1114:25, 1153:8, 1180:19 mistakes [1] - 1242:13 1154:7, 1155:5, Miller [9] - 1030:22, monthly [1] - 1204:1 1051:14, 1051:15, mixed [1] - 1147:6 1031:4, 1031:7, 1051:19, 1052:11, 1157:25, 1217:4, months [1] - 1199:15 mixture [1] - 1108:11 1032:13, 1041:6, 1052:12, 1052:13, 1239:16 moraine [1] - 1108:8 MMP [1] - 1246:16 1052:14, 1054:23, 1041:7, 1041:7, methods [3] - 1066:1, moraines [7] -Mngmt [1] - 1038:19 1240:3, 1240:5 1054:24, 1054:25, 1195:9, 1195:11 1078:12, 1078:20, modeled [1] - 1121:14 1055:22, 1055:23, Meyer [3] - 1065:17, milligram [2] -1078:22, 1078:23, modeling [1] -1186:15, 1201:19 1055:24, 1056:7, 1068:21, 1122:8 1081:21 1121:13 1056:9, 1056:10, Michael [1] - 1030:8 milligrams [9] -Morning [4] - 1056:17, modified [3] -1056:11, 1056:12, 1074:6, 1113:10, Mickelson [1] -1056:18, 1061:19, 1105:22, 1150:17, 1056:13, 1056:14, 1113:15, 1113:17, 1126:24 1080:13 1209:10 1056:16, 1061:12, 1186:3, 1186:12, Mickelson's [1] morning [23] -MOECKLY [2] -1186:13, 1201:15, 1061:13, 1061:15, 1130:4 1043:18, 1043:19, 1222:7, 1222:10 1066:9, 1066:12, 1201:22 microbes [3] -1051:6, 1051:20, Moeckly [24] - 1030:5, 1066:14, 1067:10, million [8] - 1074:11, 1143:21, 1144:8, 1061:18, 1064:11, 1035:5, 1042:8, 1067:17, 1069:19, 1113:10, 1186:4, 1146:3 1069:5, 1106:14, 1042:9, 1221:9,

1069:20, 1069:21, 1163:3, 1163:4, 1220:11, 1220:19, 25 1242:24, 1243:11, near [8] - 1053:6, 1069:23, 1071:12, 1163:8, 1163:16, 1220:20, 1221:2, 1244:24, 1252:21 1053:9, 1081:19, 1071:13, 1071:22, 1163:18, 1163:19, 1221:5, 1221:17, Muehlhausen [4] -1105:13, 1139:21, 1071:25, 1072:8, 1165:15, 1165:16, 1221:19, 1221:22, 1036:12, 1042:14, 1162:22, 1164:11, 1072:18, 1072:21, 1165:17, 1165:20, 1222:1, 1222:5, 1042:18, 1042:19 1239:16 1075:10, 1075:12, 1166:22, 1166:23, 1222:7, 1222:8, municipal [5] neat [1] - 1122:16 1075:13, 1075:14, 1166:25, 1167:21, 1222:10, 1222:21, 1154:14, 1155:4, Nebraska [1] - 1211:3 1075:16, 1075:23, 1169:5, 1169:7, 1222:23, 1223:5, 1156:15, 1159:10, necessarily [5] -1076:1, 1076:3, 1169:8, 1169:9, 1223:9, 1223:10, 1187:1 1059:8, 1063:22, 1076:5, 1076:7, 1169:11, 1169:12, 1223:11, 1223:14, Murdock [3] - 1036:2, 1072:13, 1192:4, 1169:14, 1169:16, 1223:16, 1223:17, 1076:9, 1076:11, 1042:12, 1101:8 1209:6 1076:12, 1076:13, 1170:15, 1170:17, 1223:20, 1223:21, Murphy's [6] necessary [6] -1076:14, 1076:18, 1223:25, 1224:4, 1170:18, 1170:19, 1053:21, 1103:6, 1067:12, 1103:11, 1076:19, 1076:22, 1170:22, 1170:24, 1231:10, 1231:14, 1103:7, 1228:15, 1145:15, 1199:1, 1077:11, 1077:12, 1170:25, 1171:2, 1231:21, 1231:24, 1228:17, 1228:18 1200:1, 1212:19 1077:14, 1080:6, 1171:8, 1171:9, 1232:1, 1232:6, Murtle [2] - 1125:23, neck [1] - 1224:12 1080:7, 1080:8, 1171:14, 1171:17, 1232:10, 1234:17, 1126:4 need [55] - 1045:22, 1080:9, 1080:10, 1171:19, 1171:22, 1234:20, 1236:10, must [7] - 1046:1, 1045:23, 1046:15, 1080:12, 1083:15, 1171:25, 1172:5, 1236:13, 1237:11, 1047:2, 1047:10, 1082:7, 1147:2, 1083:16, 1084:2, 1172:9, 1172:12, 1237:12, 1237:18, 1147:19, 1188:17, 1073:22, 1077:22, 1085:16, 1086:8, 1172:15, 1172:17, 1237:22, 1240:4, 1203:1, 1251:3 1116:20, 1116:21, 1086:18, 1086:22, 1172:18, 1172:19, 1240:8, 1243:1, 1116:25, 1129:9, 1086:25, 1087:1, 1172:21, 1175:13, 1244:8, 1244:13, Ν 1135:8, 1135:24, 1087:3, 1087:4, 1175:16, 1175:22, 1244:18, 1244:21, 1141:19, 1145:8, 1087:5, 1087:6, 1175:24, 1178:18, 1244:22, 1244:23, 1146:4, 1152:17, name [20] - 1043:20, 1244:25, 1245:4, 1087:8, 1087:13, 1178:19, 1178:20, 1152:20, 1155:25, 1045:6, 1051:20, 1087:16, 1087:18, 1178:21, 1178:22, 1245:8, 1249:5, 1157:23, 1171:11, 1076:23, 1091:18, 1087:23, 1088:4, 1178:24, 1181:4, 1249:12, 1251:21, 1189:1, 1189:16, 1109:25, 1110:1, 1088:10, 1088:11, 1181:5, 1181:7, 1251:23, 1251:24, 1190:4, 1191:23, 1088:12, 1090:3, 1181:17, 1183:11, 1252:2, 1252:4, 1125:23, 1137:6, 1195:5, 1203:5, 1252:18, 1252:19, 1142:3, 1142:4, 1090:4, 1090:21, 1183:13, 1183:16, 1203:7, 1205:23, 1171:17, 1184:3, 1091:3, 1091:7, 1183:20, 1183:22, 1252:22, 1252:25, 1205:25, 1206:1, 1184:25, 1197:25, 1255:9, 1255:12, 1091:9, 1091:10, 1191:11, 1192:17, 1206:19, 1207:3, 1210:23, 1210:24, 1091:12, 1094:24, 1192:19, 1192:20, 1255:14, 1255:18 1209:13, 1212:11, 1222:9, 1222:10, 1099:14, 1102:19, 1192:22, 1193:7, **MS** [57] - 1043:17, 1212:20, 1217:22, 1102:20, 1102:22, 1193:9, 1193:14, 1043:20, 1048:8, 1233:2 1222:3, 1223:20, names [1] - 1049:13 1106:10, 1106:11, 1193:16, 1193:18, 1051:4, 1061:14, 1223:23, 1225:18, naming [1] - 1142:18 1106:13, 1107:6, 1193:19, 1196:13, 1071:15, 1071:21, 1225:19, 1232:3, narrative [2] - 1185:20 1107:8, 1108:2, 1196:14, 1196:15, 1077:13, 1083:17, 1232:17, 1240:4, 1196:17, 1197:3, 1108:19, 1109:15, Narrative [1] - 1185:21 1083:19, 1084:1, 1242:14, 1243:12, 1109:16, 1109:17, 1197:4, 1197:6, 1091:17, 1099:12, narrower [1] - 1097:8 1253:7, 1254:2, 1109:20, 1109:21, 1197:14, 1197:16, 1101:4, 1107:9, Native [1] - 1226:24 1254:13 1123:22, 1125:13, 1197:17, 1197:18, 1107:11, 1108:18, natural [4] - 1092:23, needed [8] - 1046:19, 1125:16, 1126:5, 1197:21, 1201:3, 1109:24, 1116:14, 1154:10, 1161:16, 1119:20, 1135:10, 1127:16, 1127:18, 1202:15, 1202:16, 1123:20, 1128:24, 1184:18 1155:20, 1182:13, 1127:19, 1127:21, 1202:17, 1202:19, 1133:18, 1137:24, Natural [18] - 1044:7, 1191:20, 1196:8, 1128:21, 1128:23, 1208:4, 1208:5, 1138:2, 1138:15, 1044:9, 1049:17, 1218:6 1128:25, 1133:20, 1208:7, 1209:20, 1141:12, 1141:23, 1053:4, 1055:20, needs [11] - 1130:9, 1134:2, 1135:20, 1209:21, 1209:23, 1142:2, 1158:4, 1065:4, 1065:18, 1166:19, 1178:1, 1136:25, 1137:16, 1209:25, 1210:3, 1158:9, 1158:21, 1068:22, 1092:17, 1180:4, 1242:8, 1137:22, 1138:16, 1210:4, 1210:6, 1166:24, 1172:20, 1094:4, 1110:4, 1254:25, 1255:3, 1138:17, 1138:19, 1210:7, 1210:10, 1181:6, 1184:2, 1133:11, 1142:7, 1255:7, 1255:8 1191:9, 1197:5, 1139:25, 1140:7, 1210:14, 1210:16, 1144:19, 1184:10, negligently [1] -1140:8, 1140:10, 1210:17, 1210:18, 1197:15, 1197:24, 1194:4, 1198:9, 1241:18 1141:11, 1141:13, 1213:21, 1213:23, 1201:1, 1209:22, 1211:5 negotiate [3] -1141:14, 1141:15, 1215:11, 1215:13, 1210:2, 1210:15, nature [3] - 1065:22, 1233:13, 1233:17 1141:19, 1158:5, 1215:15, 1216:7, 1210:22, 1213:20, 1144:4, 1168:3 negotiating [1] -1158:7, 1158:8, 1216:9, 1219:5, 1219:8, 1219:16, ND [2] - 1039:18, 1242:1 1158:10, 1158:13, 1219:6, 1219:17, 1220:8, 1220:14, 1040:5 negotiation [1] -1158:15, 1158:19, 1219:25, 1220:7, 1220:25, 1223:13, Near [1] - 1039:2 1233:18 1158:23, 1159:2, 1220:9, 1220:10, 1229:10, 1242:19,

Negotiation [1] -1137:12 1142:16, 1146:25 1223:9, 1223:10, 1077:11, 1158:5, 26 1233:25 nonfrozen [1] notified [2] - 1161:25, 1223:13, 1236:14, 1172:10, 1223:1, Negotiations [1] -1179:11 1173:3 1237:18, 1244:20, 1244:15 1244:21, 1244:22, 1040:5 nonpetroleum [1] **notify** [1] - 1202:11 offered [5] - 1068:24, 1212:1 1244:23, 1244:24 1088:2, 1172:16, neighbors [3] -November [4] -1241:6, 1241:25, nonpoint [2] -1086:4, 1236:4, objections [2] -1223:15, 1244:20 1223:6, 1234:11 offering [2] - 1057:2, 1242:1 1187:24, 1188:1 1250:9, 1250:12 obligated [1] -1241:25 Neil [1] - 1078:25 nontransient [1] -NRCS [1] - 1049:17 1146:14 offers [1] - 1223:5 nervous [2] - 1240:2 1199:13 NTSB [1] - 1041:8 observation [1] network [1] - 1044:13 noon [3] - 1158:16, number [37] - 1053:4, office [28] - 1045:17. Never [1] - 1233:18 1170:23, 1171:3 1055:4, 1062:20, 1103:2 1046:2, 1048:20, observed [1] - 1102:6 1106:18, 1106:22, never [10] - 1053:1, Norbert [1] - 1038:21 1063:2, 1083:11, 1093:17, 1094:23, obtain [3] - 1083:20, 1124:25, 1147:19, 1150:8, 1150:10, normal [1] - 1179:13 1148:9, 1150:5, 1097:18, 1097:22, 1191:21, 1242:9 1175:1, 1206:7, **normally** [1] - 1189:18 North [2] - 1224:9, 1103:19, 1104:23, 1150:9, 1163:9, 1206:11, 1208:17, obtained [3] -1163:14, 1164:12, 1209:17, 1233:18, 1229:2 1114:19, 1114:22, 1077:17, 1092:5, 1122:21, 1124:19, 1092:6 1168:8, 1190:7, 1240:22 north [10] - 1048:1, 1192:3, 1194:3, 1124:20, 1124:21, New [1] - 1247:5 Obviously [2] -1053:6, 1102:3, 1194:6, 1195:2, 1129:24, 1131:1, 1129:6, 1136:14 new [13] - 1053:22, 1224:9, 1229:1, 1204:21, 1206:6, 1068:23, 1071:8, 1229:4, 1229:14, 1135:25, 1148:7, obviously [3] -1207:3, 1218:6, 1229:21, 1242:17, 1149:19, 1149:25, 1072:10, 1146:22, 1071:9, 1071:17, 1152:16, 1162:19, 1231:2 1237:15, 1240:13 1071:19, 1079:22, 1243:17 1166:1, 1181:1, Office [3] - 1029:7, occasion [2] -1087:20, 1122:25, northeast [1] -1043:9, 1069:6 1182:21, 1185:19, 1125:12, 1128:10, 1121:22 1094:18, 1099:18 1185:22, 1194:23, officer [2] - 1131:24, 1157:14, 1173:20 occasions [2] northern [1] - 1062:5 1194:25, 1195:16, 1249:14 1132:21 newest [1] - 1106:4 Northern [2] - 1039:7, 1204:7, 1204:16, officially [1] - 1154:5 occur [10] - 1053:23, News [3] - 1037:23, 1092:5 1221:8 often [3] - 1053:23, 1057:18, 1057:24, 1037:25, 1037:25 Northwest [1] **numbers** [8] - 1115:7, 1103:10, 1156:2 1059:15, 1060:8, newspaper [1] -1028:19 1122:20, 1152:4, oil [36] - 1037:15, 1061:2, 1093:21, 1223:15 northwest [2] -1185:23, 1235:8, 1123:24, 1160:9, 1042:14, 1043:3, Newspaper [3] -1131:5, 1131:6 1239:8, 1239:9, 1057:23, 1066:6, 1168:24 1038:2, 1223:2, Notary [2] - 1256:6, 1240:12 1072:12, 1072:15, occurred [10] -1236:5 1256:18 numeric [2] - 1185:20, 1082:4, 1082:14, next [13] - 1043:7, note [2] - 1058:3, 1045:5, 1059:16, 1186:11 1082:16, 1123:7, 1064:25, 1150:13, 1097:21, 1109:20, 1086:11 1123:9, 1123:10, numerous [2] -1151:5, 1153:22, 1121:12, 1126:23, noted [4] - 1152:1, 1071:18, 1201:8 1123:11, 1123:13, 1154:21, 1160:20, 1160:14, 1160:17, 1152:14, 1176:10, 1123:15, 1123:16, 1168:16, 1214:12 1160:22, 1161:2, 1181:19 0 1137:6, 1138:7, Occurrence [2] -1161:19, 1179:4, nothing [14] - 1107:6, 1138:10, 1147:7, 1210:6, 1229:21 1098:8, 1108:3 1128:21, 1141:11, 1152:4, 1162:19, occurrence [4] -Next [1] - 1197:19 1158:4, 1162:10, O'Rork [1] - 1233:3 1168:24, 1169:1, nicely [1] - 1088:19 1055:16, 1089:25, 1166:22, 1172:6, oath [2] - 1172:1, 1173:23, 1181:11, 1107:23, 1131:20 night [1] - 1047:14 1174:14, 1175:5, 1244:10 1186:11, 1193:12, occurring [2] -**Niobrara** [1] - 1081:13 1191:9, 1209:20, object [10] - 1075:23, 1207:23, 1225:18, 1057:10, 1057:14 nitrate [1] - 1206:3 1215:11, 1238:6, 1169:11, 1193:7, 1235:17, 1240:12 occurs [3] - 1052:24, Nobody [5] - 1228:22, 1251:21 1193:14, 1223:21, Oil [10] - 1037:18, 1248:17, 1248:19 Nothing [8] - 1075:13, 1052:25, 1188:3 1231:10, 1234:17, 1039:2, 1039:4, October [2] - 1214:10, **nobody** [10] - 1174:15, 1108:18, 1109:16, 1236:10, 1240:5, 1039:7, 1039:8, 1138:17, 1141:12, 1234:25 1228:24, 1248:13, 1242:25 1039:15, 1039:19, odor [3] - 1113:16, 1178:18, 1197:17, 1248:16, 1248:18, Objection [3] -1040:7, 1040:12 1164:13 1210:3 1248:20, 1248:22, 1087:13, 1158:7, Oil's [1] - 1039:16 **OF** [7] - 1028:2, 1248:25, 1249:1 **notice** [7] - 1054:15, 1237:11 Oil-Sands [1] -1028:4, 1029:13, noncommunity [4] -1097:6, 1161:8, objection [24] -1039:19 1165:6, 1205:4, 1256:1, 1256:3 1199:12, 1199:13, 1052:12, 1052:13, oils [1] - 1162:19 Offenders [1] -1199:16, 1200:9 1205:16, 1205:18 1054:24, 1055:23, Oklahoma [1] -1039:14 noticed [2] - 1126:1, nondetect [1] - 1114:8 1076:11, 1077:12, 1051:11 offense [1] - 1220:22 None [5] - 1061:14, 1126:15 1077:13, 1158:8, **old** [7] - 1078:19, notices [1] - 1217:13 offer [10] - 1052:11, 1128:24, 1181:6, 1158:9, 1172:16, 1107:3, 1132:1, 1054:23, 1055:22, 1197:7, 1209:24 noticing [1] - 1164:13 1172:17, 1172:18, 1133:1, 1133:13, 1057:6, 1064:1, none [2] - 1052:14, notification [2] -1172:19, 1172:20,

1160:1, 1227:3 1154:25, 1157:13, options [2] - 1145:4, 1134:11, 1176:20, 1242:11 27 older [2] - 1125:24, 1160:8, 1160:14, 1145:16 1209:18, 1209:19, paperwork [4] -1159:24 1160:17, 1160:22, 1225:11, 1240:12, 1189:25, 1192:1, ordinance [1] -1161:2, 1161:3, 1246:10, 1249:24 **Older** [1] - 1110:6 1073:11 1192:2, 1192:4 1161:13, 1161:22, owned [5] - 1136:3, Oliver [1] - 1038:19 Oregon [1] - 1239:14 paragraph [4] -Olson [2] - 1033:11, 1161:23, 1162:16, 1170:9, 1214:24, 1176:13, 1177:8, Oren [1] - 1040:3 1162:17, 1162:22, 1039:6 1224:21, 1224:25 1179:1, 1179:21 organic [4] - 1096:2, 1163:24, 1163:25, owner [2] - 1050:19, Omaha [1] - 1211:3 1201:7, 1201:10, parallel [1] - 1226:4 1164:1, 1168:20, 1136:7 on-line [9] - 1048:19, 1203:23 parameter [2] -1173:14, 1174:19, owners [2] - 1166:8, 1185:22, 1186:12 1065:6, 1085:19, organized [1] - 1225:1 1175:8, 1181:12, 1093:2, 1100:19, Origin [1] - 1081:18 1166:13 parameters [13] -1181:18, 1190:9, ownership [1] -1112:17, 1112:19, 1106:24, 1107:3, original [1] - 1234:14 1191:8, 1194:22, 1170:8 1113:3, 1113:4, 1108:23, 1109:1 originally [2] -1195:20, 1195:22, 1113:6, 1185:9, Once [2] - 1232:6, owns [1] - 1252:16 1190:23, 1242:16 1195:25, 1196:20, 1185:18, 1185:23, 1249:18 Otherwise [1] - 1205:6 oxygen [1] - 1146:2 1206:12, 1214:7, once [9] - 1117:7, 1185:25, 1199:23, otherwise [1] - 1234:1 1214:9, 1214:10, Ρ 1200:6, 1201:4, 1154:8, 1174:18, ounce [1] - 1233:18 1216:18, 1216:19, 1203:24 1180:22, 1182:21, outcome [1] - 1254:16 1224:19, 1227:6, **Pardon** [3] - 1051:8, 1223:12, 1228:20, outlay [2] - 1238:3, p.m [1] - 1255:21 1227:10, 1228:14, 1232:1, 1235:25 1061:15, 1137:22 1238:4 P.O [3] - 1028:16, 1229:21, 1233:2, One [17] - 1053:9, parents [1] - 1173:1 outlines [3] - 1146:11, 1029:3, 1029:10 1238:8, 1238:15, parents' [1] - 1177:18 1059:16, 1066:23, 1146:16, 1147:2 pack [1] - 1084:11 1239:15, 1240:19, 1093:18, 1094:18, Park [1] - 1226:9 outside [10] - 1102:11, Packet [2] - 1041:21, 1248:11, 1252:8, 1094:22, 1097:3, Parks [2] - 1049:15, 1102:13, 1113:10, 1252:15 1041:23 1101:17, 1110:12, 1049:16 1113:17, 1131:5, Page [18] - 1030:2, one's [1] - 1220:23 1120:12, 1152:14, part [27] - 1050:20, 1151:23, 1153:17, 1031:1, 1032:1, One-Call [1] - 1167:16 1152:16, 1156:6, 1054:10, 1055:15, 1165:25, 1170:6, 1033:1, 1034:1, ones [7] - 1073:21, 1167:16, 1172:25, 1062:5, 1062:15, 1231:12 1140:6, 1149:12, 1035:1, 1036:1, 1225:20, 1246:14 1068:13, 1069:10, outstanding [1] -1038:19, 1038:21, 1153:14, 1168:15, one [117] - 1043:24, 1090:12, 1093:21, 1088:14 1039:6, 1039:23, 1201:8, 1215:21 1055:2, 1060:12, 1093:25, 1094:2, outwash [7] -1039:24, 1040:2, open [2] - 1195:20, 1060:13, 1060:14, 1096:14, 1097:7, 1078:24, 1079:1, 1040:3, 1040:3, 1232:4 1060:15, 1061:1, 1098:9, 1104:2, 1083:8, 1083:11, 1040:4, 1082:3, opened [1] - 1231:3 1061:25, 1062:2, 1117:18, 1127:4, 1083:13, 1089:12, 1183:3 operate [1] - 1045:24 1062:6, 1066:19, 1140:22, 1140:24, 1096:12 page [14] - 1041:16, operated [4] - 1137:7, 1070:14, 1071:16, 1148:4, 1165:9, overflow [1] - 1226:17 1060:17, 1060:18, 1153:21, 1170:10, 1071:22, 1075:18, 1170:9, 1189:25, overlain [3] - 1060:4, 1064:23, 1076:3, 1214:24 1077:17, 1078:4, 1219:11, 1231:15, 1083:3, 1083:4 1176:11, 1177:8, 1079:5, 1079:6, operation [3] -1232:20, 1237:3 overlay [1] - 1131:11 1177:23, 1178:25, 1042:14, 1188:11, 1081:19, 1084:4, Part [3] - 1037:14, overrepresentation 1179:21, 1183:5, 1188:21 1086:13, 1088:16, 1037:15, 1201:12 [1] - 1097:15 1191:24, 1226:1 1090:4, 1090:7, operational [2] parted [1] - 1081:19 overrepresented [1] -Pages [1] - 1028:8 1094:11, 1094:22, 1215:23, 1215:25 participate [1] -1097:14 pages [1] - 1127:22 1094:25, 1096:6, operations [1] -1082:10 overrule [2] - 1232:11, paid [9] - 1071:10, 1096:21, 1098:3, 1196:25 particles [2] - 1096:5, 1237:12 1127:9, 1141:1, 1099:10, 1100:4, **opinion** [11] - 1057:2, 1096:11 Overruled [1] -1141:2, 1141:8, 1100:12, 1100:15, 1058:24, 1069:15, particular [18] -1088:10 1167:3, 1167:6, 1105:10, 1106:2, 1072:5, 1072:6, 1047:18, 1054:1, overruling [1] - 1088:8 1180:22, 1252:9 1106:5, 1106:11, 1075:3, 1075:20, 1054:5, 1055:14, overseeing [1] -Paige [1] - 1033:11 1107:9, 1107:20, 1075:21, 1083:20, 1062:7, 1070:14, 1070:3 **Pakistan** [1] - 1039:5 1108:21, 1113:2, 1093:22, 1253:16 1072:3, 1078:13, overseen [1] - 1070:1 Pam [4] - 1032:21, 1113:9, 1122:11, opinions [1] - 1232:14 1098:14, 1102:17, oversight [3] - 1070:9, 1039:21, 1041:17, 1123:25, 1129:3, opportunity [8] -1131:2, 1143:1, 1211:11, 1211:24 1041:18 1133:20, 1136:25, 1203:7, 1203:8, 1143:7, 1176:16, overstated [1] -Panel [1] - 1040:5 1137:11, 1137:24, 1222:12, 1243:14, 1185:1, 1192:3, 1231:6 1138:10, 1138:12, paper [10] - 1041:22, 1243:15, 1244:5, 1194:24, 1205:21 overview [2] -1078:18, 1081:17, 1140:4, 1144:23, 1248:6, 1249:6 Particularly [1] -1044:17, 1188:16 1145:4, 1149:20, 1095:21, 1224:5, opposed [2] -1092:23 own [13] - 1046:11, 1150:22, 1150:23, 1225:17, 1230:5, 1185:22, 1237:21 particularly [1] -1069:3, 1093:14, 1151:7, 1151:19, 1236:22, 1236:23, opposing [1] - 1250:5 1090:22 1108:10, 1112:14,

parties [7] - 1067:13, 1103:12, 1117:1, 1235:21 Perry [8] - 1034:2, picture [2] - 1059:2, 28 1142:19, 1175:18, 1119:7, 1120:12, permeability [4] -1054:16, 1058:6, 1225:17 1212:2, 1220:16, 1121:15, 1124:9, 1057:5, 1062:17, 1064:7, 1076:19, pictures [2] - 1041:23, 1124:17, 1124:20, 1236:15, 1245:2 1062:19, 1108:16 1076:24, 1088:5, 1228:2 1124:21, 1125:12, partnership [2] permeable [5] -1090:5 piece [3] - 1098:14, 1224:24, 1224:25 1127:11, 1133:22, 1053:15, 1055:8, person [9] - 1045:6, 1149:1, 1218:3 1146:14, 1149:5, 1060:3, 1065:24, partnerships [1] -1049:22, 1094:12, Pierre [8] - 1028:17, 1146:13 1155:22, 1157:1, 1078:18 1116:4, 1124:16, 1029:8, 1029:14, 1157:16, 1162:5, permission [1] -1146:12, 1203:22, parts [11] - 1074:9, 1187:5, 1187:6, 1164:12, 1165:5, 1074:10, 1093:15, 1155:24 1228:14, 1228:15 1216:1, 1230:25, 1187:23, 1188:14, 1101:13, 1112:22, permit [44] - 1043:2, personal [7] -1256:13 1189:24, 1190:3, 1113:1, 1113:9, 1044:11, 1044:23, 1041:16, 1083:23, piesometric [1] -1195:24, 1199:6, 1140:23, 1186:4, 1044:24, 1045:1, 1158:16, 1158:19, 1090:17 1199:15, 1199:18, 1046:20, 1047:16, 1177:17, 1209:18, 1201:16 piled [1] - 1081:21 1199:19, 1212:19, party [27] - 1101:9, 1069:17, 1094:5, 1209:19 piling [1] - 1084:8 1213:19, 1216:13, 1110:25, 1115:20, 1187:4, 1187:25, personally [4] pinhole [2] - 1159:23, 1221:8, 1221:14, 1117:8, 1132:10, 1188:2, 1188:7, 1096:25, 1177:22, 1160:2 1134:20, 1138:11, 1221:24, 1228:25, 1189:2, 1189:9, 1195:10, 1206:12 Pink [1] - 1041:22 1230:12, 1232:3, 1141:2, 1141:3, 1189:12, 1189:17, pertaining [2] pipe [22] - 1038:2, 1232:24, 1233:19, 1146:12, 1150:25, 1189:21, 1189:25, 1062:21, 1135:25 1038:3, 1052:18, 1233:21, 1234:7, 1190:2, 1190:5, 1152:1, 1154:4, Pertaining [1] -1103:19, 1159:23, 1234:9, 1236:16, 1154:22, 1155:11, 1190:12, 1191:6, 1065:21 1159:24, 1160:5, 1239:1, 1239:20, 1156:3, 1156:23, 1191:7, 1191:19, pertinent [1] - 1172:24 1160:25, 1169:24, 1241:2, 1241:5, 1191:21, 1191:22, 1160:10, 1161:6, Petersen [1] - 1049:15 1173:10, 1173:14, 1242:1, 1242:6, 1191:24, 1192:5, 1161:7, 1166:3, Petroleum [4] -1193:12, 1194:1, 1243:25, 1249:21, 1166:5, 1167:7, 1192:6, 1192:10, 1070:5, 1137:1, 1194:15, 1194:16, 1253:15 1169:3, 1212:2, 1192:11, 1192:12, 1152:1, 1229:23 1196:8, 1229:5, people's [1] - 1170:5 1237:21 1192:16, 1193:24, petroleum [29] -1239:12, 1243:17, per [24] - 1074:6, 1194:5, 1195:13, pass [3] - 1109:13, 1064:24, 1065:8, 1243:20, 1243:23, 1074:9, 1074:10, 1195:21, 1195:22, 1173:21, 1249:22 1113:9, 1130:9, 1246:23 1081:5, 1083:13, 1195:24, 1195:25, Pass [1] - 1211:16 1143:4, 1144:4, pipe's [1] - 1228:1 1112:22, 1113:1, passed [4] - 1190:14, 1196:2, 1197:2, 1144:24, 1145:25, Pipeline [75] -1113:9, 1113:10, 1230:25, 1231:19, 1239:1 1146:5, 1147:5, 1037:17, 1037:18, 1113:15, 1113:17, **PERMIT** [1] - 1028:5 1250:14 1147:6, 1151:1, 1038:15, 1038:23, 1180:23, 1186:4, Permit [9] - 1037:11, passing [1] - 1249:20 1151:9, 1152:3, 1039:7, 1039:8, 1186:12, 1186:13, 1188:20, 1190:6, past [6] - 1064:25, 1157:10, 1158:3, 1039:10, 1039:19, 1186:15, 1201:15, 1191:3, 1191:4, 1040:5, 1040:6, 1093:6, 1093:13, 1159:14, 1161:15, 1201:16, 1201:19, 1192:23, 1193:1, 1161:17, 1164:4, 1116:8, 1215:19, 1040:8, 1043:2, 1201:22, 1234:13, 1196:22, 1196:25 1242:3 1164:13, 1164:25, 1045:2, 1052:18, 1242:2 permit's [1] - 1189:22 1186:6, 1186:13, pasture [1] - 1160:12 1053:6, 1057:9, percent [3] - 1072:15, permits [24] - 1044:15, patience [1] - 1255:15 1204:13, 1207:23, 1057:10, 1057:15, 1109:4, 1228:9 1045:22, 1045:23, 1212:1, 1215:1 patient [1] - 1221:10 1057:19, 1057:24, perfectly [1] - 1053:23 1046:9, 1047:5, PHMSA [3] - 1037:11, 1058:5, 1059:1, pattern [1] - 1047:23 perform [2] - 1102:12, 1047:6, 1098:16, 1041:8, 1061:5 1059:12, 1061:5, pay [6] - 1134:13, 1133:15 1112:3, 1185:1, phone [3] - 1045:8, 1065:7, 1066:19, 1141:5, 1233:20, Performance [1] -1186:17, 1187:7, 1236:21, 1237:1, 1130:4, 1246:24 1067:4, 1068:10, 1037:18 1187:11, 1187:13, 1068:20, 1071:11, phonetic [2] -1237:7 performed [1] -1189:5, 1189:8, 1099:24, 1101:16, 1216:20, 1233:3 **Pay** [1] - 1040:11 1142:22 1189:19, 1190:9, 1102:3, 1111:14, phonetic) [2] - 1126:3, payment [1] - 1255:4 perhaps [5] - 1062:5, 1190:23, 1191:1, 1116:7, 1120:20, 1233:3 peaked [1] - 1135:23 1104:23, 1135:22, 1193:2, 1219:13, 1121:7, 1121:15, Photo [11] - 1038:9, penalties [1] -1205:24, 1206:22 1253:7, 1254:2, 1125:17, 1127:25, 1038:9, 1038:16, 1134:17 period [8] - 1074:19, 1254:13 1137:12, 1140:19, 1038:16, 1038:17, penetration [2] permittee [2] - 1195:3, 1126:21, 1133:12, 1141:7, 1150:1, 1038:17, 1038:20, 1164:25, 1165:1 1133:17, 1147:15, 1196:5 1038:20, 1038:21, 1150:2, 1150:6, pension [2] - 1238:3, 1152:25, 1205:8 permitting [3] -1150:10, 1150:18, 1041:11, 1041:11 1238:4 periodic [1] - 1205:4 1045:20, 1046:16, 1150:21, 1150:24, Phyllis [1] - 1039:24 people [60] - 1044:13, permanent [5] -1190:19 1151:3, 1151:10, pick [3] - 1114:9, 1044:20, 1049:11, 1124:22, 1154:10, Permitting [1] -1151:18, 1151:25, 1074:22, 1080:3, 1177:1, 1254:19 1159:3, 1159:6, 1184:20 1152:7, 1154:22, picking [1] - 1064:6 1086:2, 1103:8,

1154:25, 1162:8, 1218:22, 1219:22, 1200:21, 1207:2, 1206:4 preliminarily [1] -29 1168:14, 1211:19, 1220:3, 1225:10, 1207:7, 1207:11, **portion** [7] - 1061:9, 1188:12 1211:20, 1211:22, 1225:11, 1225:12, 1207:16, 1207:23, 1062:3, 1062:4, preparation [1] -1214:22, 1214:24, 1227:15, 1228:9, 1207:24, 1208:12, 1062:8, 1103:20, 1082:10 1214:25, 1215:4, 1228:18, 1232:15, 1208:17, 1218:12, 1136:5, 1188:21 prepare [2] - 1082:8, 1215:6, 1215:22, 1232:16, 1234:11, 1227:20, 1243:7 pose [2] - 1075:21, 1148:11 1218:16, 1219:21, 1240:13, 1240:17, plant [2] - 1209:11, 1143:15 prepared [4] -1222:20, 1223:3, 1245:14, 1245:20, 1209:15 poses [1] - 1143:10 1054:20, 1055:18, 1224:19 1245:22, 1248:13, plants [3] - 1208:22, **Position** [1] - 1037:18 1060:17, 1221:22 pipeline [123] -1254:12 1209:4, 1209:9 position [3] - 1092:19, prepares [1] - 1203:3 1037:23, 1038:15, **PIPELINE** [2] - 1028:4, plastic [1] - 1193:13 1110:8, 1209:2 preposterous [1] -1042:14, 1043:3, 1028:6 pleased [1] - 1120:5 positive [2] - 1165:12, 1234:11 1046:25, 1047:1, pipelines [11] pleasure [2] -1204:20 presence [2] - 1072:1, 1047:24, 1049:4, 1053:5, 1056:3, 1212:16, 1255:16 possibility [2] -1250:17 1052:21, 1053:12, 1057:1, 1064:25, **plume** [1] - 1121:18 1046:17, 1119:24 present [4] - 1052:20, 1053:18, 1054:1, 1152:20, 1181:11, plus [2] - 1106:23, possible [7] -1054:9, 1069:5, 1055:4, 1056:20, 1181:14, 1193:22, 1122:7 1044:21, 1106:17, 1079:7 1056:22, 1056:24, 1215:1, 1215:3, pockets [1] - 1233:8 presentation [3] -1106:20, 1167:9, 1057:3, 1057:23, 1242:4 point [49] - 1043:5, 1209:13, 1246:6, 1038:3, 1041:8, 1059:18, 1062:22, Piper [3] - 1033:2, 1046:12, 1073:18, 1246:8 1251:16 1063:21, 1064:17, 1041:9, 1041:10 1076:1, 1098:3, possibly [2] - 1070:7, presented [7] -1065:9, 1069:16, **Pipes** [1] - 1179:1 1101:6, 1116:11, 1124:4 1046:7, 1060:11, 1070:13, 1079:22, pipes [2] - 1173:17, 1119:15, 1126:9, post [4] - 1183:3, 1088:15, 1133:5, 1080:15, 1081:14, 1197:10 1126:17, 1126:19, 1183:6, 1226:2, 1188:24, 1205:8, 1082:14, 1082:18, pit [11] - 1121:21, 1127:1, 1139:7, 1242:10 1251:19 1089:8, 1096:15, 1121:22, 1121:24, 1142:23, 1142:25, posted [1] - 1127:2 presently [3] -1096:17, 1096:21, 1122:1, 1122:7, 1143:9, 1145:3, 1114:21, 1114:22, Posts [1] - 1223:3 1097:19, 1099:6, 1130:19, 1140:21, 1149:25, 1151:5, 1114:24 potential [16] - 1046:8, 1101:22, 1102:10, 1140:22, 1152:10, 1158:12, 1165:18, 1047:1, 1058:10, preserve [1] - 1223:7 1107:24, 1108:6, 1170:12, 1170:14 1171:9, 1172:9, 1058:13, 1058:17, pressure [6] -1109:13, 1122:3, place [22] - 1122:17, 1174:8, 1175:16, 1058:19, 1058:22, 1046:14, 1046:19, 1123:3, 1128:16, 1129:8, 1130:21, 1185:1, 1186:16, 1059:1, 1059:2, 1162:9, 1234:14, 1132:1, 1134:5, 1131:4, 1132:3, 1186:25, 1187:21, 1059:7, 1068:14, 1235:10, 1239:25 1134:8, 1136:3, 1187:24, 1187:25, 1134:13, 1143:14, 1082:14, 1119:24, pretty [10] - 1080:5, 1136:4, 1136:7, 1145:9, 1146:3, 1190:1, 1194:21, 1159:13, 1194:24, 1084:11, 1090:16, 1136:16, 1136:18, 1146:10, 1146:18, 1194:22, 1195:1, 1195:16 1122:16, 1173:6, 1136:21, 1136:22, 1155:14, 1155:17, 1196:2, 1205:10, potentially [2] -1175:10, 1222:2, 1138:8, 1148:12, 1158:22, 1168:1, 1212:6, 1212:10, 1118:7, 1213:17 1224:4, 1233:5, 1149:10, 1149:12, 1169:2, 1174:2, 1212:14, 1212:25, Potentially [2] -1251:4 1149:13, 1149:18, 1209:4, 1219:12, 1218:23, 1235:6, 1046:17, 1215:9 prevalent [1] - 1179:8 1151:2, 1151:4, 1226:9, 1229:1, 1235:7, 1236:16, Power [1] - 1029:9 prevention [1] -1151:6, 1151:15, 1238:8 1245:1, 1245:25, power [1] - 1241:24 1052:23 1151:22, 1152:5, placed [6] - 1194:12, 1249:3 PowerPoint [2] previous [4] -1152:15, 1152:21, 1194:14, 1194:16, pointing [1] - 1121:11 1038:3, 1041:8 1087:10, 1169:17, 1153:12, 1153:17, 1194:17, 1194:19, points [2] - 1194:23, Prairie [1] - 1081:18 1191:6, 1208:21 1153:20, 1154:2, 1252:11 1194:25 prairie [1] - 1081:23 previously [7] -1154:11, 1157:9, places [3] - 1089:13, **Policy** [1] - 1190:15 pre [2] - 1053:2, 1067:11, 1077:15, 1159:4, 1159:11, 1174:15, 1217:13 pollutants [2] -1166:14 1097:12, 1101:24, 1160:10, 1160:13, plain [1] - 1241:11 1113:7, 1185:2 1160:14, 1177:10, pre-pollution [1] -1161:16, 1162:20, plainly [1] - 1225:22 polluted [1] - 1121:7 1253:4 1053:2 1167:5, 1167:6, plan [2] - 1243:3, pollution [3] primacy [1] - 1198:24 predominant [1] -1168:2, 1174:20, 1252:5 1052:24, 1053:2, 1213:9 primarily [4] -1175:7, 1178:9, planning [7] -1119:24 1046:19, 1104:6, prefile [1] - 1171:19 1179:16, 1182:18, 1098:10, 1157:19, poly [3] - 1164:22, 1108:12, 1150:6 prefiled [10] - 1052:2, 1182:25, 1189:1, 1166:9, 1166:10, 1169:24, 1170:2 primary [2] - 1072:4, 1052:8, 1052:9, 1189:13, 1195:15, 1247:18, 1247:25, **pond** [1] - 1196:2 1109:12 1094:17, 1099:16, 1195:24, 1197:1, 1248:2 pop [1] - 1126:19 1172:2, 1172:10, print [1] - 1240:14 1200:22, 1204:19, **Plans** [1] - 1040:7 popular [1] - 1145:23 printouts [1] - 1056:2 1223:8, 1244:9, 1207:1, 1207:23, plans [15] - 1165:23, population [3] private [26] - 1068:11, 1244:15 1207:25, 1212:7, 1200:15, 1200:18, 1093:18, 1144:21, pregnant [1] - 1206:4 1073:15, 1074:1, 1212:12, 1216:4,

1074:18, 1118:20, 1215:25, 1235:12, 1066:6, 1068:19, 1058:9, 1060:7, 30 provisions [1] -1125:1, 1125:8, 1239:13 1068:20, 1090:25, 1079:4, 1080:18, 1147:17 1129:4, 1129:11, 1099:24, 1100:6, 1080:19, 1080:22, production [1] proximity [1] -1129:14, 1129:17, 1100:23, 1101:1, 1081:24, 1082:1, 1097:4 1065:23 1143:17, 1155:1, 1131:24, 1132:21, 1178:8, 1188:10, Production [1] -**PS-21** [1] - 1041:19 1155:8, 1155:20, 1038:18 1137:15, 1151:21, 1188:21, 1200:22, psi [3] - 1234:15, 1156:3, 1156:6, 1154:6, 1164:7, 1219:21, 1220:3, products [6] -1234:16, 1234:25 1156:7, 1156:15, 1168:23, 1173:15, 1227:7 1145:25, 1146:5, **PUBLIC** [2] - 1028:1, 1229:25, 1246:19, 1188:16, 1191:17, protect [10] - 1063:18, 1148:8, 1152:1, 1028:10 1247:2, 1247:8, 1208:9, 1211:18, 1112:17, 1112:25, 1204:13, 1239:22 Public [10] - 1039:20, 1247:10, 1247:11 1212:8, 1212:10, 1117:20, 1118:15, professional [4] -1039:20, 1039:21, pro [1] - 1029:5 1212:23, 1214:3, 1156:7, 1156:17, 1044:4, 1069:15, 1039:21, 1039:22, 1215:18, 1216:4, probability [1] -1156:18, 1156:19, 1184:7, 1198:6 1039:23, 1199:5, 1216:23, 1217:17, 1156:22 1227:16 Professional [2] -1200:7, 1256:6, 1218:10, 1236:3, Probability [1] protected [4] -1256:5, 1256:19 1256:18 1243:6, 1243:16, 1063:21, 1139:8, 1228:7 professionally [1] **public** [41] - 1044:16, 1243:19, 1243:24, problem [10] -1182:7 1185:5, 1241:23 1092:25, 1093:2, 1112:23, 1121:16, 1243:25 protecting [5] -Professor [1] - 1089:6 1117:20, 1118:11, Project [4] - 1038:15, 1125:1, 1125:3, 1125:8, 1126:11, Program [30] - 1044:8, 1118:20, 1119:21, 1039:2, 1111:19, 1125:4, 1125:5, 1138:6, 1158:20, 1044:11, 1044:18, 1120:4, 1124:14, 1111:22 1184:25 1214:1, 1228:20, 1049:21, 1092:17, 1124:15, 1124:18, **PROJECT** [1] - 1028:6 1239:22, 1244:4 1100:18, 1110:9, Protection [2] -1127:10, 1127:12, problems [4] - 1072:5, project's [1] - 1242:23 1117:11, 1185:7 1129:11, 1143:17, 1110:10, 1112:8, projects [15] - 1068:5, protection [31] -1116:7, 1137:11, 1149:8, 1157:9, 1117:11, 1131:12, 1164:22 1111:20, 1111:21, 1064:2, 1112:4, 1198:20, 1199:2, 1142:7, 1142:8, 1155:5, 1157:15, 1112:5, 1113:12, procedures [2] -1142:10, 1144:17, 1199:3, 1199:7, 1146:17, 1155:17 1193:3, 1200:16, 1113:19, 1114:12, 1200:5, 1200:13, 1184:16, 1184:19, proceed [7] - 1056:1, 1200:19, 1207:14, 1114:13, 1117:16, 1202:11, 1203:5, 1184:21, 1184:22, 1207:15, 1211:11, 1056:13, 1091:14, 1117:18, 1117:19, 1203:10, 1204:24, 1184:24, 1194:18, 1169:13, 1171:16, 1211:13, 1211:18, 1117:21, 1118:2, 1205:16, 1205:17, 1198:12, 1198:17, 1216:2, 1216:3 1118:5, 1118:19, 1237:13, 1237:22 1198:18, 1198:19, 1205:18, 1205:19, proceeded [1] -1200:14, 1200:17, promise [3] - 1086:15, 1118:21, 1119:1, 1212:22, 1213:3, 1255:1, 1255:2 1119:6, 1119:8, 1104:17 1211:8, 1211:9, 1217:2, 1217:4, Proof [1] - 1042:2 1119:12, 1119:20, 1212:21 1217:10, 1247:6, proceeding [3] -1120:7, 1120:16, proof [1] - 1075:17 1247:7, 1247:9 1094:13, 1208:9, program [32] -1124:13, 1131:11, 1046:21, 1092:19, proper [3] - 1111:10, publication [1] -1208:15 1138:21, 1139:14, 1092:20, 1093:5, 1168:23, 1177:17 1107:4 Proceedings [1] -1139:15, 1185:15, 1111:11, 1111:17, properties [1] publications [2] -1028:7 1213:10, 1213:16 1112:12, 1112:13, 1182:18 1106:23, 1107:1 PROCEEDINGS [1] provide [16] - 1048:20, 1115:2, 1115:18, **publicly** [1] - 1247:12 1029:13 property [23] -1050:5, 1059:5, 1118:22, 1119:13, proceedings [4] -1038:13, 1038:13, publish [1] - 1205:4 1117:5, 1120:3, 1119:14, 1119:16, 1094:5, 1255:21, 1041:16, 1098:15, published [12] -1120:9, 1125:12, 1120:2, 1139:18, 1121:22, 1125:25, 1078:8, 1095:3, 1256:9, 1256:12 1156:23, 1166:10, 1146:10, 1148:9, 1136:3, 1149:1, 1097:12, 1100:5, process [12] -1200:12, 1205:13, 1148:19, 1150:16, 1149:2, 1155:8, 1104:20, 1105:13, 1044:23, 1047:15, 1207:1, 1211:11, 1168:25, 1184:15, 1070:1, 1073:2, 1155:20, 1156:4, 1105:15, 1106:22, 1211:24, 1212:25, 1184:17, 1187:1, 1166:8, 1166:13, 1074:13, 1135:9, 1107:19, 1217:13, 1219:1 1187:7, 1188:19, 1166:21, 1179:16, 1236:21, 1238:23 1155:24, 1190:1, provided [12] -1190:19, 1190:20, 1182:20, 1182:22, 1194:8, 1213:3, **PUC** [6] - 1208:14, 1190:24, 1195:7, 1225:5, 1245:24, 1045:10, 1045:13, 1219:19, 1234:13 1212:9, 1212:24, 1198:19, 1211:7 1246:2, 1246:6, 1048:5, 1048:25, procure [1] - 1086:22 1217:2, 1223:3, 1049:2, 1057:11, programs [6] - 1116:9, 1252:16 1242:8 Produce [1] - 1039:18 1058:3, 1077:3, 1116:11, 1119:18, propose [2] - 1196:3, produce [3] - 1092:25, **PUC's** [2] - 1213:12, 1077:9, 1120:12, 1119:19, 1212:21, 1221:7 1123:12, 1166:16 1213:13 1120:15, 1182:15 1217:24 produced [3] proposed [25] pull [5] - 1067:6, providers [1] - 1120:4 progress [3] -1049:4, 1052:18, 1126:24, 1149:9, 1050:18, 1083:13, provides [2] - 1185:8, 1104:21, 1230:12 1052:21, 1053:12, 1154:7, 1159:18 1166:11 prohibitive [1] -1053:18, 1054:5, 1203:4 pulled [2] - 1122:18, producing [2] provision [1] -1204:17 1055:4, 1056:25, 1149:6 1050:15, 1098:10 1190:17 project [37] - 1053:22, 1058:4, 1058:6, product [4] - 1136:23, pulling [2] - 1063:16,

1073:7 1162:1, 1184:16, 1183:11, 1183:14, 1225:13 1091:9, 1099:14, 31 pump [11] - 1067:19, 1184:19, 1184:22, 1192:19, 1197:4, raised [1] - 1140:1 1102:19, 1109:16, 1067:22, 1067:23, 1184:24, 1211:8, 1197:5, 1197:6, ramifications [4] -1109:21, 1123:22, 1202:16, 1208:10, 1211:9, 1212:21 1125:13, 1138:17, 1067:25, 1145:18, 1225:15, 1231:5, quality [20] - 1052:22, 1209:22, 1209:23, 1231:6 1141:14, 1158:5, 1145:19, 1160:17, 1160:21, 1161:3, 1110:11, 1112:2, 1213:17, 1219:6, ranchers [1] - 1098:11 1158:13, 1158:19, 1112:9, 1112:14, 1219:17, 1219:25, 1159:2, 1163:3, 1161:4, 1229:22 Range [1] - 1111:23 1242:22, 1245:2, pumped [4] - 1070:24, 1112:18, 1113:20, 1169:8, 1169:11, range [3] - 1050:12, 1125:6, 1143:3, 1245:5, 1252:1, 1170:18, 1171:8, 1074:15, 1090:18, 1142:12, 1204:9 1157:2, 1185:3, 1252:5, 1252:19, 1172:18, 1178:20, 1145:21 ranney [1] - 1063:12 1252:21, 1252:22, 1183:22, 1191:11, pumping [1] - 1189:6 1185:6, 1185:9, Rapid [17] - 1051:24, 1185:17, 1185:18, 1255:9 1192:17, 1197:17, **pumps** [1] - 1067:19 1068:15, 1071:25, Quick [1] - 1038:19 1197:21, 1201:3, 1199:1, 1203:2, purification [2] -1072:24, 1073:10, 1239:24, 1240:1 quick [2] - 1167:9, 1202:15, 1210:3, 1063:14, 1133:7 1073:11, 1073:16, 1210:7, 1210:17, quantities [2] -1252:15 purpose [4] - 1133:6, 1073:21, 1073:23, 1215:15, 1216:7, 1089:22, 1148:1 quickly [4] - 1152:13, 1191:13, 1205:16, 1074:24, 1076:24, 1220:20, 1221:17, 1205:17 quantity [2] - 1147:24, 1152:16, 1152:18, 1086:15, 1153:18, 1152:2 1160:7 1223:9, 1244:22, purposes [3] - 1047:5, 1154:18, 1154:21, 1251:24 quarter [3] - 1131:10, quiet [1] - 1241:3 1130:16, 1132:15 1154:25 rate [1] - 1060:1 Quite [1] - 1082:24 1151:24, 1245:15 pursue [1] - 1136:6 rapidly [1] - 1108:17 raw [2] - 1059:8, quarterly [2] - 1202:4, pursued [1] - 1167:14 quite [16] - 1054:14, Rasmussen [54] -1106:25 1204:2 1059:9, 1060:3, Put [1] - 1242:10 1029:2, 1030:4, quarters [5] - 1225:10, 1069:18, 1073:17, Ray [2] - 1038:11, 1030:7, 1030:9, put [36] - 1064:4, 1049:22 1225:20, 1229:20, 1079:8, 1133:22, 1067:5, 1067:6, 1030:11, 1030:13, 1138:11, 1139:10, Raymond [1] - 1039:6 1245:16, 1245:23 1074:8, 1074:14, 1030:17, 1030:21, Questioned [1] -1140:12, 1140:14, 1030:24, 1031:3, re [1] - 1145:20 1077:17, 1079:16, 1040:6 1145:24, 1160:1, re-inject [1] - 1145:20 1080:1, 1095:16, 1031:6, 1031:23, questioning [5] -1182:1, 1204:8, reached [2] - 1137:13, 1032:10, 1032:14, 1095:21, 1097:10, 1211:25 1205:11 1087:20, 1087:22, 1033:12, 1033:15, 1109:6, 1132:3, 1169:18, 1196:20, 1033:18, 1033:20, reaches [1] - 1147:24 1132:6, 1132:9, 1220:20 R 1033:21, 1034:2, react [1] - 1152:16 1132:11, 1133:6, read [15] - 1049:13, 1149:23, 1157:20, Questions [1] -1034:6, 1034:9, 1039:15 1034:14, 1034:20, 1085:3, 1086:12, 1160:12, 1162:14, R59W [4] - 1038:10, 1034:23, 1035:3, questions [75] -1094:18, 1099:2, 1173:10, 1173:23, 1038:11, 1038:12, 1045:20, 1048:8, 1035:8, 1035:9, 1099:18, 1116:14, 1174:3, 1174:5, 1038:12 1035:12, 1035:13, 1180:20, 1181:24, 1051:4, 1051:7, 1224:2, 1224:4, Radio [1] - 1115:15 1051:9, 1052:7, 1035:16, 1035:18, 1182:4, 1187:14, 1224:5, 1231:19, rages [1] - 1226:12 1056:8, 1056:10, 1035:21, 1035:23, 1231:23, 1231:24, 1220:3, 1226:17, Rahn [28] - 1034:2, 1056:19, 1061:13, 1043:6, 1043:7, 1232:1, 1232:2 1235:24, 1241:11, 1037:22, 1054:9, 1051:13, 1066:11, 1241:16, 1241:21, 1061:18, 1062:21, reads [1] - 1116:16 1054:16, 1058:6, 1076:18, 1087:2, 1242:8 1064:22, 1066:10, ready [7] - 1061:16, 1064:7, 1076:19, 1067:15, 1067:18, 1091:8, 1091:13, puts [1] - 1187:23 1076:18, 1116:19, 1076:24, 1080:6, 1069:21, 1075:10, 1109:15, 1137:22, 1129:1, 1135:7, putting [5] - 1043:5, 1080:13, 1083:16, 1064:5, 1092:22, 1075:14, 1077:6, 1138:16, 1171:5, 1141:20, 1168:22 1085:18, 1085:24, 1077:8, 1080:7, 1178:19, 1183:21, real [4] - 1061:21, 1174:18, 1175:5 1086:9, 1086:21, 1197:16, 1203:19, **PVC** [2] - 1158:1, 1080:14, 1083:16, 1089:12, 1160:3, 1087:4, 1087:9, 1084:2, 1085:16, 1210:1, 1210:16, 1170:1 1174:15 1088:13, 1095:24, 1215:13, 1251:23 1086:8, 1087:20, reality [1] - 1230:11 1096:8, 1098:2, 1088:1, 1088:12, RASMUSSEN [59] -Q realize [8] - 1045:9, 1098:17, 1099:25, 1088:22, 1091:4, 1043:8, 1043:12, 1048:9, 1207:14, 1100:3, 1100:4, 1102:7, 1102:13, 1048:9, 1048:12, 1218:23, 1226:20, 1100:5, 1108:9, QLTG [1] - 1108:7 1106:21, 1107:8, 1049:23, 1051:14, 1233:12, 1235:4, 1227:9 Qualcomm [1] -1108:19, 1127:16, 1051:19, 1052:11, 1244:13 Rahn's [1] - 1108:14 1039:9 1128:23, 1130:1, 1054:23, 1055:22, realized [1] - 1235:1 railroad [2] - 1151:2, Quality [20] - 1049:18, 1131:2, 1134:3, 1056:7, 1066:12, realizing [1] - 1231:4 1049:19, 1049:20, 1151:3 1135:23, 1137:23, 1066:14, 1067:10, **Really** [1] - 1254:18 rain [1] - 1175:10 1049:21, 1110:9, 1156:6, 1166:24, 1075:13, 1076:19, really [34] - 1077:25, 1110:10, 1112:2, rainfall [1] - 1224:11 1169:5, 1175:17, 1076:22, 1077:11, 1079:24, 1090:9, rains [2] - 1182:1, 1112:8, 1142:7, 1178:20, 1178:21, 1080:6, 1086:18, 1118:15, 1118:22, 1226:18 1142:8, 1142:10, 1181:5, 1181:7, 1087:3, 1088:10, 1120:2, 1124:2, raise [2] - 1087:20,

1126:22, 1139:16, recollection [2] -1030:7, 1030:7, 1106:22 1142:23, 1143:1, 32 1148:24, 1149:6, 1071:7, 1248:21 1030:11, 1030:11, referred [15] - 1050:4, 1146:9, 1147:2, 1150:18, 1153:23, 1030:15, 1030:19, 1078:24, 1088:14, 1147:11, 1148:3, reconnaissance [1] -1150:17, 1169:2, 1154:4, 1154:20, 1030:19, 1030:24, 1104:25 1089:10, 1095:3, 1161:6. 1165:24. reconvene [1] -1030:24, 1031:8, 1098:3, 1098:7, 1198:23 1166:18, 1192:3, 1031:12, 1031:12, 1100:17, 1140:19, Regulations [1] -1221:11 1221:23, 1222:16, 1031:13, 1031:24, 1142:11, 1144:5, reconvened [1] -1201:13 1222:17, 1226:22, 1032:11, 1032:12, 1167:25, 1189:5, regulatory [3] -1171:3 1232:17, 1238:23, 1032:16, 1032:16, 1189:7, 1224:23 1046:6, 1098:11, record [18] - 1043:20, 1239:2, 1240:2, 1033:22, 1033:23, referring [11] - 1061:4, 1091:18, 1109:25, 1198:19 1241:4, 1241:18, 1034:11, 1034:12, 1061:7, 1064:3, related [4] - 1122:3, 1139:22, 1141:22, 1241:22, 1246:1, 1034:15, 1035:10, 1067:19, 1089:12, 1142:3, 1184:3, 1164:2, 1164:4, 1250:24, 1251:4 1035:13, 1035:13, 1089:19, 1140:14, 1189:2 1197:25, 1210:23, Realtime [2] - 1256:6, 1035:14, 1035:18, 1143:1, 1154:19, relation [1] - 1088:15 1221:1, 1221:5, 1035:18, 1035:23, 1256:19 1237:21, 1240:7 1234:21, 1242:20, relations [1] - 1203:5 1036:5, 1036:5, reason [15] - 1046:1, refined [2] - 1072:11, 1242:24, 1243:3, **Relations** [1] - 1177:8 1036:6, 1036:10, 1093:16, 1093:20, 1243:4, 1243:11, 1215:25 relative [1] - 1100:25 1097:17, 1098:16, 1249:4 1036:10, 1036:11, Refined [1] - 1065:11 relatively [1] - 1108:16 1105:16, 1105:21, 1036:15, 1036:15, records [5] - 1044:14, reflects [1] - 1106:3 release [52] - 1073:20, 1036:16, 1036:20, 1125:11, 1134:21, refuses [1] - 1155:12 1053:3, 1059:16, 1115:12, 1115:17, 1036:20, 1036:21 1136:6, 1136:18, 1065:4, 1149:11 regard [17] - 1052:17, 1120:20, 1122:4, 1149:21, 1150:3, red [2] - 1108:5. recover [3] - 1117:5, 1057:8, 1088:1, 1122:13, 1125:22, 1109:9 1200:21, 1207:6 1117:8, 1167:7 1089:1, 1089:14, 1147:13, 1147:16, reasonable [1] redacted [1] - 1041:22 RECROSS [5] -1115:18, 1118:25, 1147:18, 1147:24, 1232:24 **Redfield** [1] - 1104:5 1120:6, 1138:21, 1069:22, 1075:15, 1148:4, 1148:24, reasons [3] - 1093:17, redirect [10] -1138:18, 1140:9, 1144:14, 1153:3, 1149:15, 1150:21, 1094:1. 1152:16 1169:15 1066:11, 1076:10, 1155:7, 1195:13, 1150:24, 1150:25, Rebuttal [8] - 1037:3, 1087:1, 1087:13, 1200:13, 1203:14, Recross [43] - 1030:7, 1151:5, 1151:8, 1037:4, 1037:5, 1087:17, 1169:7, 1217:17, 1217:20 1030:7, 1030:11, 1151:9, 1151:19, 1170:17, 1197:16, 1037:6, 1037:7, Regarding [2] -1030:11, 1030:15, 1151:20, 1151:22, 1037:8, 1037:8, 1209:25, 1220:7 1030:19, 1030:19, 1067:18, 1193:21 1151:25, 1152:2, 1037:9 1030:24, 1030:24, **Redirect** [17] - 1030:6, regarding [6] -1152:19, 1154:2, receive [6] - 1147:1, 1030:15, 1030:23, 1031:8, 1031:12, 1050:3, 1069:8, 1156:8, 1157:7, 1237:1, 1237:4, 1031:12, 1031:13, 1031:8, 1031:9, 1095:24, 1101:10, 1159:16, 1159:21, 1031:15, 1032:11, 1237:6, 1238:5, 1031:24, 1032:11, 1208:11, 1217:18 1160:20, 1161:5, 1238:6 1033:21, 1034:11, 1032:12, 1032:16, 1161:7, 1161:9, regime [1] - 1210:12 1035:9, 1035:17, received [16] -1032:16, 1033:22, 1161:14, 1161:16, Registered [2] -1054:25, 1070:2, 1033:23, 1034:11, 1035:22, 1036:4, 1256:5, 1256:19 1161:24, 1162:2, 1036:9, 1036:14, 1077:14, 1077:15, 1034:12, 1034:15, 1162:4, 1162:7, registered [3] -1148:7, 1148:12, 1035:10, 1035:13, 1036:19, 1036:21 1162:24, 1163:12, 1044:3, 1184:7, 1150:11, 1158:10, REDIRECT [2] -1035:13, 1035:14, 1163:14, 1168:16, 1198:6 1161:8, 1188:16, 1035:18, 1035:18, 1066:13, 1138:1 1187:3, 1188:6, regular [1] - 1063:13 1188:17, 1212:22, 1035:22, 1035:23, reduce [1] - 1206:23 1188:8, 1215:3, regulate [4] - 1130:9, 1212:23, 1222:20, Reed [4] - 1029:2, 1036:5, 1036:5, 1215:5, 1215:7 1187:9, 1187:24, 1223:12, 1244:25 1036:6, 1036:10, 1043:6, 1141:19, Release [2] - 1070:5, 1199:20 Received [6] - 1037:1, 1158:11 1036:10, 1036:11, 1137:1 regulated [4] -1038:1, 1039:1, reevaluated [1] -1036:15, 1036:15, released [1] - 1152:4 1147:18, 1186:25, 1040:1, 1041:1, 1036:16, 1036:20, 1192:8 releases [40] -1199:3, 1205:7 1042:1 1036:20, 1036:21 refer [7] - 1060:18, 1114:20, 1122:6, Regulated [7] receives [1] - 1148:9 recross [2] - 1076:10, 1063:13, 1067:20, 1123:14, 1123:16, 1110:22, 1116:6, recent [2] - 1083:5, 1087:14 1150:3, 1168:6, 1142:17, 1142:18, 1117:4, 1134:15, 1169:22, 1201:5 1132:19 **Recross-Examinatin** 1143:8, 1144:2, 1134:25, 1136:20, recess [8] - 1091:11, reference [8] -[1] - 1035:22 1147:12, 1147:20, 1212:4 1171:1, 1171:3, 1060:20, 1081:17, **RECROSS-**1148:8, 1148:10, regulates [1] -1221:2, 1221:4, 1098:17, 1122:8, **EXAMINATION** [5] -1148:12, 1148:21, 1198:20 1221:6, 1255:20, 1138:20, 1140:13, 1069:22, 1075:15, 1149:10, 1149:12, regulating [1] -1255:21 1138:18, 1140:9, 1143:24, 1179:22 1149:13, 1149:18, 1199:24 recharge [2] -1169:15 referenced [1] -1149:20, 1150:1, regulation [1] - 1147:4 1053:12, 1064:2 1085:8 1150:2, 1150:4, Recrossregulations [11] recitation [1] - 1240:5 1150:8, 1150:10, Examination [42] references [1] -1125:5, 1130:14,

1150:13, 1150:22, removed [1] - 1130:25 reporting [2] -1110:19, 1150:19, 1185:14 33 1152:15, 1152:18, render [1] - 1144:11 1050:24, 1150:19 1156:23, 1189:23, Resources [18] -1153:15, 1153:22, reports [14] - 1070:2, 1190:16, 1195:17, 1044:7, 1044:10, Renner [8] - 1053:6, 1157:18, 1159:14, 1196:1, 1198:25, 1150:7, 1151:18, 1083:12, 1085:8, 1053:4, 1055:20, 1159:20, 1164:3, 1199:4, 1200:10 1065:4, 1065:18, 1153:4, 1153:5, 1085:11, 1088:14, 1164:15, 1167:6, 1092:24, 1101:13, requires [1] - 1202:24 1068:22, 1092:17, 1159:21, 1162:16 1169:3, 1216:1 rent [1] - 1173:1 1104:20, 1104:21, requiring [1] -1094:4, 1110:4, releasing [1] - 1187:7 1106:25, 1148:7, 1146:18 1133:12, 1142:7, Renzienhausen [2] -1144:19, 1176:14, relevance [3] - 1193:7, rereading [1] -1168:8, 1168:13, 1226:7, 1226:8 1193:14, 1236:11 1170:4 1075:24 1184:11, 1194:4, repairs [1] - 1153:20 relevant [2] - 1236:18, 1198:9, 1211:6 repeat [3] - 1063:1, represent [2] reroute [17] - 1058:4, respect [12] - 1057:2, 1249:13 1077:20, 1208:8 1058:5, 1058:9, 1243:12, 1248:19 relics [3] - 1176:10, 1057:9, 1057:17, representation [2] -1058:10, 1058:14, repercussions [1] -1058:9, 1058:16, 1176:17, 1176:19 1095:4, 1097:8 1058:16, 1058:20, 1225:16 1070:12, 1137:2, relocation [1] representative [3] -1058:24, 1059:1, rephrase [4] - 1089:5, 1137:17, 1244:8, 1120:21 1104:11, 1105:6, 1046:5, 1069:5, 1059:2, 1059:7, remain [3] - 1143:6, 1212:17 1060:7, 1080:18, 1244:9, 1244:14 1163:13 1143:14, 1144:5 1080:19, 1080:23, respected [1] - 1100:7 representatives [3] -Report [10] - 1039:14, 1081:24, 1243:19 responded [1] remark [1] - 1214:20 1106:17, 1214:3, 1048:18, 1050:4, 1046:11 rerouted [1] - 1243:24 remediate [4] -1050:7, 1069:9, 1216:11 responding [1] -1067:2, 1128:1, 1202:21, 1202:25, represented [1] research [1] - 1243:22 1155:8, 1227:20 1216:14 reservoir [1] - 1117:23 1163:9 1204:4, 1205:6 Response [8] represents [2] residence [1] remediated [5] report [29] - 1050:9, 1080:18, 1095:13 1164:21 1042:22, 1042:23, 1115:1, 1131:17, 1050:20, 1050:21, 1110:22, 1116:6, 1133:1, 1153:4, 1057:11, 1072:18, request [7] - 1052:3, Residents [1] - 1039:4 1154:12 1087:9, 1088:16, 1171:4, 1171:6, resides [1] - 1093:18 1117:4, 1134:16, 1134:25, 1212:4 remediation [33] -1089:10, 1104:25, 1189:24, 1213:5, resist [1] - 1233:10 response [9] -1065:25, 1066:1, 1213:9, 1218:2 1105:2, 1105:4, resistant [1] - 1158:3 1128:13, 1138:3, Request [2] - 1042:22, 1066:3, 1066:15, 1105:5, 1105:8, Resolution [7] -1142:17, 1155:18, 1066:16, 1073:2, 1042:23 1105:9, 1105:15, 1037:24, 1041:5, 1074:13, 1115:1, 1179:22, 1183:14, 1105:25, 1106:6, requested [2] -1042:9, 1222:22, 1206:6, 1218:7, 1115:19, 1116:3, 1107:5, 1107:19, 1045:3, 1218:3 1223:4, 1223:22, 1121:1, 1121:2, 1243:3 1115:12, 1147:14, requests [2] -1230:4 responses [1] -1121:4, 1128:13, 1162:12, 1202:23, 1212:23, 1214:15 resolution [16] -1130:14, 1130:15, 1213:2 1203:2, 1203:4, require [13] - 1045:21, 1138:4, 1222:19, responsibilities [3] -1131:15, 1141:1, 1203:11, 1204:4, 1155:10, 1156:9, 1223:6, 1223:11, 1044:9, 1111:20, 1141:9, 1144:14, 1217:17 1169:2, 1188:7, 1224:1, 1230:25, 1145:5, 1145:11, 1157:13 reported [17] -1194:19, 1195:3, 1231:19, 1232:6, 1146:8, 1146:18, responsibility [6] -1110:15, 1146:21, 1196:2, 1196:21, 1249:22, 1250:1, 1152:12, 1153:10, 1125:19, 1138:13, 1146:23, 1147:2, 1200:5, 1219:11, 1250:5, 1250:8, 1170:7, 1170:14, 1154:3, 1155:18, 1147:10, 1147:19, 1242:9 1250:17, 1250:20, 1156:7, 1165:22, 1196:6, 1241:13 1147:22, 1148:2, required [30] -1252:10, 1253:22 1165:25, 1166:6, responsible [37] -1148:6, 1148:22, 1044:25, 1046:10, resort [3] - 1111:2, 1110:25, 1115:20, 1166:7 1150:5, 1150:24, 1046:13, 1046:14, 1134:19, 1239:25 remedies [3] - 1206:9, 1116:4, 1117:7, 1153:24, 1161:5, 1048:17, 1050:19, Resource [1] -1206:13, 1206:14 1161:8, 1168:9, 1145:17, 1145:18, 1121:1, 1121:3, 1049:17 remedy [1] - 1117:5 1204:24 1132:10, 1134:20, 1148:6, 1186:16, resource [5] remember [16] -1136:1, 1136:7, Reported [1] -1188:2, 1188:20, 1052:20, 1119:8, 1085:25, 1086:3, 1028:24 1138:11, 1141:2, 1190:10, 1191:8, 1119:10, 1119:16, 1141:3, 1142:18, 1088:4, 1100:11, Reporter [5] -1193:2, 1193:5, 1154:11 1146:12, 1154:21, 1109:2, 1121:10, 1116:16, 1256:6, 1194:2, 1195:9, resources [19] -1130:5, 1133:10, 1256:19, 1256:19 1195:11, 1196:25, 1155:10, 1155:11, 1044:21, 1052:19, 1155:22, 1156:3, 1137:11, 1153:10, reporter [13] -1197:2, 1200:7, 1058:11, 1061:2, 1156:23, 1157:6, 1159:16, 1169:18, 1202:11, 1202:24, 1043:15, 1051:17, 1080:20, 1092:23, 1166:3, 1166:4, 1248:9, 1248:23, 1076:20, 1091:15, 1204:3, 1204:5, 1092:24, 1093:24, 1169:2, 1174:17, 1250:24, 1251:12 1109:22, 1141:24, 1205:3, 1205:20, 1093:25, 1104:18, remembered [1] -1207:7, 1219:14 1184:19, 1184:24, 1171:12, 1171:13, 1105:1, 1105:3, 1185:3, 1185:11, 1168:10 1183:23, 1197:22, requirement [2] -1137:2, 1168:23, 1187:1, 1200:14, 1210:20, 1221:25, 1202:25, 1219:1 remove [3] - 1068:2, 1176:11, 1176:17, 1212:2, 1215:2, 1144:25, 1145:8 1256:9 requirements [10] -1184:18, 1185:4,

1249:22 1186:24, 1226:9, 1063:25, 1080:15 1031:14, 1031:15 scheduled [2] -34 rest [5] - 1118:17, 1226:14, 1226:22, Roxanne [1] - 1029:6 sakes [1] - 1225:18 1214:11, 1221:13 1162:25, 1163:2, 1227:12, 1227:23 RPR [1] - 1028:24 sale [1] - 1240:23 Schematic [1] -1199:17, 1240:20 road [9] - 1047:9, rule [3] - 1169:13, **Salvation** [1] - 1234:6 1042:22 restored [2] - 1166:14, 1126:10, 1168:3, School [22] - 1044:3, 1201:10, 1203:23 sample [7] - 1038:2, 1166:16 1181:20, 1222:14, 1038:3, 1165:10, 1051:25, 1066:20, Rule [1] - 1224:1 1253:7, 1253:8, Restrictions [1] rules [4] - 1125:5, 1202:2, 1202:4, 1066:24, 1067:4, 1254:3, 1254:14 1068:10, 1068:20, 1037:19 1130:11, 1130:14, 1204:20, 1206:7 roads [7] - 1174:14, 1068:23, 1069:25, restrictive [2] -1147:23 sampled [5] -1174:15, 1174:17, 1195:17, 1196:1 1194:16, 1203:21, 1071:6, 1077:2, run [10] - 1047:12, rests [1] - 1254:4 1226:12, 1226:13, 1047:13, 1145:19, 1203:24, 1204:5, 1120:20, 1121:8, 1254:12, 1254:17 1204:6 1121:19, 1122:19, resubmit [3] -1157:21, 1157:24, 1222:19, 1222:24, **Robert** [2] - 1029:9, Samples [1] - 1038:7 1122:25, 1124:8, 1170:2, 1175:2, 1030:3 1131:2, 1133:1, 1223:2 1204:14, 1227:15, samples [6] - 1156:11, 1138:5, 1149:15, robust [1] - 1093:5 result [13] - 1070:12, 1235:25 1165:12, 1195:2, 1071:6, 1072:24, rock [2] - 1081:8, running [7] - 1152:21, 1195:3, 1195:5, 1236:25 1073:20, 1074:1, 1176:25 1157:9, 1174:20, 1202:1 school [23] - 1071:8, 1120:21, 1124:9, 1131:21, 1131:22, rocket [2] - 1045:11, 1179:2, 1202:4, sampling [7] -1124:10, 1124:12, 1132:3, 1149:15, 1047:21 1225:20, 1243:14 1194:11, 1194:14, 1126:10, 1126:13, 1150:5, 1150:17, rocks [1] - 1081:12 runoff [1] - 1188:1 1203:19, 1203:23, 1126:15, 1126:16, 1154:11, 1170:5 **Rocky** [1] - 1081:6 runs [6] - 1153:17, 1203:25, 1204:1, resulted [1] - 1154:3 rodents [1] - 1174:22 1204:3 1127:9, 1128:10, 1164:20, 1226:4, 1199:14, 1224:15, sand [10] - 1050:25, resume [1] - 1043:6 Rodrick [1] - 1029:2 1226:5, 1229:4, 1236:21, 1237:1, retired [1] - 1077:1 rolling [1] - 1235:9 1229:12 1055:17, 1060:3, 1237:2, 1237:3, return [1] - 1213:1 rollup [1] - 1103:19 rupture [2] - 1136:4, 1089:1, 1096:21, 1237:4, 1237:6, 1136:5 1100:14, 1108:11, review [12] - 1089:7, Ron [1] - 1038:18 1238:17, 1238:21, rural [23] - 1063:2, 1133:7, 1139:6, 1120:16, 1120:18, Room [1] - 1029:14 1239:7 1243:21 1063:8, 1102:24, 1200:18, 1207:6, room [7] - 1055:3, Schramm [3] -Sands [4] - 1039:2, 1103:3, 1157:2, 1207:7, 1207:11, 1130:3, 1130:4, 1035:15, 1042:16, 1039:16, 1039:19, 1207:22, 1207:23, 1164:23, 1169:18, 1130:6, 1176:2, 1042:20 1169:25, 1170:9, 1040:7 1207:24, 1218:20 1228:5, 1243:22 Schutz [1] - 1029:2 1170:13, 1179:22, sands [2] - 1050:14, reviewed [4] rough [1] - 1085:2 **Science** [6] - 1043:23, 1193:5, 1193:13, 1096:12 1094:15, 1099:15, roughly [5] - 1083:7, 1091:21, 1092:13, 1200:21, 1207:3 1090:9, 1093:6, 1199:9, 1199:20, Sandstone [1] -1198:3, 1198:4, 1204:19, 1204:23, 1081:13 reviewing [2] -1237:5 1211:1 1207:1, 1207:18, sandy [5] - 1054:6, 1200:15, 1208:12 round [1] - 1199:11 science [4] - 1045:11, 1229:2, 1229:3, Reviews [1] - 1038:6 1064:2, 1104:4, Route [2] - 1037:20, 1243:18 1184:6, 1185:8, 1104:6, 1243:23 Richard [5] - 1029:6, 1038:24 1211:2 Rural [5] - 1037:24, Sask [1] - 1039:13 1031:16, 1039:23, route [33] - 1037:10, Scientific [1] - 1038:6 1043:4, 1139:13, sat [3] - 1248:3, 1040:15, 1040:16 1046:8, 1049:4, scientist [1] - 1142:9 1160:22, 1199:21 rides [1] - 1084:11 1248:16, 1253:1 1052:18, 1054:1, rushed [1] - 1253:15 **scope** [1] - 1076:9 rights [3] - 1046:10, satisfied [1] - 1100:20 1054:5, 1057:4, scoping [4] - 1216:22, rust [3] - 1174:24, saturated [1] - 1055:9 1189:8, 1213:18 1058:22, 1059:9, 1217:1, 1217:3, 1179:5, 1179:7 **Saturday** [1] - 1174:6 **Rights** [5] - 1044:8, 1062:6, 1062:16, 1217:10 1044:18, 1069:6, saw [6] - 1095:6, 1064:6, 1069:16, S Scott [11] - 1028:21, 1100:18, 1194:18 1071:17, 1071:19, 1095:22, 1097:16, 1030:12, 1034:16, Riley [1] - 1211:16 1116:8, 1116:9, 1079:11, 1079:22, 1042:6, 1042:7, ringed [1] - 1132:12 1079:25, 1080:4, 1151:16 S.W [1] - 1028:22 1171:17, 1172:13, scale [17] - 1055:7, 1082:18, 1083:20, risk [5] - 1143:8, safe [2] - 1196:11, 1172:15, 1172:21, 1084:19, 1096:13, 1058:25, 1059:5, 1143:10, 1143:15, 1199:1 1172:22, 1181:9 1096:21, 1100:19, 1060:9, 1079:18, 1156:12, 1170:3 Safe [5] - 1198:21, scraped [1] - 1160:13 River [24] - 1029:9, 1102:10, 1103:19, 1079:21, 1079:23, 1198:22, 1198:23, screen [2] - 1050:17 1029:11, 1040:13, 1107:23, 1108:6, 1085:3, 1088:18, 1199:25, 1202:25 screened [1] -1109:4, 1109:13, 1095:5, 1095:13, 1041:11, 1041:11, safely [1] - 1192:7 1050:16 1178:9, 1245:14 1053:16, 1081:20, 1097:12, 1105:11, Safety [2] - 1037:18, scribbled [1] routed [2] - 1053:19, 1105:13, 1105:14 1082:6, 1082:17, 1061:5 1243:10 1089:8 **Scandlin** [1] - 1233:2 1082:20, 1093:12, **safety** [3] - 1207:10, **SD** [8] - 1029:10, 1097:8, 1097:9, routes [1] - 1099:6 scene [1] - 1116:1 1207:13, 1240:13 routing [5] - 1056:24, Schaeffer [1] -1037:10, 1037:13, 1123:13, 1159:17, Sahr [3] - 1029:9, 1037:14, 1037:17, 1056:25, 1057:3, 1038:18 1162:2, 1185:14,

1037:20, 1037:21, seem [1] - 1145:23 separated [2] -1167:2 1241:16, 1241:17 35 1038:6 **seeps** [2] - 1082:19, 1071:1, 1098:23 shell [1] - 1247:19 Sign [1] - 1233:20 se [2] - 1029:5, 1081:5 1082:21 shock [2] - 1253:25, signed [3] - 1049:12, separately [1] -1207:15, 1241:9 seam [1] - 1159:23 1149:22 1254:6 segments [2] -Shocking [1] **seasons** [1] - 1179:13 1195:24, 1197:11 separation [3] significant [1] -1040:11 seat [3] - 1043:14, selected [1] - 1045:13 1129:4, 1129:7, 1096:20 1051:15, 1171:10 1129:8 shoot [1] - 1170:25 significantly [1] sell [2] - 1240:21, Second [1] - 1042:23 1246:23 September [2] short [9] - 1088:23, 1072:12 second [7] - 1043:24, SEMMLER [30] -1126:14, 1217:11 1091:8, 1091:11, **silt** [3] - 1060:4, series [2] - 1098:9, 1137:24, 1152:25, 1096:11, 1104:6 1067:25, 1075:20, 1051:4, 1061:14, 1126:13, 1171:11, 1071:15, 1071:21, 1145:19 1191:22, 1209:2, silt-sized [1] - 1096:11 serious [2] - 1075:22, 1221:4, 1243:14 1077:13, 1083:17, 1178:25 **silts** [4] - 1060:8, shorthand [2] -Secretary [1] -1083:19, 1084:1, 1174:5 1096:20, 1108:11, 1101:4, 1107:9, 1256:8, 1256:9 1133:11 serve [1] - 1199:14 1108:13 secretary [1] -1107:11, 1108:18, **serves** [4] - 1124:19, **Shortly** [1] - 1189:20 similar [6] - 1066:7, 1135:12 1128:24, 1141:12, 1127:11, 1199:5, show [13] - 1050:9, 1089:16, 1089:21, 1158:9, 1158:21, 1055:13, 1060:10, Section [5] - 1038:10, 1199:10 1107:20, 1207:24, 1038:11, 1038:12, 1166:24, 1172:20, service [7] - 1164:17, 1085:9, 1088:14, 1233:21 1181:6, 1197:5, 1089:16, 1095:12, simple [2] - 1241:19, 1038:12, 1042:22 1164:19, 1169:20, section [11] - 1050:12, 1209:22, 1219:8, 1170:8, 1199:11, 1107:15, 1107:17, 1241:20 1219:16, 1223:13, 1211:14 1126:22, 1168:1, simplest [1] - 1044:20 1111:6, 1111:20, 1229:10, 1242:19, 1193:25 1111:25, 1112:1, session [2] - 1091:13, Simplified [1] -1242:24, 1243:11, 1221:6 **showed** [3] - 1088:17, 1112:2, 1121:22, 1042:22 1244:24, 1252:21 1142:10, 1142:11, set [12] - 1112:17, 1161:14, 1240:19 simply [3] - 1047:8, Semmler [34] -1142:13, 1146:24 1112:19, 1114:4, **showing** [5] - 1046:7, 1240:11, 1241:8 1028:13, 1030:4, 1054:10, 1103:19, section's [1] - 1144:1 1114:5, 1114:7, single [1] - 1079:1 1030:10, 1030:18, 1114:8, 1125:7, 1104:1, 1132:25 sections [4] - 1085:8, Sioux [32] - 1053:6, 1030:19, 1030:23, shown [3] - 1055:7, 1185:10, 1187:18, 1085:9, 1147:23, 1053:9, 1065:24, 1031:4, 1032:9, 1189:23, 1196:5, 1104:9, 1241:9 1149:20 1068:12, 1068:14, 1032:11, 1032:15, 1225:3 **shows** [13] - 1055:3, sediment [1] -1069:4, 1071:4, 1033:10, 1033:22, Sets [1] - 1039:12 1109:12 1055:15. 1055:16. 1072:23, 1078:25, 1034:3, 1034:7, setting [2] - 1119:6, 1055:20, 1056:4, sediments [3] -1096:14, 1102:3, 1035:9, 1035:11, 1056:5, 1059:9, 1104:3, 1104:6, 1185:3 1122:22, 1128:1, 1035:15, 1035:17, 1108:15 settlement [3] -1062:16, 1078:9, 1128:7, 1128:11, 1035:20, 1035:22, 1127:4, 1167:14 1079:22, 1083:12, 1129:20, 1131:6, **see** [39] - 1060:19, 1036:2, 1036:4, 1088:20, 1089:25 1064:9, 1078:21, settling [1] - 1196:2 1131:11, 1133:5, 1036:7, 1036:9, **shut** [7] - 1073:19, 1079:6, 1080:13, 1133:16, 1151:9, seven [6] - 1104:20, 1036:12, 1036:14, 1152:22, 1154:14, 1151:23, 1151:24, 1090:5, 1095:10, 1115:15, 1146:24, 1036:17, 1036:19, 1175:7, 1175:9, 1155:4, 1159:10, 1153:7, 1159:17, 1095:25, 1097:5, 1036:21, 1036:21, 1099:2, 1103:20, 1159:12, 1159:18 1162:2, 1162:23, 1181:25 1036:24, 1071:13, **Sibson** [5] - 1033:7, 1211:20, 1211:23, 1104:1, 1104:8, Seven [1] - 1178:7 1158:15, 1252:19 1040:4, 1042:1, 1214:22, 1227:23, 1106:1, 1115:5, several [11] - 1052:22, send [5] - 1111:9, 1042:2, 1042:3 1227:24 1138:23, 1139:5, 1053:14, 1066:18, 1116:20, 1191:25, 1145:12, 1150:14, sic [1] - 1229:9 Sisseton [1] - 1081:19 1084:9, 1093:2, 1195:5, 1217:23 1166:18, 1170:23, sick [1] - 1228:1 sisters [1] - 1252:17 1103:9, 1146:5, senior [2] - 1120:13, 1175:7, 1176:4, sit [3] - 1081:9, 1174:8, 1174:11, sickest [1] - 1228:4 1142:9 1176:25, 1179:2, 1216:13, 1227:7 side [10] - 1055:3, 1166:8, 1233:14 sense [1] - 1113:24 1180:16, 1189:21, sewer [2] - 1047:11, 1073:14, 1078:10, **site** [43] - 1067:4, sensitive [9] -1218:23, 1218:24, 1071:4 1160:13, 1161:24, 1069:25, 1070:4, 1053:20, 1054:8, 1219:3, 1223:23, shale [1] - 1050:25 1195:8, 1195:9, 1070:19, 1070:20, 1060:19, 1060:22, 1225:17, 1225:22, 1224:9, 1226:7, 1102:5, 1102:6, **shallow** [2] - 1180:9, 1060:24, 1061:10, 1226:3, 1226:6, 1230:22 1120:25, 1121:14, 1180:14 1079:3, 1080:25, 1227:14, 1231:3, Sidley [1] - 1028:19 1121:20, 1121:21, shape [4] - 1096:14, 1090:23 1241:2, 1246:5 1098:5, 1099:11, Siegel [1] - 1029:2 1122:18, 1128:1, sent [4] - 1045:15, seeing [1] - 1157:4 1130:23, 1130:25, **Sieh** [7] - 1031:15, 1111:10 1153:25, 1162:5, **Seeking** [2] - 1247:22, 1131:2, 1133:1, 1031:24, 1032:2, shares [4] - 1246:21, 1188:18 1139:12, 1140:19, 1247:24 1033:4, 1041:1, 1247:5, 1247:11, sentence [1] - 1179:4 seeking [2] - 1048:4, 1140:20, 1140:23, 1247:14 1041:2, 1242:8 **separate** [3] - 1067:7, 1103:8 sighing [1] - 1241:2 1140:24, 1140:25, shed [1] - 1173:7 1191:7, 1199:8 Seeks [1] - 1040:9 1141:1, 1141:9, sign [3] - 1050:19, sheet [2] - 1049:12,

1142:20, 1142:23, smaller [13] - 1054:16, 1169:7, 1169:12, 1143:6, 1143:12, 1168:10, 1213:2, 36 1143:7, 1151:15, 1054:18, 1054:19, 1170:17, 1170:19, 1143:21, 1144:8, 1225:3 1152:7, 1152:12, 1060:1, 1067:1, 1170:22, 1170:25, 1144:22, 1144:25, sounds [3] - 1117:19, 1152:13, 1153:4, 1171:2, 1171:9, 1145:3, 1145:8, 1118:21, 1158:19 1077:22, 1097:22, 1153:7, 1153:8, 1171:14, 1171:19, 1145:13, 1146:2, **source** [42] - 1046:15, 1097:24, 1147:21, 1162:5, 1163:23, 1147:24, 1148:1, 1171:22, 1171:25, 1152:12, 1156:10, 1047:10, 1068:14, 1165:23, 1189:7, 1172:5, 1172:9, 1156:11, 1157:9, 1164:20, 1216:19 1103:15, 1112:5, 1228:4 smell [1] - 1124:9 1172:12, 1172:15, 1157:22, 1228:6, 1113:19, 1114:12, Sites [1] - 1094:7 Smith [17] - 1028:13, 1172:21, 1175:13, 1235:22, 1235:25 1117:17, 1117:18, sites [6] - 1066:18, 1051:6, 1051:10, 1175:16, 1178:19, **Soil** [3] - 1040:12, 1117:21, 1117:24, 1178:21, 1181:5, 1094:7, 1142:19, 1040:17, 1182:11 1075:23, 1087:19, 1118:2, 1118:19, 1144:24, 1156:4, 1181:7, 1181:17, Soils [1] - 1037:17 1119:12, 1119:20, 1106:11, 1127:19, 1183:11, 1183:13, 1211:18 soils [6] - 1062:14, 1120:7, 1120:16, 1133:14, 1133:15, 1183:16, 1183:20, siting [1] - 1218:21 1169:10, 1175:22, 1089:9, 1089:21, 1124:13, 1125:2, 1192:19, 1193:9, 1125:12, 1131:11, sits [4] - 1135:7, 1183:10, 1210:10, 1139:5, 1139:10, 1220:14, 1231:13, 1193:16, 1193:19, 1152:19, 1235:22 1146:1 1139:14, 1140:6, 1196:14, 1197:4, sitting [6] - 1051:16, 1232:8, 1245:4 solids [1] - 1186:2 1144:10, 1148:17, 1197:6, 1197:14, 1225:14, 1230:16, **SMITH** [182] - 1043:1, soluble [1] - 1236:2 1156:8, 1156:24, 1197:16, 1197:18, 1160:4, 1178:4, 1234:10, 1235:2, 1043:11, 1043:13, solvent [1] - 1144:2 1202:16, 1208:5, 1180:9, 1187:21, 1255:15 1043:24, 1051:5, **Someone** [1] - 1194:6 1209:21, 1209:23, 1051:8, 1051:11, 1187:24, 1188:1, situation [9] someone [6] -1209:25, 1210:4, 1205:2, 1206:15, 1047:12, 1047:14, 1051:15, 1052:12, 1086:15, 1138:13, 1210:6, 1210:14, 1047:16, 1111:3, 1052:14, 1054:25, 1209:8, 1213:10, 1139:17, 1192:9, 1210:16, 1210:18, 1213:16, 1239:12, 1055:24, 1056:9, 1162:12, 1167:15, 1215:8, 1255:18 1215:13, 1219:6, 1192:13, 1192:14, 1243:18, 1243:23 1056:11, 1056:13, sometime [1] -1219:17, 1219:25, **Source** [2] - 1117:11, 1061:13, 1061:15, 1195:15 1061:22 1220:7, 1220:9, 1117:15 situations [5] -1066:9, 1069:20, Sometimes [2] -1220:11, 1220:19, 1137:20, 1143:13, 1071:13, 1071:22, **Sources** [2] - 1037:17, 1085:3, 1157:1 1071:25, 1072:8, 1221:2, 1221:5, 1187:10 1147:11, 1147:14, sometimes [4] -1221:19, 1221:22, 1072:18, 1072:21, sources [13] -1157:8 1053:15, 1108:12, 1222:1, 1222:5, 1075:10, 1076:1, 1072:23, 1106:21, six [3] - 1155:17, 1224:18, 1224:19 1222:8, 1222:21, 1199:15, 1214:4 1076:5, 1076:11, 1119:24, 1121:19, somewhat [1] -1222:23, 1223:5, 1076:14, 1076:18, 1178:3, 1185:1, size [5] - 1050:16, 1084:19 1223:11, 1223:14, 1077:14, 1080:7, 1186:16, 1186:25, 1062:6, 1096:6, somewhere [6] -1080:9, 1083:16, 1223:17, 1223:20, 1187:2, 1187:9, 1145:7, 1147:8 1071:9, 1091:23, 1223:25, 1224:4, 1084:2, 1085:16, 1187:19, 1187:25, sized [2] - 1096:2, 1112:6, 1228:2, 1231:14, 1232:1, 1086:8, 1086:22, 1206:20 1096:11 1238:19, 1254:19 1232:10, 1234:20, 1087:1, 1087:4, **South** [98] - 1028:17, skimmer [3] son [1] - 1229:20 1236:13, 1237:12, 1087:6, 1087:16, 1029:3, 1029:7, 1067:20, 1067:21, soon [2] - 1161:8, 1237:22, 1240:8, 1087:23, 1088:4, 1029:8, 1029:14, 1067:25 1243:8 1088:11, 1090:4, 1243:1, 1244:8, 1029:15, 1043:3, Skunk [2] - 1068:11, **Sorry** [2] - 1075:9, 1244:13, 1244:18, 1090:21, 1091:3, 1043:21, 1044:3, 1132:15 1161:12 1244:25, 1249:12, 1091:7, 1091:10, 1044:4, 1044:6, sleeve [5] - 1151:1, sorry [12] - 1089:4, 1251:23, 1252:2, 1091:12, 1094:24, 1044:19, 1045:21, 1151:4, 1151:6, 1108:22, 1129:21, 1252:19, 1252:22, 1102:20, 1106:10, 1045:24, 1048:2, 1151:7 1140:4, 1153:5, 1252:25, 1255:9, 1107:8, 1108:2, 1048:22, 1049:15, slice [1] - 1135:22 1153:7, 1153:19, 1255:12, 1255:14, 1108:19, 1109:15, 1049:16, 1051:25, slim [1] - 1227:16 1163:12, 1168:20, 1255:18 1109:17, 1109:20, 1052:19, 1053:3, Slough [2] - 1226:7, 1170:23, 1233:5, snapshot [1] -1126:5, 1127:18, 1053:6, 1054:20, 1226:8 1251:24 1098:13 1128:23, 1128:25, 1055:18, 1065:1, **slow** [3] - 1242:12, **sort** [24] - 1048:13, 1133:20, 1134:2, snow [1] - 1174:7 1066:18, 1066:24, 1242:15, 1253:15 1057:22, 1062:23, 1135:20, 1136:25, sober [1] - 1231:8 1068:23, 1070:1, **slowly** [1] - 1082:5 1070:22, 1090:7, social [1] - 1075:22 1137:16, 1137:22, 1077:2, 1078:11, sludge [1] - 1121:23 1100:22, 1105:23, 1138:16, 1140:8, **Socio** [1] - 1042:15 1088:20, 1091:21, Small [1] - 1039:7 1140:16, 1141:5, 1141:13, 1141:15, Socio-economic [1] -1091:25, 1092:12, 1145:15, 1145:20, small [11] - 1078:4, 1141:19, 1158:7, 1042:15 1092:13, 1092:16, 1146:25, 1147:13, 1079:20, 1123:17, 1158:10, 1158:15, soil [27] - 1062:8, 1092:18, 1092:21, 1147:14, 1148:1, 1130:4, 1147:9, 1158:23, 1163:4, 1093:5, 1093:15, 1062:9, 1062:11, 1149:9, 1155:16, 1153:22, 1163:10, 1163:18, 1165:16, 1093:17, 1094:21, 1062:18, 1066:2, 1163:11, 1163:14, 1155:18, 1162:6, 1166:23, 1166:25, 1095:1, 1095:2, 1069:16, 1143:3, 1175:10, 1240:15 1167:14, 1168:9, 1167:21, 1169:5,

1097:11, 1098:8,	1135:24, 1147:6,	1115:9, 1115:11,	stagnation [1] -	1076:23, 1090:12, 37
1101:11, 1104:16,	1154:23, 1163:25,	1123:3, 1142:17,	1078:23	1091:18, 1092:23,
1104:20, 1104:21,	1190:25, 1200:17,	1143:7, 1143:8,	Stahl [1] - 1040:3	1093:7, 1093:11,
1105:1, 1105:8,	1203:6, 1212:8	1144:1, 1144:2,	stand [6] - 1087:23,	1093:19, 1093:22,
1110:3, 1111:9,	specifications [5] -	1144:3, 1146:21,	1088:6, 1102:4,	1093:25, 1094:2,
1114:21, 1117:1,	1200:15, 1200:18,	1146:23, 1147:1,	1102:7, 1210:19,	1095:5, 1095:12,
1118:2, 1118:3,	1200:22, 1208:18,	1148:7, 1148:9,	1248:7	1097:7, 1110:19,
1123:3, 1123:8,	1218:12	1155:9, 1157:17,	standard [8] -	1112:10, 1112:15,
1123:10, 1123:11,	specifics [3] -	1159:4, 1159:8,	1112:24, 1113:9,	1112:25, 1114:25,
1134:5, 1142:6,	1143:20, 1193:12,	1164:5, 1164:8,	1113:14, 1114:3,	1115:11, 1119:22,
1144:16, 1144:20,	1203:22	1164:9, 1164:10,	1186:15, 1195:18,	1127:24, 1128:19,
1145:12, 1147:1,	specify [1] - 1047:17	1168:7, 1169:1,	1205:5, 1206:22	1129:5, 1129:6,
1163:14, 1163:21,	specs [1] - 1207:7	1215:19, 1215:22,	Standards [2] -	1129:13, 1137:13,
1171:18, 1184:10,	speculating [2] -	1216:4, 1240:12,	1039:14, 1112:8	1142:23, 1142:25,
1198:4, 1198:7,	1197:12, 1236:16	1240:15	standards [33] -	1143:4, 1145:3,
1203:3, 1203:11,	spend [1] - 1117:4	spills/releases [1] -	1112:3, 1112:9,	1145:17, 1146:6,
1204:6, 1209:5,	spent [1] - 1184:14	1037:23	1112:14, 1112:15,	1146:15, 1147:3,
1209:17, 1211:5,	Spill [4] - 1039:4,	Splittstoesser [1] -	1113:21, 1113:23,	1148:5, 1151:13,
1211:21, 1215:1,	1039:9, 1040:10,	1049:17	1114:25, 1115:24,	1153:1, 1153:8,
1216:1, 1216:23,	1040:12	spoken [2] - 1249:15,	1125:6, 1133:2,	1155:5, 1155:17,
1222:11, 1224:8,	spill [60] - 1037:16,	1249:19	1143:2, 1143:3,	1157:15, 1157:25,
1225:2, 1225:5,	1057:9, 1057:14,	spores [1] - 1179:5	1143:4, 1143:5,	1177:16, 1177:23,
1236:21, 1237:2,	1057:18, 1057:23,	sports [1] - 1133:24	1151:13, 1153:1,	1180:25, 1184:3,
1237:7, 1246:24,	1059:12, 1059:15,	spot [2] - 1109:6,	1153:8, 1154:7,	1184:21, 1184:25,
1247:1, 1255:3,	1060:25, 1068:9,	1109:7	1155:5, 1157:25,	1185:2, 1185:4,
1256:7, 1256:13	1069:25, 1070:12,	spread [3] - 1143:15,	1185:3, 1185:6,	1185:12, 1186:2,
south [4] - 1048:1,	1070:13, 1070:19,	1152:20, 1156:1	1185:17, 1185:20,	1186:11, 1186:17,
1132:14, 1174:24,	1070:20, 1072:1,	spreadsheet [1] -	1186:2, 1186:6,	1186:19, 1186:20,
1179:8	1082:16, 1094:11,	1149:24	1186:9, 1199:1,	1187:4, 1187:12,
SOUTH [3] - 1028:2,	1101:25, 1110:24,	spring [2] - 1063:11,	1200:1, 1200:2,	1187:15, 1187:22,
1028:5, 1256:1	1111:14, 1115:12,	1226:18	1207:9, 1207:12	1188:4, 1189:10,
southern [1] - 1237:3	1115:14, 1121:1,	springtime [1] -	standing [3] -	1191:2, 1192:7,
southwesterly [1] -	1121:4, 1128:14,	1226:11	1155:14, 1236:7,	1193:2, 1195:14,
1226:9	1128:16, 1129:8,	square [1] - 1103:10	1236:8	1200:19, 1207:22,
Sowers [1] - 1049:22	1129:10, 1131:4,	SS [1] - 1256:2	standpoint [5] -	1207:25, 1208:1, 1208:12, 1210:23,
Soybean [2] -	1132:3, 1134:6,	stabilizing [1] -	1130:15, 1136:12,	1217:9, 1217:14,
1038:19, 1179:7	1134:8, 1138:5,	1115:6	1136:13, 1136:15,	1222:14, 1224:9,
soybean [2] - 1174:24,	1138:7, 1142:11,	Stacy [1] - 1049:17	1166:17	1231:9, 1232:21,
1179:5	1142:13, 1144:14,	Staddel [1] - 1049:18	start [13] - 1061:17,	1237:25, 1241:7,
Spangler [1] - 1049:19	1145:7, 1153:4,	Stadium [1] - 1039:9	1112:7, 1116:2,	1254:13, 1254:25
sparging [1] - 1146:1	1153:5, 1154:1,	staff [16] - 1081:9,	1158:11, 1158:12,	State [62] - 1029:14,
speaking [3] -	1154:18, 1154:19,	1095:18, 1114:16,	1171:14, 1174:18,	1037:24, 1043:3,
1084:18, 1140:3, 1251:9	1154:23, 1159:7, 1159:11, 1163:23,	1119:3, 1120:9,	1186:19, 1190:4, 1222:5, 1222:8,	1043:21, 1044:6,
speaks [4] - 1223:23,	1164:2, 1165:23,	1122:11, 1142:20,	1222:18, 1225:4	1045:20, 1053:3,
1232:6, 1243:1,	1168:24, 1188:3,	1146:25, 1154:24,	started [6] - 1078:16,	1066:18, 1078:8,
1232.6, 1243.1,	1188:5, 1188:7,	1157:13, 1158:21,	1126:8, 1149:3,	1078:9, 1080:3,
Special [1] - 1037:11	1211:25, 1212:1,	1165:8, 1168:25,	1212:9, 1231:4,	1083:12, 1083:20,
special [3] - 1047:6,	1219:12, 1248:14	1188:13, 1216:15,	1234:4	1088:20, 1092:6,
1238:2, 1238:4	spill's [3] - 1129:8,	1252:19	starting [2] - 1048:23,	1092:16, 1092:20,
species [2] - 1058:17,	1129:10, 1129:16	STAFF [1] - 1028:12	1160:7	1098:4, 1098:20,
1081:2	spillage [3] - 1130:9,	Staff [13] - 1028:13,	starts [2] - 1179:1,	1105:11, 1105:14,
specific [10] - 1057:8,	1131:20, 1131:21	1028:14, 1042:11, 1061:13, 1083:16,	1189:20	1106:1, 1106:3,
1088:22, 1103:12,	spills [45] - 1056:5,	1107:8, 1128:23,	state [96] - 1044:12,	1107:21, 1107:25,
1163:1, 1185:18,	1066:17, 1067:3,	1166:23, 1181:5,	1044:14, 1044:16,	1108:5, 1109:25,
1185:22, 1187:14,	1068:6, 1068:18,	1197:4, 1209:21,	1044:20, 1044:22,	1110:22, 1112:12,
1187:18, 1205:15,	1068:25, 1072:24,	1219:6, 1229:11	1044:25, 1051:20,	1115:15, 1116:9,
1219:10	1094:7, 1094:8,	Staff's [2] - 1042:22,	1052:20, 1053:2,	1125:18, 1132:9,
specifically [10] -	1110:14, 1110:15,	1042:23	1067:1, 1067:10,	1133:2, 1134:16,
1074:13, 1080:16,	1114:14, 1114:20,	staff's [1] - 1229:9	1070:9, 1075:17,	1142:3, 1185:11,
		Juli 5 [1] 1223.3		1186:21, 1190:24,

1197:25, 1198:4, 1157:24, 1191:7, 1041:19 suggestion [2] -1049:18, 1049:20, 38 studied [1] - 1096:24 1198:7, 1198:24, 1211:22 1071:17, 1232:13 1184:16, 1184:19, 1209:25, 1210:8, Still [1] - 1040:11 Studies [1] - 1040:17 summarize [3] -1184:20, 1184:22, 1211:11, 1211:12, 1184:24, 1188:20, Stipulations [1] studies [1] - 1041:8 1052:15, 1172:23, 1211:24, 1212:3, 1223:3 1186:22 1196:21, 1196:25, study [10] - 1071:17, 1212:17, 1216:3, Stock [1] - 1247:6 1071:18, 1078:25, Summary [3] -1212:21 1216:14, 1217:12, surfaced [1] - 1164:22 stock [1] - 1147:5 1080:1, 1089:7, 1037:15, 1039:11, 1219:1, 1220:15, surficial [10] -Stop [1] - 1242:10 1104:12, 1104:13, 1042:4 1221:20, 1225:1, 1063:18, 1078:9, stop [7] - 1073:7, 1104:22, 1105:25, summer [2] - 1175:8, 1227:19, 1235:7, 1081:14, 1084:7, 1182:1 1074:21, 1116:2, 1109:10 1253:11, 1256:7 1084:18, 1091:1, 1152:17, 1173:19, Study [4] - 1038:5, summers [1] -**STATE** [2] - 1028:2, 1107:15, 1107:17, 1038:24, 1039:2, 1184:14 1199:17, 1231:8 1256:1 1107:22, 1229:6 1039:3 Superfund [15] stopped [3] - 1073:23, state's [1] - 1093:18 surprise [2] - 1133:4, stuff [8] - 1084:10, 1111:19, 1111:21, 1233:1, 1234:3 State-subpoenaed [1] 1091:1, 1100:22, 1133:8 stopping [2] - 1152:8, 1111:22, 1130:23, - 1220:15 surprised [1] -1182:14 1116:6, 1174:23, 1130:24, 1130:25, statement [7] -1234:4, 1238:25, 1134:4, 1134:14, 1233:25 storage [11] - 1070:14, 1109:3, 1130:1, Surrebuttal [9] -1251:7 1140:20, 1140:23, 1070:17, 1111:7, 1218:13, 1233:9, 1041:7, 1042:17, subject [1] - 1191:13 1140:24, 1140:25, 1111:8, 1111:10, 1242:25, 1248:21, 1042:18, 1042:18, 1111:11, 1111:18, submits [1] - 1192:9 1141:4, 1211:10, 1042:19, 1042:19, 1248:22 1137:5, 1215:23, submitted [4] -1211:13 **Statement** [1] - 1217:2 superintendent [1] -1042:20, 1042:20, 1215:25 1057:20, 1067:11, statements [1] -1042:21 1237:8 1191:23, 1230:4 **Storage** [2] - 1111:16, 1069:8 **Survey** [19] - 1038:6, supervisor [2] -1137:4 subpoenaed [5] -States [1] - 1137:18 1054:21, 1055:19, stored [1] - 1124:3 1101:18, 1114:16, 1215:10, 1215:16 1055:21, 1056:4, states [3] - 1119:20, 1210:8, 1210:9, **supplied** [1] - 1074:25 storm [2] - 1174:7, 1179:4, 1234:25 1080:3, 1083:12, 1220:15 supplier [3] - 1239:20, 1193:2 1083:21, 1092:17, statewide [3] - 1103:9, 1239:21, 1240:1 subsequent [1] -Storm [5] - 1190:6, 1092:18, 1092:21, 1119:13, 1119:14 1132:13 supplies [3] -1191:3, 1191:4, 1094:22, 1095:1, static [1] - 1050:18 1118:20, 1119:21, subset [1] - 1199:16 1192:23, 1192:25 1098:9, 1104:16, station [7] - 1073:13, 1143:17 straight [5] - 1048:1, subsidiary [1] -1104:24, 1105:2, 1126:19, 1160:18, **supply** [11] - 1053:10, 1229:5, 1238:25, 1224:21 1121:13 1160:21, 1161:3, 1063:9, 1068:7, 1239:5, 1247:20 substance [6] surveying [3] -1161:4, 1216:1 straining [1] - 1097:6 1144:12, 1147:18, 1068:16, 1117:20, 1174:11, 1174:12, **stations** [2] - 1073:12, 1151:1, 1161:17, 1118:11, 1124:15, **strapped** [1] - 1130:15 1174:13 1164:4 1124:18, 1157:9, strategy [1] - 1202:13 1165:2, 1251:8 1179:25, 1186:14 **surveys** [1] - 1086:23 statistics [2] - 1240:3, Substance [6] stream [3] - 1071:19, susceptible [1] -1240:14 suppose [4] - 1234:8, 1110:22, 1116:6, 1079:7, 1082:19 1164:25 status [3] - 1122:15, 1243:13, 1250:15, 1134:16, 1134:25, Stream/Flow [2] suspect [2] - 1164:16, 1122:16, 1152:23 1136:20, 1212:4 1251:11 1041:11, 1041:11 1170:11 supposed [5] -**Statute** [1] - 1186:21 substances [7] streamline [1] suspected [3] statutes [4] - 1117:5, 1070:22, 1143:4, 1150:15, 1207:17, 1194:8 1147:12, 1163:18 1233:12, 1233:13, 1118:21, 1119:13, 1147:2, 1147:4, streams [4] - 1055:5, suspended [1] -1233:14 1119:15 1147:5, 1147:6, 1060:1, 1083:6, 1186:2 **statutory** [1] - 1118:22 1164:25 surface [29] - 1044:22, 1148:1 1054:6, 1054:12, suspicious [1] stay [1] - 1143:18 substantial [2] -Street [8] - 1028:19, 1235:17 1055:17, 1058:8, stays [2] - 1226:18, 1180:18, 1195:23 1028:22, 1091:23, 1062:14, 1063:17, sustain [5] - 1076:11, 1238:8 1124:7, 1132:24, subsurface [2] -1087:17, 1193:16, 1084:15, 1084:23, **Steel** [1] - 1038:7 1093:7, 1105:18 1150:18, 1211:20, 1223:25, 1236:14 1090:1, 1091:2, succinct [1] - 1125:21 steel [3] - 1193:12, 1215:23 1095:4, 1095:11, Sustained [2] -**Sues** [1] - 1039:8 1239:18, 1240:1 strengthened [1] -1098:22, 1104:22, 1076:5, 1193:9 **Steele** [1] - 1049:17 1128:3 sufficient [4] -1105:20, 1107:20, swear [1] - 1171:12 step [2] - 1141:15, strike [2] - 1221:12, 1058:25, 1088:2, 1117:22, 1137:8, swearing [1] -1130:10, 1146:20 1220:11 1221:16 1137:10, 1137:13, 1051:16 STEVE [1] - 1028:11 sufficiently [1] **stripes** [1] - 1095:25 1137:17, 1152:1, **swells** [1] - 1226:15 1130:9 Steve [1] - 1049:14 stronger [3] - 1170:1, 1178:5, 1184:25, sworn [10] - 1043:15, stick [1] - 1232:12 1235:13, 1235:15 suggested [1] -1185:16, 1186:7, 1076:20, 1091:15, 1084:19 still [8] - 1073:16, struck [1] - 1168:5 1229:13, 1229:14 1109:22, 1171:13, suggesting [1] -1097:13, 1104:21, **structure** [1] - 1226:4 Surface [12] -1183:23, 1197:22, 1057:4 1114:25, 1157:21, structures [1] -

1210:2	20, 1221:25,	1122:7, 1170:6	TC-6D [1] - 1037:6	1240:3, 1242:16,	1136:1, 1138:20, 39
1222:6		Tank [4] - 1111:17,	TC-6R1 [1] - 1037:6	1242:20, 1242:21,	1141:8, 1144:3,
sympati		1122:19, 1123:25,	TC-6R2 [1] - 1037:7	1243:5, 1245:9,	1154:17, 1167:24,
1214:2		1137:4	TC-7D [1] - 1037:7	1254:11	1169:17, 1169:20,
	: hy [1] - 1040:9	tank's [1] - 1122:21	TC-7R1 [1] - 1037:8	testify [5] - 1099:19,	1171:16, 1171:19,
	[45] - 1047:8,	tanks [8] - 1111:7,	TC-7R2 [1] - 1037:8	1139:20, 1171:7,	1172:2, 1172:23,
_	22, 1071:4,	1111:8, 1111:10,	TC-8D [1] - 1037:9	1171:25, 1172:6	1176:4, 1176:7,
	0, 1127:11,	1111:18, 1124:3,	TC-8R [1] - 1037:9	testifying [10] -	1176:10, 1176:13,
	6, 1162:10,	1124:4, 1137:5	TC-9 [1] - 1037:10	1063:19, 1102:8,	1177:10, 1177:13,
	9, 1179:22,	tannish [1] - 1090:7		1130:2, 1132:21,	1178:25, 1182:15,
	I, 1199:5,	tannish-yellow [1] -	teach [2] - 1051:24,	1139:17, 1158:6,	1196:21, 1208:21,
	7, 1199:8,	1090:7	1077:1	1229:15, 1231:11,	1209:9, 1209:16,
	0, 1199:13,	tap [1] - 1165:6	team [4] - 1110:13,	1232:7, 1246:9	1219:10, 1219:14,
	6, 1199:22,	Tar [1] - 1039:16	1184:20, 1211:10, 1211:11	Testimony [50] -	1222:16, 1223:8,
	9, 1200:15,	Target [1] - 1039:13		1037:2, 1037:3,	1227:8, 1227:9,
	9, 1201:4,	tasks [1] - 1211:11	teams [1] - 1110:12	1037:4, 1037:4,	1227:18, 1233:4,
	23, 1201:25,	Tasman [1] - 1039:4	Technology [1] - 1052:1	1037:5, 1037:5,	1234:24, 1235:11,
	3, 1203:1,	taste [3] - 1113:16,		1037:6, 1037:6,	1235:18, 1240:6,
	l, 1203:10,	1164:13	teeth [1] - 1116:8	1037:7, 1037:7,	1243:1, 1243:12,
	9, 1204:23,	taught [3] - 1092:7,	temporary [4] - 1047:16,	1037:8, 1037:8,	1243:21, 1244:9,
	24, 1205:3,	1092:11, 1092:12	· ·	1037:9, 1037:9,	1244:11, 1244:14,
	3, 1206:14,	Tax [3] - 1037:13,	1047:19, 1192:14	1037:21, 1037:22,	1244:16, 1245:5,
	8, 1206:19,	1037:24, 1247:25	ten [1] - 1118:11	1040:14, 1040:16,	1245:22, 1247:2,
	22, 1207:1,	tax [2] - 1231:6,	ten-year [1] - 1118:11	1040:19, 1041:2,	1248:12, 1249:21,
	3, 1207:19,	1248:2	tend [1] - 1174:19	1041:4, 1041:7,	1251:19, 1253:2,
	24, 1209:14	taxation [1] - 1239:7	tendency [1] -	1041:7, 1041:10,	1253:17, 1253:20,
systems		taxes [10] - 1230:13,	1143:20	1041:13, 1041:15,	1253:23, 1254:5,
_	3, 1124:14,	1236:20, 1237:1,	tender [2] - 1175:17,	1041:18, 1041:21,	1254:13
	21, 1157:14,	1237:7, 1238:1,	1245:2	1041:25, 1042:2,	testing [17] - 1047:6,
1159:1	0, 1159:12,	1238:16, 1238:21,	Tender [1] - 1080:6	1042:3, 1042:3,	1142:20, 1154:8,
1159:1	7, 1164:23,	1247:24, 1248:1,	tension [1] - 1239:25	1042:7, 1042:9,	1156:11, 1191:20,
1169:2	25, 1187:6,	1251:6	tenure [1] - 1209:2	1042:12, 1042:12,	1192:15, 1193:21,
1187:8	3, 1193:5,	TC [2] - 1042:4,	term [5] - 1061:3,	1042:13, 1042:14,	1194:13, 1194:16,
	20, 1199:3,	1225:24	1069:11, 1089:3,	1042:15, 1042:16,	1194:17, 1194:19,
	2, 1199:20,	TC-1 [1] - 1037:2	1169:23, 1202:20	1042:16, 1042:17,	1195:6, 1197:10,
	21, 1200:5,	TC-10[1] - 1037:10	Terminal [2] -	1042:17, 1042:18,	1200:10, 1200:11,
1200:7	⁷ , 1200:10,	TC-11 [1] - 1037:11	1215:23, 1215:24	1042:18, 1042:19,	1204:13
1200:1	3, 1207:15,	TC-12 [1] - 1037:11	terminal [5] - 1122:5,	1042:19, 1042:20,	tests [2] - 1204:11,
1207:1	9	TC-13[1] - 1037:12	1140:24, 1211:20,	1042:20, 1042:21	1204:14
		TC-14[1] - 1037:13	1211:21, 1211:22	testimony [98] -	textbook [2] -
	T		terms [10] - 1059:8,	1042:2, 1042:5,	1072:14, 1072:19
		TC-15 _[1] - 1037:13 TC-16 _[1] - 1037:14	1060:9, 1063:25,	1052:2, 1052:6,	thanked [1] - 1230:24
TAGENIA			1093:23, 1118:22,	1052:8, 1052:9,	THE [156] - 1028:1,
_	4] - 1038:10,	TC-17 [1] - 1037:14	1146:21, 1153:12,	1052:15, 1054:10,	1028:2, 1028:4,
	1, 1038:12,	TC-18 [1] - 1037:15	1206:13, 1233:16,	1060:18, 1062:3,	1028:5, 1028:6,
1038:1		TC-19 [1] - 1037:15	1241:11	1062:4, 1063:1,	1028:10, 1061:19,
	1028:23	TC-20 [1] - 1037:16	territory [1] - 1215:7	1064:23, 1071:5,	1061:25, 1062:13,
	- 1067:23,	TC-21 [1] - 1037:16	test [12] - 1046:14,	1075:24, 1076:4,	1062:24, 1063:6,
	23, 1079:1,	TC-24 [1] - 1037:16	1046:19, 1093:8, 1093:14, 1096:24,	1076:8, 1077:3,	1063:10, 1063:15,
	22, 1205:6,	TC-25 [1] - 1037:17	1104:23, 1165:12,	1077:9, 1081:15,	1063:22, 1063:25,
	1242:4	TC-26 [1] - 1037:18	1189:14, 1195:11,	1082:1, 1082:8,	1064:7, 1064:14,
	a [1] - 1226:9	TC-27 [1] - 1037:18	1200:5, 1200:7,	1082:11, 1083:1,	1064:18, 1065:3,
] - 1233:24	TC-28 [1] - 1037:19	1249:6	1085:13, 1085:19,	1065:10, 1065:12,
	- 1170:8	TC-2D _[1] - 1037:2	tested [1] - 1201:9	1085:24, 1089:10,	1065:16, 1065:23,
	ssee [1] -	TC-3D [1] - 1037:3	Testified [1] - 1101:5	1089:11, 1093:21,	1066:3, 1072:6,
1045:8		TC-3R [1] - 1037:3	testified [17] - 1069:6,	1094:12, 1094:17,	1072:13, 1072:19,
_	[1] - 1049:18	TC-4D [1] - 1037:4	1076:5, 1094:9,	1094:18, 1094:20,	1072:25, 1073:3,
tan [1] -		TC-4R [1] - 1037:4	1101:24, 1151:20,	1096:9, 1098:2,	1073:10, 1073:21,
	- 1070:14,	TC-5D [1] - 1037:5	1189:4, 1193:9,	1098:18, 1099:16,	1074:2, 1074:6,
	7, 1111:6, 1, 1122:6,	TC-5R [1] - 1037:5	1224:10, 1226:20,	1099:17, 1100:11,	1074:9, 1074:14,
111111	1, 1122.0,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1103:6, 1127:23,	
1		I .	i .	i .	i I

1074:20, 1075:6, 1098:23, 1099:4, Tom [1] - 1036:17 1225:1, 1225:7, 40 1127:7, 1127:9, 1076:16, 1084:7, 1206:24 1199:7, 1205:12, took [10] - 1065:17, 1225:17, 1228:14, 1084:24, 1085:2, Therefore [1] -1238:2, 1238:3, 1095:14, 1130:21, 1228:23, 1231:7, 1085:15, 1085:20, 1238:9, 1253:11, 1231:20, 1233:2, 1137:14 1131:4, 1132:10, 1086:1, 1086:4, They've [2] - 1154:7, 1253:12, 1253:17, 1234:1, 1236:7, 1138:4, 1153:10, 1086:14, 1090:11, 1190:24 1254:4 1160:3, 1173:11, 1236:24, 1240:19, 1090:23, 1108:25, throw [2] - 1111:24, 1241:8, 1242:6, they've [11] - 1045:3, 1256:9 1109:8, 1126:6, 1121:24 tools [1] - 1239:23 1243:2, 1244:6, 1110:19, 1114:5, 1129:10, 1129:15, 1247:2, 1247:5, 1132:25, 1146:4, throwing [1] - 1122:1 top [11] - 1060:18, 1129:18, 1129:21, thrown [2] - 1097:18, 1247:14, 1249:16, 1154:24, 1164:22, 1067:24, 1103:20, 1130:6, 1130:10, 1251:2, 1252:11, 1202:12, 1203:8, 1097:22 1160:7, 1173:12, 1130:17, 1130:20, Thumbnail [1] -1253:11, 1254:24 1236:21, 1240:14 1176:24, 1179:19, 1130:24, 1131:7, TRANSCANADA [1] thick [3] - 1085:6, 1117:13 1183:5, 1204:7, 1131:10, 1131:16, 1028:4 1085:7, 1096:6 1204:17, 1206:25 thumbs [1] - 1244:1 1131:18, 1131:22, ties [1] - 1229:13 thickness [4] topic [1] - 1213:16 TransCanada's [7] -1132:5, 1132:8, 1040:8, 1188:10, 1050:22, 1085:9, tight [2] - 1062:17, total [4] - 1113:8, 1132:16, 1132:20, 1096:7, 1164:24 1062:19 1135:4, 1186:2, 1200:22, 1212:6, 1133:8, 1133:13, 1213:5, 1236:20, Thickness [1] - 1096:3 Tillquist [4] - 1031:2, 1186:12 1133:19, 1134:8, 1238:5 thicknesses [1] totally [3] - 1084:5, 1037:7, 1037:8, 1134:10, 1134:15, TRANSCRIPT [1] -1085:4 1037:8 1102:11, 1238:7 1135:3, 1135:6, 1029:13 thin [5] - 1096:10, tills [4] - 1060:8, tough [1] - 1233:5 1135:11, 1135:17, 1096:19, 1169:24, Transcript [1] - 1028:7 1083:3, 1084:16, toward [1] - 1067:24 1136:9, 1136:11, transcription [1] -1170:2, 1235:15 1085:6 towards [1] - 1060:18 1136:13, 1136:16, 1256:11 thin-walled [2] -**Tim** [4] - 1032:17, town [4] - 1073:14, 1136:19, 1137:3, transfer [1] - 1149:2 1169:24, 1170:2 1040:2, 1041:12, 1199:9, 1216:2, 1137:21, 1141:17, thinking [5] - 1222:1, transferred [1] -1041:13 1221:9 1167:5, 1167:12, 1097:16 1230:10, 1230:11, tiny [1] - 1147:9 towns [1] - 1163:10 1167:19, 1168:6, transient [2] -1230:13, 1243:16 title [1] - 1044:9 Townsend [1] -1168:25, 1171:21, thinks [1] - 1231:12 1199:16, 1200:8 TO [1] - 1028:6 1038:21 1171:24, 1172:4, thinner [2] - 1135:22, transitory [1] -Tobin [10] - 1029:2, township [1] -1172:8, 1172:11, 1124:22 1235:13 1036:3, 1036:5, 1050:12 1172:14, 1172:25, TRANSMISSION [1] -Thinner [1] - 1235:10 1036:8, 1036:10, toxic [2] - 1185:21, 1175:15, 1181:12, 1028:5 third [9] - 1101:8, 1036:13, 1036:15, 1235:18 1181:15, 1181:24, 1150:25, 1151:25, 1036:18, 1036:20, toxics [1] - 1185:21 transmission [3] -1182:5, 1182:9, 1190:18, 1191:5 1154:4, 1160:10, 1036:23 track [2] - 1110:16, 1182:11, 1182:25, transport [2] -1167:7, 1179:21, today [20] - 1044:17, 1115:23 1183:4, 1183:7, 1057:23, 1082:13 1237:21, 1238:20 1052:8, 1060:11, tracked [2] - 1121:17 1183:15, 1183:18, travel [7] - 1118:12, third-party [3] -1077:8, 1094:20, traded [1] - 1247:12 1197:12, 1215:12, 1124:5, 1138:25, 1154:4, 1160:10, 1095:6, 1111:14, TransCanada [66] -1219:23, 1220:5, 1167:7 1114:17, 1139:17, 1139:1, 1139:2, 1028:22, 1038:15, 1220:12, 1222:4, 1139:6, 1140:12 **Thomas** [3] - 1031:5, 1144:3, 1171:25, 1039:22, 1043:2, 1222:22, 1223:1, 1037:9, 1037:9 1172:6, 1176:2, traveled [1] - 1124:6 1045:2, 1052:18, 1223:18, 1224:2, 1177:10, 1196:18, traveling [2] -Thompson [1] -1079:15, 1079:24, 1224:7, 1225:25, 1028:16 1212:1, 1213:24, 1221:14, 1222:14 1083:24, 1101:22, 1229:11, 1231:18, 1244:10, 1245:6, thoughts [1] - 1223:24 traverses [1] - 1108:7 1106:17, 1120:10, 1231:23, 1232:3, 1246:10 treat [7] - 1067:8, thousand [2] -1120:17, 1128:16, 1232:9, 1232:19, together [11] -1180:20, 1180:23 1145:18, 1145:23, 1128:17, 1138:6, 1234:23, 1235:3, 1095:16, 1095:21, thousands [1] -1140:5, 1173:2, 1151:11, 1209:5, 1235:6, 1236:12, 1097:11, 1128:8, 1209:6, 1209:10 1227:22 1174:3, 1174:13, 1236:19, 1237:14, 1149:7, 1149:24, treated [4] - 1071:3, threat [3] - 1075:21, 1188:14, 1188:20, 1237:20, 1237:24, 1175:5, 1229:17, 1145:1, 1145:9, 1170:4, 1230:18 1192:24, 1195:4, 1240:7, 1240:10, 1230:20, 1241:22, 1208:9, 1210:9, 1241:24 Threatened [1] -1242:21, 1243:2, 1251:15 1212:14, 1212:24, treating [2] - 1242:5, 1081:2 1243:13, 1244:12, **Toluene** [1] - 1201:18 1213:4, 1216:11, 1242:6 threatened [1] -1244:17, 1253:5, toluene [8] - 1066:22, 1216:15, 1216:21, treatment [16] -1058:17 1253:9, 1253:19, 1072:1, 1072:17, 1217:4, 1217:18, 1070:21, 1070:22, Threatens [1] -1253:23, 1253:25, 1201:17, 1203:14, 1217:22, 1218:7, 1145:15, 1145:16, 1038:25 1254:8, 1254:17, 1204:13, 1205:12, 1218:16, 1222:19, 1145:21, 1146:7, threatens [1] -1254:23, 1255:7, 1206:7 1224:18, 1224:19, 1187:3, 1187:8, 1147:25 1255:13, 1255:16 toluenes [1] - 1201:8 1224:20, 1224:21, 1200:16, 1206:22, three [12] - 1098:17, themselves [3] -

1208:22, 1209:4, 1109:1, 1117:15, unaware [1] - 1155:3 1091:21, 1092:4, 1152:11, 1152:13, 41 1209:9, 1209:11, 1118:9, 1134:3, unbelievably [1] -1092:6, 1092:12, 1152:18, 1152:20, 1209:14 1147:11, 1149:19, 1221:10 1092:13, 1198:4, 1156:4, 1156:17, 1153:2, 1153:22, 1198:5, 1211:2 1156:19, 1156:22, tremendous [1] unclear [1] - 1191:19 1154:20, 1161:19, unknown [1] -1157:2, 1158:14, 1224:11 unconfined [1] trench [2] - 1181:21, 1163:22, 1167:25, 1110:24 1159:9, 1160:12, 1079:1 1168:1, 1184:14, 1161:14, 1167:9, 1189:6 unleaded [2] unconfirmed [1] trenches [2] - 1067:5, 1190:3, 1192:15, 1070:18, 1072:9 1169:3, 1170:13, 1148:22 1198:14, 1199:12, 1170:17, 1171:10, unless [7] - 1148:4, 1074:14 Under [4] - 1128:19, 1233:7, 1234:1, 1155:22, 1209:6, 1171:11, 1173:5, tributaries [1] -1147:1, 1190:15, 1173:11, 1173:12, 1237:5, 1238:2, 1186:23 1238:15 1209:7, 1238:22, trichloral [1] - 1066:5 1238:17, 1238:21, 1173:17, 1174:1, 1241:18, 1255:18 under [36] - 1044:19, 1246:12, 1246:14, Unless [1] - 1091:3 1174:3, 1174:5, tried [6] - 1062:12, 1047:7, 1063:17, 1250:4, 1251:15, 1174:6, 1174:21, unrefined [1] -1067:6, 1095:15, 1090:1, 1098:14, 1176:25, 1177:1, 1251:20, 1252:5, 1101:13, 1213:18, 1072:12 1105:1, 1110:12, 1252:12, 1252:17 1177:7, 1179:1, 1234:2 1126:11, 1127:24, unusual [1] - 1116:13 Two [1] - 1045:17 1179:7, 1186:4, triennially [1] - 1204:2 1128:6, 1144:19, up [164] - 1043:25, two-pump [1] -1186:23, 1191:24, 1046:2, 1046:18, Triggers [1] - 1038:22 1146:14, 1148:4, 1067:22 1196:3, 1201:20, 1148:5, 1150:22, 1049:3, 1052:25, trouble [2] - 1089:24, 1212:3, 1215:9, two-way [1] - 1234:1 1182:6 1151:3, 1170:8, 1053:1, 1060:18, 1217:21, 1218:5, type [19] - 1044:15, TROW [1] - 1045:7 1172:1, 1182:2, 1062:5, 1063:2, 1218:9, 1221:17, 1047:3, 1047:9, 1187:7, 1189:24, 1063:3, 1065:6, true [6] - 1052:23, 1222:14, 1224:8, 1047:16, 1068:9, 1190:2, 1190:12, 1065:19, 1073:12, 1053:21, 1095:9, 1224:9, 1224:12, 1084:13, 1131:19, 1190:16, 1190:24, 1073:22, 1074:6, 1103:17, 1231:14, 1224:14, 1225:3, 1144:12, 1148:10, 1191:1, 1192:10, 1075:10, 1077:16, 1256:11 1226:17, 1228:1, 1149:5, 1158:1, 1195:22, 1195:25, 1077:21, 1078:16, Trust [3] - 1111:17, 1228:16, 1228:21, 1158:2, 1159:6, 1201:6, 1201:10, 1079:9, 1081:14, 1137:4, 1212:4 1229:1, 1229:13, 1159:11, 1164:15, 1211:12, 1211:15, 1081:19, 1081:21, truth [2] - 1239:2, 1168:4, 1168:5, 1230:15, 1231:8, 1226:12, 1244:10, 1081:22, 1085:6, 1240:25 1207:9 1237:15, 1239:5, 1245:23 1086:5, 1086:21, try [18] - 1067:5, 1239:14, 1240:20, typed [1] - 1168:2 **UNDER** [1] - 1028:5 1087:21, 1088:19, 1067:8, 1067:24, 1241:6, 1241:21, types [16] - 1047:5, Underground [2] -1089:15, 1091:1, 1117:17, 1135:12, 1241:25, 1242:1, 1062:11, 1078:12, 1111:16, 1137:4 1095:4, 1095:8, 1145:8, 1145:18, 1242:5, 1246:1, 1084:9, 1108:15, 1096:3, 1096:5, underground [7] -1150:12, 1157:16, 1246:2, 1247:20, 1118:6, 1144:1, 1096:6, 1097:22, 1063:10, 1111:7, 1158:17, 1167:7, 1249:16, 1250:13, 1147:1, 1147:11, 1098:4, 1110:13, 1111:8, 1111:10, 1204:21, 1205:1, 1254:20 1147:20, 1148:21, 1110:23, 1111:1, 1111:18, 1112:4, 1228:24, 1231:14, up-to-date [1] -1157:15, 1170:4, 1114:9. 1115:3. 1137:5 1231:16, 1242:12 1095:4 1187:19, 1189:22, 1115:23, 1115:25, underlain [3] trying [12] - 1052:25, update [1] - 1037:11 1192:15 1116:20, 1117:3, 1096:11, 1096:20, 1094:9, 1098:3, updated [1] - 1132:22 typical [1] - 1045:18 1119:6, 1122:2, 1100:13 1150:19, 1159:16, upgrade [1] - 1158:1 typically [8] - 1145:12, 1122:17, 1122:21, Underlying [1] -1173:21, 1194:8, upper [3] - 1067:25, 1157:25, 1166:2, 1122:25, 1125:11, 1037:17 1209:1, 1209:16, 1166:4, 1189:20, 1127:1, 1127:7, 1089:18, 1229:6 underlying [2] -1216:18, 1249:3, 1199:9, 1204:1, 1127:22, 1127:25, upset [3] - 1147:14, 1253:14 1054:7, 1062:15 1128:15, 1128:17, 1147:15, 1147:16 1207:12 underneath [3] tuck [1] - 1211:15 1128:18, 1129:4, **uranium** [1] - 1211:16 Typically [4] -1109:4, 1124:7, turn [5] - 1171:12, 1129:7, 1129:11, 1047:12, 1164:17, urgency [1] - 1171:7 1151:2 1176:11, 1190:2, 1129:12, 1129:17, **USA**[1] - 1037:15 1166:12 understood [3] -1213:20, 1223:14 1130:12, 1130:22, typos [1] - 1086:5 USD [1] - 1133:24 turned [4] - 1155:21, 1098:1, 1138:23, 1130:25, 1133:2, useful [1] - 1101:14 1196:20 1190:21, 1190:24, 1133:22, 1134:21, U uses [6] - 1179:25, unintentional [1] -1234:15 1135:25, 1137:23, 1185:11, 1185:16, Turner [1] - 1062:10 1188:6 1142:10, 1142:19, 1185:19, 1226:16 unit [6] - 1050:15, turning [1] - 1117:11 **U.S**[3] - 1037:18, 1142:21, 1142:23, usual [1] - 1072:2 1096:1, 1098:14, two [43] - 1045:4, 1104:15, 1104:24 1142:25, 1144:24, UTILITIES [2] -1098:23, 1108:7, 1062:14, 1067:22, ultimately [1] - 1136:7 1145:13, 1145:19, 1028:1, 1028:10 1246:3 1088:12, 1088:22, unable [2] - 1136:6, 1146:18, 1147:21, utilities [1] - 1158:1 United [1] - 1137:18 1094:1, 1094:21, 1156:21 1148:5, 1150:17, utility [1] - 1157:24 1097:23, 1106:21, units [1] - 1105:20 unachievable [1] -1151:1, 1151:11, utilize [1] - 1079:15 1107:13, 1107:14, University [8] -1220:4

utilized [1] - 1090:12 vicinity [1] - 1045:14 1120:7, 1120:16, 1200:5, 1200:7, 42 wash [2] - 1173:8, view [4] - 1046:12, 1173:14 1124:13, 1124:14, 1200:9, 1200:10, V 1098:13, 1236:16, 1124:15, 1124:18, 1200:13, 1200:15, washed [1] - 1175:10 1125:1, 1125:7, 1200:19, 1201:4, 1245:25 Washington [1] -1125:12, 1127:10, 1201:23, 1201:25, violation [6] - 1202:7, 1028:20 vague [2] - 1150:4, 1127:11, 1131:11, 1202:8, 1203:1, 1202:8, 1202:12, waste [4] - 1121:21, 1168:8 1203:2, 1203:10, 1203:6, 1203:9, 1187:2, 1195:7, 1132:15, 1133:6, Valdez [3] - 1040:9, 1137:9, 1137:10, 1204:19, 1204:23, 1205:5 1207:8 1040:10, 1040:12 1137:14, 1139:2, 1204:24, 1205:3, virtually [2] - 1078:20, watch [1] - 1142:22 Valley [6] - 1053:16, 1139:14, 1140:6, 1205:11, 1205:13, 1084:16 watching [2] - 1115:2, 1073:16, 1073:23, 1205:14, 1205:20, 1143:3, 1143:16, visits [2] - 1142:20, 1115:4 1081:20, 1082:6, 1144:9, 1145:14, 1205:25, 1206:9, 1233:7 water [307] - 1037:14, 1082:17 1145:15, 1145:16, 1206:14, 1206:20, visual [1] - 1062:23 1040:21, 1041:2, valleys [3] - 1079:7, 1145:19, 1145:23, 1206:21, 1207:1, **Void** [1] - 1040:10 1044:11, 1044:19, 1079:9, 1081:22 1146:7, 1146:19, 1207:2, 1207:7, Volante [1] - 1133:24 1044:21, 1044:22, **Valorem** [1] - 1037:13 1147:24, 1151:12, 1207:8, 1207:14, volatile [3] - 1201:7, 1045:1, 1045:22, valuable [2] - 1052:20, 1156:10, 1156:11, 1207:19, 1207:24, 1046:10, 1046:12, 1201:10, 1203:23 1055:9 1156:16, 1156:18, 1208:21, 1209:4, 1046:15, 1046:16, volume [2] - 1057:18, value [3] - 1245:25, 1156:19, 1156:24, 1209:8, 1209:17, 1046:19, 1047:1, 1196:8 1246:2, 1246:6 1157:2, 1157:9, 1213:10, 1213:16, Volume [1] - 1028:8 1047:10, 1047:11, vapors [1] - 1074:3 1157:16, 1157:20, 1213:17, 1213:18, 1050:17, 1050:18, volumes [2] - 1037:16, variable [1] - 1054:14 1224:12, 1226:5, 1157:22, 1159:10, 1051:25, 1052:19, 1098:12 variation [2] -1226:6, 1226:10, 1164:11, 1164:14, 1052:22, 1053:10, Voss [1] - 1039:23 1037:10, 1082:19 1164:16, 1164:18, 1226:12, 1226:16, 1053:24, 1055:9, vote [1] - 1250:17 Variations [1] -1164:22, 1164:23, 1226:18, 1226:19, 1059:25, 1061:2, vulnerable [2] -1037:20 1165:3, 1165:5, 1226:23, 1227:5, 1063:2, 1063:8, 1090:22, 1090:23 1165:10, 1169:18, 1227:25, 1229:2, variations [1] -1063:9, 1063:14, 1037:10 1169:24, 1169:25, 1229:3, 1229:7, 1063:16, 1065:17, W varies [2] - 1084:21, 1170:9, 1170:13, 1229:21, 1229:23, 1067:7, 1067:8, 1186:3 1173:7, 1173:13, 1236:1, 1242:17, 1067:20, 1067:23, 1243:17, 1243:18, w/in [1] - 1041:19 1175:2, 1175:3, variety [1] - 1121:14 1067:24, 1068:7, 1177:24, 1178:1, 1243:21, 1243:23, various [6] - 1068:6, Wade [3] - 1031:21, 1068:14, 1068:16, 1243:24 1178:3, 1178:4, 1118:6, 1217:13, 1040:20, 1140:1 1070:24, 1071:1, 1179:22, 1180:4, Water [60] - 1029:4, 1217:21, 1217:24, wade [1] - 1098:11 1072:23, 1073:6, 1180:9, 1180:14, 1037:24, 1038:25, 1221:24 wait [1] - 1163:4 1073:7, 1073:8, 1180:17, 1181:24, 1039:2, 1043:4, vary [1] - 1195:21 waiting [2] - 1154:7, 1073:19, 1074:1, 1184:25, 1185:3, 1043:6, 1044:7, vast [2] - 1114:2, 1221:10 1074:15, 1074:19, 1044:11, 1044:18, 1185:4, 1185:6, 1203:3 walk [2] - 1062:22, 1074:24, 1078:20, 1185:9, 1185:12, 1049:18, 1049:19, vein [2] - 1089:2, 1222:3 1079:1, 1081:1, 1082:22, 1089:22, 1185:16, 1185:18, 1049:20, 1049:21, 1089:3 wall [15] - 1048:6, 1186:7, 1186:10, 1069:6, 1100:18, veneer [2] - 1096:10, 1090:16, 1092:24, 1054:15, 1054:18, 1186:14, 1187:2, 1110:9, 1110:10, 1093:23, 1093:24, 1096:19 1055:2, 1062:1, 1187:3, 1187:7, 1112:2, 1112:8, 1102:24, 1103:3, venting [1] - 1146:1 1077:16, 1077:25, 1189:2, 1189:6, 1117:11, 1131:12, 1104:18, 1104:25, venture [1] - 1239:6 1086:14, 1095:6, 1189:7, 1189:10, 1139:13, 1142:7, 1110:11, 1112:2, verbatim [1] - 1250:21 1095:10, 1095:22, 1189:15, 1192:7, 1142:8, 1142:10, 1112:3, 1112:5, verified [1] - 1125:18 1097:16, 1164:24, 1192:13, 1193:2, 1144:17, 1160:22, 1112:9, 1112:10, 1165:2, 1235:10 Vermillion [3] -1193:5, 1193:13, 1184:16, 1184:19, 1112:13, 1112:14, walled [2] - 1169:24, 1091:22, 1091:23, 1193:21, 1193:25, 1184:20, 1184:22, 1112:17, 1112:24, 1170:2 1091:25 1194:9, 1194:10, 1184:24, 1188:20, 1112:25, 1113:19, Walsh [15] - 1035:2, version [12] - 1054:16, 1194:11, 1194:16, 1189:15, 1190:6, 1113:20, 1114:4, 1035:11, 1042:17, 1054:18, 1054:19, 1195:2, 1195:8, 1190:16, 1190:23, 1114:12, 1117:15, 1042:21, 1049:21, 1061:23, 1061:25, 1195:14, 1195:19, 1191:1, 1191:3, 1117:17, 1117:18, 1120:13, 1132:20, 1077:16, 1077:22, 1196:8, 1196:11, 1191:4, 1192:23, 1117:20, 1117:21, 1095:11, 1098:4, 1140:2, 1210:9, 1196:12, 1198:20, 1193:1, 1194:18, 1117:22, 1117:24, 1098:19, 1117:13, 1210:18, 1210:24, 1199:1, 1199:3, 1196:21, 1196:25, 1118:2, 1118:11, 1213:24, 1216:10, 1190:16 1199:5, 1199:7, 1198:12, 1198:17, 1118:12, 1118:13, 1219:18, 1219:19 versus [2] - 1200:8, 1199:8, 1199:10, 1198:18, 1198:19, 1118:19, 1118:20, wants [2] - 1192:12, 1200:9 1199:13, 1199:14, 1198:21, 1198:22, 1119:12, 1119:20,

1119:21, 1120:4,

1199:20, 1199:21,

1199:22, 1199:24,

1198:23, 1199:25,

1200:14, 1200:17,

1233:23

warming [1] - 1084:14

via [1] - 1143:16

VICE [1] - 1028:11

welcome [1] - 1220:19 Wetlands [2] - 1042:3, 1068:20, 1071:11, 1061:19, 1061:25, 1203:1, 1211:8, 43 1211:9, 1212:21 weld [3] - 1131:25, 1081:4 1099:24, 1102:3, 1062:13, 1062:24, Water's [2] - 1171:4, 1111:14, 1111:24, 1063:6, 1063:10, 1160:3 wetlands [6] -1116:7, 1120:20, 1063:15, 1063:22, 1215:6 1058:20, 1058:22, well-being [1] -1121:7, 1121:10, 1063:25, 1064:7, water/source [1] -1058:23, 1148:1, 1156:16 1037:14 1185:13, 1186:23 1121:15, 1122:24, 1064:14, 1064:18, wellhead [22] -1123:23, 1125:17, 1065:3, 1065:10, watering [1] - 1074:23 whatsoever [1] -1112:4, 1113:11, 1125:19, 1126:9, 1065:12, 1065:16, waters [17] - 1112:25, 1113:16, 1114:12, 1236:11 1126:17, 1127:2, 1065:23, 1066:3, 1137:8, 1137:13, 1117:16, 1117:18, Wheel [2] - 1122:22, 1127:25, 1128:7, 1072:6, 1072:13, 1137:17, 1137:18, 1117:20, 1117:24, 1133:3 1128:10, 1130:5, 1072:19, 1072:25, 1139:6, 1185:2, 1118:1, 1118:5, wherein [2] - 1101:9, 1131:5, 1132:9, 1073:3, 1073:10, 1185:17, 1186:17, 1118:7, 1118:19, 1104:16 1132:23, 1137:12, 1073:21, 1074:2, 1186:19, 1186:20, 1118:21, 1119:1, whisked [2] - 1232:23, 1140:4, 1140:19, 1074:6, 1074:9, 1187:4, 1187:11, 1119:6, 1119:8, 1232:25 1141:7, 1150:1, 1074:14, 1074:20, 1187:15, 1187:22, 1119:25, 1124:13, whisking [1] - 1253:13 1150:6, 1150:9, 1075:6, 1076:16, 1188:3, 1189:10 1131:11, 1138:21, White [26] - 1028:18, 1150:12, 1150:18, 1084:7, 1084:24, Watershed [1] -1139:14, 1140:6 1030:21, 1030:23, Wellhead [1] -1150:21, 1150:24, 1085:2, 1085:15, 1173:7 1032:14, 1032:16, 1151:3, 1151:10, 1085:20, 1086:1, 1117:18 Watertown [4] -1033:13, 1033:20, 1086:4, 1086:14, 1151:18, 1151:25, 1211:21, 1214:22, wellheads [2] -1033:22, 1034:3, 1090:11, 1090:23, 1152:7, 1162:8, 1215:24 1113:12, 1117:21 1035:13, 1035:17, 1108:25, 1109:8, 1168:14, 1211:19, waterways [1] wells [42] - 1044:15, 1035:20, 1035:22, 1211:22, 1214:21, 1126:6, 1129:10, 1048:14, 1048:22, 1227:10 1036:4, 1036:6, 1214:24 1129:15, 1129:18, ways [5] - 1073:17, 1065:24, 1067:6, 1036:8, 1036:10, 1129:21, 1130:6, **Williams'** [1] - 1138:4 1068:11, 1073:15, 1095:11, 1145:2, 1038:2, 1039:18, wind [1] - 1241:24 1130:10, 1130:17, 1145:23, 1166:1 1074:18, 1074:22, 1056:11, 1069:20, 1130:20, 1130:24, Winkle [1] - 1229:9 1090:14, 1090:18, weather [1] - 1062:18 1075:11, 1080:9, 1131:7, 1131:10, 1093:9, 1102:25, Winkler [9] - 1032:8, WEB [37] - 1029:4, 1106:10, 1127:18, 1042:12, 1042:17, 1131:16, 1131:18, 1103:3, 1104:24, 1037:20, 1038:1, 1165:16 1131:22, 1132:5, 1087:11, 1101:4, 1043:4, 1043:6, 1119:23, 1123:8, WHITE [20] - 1052:13, 1132:8, 1132:16, 1101:8, 1229:10, 1052:3, 1052:6, 1123:9, 1123:10, 1054:24, 1055:23, 1132:20, 1133:8, 1242:15, 1243:9 1123:11, 1125:1, 1056:12, 1056:14, 1052:11, 1052:14, 1133:13, 1133:19, winter [1] - 1174:7 1052:18, 1054:19, 1132:3, 1132:7, 1056:16, 1061:12, 1134:8, 1134:10, wisely [1] - 1242:8 1132:8, 1132:9, 1069:21, 1069:23, 1054:23, 1054:25, 1134:15, 1135:3, 1132:11, 1132:12, wish [2] - 1150:7, 1055:10, 1055:22, 1071:12, 1075:12, 1135:6, 1135:11, 1132:18, 1132:19, 1227:4 1055:24, 1058:4, 1075:23, 1076:3, 1135:17, 1136:9, 1132:22, 1132:25, 1076:9, 1076:12, wishing [2] - 1241:2, 1059:21, 1067:11, 1136:11, 1136:13, 1133:6, 1155:1, 1077:7, 1077:11, 1077:12, 1080:10, 1241:3 1136:16, 1136:19, 1077:14, 1077:15, 1155:4, 1156:6, 1080:12, 1083:15, withdrawal [2] -1137:3, 1137:21, 1156:7, 1178:5, 1087:13 1194:4, 1194:11 1089:15, 1094:24, 1141:17, 1167:5, 1180:3, 1180:9, whiz [1] - 1240:19 1094:25, 1097:24, witness [37] - 1043:7, 1167:12, 1167:19, 1180:14, 1229:3 1043:15, 1051:7, 1107:12, 1107:13, whole [13] - 1052:16, 1168:6, 1168:25, West [2] - 1101:9, 1107:16, 1114:16, 1079:22, 1088:20, 1051:17, 1076:17, 1171:21, 1171:24, 1148:15, 1158:5, 1124:7 1090:25, 1106:8, 1076:20, 1087:10, 1172:4, 1172:8, west [11] - 1097:7, 1158:10, 1171:4, 1146:14, 1158:13, 1091:6, 1091:15, 1172:11, 1172:14, 1104:1, 1104:8, 1210:8, 1215:6 1173:15, 1182:2, 1101:3, 1102:5, 1172:25, 1175:15, web [1] - 1078:1 1178:12, 1178:16, 1239:2, 1242:15, 1102:7, 1109:19, 1181:12, 1181:15, 1224:15, 1225:21, **WEB's** [1] - 1048:3 1248:22 1109:20, 1109:22, 1181:24, 1182:5, 1226:5, 1226:19, 1141:18, 1141:24, website [5] - 1056:4, wholly [1] - 1224:21 1182:9, 1182:11, 1229:5, 1245:9 1170:21, 1171:13, 1101:12, 1106:19, wide [2] - 1103:9, 1182:25, 1183:4, westerly [3] - 1226:5, 1177:19, 1183:19, 1106:24, 1149:8 1226:16 1183:7, 1183:15, week [13] - 1065:5, 1226:6, 1229:12 width [2] - 1097:13, 1183:23, 1197:19, 1183:18, 1197:12, western [10] -1197:20, 1197:22, 1092:1, 1115:16, 1097:15 1215:12, 1219:23, 1054:10, 1055:15, 1210:5, 1210:6, 1146:25, 1176:7, wife [1] - 1177:20 1220:5, 1220:12, 1089:2, 1089:8, William [2] - 1035:11, 1210:20, 1220:13, 1221:8, 1221:10, 1222:4, 1222:22, 1221:25, 1229:9, 1089:17, 1093:15, 1221:11, 1222:2, 1039:21 1223:1, 1223:18, 1222:13, 1248:4, 1093:25, 1097:7, 1231:11, 1248:7, Williams [56] - 1029:6, 1224:2, 1224:7, 1103:24, 1108:7 1249:7, 1252:20, 1249:7, 1253:20 1053:5, 1059:12, 1225:25, 1229:11, 1252:23, 1255:17 wet [3] - 1224:10, weekend [1] - 1221:18 1065:7, 1066:19, 1231:18, 1231:23, WITNESS [149] -1229:16 weeks [1] - 1097:21 1067:4, 1068:10, 1232:3, 1232:9,

1232:19, 1234:23, 1235:3, 1235:6, 1236:12, 1236:19, 1237:14, 1237:20, 1237:24, 1240:7, 1240:10, 1242:21, 1243:2, 1243:13, 1244:12, 1244:17, 1253:5, 1253:9, 1253:19, 1253:23, 1253:25, 1254:8, 1254:17, 1254:23, 1255:7, 1255:13, 1255:16 Witnesses [7] -1030:2, 1031:1, 1032:1, 1033:1, 1034:1, 1035:1, 1036:1 witnesses [5] -1210:8, 1210:12, 1220:15, 1221:18, 1248:9 WITTLER [1] - 1256:5 Wittler [2] - 1028:24, 1256:18 woman [1] - 1206:4 wonder [1] - 1254:15 wonderful [2] -1079:14, 1090:14 wondering [2] -1085:21, 1085:23 woods [1] - 1224:13 Woonsocket's [1] -1090:15 word [7] - 1047:19, 1063:7. 1104:10. 1160:11, 1229:6, 1235:16, 1247:15 words [4] - 1063:10, 1117:15, 1118:12, 1236:20 works [5] - 1120:12, 1157:4, 1166:2, 1253:18, 1254:3 Workshop [1] -1039:5 world [2] - 1228:3, 1228:4 worried [1] - 1228:1 worry [3] - 1174:23, 1229:18, 1229:20 worse [1] - 1072:10 worst [1] - 1228:4 Worst [1] - 1039:13 write [6] - 1085:24, 1092:24, 1104:25, 1105:2, 1191:24, 1192:10 written [7] - 1076:8,

1077:3, 1077:9, 1082:7, 1089:10, 1248:24, 1253:22 wrote [4] - 1081:17, 1082:7, 1248:18, 1248:20

X

xylene [4] - 1066:22, 1203:17, 1205:12, 1206:7 xylenes [1] - 1201:9 Xylenes [1] - 1201:22

Y

Yankton [3] - 1060:15, 1062:10, 1217:11 yard [4] - 1175:9, 1177:18, 1181:22, 1181:25 yards [2] - 1124:6, 1140:13 year [17] - 1047:7, 1047:18, 1049:7, 1078:8, 1102:4, 1118:11, 1126:24, 1148:10, 1199:7, 1199:11, 1199:15, 1199:18, 1203:7, 1214:10, 1217:11, 1226:11, 1234:3 year-round [1] -1199:11 years [37] - 1048:23, 1066:25, 1074:16. 1075:5, 1078:19, 1083:7, 1090:12, 1093:6, 1093:13, 1104:14, 1105:17, 1105:21, 1105:22, 1110:6, 1117:2, 1118:13, 1139:7, 1144:18, 1153:2, 1163:22, 1175:7, 1175:9, 1177:5, 1181:20, 1181:25, 1184:13, 1192:8, 1198:14, 1205:8, 1224:11, 1227:3, 1228:14, 1228:15, 1253:12, 1253:17, 1254:4 Years [1] - 1040:11 years' [1] - 1140:11 **yellow** [9] - 1078:13,

1079:2, 1083:2,

1083:6, 1089:19,

1089:20, 1089:21, 1090:7, 1095:25

yesterday [7] - 1043:9, 1075:25, 1099:17, 1101:3, 1108:25, 1109:1, 1240:9

Yesterday [1] - 1048:5

York [1] - 1247:5

yourself [4] - 1171:15, 1177:21, 1222:6, 1222:9

Ζ

zero [2] - 1246:4, 1246:7 Zone [11] - 1113:13, 1113:14, 1113:16, 1118:9, 1118:10, 1118:16, 1138:20, 1138:23, 1139:9 zone [2] - 1118:6, 1138:24 zoned [1] - 1113:13 zones [3] - 1118:5, 1118:9 zoning [1] - 1118:22