

RECEIVED

SEP 13 2007

Page 1

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 9-12-07

Watertown S.D.

To the Public Utilities Comm.

In the year of 2006, there wasn't going to be any pumping stations in South Dakota. Now in 2007 there are going to be four; and more could be added. I am concerned about Pump #20 in Day County

A natural Gas pipeline does not contaminate the ground near as much as a oil pipeline, once it has a leak.

They should be held financially responsible and physically clean up all oil and oil saturated ground from the oil leak as rain can spread the oil to the farmland.

Substantial payments should be made if oil pipeline cracks and leaks occur and contaminate farmland as oil is washed out from the leased land.

We had not been to a meeting (Keystone Pipeline) this year and a man (Van Roark) came to our door the 1st week in May to sign us up. He showed me a contract, and I told him it wasn't enough money as the contract was perpetual. He assured me that as a landowner there would be no negotiations and they (Keystone Pipeline) would use eminent Domain. We signed up. (I am sorry I did) as I was more concerned about my health problems.

I think that after 10 years of use of the pipeline; they should be making yearly payments to the landowners of 5 to 10 percent of their contract.

In their contract they can put in as many pipelines as they want. I think more pipelines = more money as there is more risk.

The landowners can do nothing.

Only the P.U.C. can regulate and demand payments for the landowners, if and before permission is given

Keystone Pipeline agent Van Boark assured us everyone would be paid the same.

The land they are crossing is

NW 1/4 8 122 - 59

NW 1/4 Sect 8 ^{Andover} Twp. 122 Range 59

George Dyllos

P.S. I attended the last meeting in Clark.

I will not be going to the next one as of another commitment.