Volume 2A

Direct Testimony and Supporting Schedules

Tyler A. Akerman

Before the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State of South Dakota

In the Matter of the Application of Otter Tail Power Company
For Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Utility
Service in South Dakota

Docket No. EL18-___ Exhibit

RATE BASE, REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND ADJUSTMENTS

Direct Testimony and Schedules of

TYLER A. AKERMAN

April 20, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUC	CTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.			AND OVERVIEW OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	
III.			R AND SCHEDULES PROVIDED	
IV.			R REVENUE DEFICIENCY	
V.			TIONAL COST OF SERVICE STUDY	
VI.			OF TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT ON OTP'S FILING	
VII.			E	
	A.	NET U	UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE	17
	В.		STRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS	
	C.	WOR	KING CAPITAL	19
	D.	ACCU	JMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	20
	E.	RIDE	R ROLL-IN	21
VIII.	AD.	USTMI	ENTS TO RATE BASE	21
	A.	TRAD	DITIONAL ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE	22
		1.	AFUDC on Short-Term CWIP	22
		2.	BSP II Generation Recovery	
		3.	Rider CWIP	
		4.	Transmission Recovery	23
	В.	TEST	YEAR ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE	
		1.	Normalize CISone Project	24
		2.	New Depreciation Rates	24
		3.	Rate Case Expense Amortization	25
		4.	Adjustment to ADIT for TCJA	
		5.	Effect of Test Year Adjustments on Allocations	26
IX.	INC	OME S	TATEMENT	26
	A.	SUMN	MARY OF FINANCIAL SCHEDULES	27
	В.	SUMN	MARY OF TEST YEAR INCOME STATEMENT	27
	C.	TEST	YEAR REVENUES	28
		1.	Retail Revenues	29
		2.	Other Electric Operating Revenue	29
	D.	O&M	EXPENSES	
		1.	Production Expenses	
		2.	Transmission Expenses	34
		3.	Distribution Expenses	34
		4.	Customer Accounting Expenses	
		5.	Customer Service and Information Expenses	35
		6.	Sales Expense	35
		7.	Administrative and General Expenses	36
	E.	DEPR	ECIATION EXPENSE	36
	F.		ME TAXES	
X.	AD.		ENTS TO INCOME STATEMENT	
	A.	TRAD	DITIONAL ADJUSTMENTS TO INCOME STATEMENT	
		1.	Advertising Expense	39

		2.	AFUDC on Short-Term CWIP	39
		3.	BSP II Generation Recovery	40
		4.	Emission Allowances	40
		5.	Incentive Compensation	40
		6.	REC Sales	40
		7.	Transmission Recovery	
	В.	TEST Y	YEAR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE INCOME STATEMENT	41
		1.	Normalize CISone Project	
		2.	BSP II Transmission Amortization	
		3.	New Depreciation Rates	42
		4.	Weather Normalization	42
		5.	Revenue Normalization.	42
		6.	Wages, KPA, and Management Incentive	43
		7.	Medical / Dental, FAS 87, 106, 112	43
		8.	Rate Case Expenses	46
		9.	Storm Damage	46
		10.	Removal of Production Tax Credits	46
		11.	Plant Outage Normalization	47
		12.	Removal of TCRR Revenues	47
		13.	Removal of ECRR Revenues	
		14.	Adjust Deferred Tax Expense for TCJA	48
		15.	Allocation of Changes due to Test Year Allocation	48
XI.	ME]		RT STEP	
	A.	RATE 1	BASE IMPACT	49
	В.	INCOM	ME STATEMENT IMPACT	49
XII.	COl	NCLUSIO	ON	50

ATTACHED SCHEDULES

- Schedule 1 Akerman Qualifications and Responsibilities
- Schedule 2 Summary of 2017 Test Year Revenue Deficiency
- Schedule 3 Jurisdictional Financial Summary (2016-2017)
- Schedule 4 JCOSS, COSS and Rate Design Process Overview Manual
- Schedule 5 Tax Cut and Jobs Act Impacts
- Schedule 6 Test Year Rate Base
- Schedule 7 Traditional Adjustments to Rate Base
- Schedule 8 Test Year Rate Base Adjustments
- Schedule 9 Test Year Income Statement
- Schedule 10 Test Year O&M by Function
- Schedule 11 Traditional Adjustments to Income Statement
- Schedule 12 Test Year Income Statement Adjustments
- Schedule 13 Big Stone II Amortization
- Schedule 14- Merricourt Step Rate Base Adjustments
- Schedule 15 Merricourt Step Income Statement Adjustments

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND OCCUPATION.
- 3 A. My name is Tyler Akerman. I am employed by Otter Tail Power Company (OTP) as
- 4 Manager of the Business Planning/Regulatory Accounting department.

5

1

- 6 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.
- 7 A. I graduated from Minnesota State University Moorhead with a Bachelor of Science
- 8 degree with majors in finance and accounting. I started my current position as Manager,
- 9 Business Planning/Regulatory Accounting in October 2015. My primary responsibilities
- include being accountable for all budgeting, financial planning, and forecasting as
- required by OTP and Otter Tail Corporation for use in strategic planning and decision
- making. In addition, I am responsible for managing the production of official company
- budgets and monthly forecasts, for leading the work group that prepares the jurisdictional
- 14 cost of service studies for the three jurisdictions in which OTP provides service (South
- Dakota, Minnesota and North Dakota) and providing other regulatory and financial
- analysis on an as needed basis. I have been employed by OTP since October 2012. Prior
- to beginning my current position in October 2015, I was a Financial Analyst in the
- Business Planning/Regulatory Accounting Department. A copy of my resume is included
- as Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 1.

20 II. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF DIRECT TESTIMONY

- 21 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 22 A. My Direct Testimony supports OTP's financial schedules and the determination of a
- revenue deficiency for the 2017 Test Year, including known and measurable changes.
- 24 More specifically, I have determined that OTP has a revenue deficiency of \$5,978,109 for
- 25 the 2017 Test Year, as shown in Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 2, which includes current
- 26 rider revenues that are being transitioned into base rates, as I note later in my Direct
- 27 Testimony. I support the additional financial data provided as part of this Application. I
- will also discuss the development of the Rate Base and Income Statement which is being

2		impact of all Test Year adjustments and providing support for some of the Test Year
3		adjustments.
4		
5	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY.
6	A.	I explain the Jurisdictional Cost of Service Study (JCOSS), Test Year Revenue
7		Deficiency, Financial Data Provided, Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, Rate Base, Adjustments to
8		Rate Base, Income Statement, and Adjustments to the Income Statement.
9		
10	Q.	HOW IS YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?
11	A.	In Section III, I discuss the Test Year and identify the Financial Schedules being
12		provided. Section IV provides a discussion of the 2017 Test Year revenue deficiency,
13		and Section V includes a discussion of the JCOSS. Section VI includes a discussion of
14		the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. Section VII includes a discussion of Rate Base, and Section
15		VIII includes a discussion of adjustments to Rate Base. In Section IX, I discuss the
16		Income Statement and Section X includes a discussion of adjustments to the Income
17		Statement. Section XI provides a discussion of the proposed Merricourt Step Increase.
18		Section XII presents my conclusions.
19		
20	Q.	DID YOU USE ANY LABELING CONVENTIONS IN YOUR DIRECT
21		TESTIMONY?
22	A.	Yes. There are certain power plant and transmission projects where OTP is only a part
23		owner. I distinguish among total project costs, OTP's share of the total and the South
24		Dakota Jurisdictional share as follows: total project costs, labeled as (Total Plant or Total
25		Project), the OTP ownership allocation of the project amounts, labeled as (OTP Total),
26		and the South Dakota Jurisdictional share, labeled as (OTP SD).

proposed for use in setting rates in this proceeding, including explaining the financial

1

III. TEST YEAR AND SCHEDULES PROVIDED

2	Q.	W	HAT TEST	Γ YEAR IS USED IN THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY?
3	A.	T	he test year	period is based on the 2017 calendar year with Traditional and Test Year
4		A	djustments,	including known and measurable changes.
5				
6	Q.	F	OR WHAT	PERIODS IS FINANCIAL INFORMATION BEING PROVIDED?
7	A.	F	ollowing th	e Commission's rules, financial data is provided for the most recent fiscal
8		ye	ear (2017 A	ctual Year) and the 2017 Test Year.
9				
10	Q.	IN	N ADDITIO	ON TO THE SCHEDULES INCLUDED WITH THIS TESTIMONY, ARE
11		T	HERE ADI	DITIONAL SCHEDULES YOU ARE SPONSORING?
12	A.	Y	es. I am s	sponsoring the following Statements and supporting Schedules, which are
13		re	quired by S	South Dakota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") Rules (Sections
14		20	0:10:13:51	through 20:10:13:102. These Statements and Schedules are in Volume 4 of
15		th	e Applicati	on:
16		A.	Balance s	heet
17		В.	Income st	tatement
18		C.	Earned su	irplus statements
19		D.	Cost of p	lant
20			D-1.	Detailed plant accounts
21			D-2.	Plant addition and retirement for test period
22			D-3.	Working papers showing plant accounts on average basis for test period
23			D-4.	Plant account working papers for previous years
24			D-5.	Working papers on capitalizing interest and other overheads during
25				construction
26			D-6.	Changes in intangible plant working papers
27			D-7.	Working papers on plant in service not used and useful
28			D-8.	Property records working papers
29			D-9.	Working papers for plant acquired for which regulatory approval has not
30				been obtained

1	E.	Accumula	ated depreciation
2		E-1.	Working papers on record changes to accumulated depreciation
3		E-2.	Working papers on depreciation and amortization method
4		E-3.	Working papers on allocation of overall accounts
5	F.	Working	capital
6		F-1.	Monthly balances for materials, supplies, fuel stocks, and prepayments
7		F-2.	Monthly balances for two years immediately preceding pro forma year
8		F-3.	Data used in computing working capital
9	Н.	Operating	and maintenance expenses
10		H-1.	Adjustments to operating and maintenance expenses
11		H-2.	Cost of power and gas
12		H-3.	Working papers for listed expense accounts
13		H-4.	Working papers for Interdepartmental Transactions
14	I.	Operating	revenue
15	J.	Depreciat	ion expense
16		J-1.	Expense charged other than prescribed depreciation
17	K.	Income ta	xes
18		K-1.	Working papers for federal income taxes
19		K-2.	Differences in book and tax depreciation
20		K-3.	Working papers for consolidated federal income tax
21		K-4.	Working papers for an allowance for current tax greater than tax
22			calculated at consolidated rate
23		K-5.	Working papers for claimed allowances for state income taxes
24	L.	Other taxe	es
25		L-1.	Working papers for adjusted taxes
26	M.	Overall co	ost of service
27	N.	Allocated	cost of service
28	O.	Comparis	on of cost of service
29	P.	Fuel cost	adjustment factor
30	R.	Purchases	from affiliated companies

- Any discussion of the content of a Schedule that is required under the Commission's Rules
- 2 is provided with the required Schedule. OTP witness Mr. Bruce Gerhardson provides the
- 3 information regarding utility operations for required Schedule Q in his Direct Testimony.
- 4 OTP witness Mr. Kevin G. Moug sponsors the information regarding cost of capital and
- 5 related topics for required Schedules G through G-4.

6 IV. TEST YEAR REVENUE DEFICIENCY

- 7 Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS OF OTP'S 2017 TEST YEAR JURISDICTIONAL REVENUE
- 8 REQUIREMENT AND REVENUE DEFICIENCY?
- 9 A. OTP's 2017 Test Year jurisdictional revenue requirement and revenue deficiency are
- based on OTP's 2017 Actual Year results, with Traditional and Test Year Adjustments,
- including known and measurable changes.

12

- 13 Q. ARE KNOWN AND MEASUREABLE CHANGES DESCRIBED IN THE
- 14 COMMISSION'S RULES?
- 15 A. Yes. Commission Rule 20:10:13:44 provides in part that "[N]o adjustments shall be
- permitted unless they are based on changes in facilities, operations, or costs which are
- known with reasonable certainty and measurable with reasonable accuracy at the time of
- the filing"

- 20 Q. WHAT IS THE 2017 TEST YEAR JURISDICTIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT
- 21 AND REVENUE DEFICIENCY?
- A. OTP's overall jurisdictional revenue requirement for the 2017 Test Year is \$36,628,124
- 23 (including \$1,598,410 of revenue requirements that will be left in the Transmission Cost
- Recovery Rider (TCRR), and the 2017 Test Year revenue deficiency is \$5,978,109. The
- 25 2017 Test Year revenue deficiency represents a 19.5 percent overall increase in retail
- revenues compared to 2017 retail revenues at current rates, including the transition of
- 27 cost recovery from riders to base rates. The transition of costs from riders to base rates
- does not increase customer bills, however. It merely moves recovery from the rider
- 29 mechanisms to the base rate mechanism. The actual increase for customers, excluding

1	the effect of the rider-to-base-rate transition, is an increase in non-fuel base revenue of
2	\$3,358,574, or 10.10 percent.

- 4 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED A SUMMARY OF THE 2017 REVENUE DEFICIENCY?
- 5 Yes. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 2 is a summary of the 2017 Test Year revenue A. 6 deficiency. Line 1 shows average total Rate Base of \$84.9 million. Line 4 shows the 7 total amount available for return of \$2.0 million, which is determined at present rate 8 levels. Line 5 shows the 2.41 percent overall rate of return (ROR) earned before any rate 9 increase. Line 6 shows the 7.96 percent required ROR, which is the basis for the 10 requested rate increase. Line 7 shows the required operating income of \$6.8 million, 11 which was determined by multiplying the 7.96 percent required ROR by the \$84.9 12 million Rate Base. Line 8 shows the \$4.7 million income deficiency which is the 13 difference between the required operating income of \$6.8 million (on Line 7) less the 14 \$2.0 million of available return (on Line 4). The \$5.98 million revenue deficiency on 15 Line 10 is determined by multiplying the \$4.7 million income deficiency (on Line 8) by 16 the 1.2677244 gross-revenue conversion factor that reflects the effects of the TCJA. The 17 calculation of the gross revenue conversion factor is provided in Volume 4A, Schedule F-2. 18

- Q. HAVE YOU COMPARED OTP'S EARNED OVERALL ROR TO ITS REQUIRED
 OVERALL ROR SINCE 2016?
- 22 A. Yes. OTP's earned ROR was lower than OTP's required ROR in 2016 and lower than 23 OTP's required ROR in 2017 at current rates. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 3 is a 24 Jurisdictional Financial Summary for 2017 Actual Year and the 2017 Test Year. 25 Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 3 shows: (1) the overall ROR for 2017 Actual Year was 26 5.78 percent and the required ROR (reflecting actual 2017 cost of debt and the return on 27 equity granted in OTP's last rate case) was 7.74 percent; (2) the overall ROR for the 2017 28 Test Year is 2.41 percent and the required ROR is 7.96 percent; and (3) the overall ROR 29 for the Merricourt Step Increase (labeled 2017 Test Year Step on Schedule 3) is 7.50 30 percent and the required ROR is 7.96 percent.

V. JURISDICTIONAL COST OF SERVICE STUDY

- 2 Q WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 3 A. The purpose of this section of my Direct Testimony is to explain OTP's JCOSS.

4

1

- 5 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF A JCOSS?
- A. A JCOSS determines the portion of a multijurisdictional utility's total company costs and revenues that should be recognized in a specific jurisdiction. In this case, the JCOSS determined the portion of OTP's total company costs and revenues that should be
- 9 recognized in the South Dakota jurisdiction in the 2017 Test Year.

10

- 11 Q. WHY IS A JCOSS NECESSARY FOR OTP?
- 12 A. OTP serves retail customers in South Dakota, Minnesota, and North Dakota. In addition,
- OTP provides wholesale transmission services to load serving entities and provides
- wholesale transmission and wheeling service to some municipal utilities, and those
- services are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Costs that
- are incurred to meet the requirements of a particular jurisdiction are directly assigned to
- that jurisdiction. Costs that cannot be directly assigned to a specific jurisdiction are
- allocated to jurisdictions based upon allocation factors included in the JCOSS. In this
- way, the JCOSS is used to determine what portion of the total costs incurred by OTP
- should be recovered from our South Dakota customers.

21

- 22 Q. IS IT IMPORTANT THAT ALL OF A UTILITY'S STATE JURISDICTIONS USE
- 23 THE SAME JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION PROCEDURES FOR THE JCOSS?
- 24 A. Yes. By having uniform jurisdictional allocation procedures in all its state jurisdictions,
- OTP is able to recover its cost of providing retail service across its entire service territory,
- 26 no more, and no less. The allocation procedures used by OTP were approved by the
- Commission in OTP's last South Dakota rate case, Docket No. EL10-011.

- 1 Q. DO ALL OTP'S JURISDICTIONS USE THE SAME JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION PROCEDURES FOR OTP'S JCOSS?
- 3 A. Yes. The Commission, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (MPUC), and North
- 4 Dakota Public Service Commission (ND PSC) have approved the same jurisdictional
- 5 allocation procedures for OTP's JCOSS that the Commission has approved for OTP's
- 6 JCOSS.

- 8 Q. HOW WAS OTP'S JCOSS DEVELOPED?
- 9 A. The JCOSS was developed using procedures contained in the OTP Jurisdictional and
- 10 Class Cost of Service Study and Rate Design Process Overview Manual, a copy of which
- is attached as Exhibit __ (TAA-1), Schedule 4.

12

- 13 Q. WHAT ARE THE GENERAL STEPS FOR PREPARING OTP'S JCOSS?
- 14 A. The JCOSS involves the following steps: functionalization, classification and allocation.
- 15 Functionalization is the process by which costs are arranged according to the utility
- function they serve, such as production, transmission, distribution, etc. Classification is
- the arrangement of costs within a function by the service characteristic to which they
- most closely apply or relate, in order to facilitate their allocation based on these service
- characteristics. Allocation, in the JCOSS, is the process of distributing costs to each
- 20 jurisdiction. I discuss the functionalization and classification steps in more detail below.

- 22 Q. IS FUNCTIONALIZATION OF COSTS REQUIRED?
- 23 A. Yes. The assignment of costs to each function: Production, Transmission, Distribution,
- 24 Customer Service, Administrative and General generally follow the accounting categories
- defined in the FERC Uniform System of Accounts (USOA). At times, however, there are
- exceptions. When there are exceptions, the purpose of functionalization, not the
- accounting treatment, determines the distribution of the functional costs for the cost of
- service study. For example, lines and substations can fulfill production, transmission or
- distribution functions. Additional details regarding OTP's functionalization procedures

1	are included in the Cost Allocations Procedure Manual (CAPM) explained by OTF
2	witness Mr. Stuart Tommerdahl in his Direct Testimony.

- 4 Q. HOW WERE COSTS CLASSIFIED IN THE JCOSS?
- A. Classification approaches differ across different functional categories. For example, fixed production plant is classified into energy-related and demand-related subcategories using the equivalent peaker method. OTP has used the equivalent peaker method to classify fixed production plant costs since 1980. Additional details regarding classification procedures are available in the CAPM.

10

- 11 Q. WHAT IS YOUR CONCLUSION RELATED TO OTP'S JCOSS?
- 12 A. The results of the JCOSS are appropriate for determining the 2017 Test Year revenue requirement.

14 VI. IMPACTS OF TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT ON OTP'S FILING

- 15 Q. WHAT TOPICS WILL YOU DISCUSS IN THIS SECTION?
- 16 This section addresses the impacts of the federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA), which A. 17 was signed into law on December 22, 2017, on the Company's revenue deficiency for 18 OTP's proposed 2017 Test Year. I first provide an overview of the TCJA. I then address 19 both the broader impacts of the TCJA on OTP and the aspects we know now that can be 20 addressed as part of this rate case. I will also explain OTP's reasoning behind, and 21 proposed mechanism for, addressing some of those TCJA impacts on customer rates in 22 this rate case. Finally, I discuss the impacts of the TCJA in terms of appropriate 23 regulatory accounting treatment.

- 25 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE TCJA?
- A. The TCJA made several significant changes, including a reduction in the maximum U.S. federal corporate income tax rate from 35 percent to a flat 21 percent tax rate, effective January 1, 2018. This means that all public utilities subject to federal corporate income taxes will compute those taxes based on a 21 percent tax rate. The TCJA also contains

2		expense deductibility and relief from 100 percent expensing of capital investments.
3		
4	Q.	DOES THE TCJA HAVE IMPACTS ON OTP'S 2017 TEST YEAR COST OF
5		SERVICE?
6	A.	Yes. The TCJA will have three primary impacts on cost of service: (1) current income
7		tax expense will be reduced; (2) bonus depreciation is eliminated for utilities such as
8		OTP; and (3) there will be an amortization of "excess" Accumulated Deferred Income
9		Tax (ADIT). In addition, the tax gross up factor will be reduced for OTP, with the tax
10		gross-up factor of 1.540773 times the short fall being reduced to 1.267724.
11		
12	Q.	ARE THE EFFECTS OF THE TCJA SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN TO MAKE
13		ADJUSTMENTS IN THIS RATE CASE?
14	A.	Yes. Although OTP is still evaluating the impacts of the TCJA, OTP believes the major
15		impacts are sufficiently known and we can provide some further information on the
16		effects of the TCJA on the Company's cost of service in this rate case proceeding. Any
17		additional impacts of the TCJA will be evaluated and addressed in the process for setting
18		final rates and subsequent rider true-ups.
19		
20	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE EFFECTS OF THE TCJA ON CURRENT ADIT
21		BALANCES.
22	A.	The TCJA requires the revaluation of federal ADIT assets and liabilities balances using
23		the new lower tax rate. The changes result in the recording of regulatory assets and
24		liabilities for the excess portion of ADIT, with no estimated income statement impact.
25		The ADIT due to excess tax over book depreciation is "protected" under the TCJA,
26		which means the amount is to be amortized over the remaining life of the property that
27		created the ADIT in order to avoid a violation of normalization tax requirements.
28		

certain provisions specific to public utilities, including the continuation of certain interest

	1 (O	WHAT IS	THE IMPACT	OF "UNPROTECTED"	ADIT ON (OTP?
--	-----	---	---------	------------	------------------	-----------	------

A. For OTP, only a small net amount of ADIT (less than one half of a percent) is not "protected" under the new tax law, which means the amount is to be amortized over the remaining life of the property that created the ADIT. The changes are expected to result in the recording of regulatory assets and liabilities for the non-protected portion of ADIT, with no estimated income statement impact.

7

- 8 Q. PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN THE EFFECTS OF NORMALIZATION 9 REQUIREMENTS ON OTP'S DEFERRED ADIT ASSETS AND LIABILITIES.
- A. OTP is required to revalue its deferred tax assets and liabilities, including federal income tax net operating losses, as of the enactment date of the TCJA. Most of OTP's ADIT assets and liabilities are subject to a normalization method of accounting. As a result, the revaluation of most of OTP's net ADIT is expected to result in the establishment of regulatory liabilities, which would then be creditable to the cost of service over the remaining lives of the related assets.

16

- 17 Q. PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN THE EFFECTS OF THE TAX RATE REDUCTION IN THE TCJA ON OTP'S ADIT.
- 19 Due to the reduction of the tax rate in the TCJA, the current balance of ADIT on OTP's A. 20 regulatory books does not accurately reflect the current (post-TCJA) tax liability. As a 21 result, OTP needs to re-measure ADIT balances at the 21% rate. OTP's ADIT due to the 22 lower tax rate (causing an increase to rate base) will be offset with a regulatory liability in 23 ADIT. Pre-2018 ADIT will amortize (deferred tax expense) at the rates upon which the 24 ADIT was created. Since rates were set with the higher tax rate, ratepayers will continue 25 to receive the benefit of the ADIT offset through calculating pre-2018 ADIT reversals at 26 a 35 percent rate.

- 28 Q. PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN THE EFFECTS OF THE TCJA ON FUTURE ADIT.
- A. The elimination of bonus depreciation and the reduction in the federal tax rate in the TCJA will lead to utilities having lower ADIT liabilities going forward (but including

1	past ADIT net of regulatory liabilities). The reduction in future deferred taxes will, in
2	turn, increase rate base growth for the same level of expected capital expenditures due to
3	lower forecasted deferred tax liabilities.

- 5 Q. PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN HOW THE TCJA IMPACTS BONUS DEPRECIATION.
- 7 "Bonus depreciation" is a form of tax incentive given to companies to encourage certain A. 8 types of investment, whereby a company that purchases a qualified business property and 9 places it into service within a taxable year can take a first-year deduction in addition to 10 any depreciation deduction available. Beginning in 2018, the TCJA prohibits the use of bonus depreciation for assets acquired in the trade or business of the furnishing or sale of 11 12 electrical energy. As a result, going forward, OTP will be adding less ADIT, as the 13 difference between book depreciation and tax depreciation in future periods will be less 14 in the early years of a project even though both total tax and book depreciation will 15 remain unchanged over the asset's life. OTP continues to assess the effect of the bonus 16 depreciation change under the TCJA.

17

- 18 Q. HAS THE COMMISSION BEGUN ADDRESSING THE TCJA?
- 19 Yes. On December 27, 2017, following a request by South Dakota Public Utilities Staff 20 (Staff), the Commission issued its Order initiating an investigation of the impacts of the 21 TCJA on South Dakota rate regulated utilities (Order) and requiring OTP and other 22 utilities to file initial comments on the TCJA's impacts on or before February 1, 2018 23 (Order). OTP filing initial comments as required by the Order (OTP's Initial 24 Comments). The Commission's Order sought initial comments from rate-regulated 25 utilities regarding the general effects of the TCJA on each utility's cost of service in 26 South Dakota as well as potential possible regulatory mechanisms for adjusting rates. In

.

¹ In the Matter of Staff's Request To Investigate the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act on South Dakota Utilities, Commission Docket No. GE17-003, Order dated December 27, 2017.

OTP's instance, those impacts include revaluation of ADIT assets and liabilities, which OTP briefly addressed in its Initial Comments.

In its Order, the Commission also required the utilities to work with Staff to determine a deadline for additional comments to address proposals and impacts, and including: (1) an estimate of the Company's determination of the TCJA's effects on its South Dakota cost of service, inclusive of all elements; (2) an explanation of these effects, and (3) proposals for procedures for changing rates to reflect these impacts. The Commission also required the utilities to provide information on any FERC proceedings addressing how federal income taxes will be adjusted for FERC transmission tariff rates. In addition to this rate case proceeding, OTP also looks forward to working with the Commission and Staff to determine the next steps for additional comments in Docket GE17-003.

- Q. ARE THERE OTHER POSSIBLE IMPACTS OF THE TCJA ON UTILITIES, INCLUDING OTP?
- A. There are other areas of impact or potential impact as well, which regulators and utilities alike continue to study and sort out. For instance, although the TCJA contains many provisions that have broad applicability to energy sector companies, it is intentionally silent on the disposition of many energy-related tax incentives. There are also changes to the expensing of certain capital investments, limitations on deductions for interest expense and modification to the capital contribution rules and net operating loss deductions, to name just a few examples.

- Q. DOES OTP SUPPORT MAKING ADJUSTMENTS TO REFLECT THE TCJA IN THIS
 RATE CASE PROCEEDING?
- A. Yes, as indicated in its February 1, 2018 Initial Comments in Docket GE17-003, OTP believes this rate case proceeding is the most efficient mechanism for identifying and passing on the known beneficial financial impacts of the TCJA to our customers.

2		2017 TEST YEAR RESULTING FROM THE TCJA?
3	A.	Yes. As shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 5, page 1 of 3, for the South Dakota
4		jurisdiction: (1) rate base increased by \$263,985; (2) total available for return decreased
5		by \$32,096; (3) the gross revenue conversion factor decreased by 0.273 (reflecting the
6		lower income tax rate); and (4) the 2017 Test Year revenue deficiency decreased by
7		\$1,205,765. The impacts on the ADIT is shown on Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 5, page
8		2 of 3, and the impacts on the components of the Income Statement are shown on
9		Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 5, page 3 of 3.
10		
11	Q.	WILL THE TCJA HAVE IMPACTS ON THE UTILIZATION OF PRODUCTION TAX
12		CREDITS?
13	A.	Yes. The TCJA decreases income tax expense for 2018 (resulting in lower rates for
14		OTP's South Dakota customers as shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 5). The lower
15		income tax rate and associated income tax expense will delay the time when OTP can use
16		the PTCs on future tax returns and will result in an increase in rate base through the
17		creation of a deferred tax asset.
18		
19	Q.	IS OTP PLANNING TO TAKE THE SAME OR SIMILAR APPROACH IN ITS
20		OTHER STATE JURISDICTIONS?
21	A.	Not necessarily. It is correct that OTP is assessing our current cost of providing service
22		in each of the three states where it has retail customers, North Dakota, Minnesota and
23		South Dakota. In Minnesota, the Company's rates are based on our cost of providing
24		service in 2016. In this proceeding, OTP's rates are based primarily on an historical test

HAVE YOU PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH REFLECTS CHANGES TO THE

1

25

26

27

28

29

Q.

year cost of service (i.e., the 2017 calendar year) with Traditional and Test Year

Adjustments and with known and measurable changes. In North Dakota, the rates are

being set based on a 2018 forecasted test year. Because of these different fundamental

approaches to ratemaking, especially in terms of test years being used, a different

approach will be needed in each of these three states in order to best assess and properly

1		account for the impact of the TCJA and address those impacts in terms of our current and
2		going-forward cost of providing service.
3		
4	Q.	DOES OTP ALSO ANTICIPATE REFLECTING THE IMPACTS OF THE TCJA IN
5		OTHER DOCKETS IN SOUTH DAKOTA?
6	A.	Yes. OTP would propose addressing other relevant Commission dockets where TCJA
7		impacts may manifest themselves at appropriate times to those specific cases, other
8		proceedings, or as otherwise directed by the Commission. OTP has already noted in its
9		February TCJA Submission that it will continue to make appropriate filings in that
10		investigation proceeding as required by the Commission. Those forthcoming filings in
11		the investigation docket, however, would be largely derived from calculations made and
12		filed in this general rate case proceeding.
13		
14	Q.	SHOULD THE COMMISSION ALSO CONTINUE TO ALLOW FLEXIBILITY AS
15		ADDITIONAL ISSUES RELATED TO THE TCJA BECOME CLEARER?
16	A.	Yes, such flexibility would help ensure all the benefits to customers are properly
17		addressed. At a minimum, utilities should be permitted to evaluate their change in rates in
18		six months and make any corrections to the calculations of reduced rates for any issues
19		discovered in the calculations as more becomes known about the specific impacts of the
20		TCJA.
21	VII.	RATE BASE
22	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
23	A.	In this section of my Direct Testimony, I will discuss the components of Rate Base for
24		the 2017 Actual Year and the 2017 Test Year. I will also address the Rate Base effects of
25		transferring recovery of certain environmental, renewable, and transmission project costs
26		from riders into base rates, as further discussed by OTP witness Mr. Bryce Haugen in his
7		Direct Testimony

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE 2017 ACTUAL TEAR RATE BASE
2		INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE FINANCIAL SCHEDULES?
3	A.	The 2017 Actual Year is based on OTP's 2017 actual data and reflects Traditional
4		Adjustments described in Section VIII.
5		
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF THE 2017 ACTUAL YEAR RATE BASE AND 2017
7		TEST YEAR RATE BASE?
8	A.	As shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 6, the 2017 Actual Year South Dakota
9		Jurisdictional Rate Base is \$83.3 million, and the 2017 Test Year Rate Base is \$84.9
10		million. I will explain the differences between the 2017 Base South Dakota Jurisdictional
11		Rate Base and the 2017 Test Year Rate Base in Section VIII of my Direct Testimony.
12		
13	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE COMPONENTS OF THE RATE BASE.
14	A.	Rate Base consists primarily of the capital expenditures made by a utility to obtain or
15		construct plant, equipment, materials, supplies and other assets necessary for the
16		provision of utility service, reduced by amounts recovered from depreciation expense and
17		non-investor sources of capital, such as ADIT.
18		
19	Q.	HOW WERE THE 2017 ACTUAL YEAR AND 2017 TEST YEAR RATE BASE
20		AMOUNTS DEVELOPED?
21	A.	OTP developed the 2017 Actual Year and 2017 Test Year based on 13-month averages,
22		with the only exception being ADIT which is calculated based on a simple beginning-of-
23		year and end-of-year average. OTP made "Traditional" regulatory adjustments to arrive
24		at the 2017 Actual Year. These adjustments were made to reflect recognized regulatory
25		requirements and to "normalize" the financial information for one-time events that will
26		not be recurring on an on-going basis. Other Rate Case Adjustments were made to

develop the 2017 Test Year. I will discuss those adjustments in Section VIII of my

27

28

29

Direct Testimony.

- 1 Q. WHAT ARE THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE 2017 TEST YEAR RATE BASE?
- 2 A. The 2017 Test Year Rate Base is generally comprised of the following major items:
- Net utility plant in service (which reflects accumulated depreciation);
- Cash working capital items; and
- ADIT.

A. NET UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE

- 7 Q. WHAT DOES EXHIBIT (TAA-1), SCHEDULE 6 INCLUDE?
- 8 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 provides a summary showing Electric Plant In Service,
- 9 Accumulated Depreciation, and Net Electric Utility Plant In Service for OTP South
- Dakota Jurisdiction for the 2017 Actual Year, the 2017 Test Year, and the Merricourt
- Step Increase. I will explain the proposed increase in 2019 to reflect the in-service date of
- the Merricourt Wind Generation Facility in Section XI of this Direct Testimony.
- Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 6 shows OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Net Electric
- Plant in Service is \$92.4 million for the 2017 Actual Year, \$93.7 million for the 2017
- Test Year and \$117.3 million for the proposed Merricourt Step Increase. Exhibit
- 16 ___(TAA-1), Schedule 6 reflects Traditional Adjustments to Rate Base included in
- 17 Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 7 (which I will explain in Section VIII of my Direct
- 18 Testimony) and Rate Case adjustments to Rate Base included in Exhibit___(TAA-1),
- Schedule 8 (which I will also in Section VIII of my Direct Testimony).

20

6

- 21 Q. WHAT DOES "ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE" REPRESENT?
- 22 A. Electric Plant in Service is based upon the original cost of property from the books and
- records of OTP, subject to adjustments.

24

- 25 Q. WHAT DOES "NET ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE" REPRESENT?
- A. Net Electric Plant in Service represents OTP's investment in plant and equipment that is
- used and useful in providing retail electric service to its customers, net of accumulated
- depreciation.

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE METHOD USED TO CALCULATE NET ELECTRIC 2 PLANT IN SERVICE IN THIS CASE. 3 A. The Net Electric Plant in Service is included in Rate Base at depreciated original cost, 4 reflecting a 13-month average based on monthly balances from December 2016 through 5 December 2017. 6 7 DOES EXHIBIT (TAA-1), SCHEDULE 6 INCLUDE ALL COMPONENTS OF NET Q. 8 UTILITY PLANT? 9 Yes. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 include all components of Utility Plant in Service A. 10 (Production, Transmission, Distribution, General, and Intangible) and related 11 Accumulated Depreciation. The net of Electric Plant in Service and Accumulated 12 Depreciation is the Net Electric Plant in Service. 13 DOES EXHIBIT (TAA-1), SCHEDULE 6 REFLECT THE RATE BASE 14 O. 15 COMPONENTS DISCUSSED BY OTHER OTP WITNESSES? 16 A. Yes. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 includes all the Rate Base components discussed 17 by the other OTP witnesses, including the Big Stone AQCS and Hoot Lake MATS 18 projects discussed in the Direct Testimony of OTP witness Mr. Kirk A. Phinney, and the 19 investments currently recovered in riders that are being rolled into base rates discussed in 20 the Direct Testimony of Mr. Haugen. 21 22 O. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION. 23 Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 includes Accumulated Depreciation for all the Electric A. 24 Plant in Service components. The sum of the 2017 Actual Year South Dakota 25 Jurisdiction Accumulated Depreciation for these components is negative (\$69.0 million), 26 negative (\$69.2 million) for the 2017 Test Year, and negative (\$70.2 million) for the 27 Merricourt Step Increase.

B. CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS

- 2 Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS (CWIP)
- 3 INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT (TAA-1), SCHEDULE 6?
- 4 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional CWIP is
- 5 \$0 for the 2017 Actual Year, for the 2017 Test Year, and for the Merricourt Step
- 6 Increase.

7 C. WORKING CAPITAL

- 8 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE WORKING CAPITAL INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT___(TAA-
- 9 1), SCHEDULE 6.
- 10 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 shows all the working capital elements, including
- materials and supplies, fuel stocks, prepayments and customer advances/deposits, and
- cash working capital, including OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional amounts for the 2017
- 13 Actual Year and 2017 Test Year.
- 14
- 15 O. PLEASE EXPLAIN MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.
- 16 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 shows OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Materials and
- Supplies for the 2017 Actual Year, the 2017 Test Year, and the Merricourt Step Increase
- 18 (referred to as the 2017 Test Year Step on Schedule 6) are each \$1.8 million and are
- based on a 13-month average.
- 20
- 21 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN FUEL STOCKS.
- 22 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 shows OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Fuel Stocks
- for the 2017 Actual Year is \$845,834 and for 2017 Test Year and Merricourt Step
- Increase is \$849,126. Fuel Stocks is based on the 13-month average.
- 25
- 26 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PREPAYMENTS.
- 27 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 shows OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Prepayments
- for the 2017 Actual Year are negative (\$1.9 million), 2017 Test Year are negative (\$1.9
- 29 million), and the Merricourt Step Increase are negative (\$2.0 million). Four separate
- items are grouped together under the line item of Prepayments. The four items are: 1)

1 pre-paid insurance; 2) pre-paid pension; 3) post-retirement benefits liability; and 4) post-2 employment benefits liability. The amounts for each item are developed using simple 3 averages. 4 5 PLEASE DESCRIBE CASH WORKING CAPITAL. Q. 6 Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 show OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Cash Working A. 7 Capital for the 2017 Actual Year is \$2.8 million, the 2017 Test Year is \$2.5 million, and the Merricourt Step Increase is \$2.2 million. Cash Working Capital represents a 8 9 determination of cash working capital requirements for operation, maintenance, and other 10 expenses. I will explain the adjustment to Cash Working Capital in Section VIII of my 11 Direct Testimony. 12 13 HOW WERE CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS DETERMINED? Q. 14 The cash working capital requirements included in Rate Base is based on a Lead Lag Α. 15 Study prepared by OTP using calendar year 2014 financial data. This study analyzes the 16 lapse of time between the average day on which OTP incurs expenses to serve its 17 customers and the average day on which cash is received from customers in payment of 18 that service. Mr. Tommerdahl discusses the Lead Lag Study in his Direct Testimony. 19 D. ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES 20 WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF ADIT INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT (TAA-1), O. 21 SCHEDULE 6? 22 Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 6 shows OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional ADIT for the Α. 23 2017 Actual Year is (\$12.6 million), (\$12.4 million) for the 2017 Test Year, and (\$12.8) 24 for the Merricourt Step Increase. These amounts reflect a simple average of the

beginning-of-year and end-of-year ADIT balances.

1 E.	RIDER ROLL-IN
-------------	---------------

- 2 Q. IS OTP PROPOSING TO MOVE ANY PROJECTS FROM RIDER RECOVERY TO
- 3 BASE RATE RECOVERY IN THIS FILING?
- 4 A. Yes. OTP proposes to transfer recovery of certain costs presently recovered in the
- 5 Environmental Cost Recovery Rider (ECRR) and in the TCRR to base rates in this case.
- The Direct Testimony of Mr. Haugen provides additional information regarding OTP's
- 7 proposal to roll the ECRR and TCRR projects into base rates.

- 9 Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF THE 2017 TEST YEAR PLANT IN SERVICE 10 INCLUDED IN RATE BASE CURRENTLY RECOVERED IN THE ECRR?
- 11 A. The 2017 Test Year Utility Plant in Service included in Rate Base for the environmental projects currently recovered in the ECRR is \$19.7 million (OTP SD).

13

- 14 Q. WHAT IS THE 2017 TEST YEAR PLANT IN SERVICE INCLUDED IN RATE BASE
- 15 CURRENTLY RECOVERED IN THE TCRR?
- 16 A. The 2017 Test Year Utility Plant in Service included in Rate Base for the transmission projects currently recovered in the TCRR is \$2.3 million (OTP SD).

18 VIII. ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE

- 19 O. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 20 A. In this section of my Direct Testimony, I will identify and explain the Traditional
- Adjustments (necessary to arrive at the 2017 Actual Year Rate Base) and Rate Base
- Adjustments that are made to the 2017 Actual Year Rate Base to arrive at the 2017 Test
- Year Rate Base.

24

- 25 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED A LIST OF THE ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE?
- 26 A. Yes. The Traditional Adjustments to Rate Base reflected in Exhibit (TAA-1),
- Schedule 7. The Rate Case Adjustments to Rate Base are reflected in Exhibit (TAA-

21

1), Schedule 8. The following is a list of the adjustments to Rate Base:

3		2. Big Stone Phase II (BSP II) Generation Recovery
4		3. Rider CWIP
5		4. Transmission Recovery
6		
7		Test Year Adjustments to Rate Base
8		1. Normalize CISone Project
9		2. New Depreciation Rates
10		3. Rate Case Expense Amortization
11		4. Adjust Deferred Tax for Tax Reform
12		5. Effect of Test Year Adjustments on Allocations
13		
14	Q.	HOW IS THE INFORMATION IN EXHIBIT(TAA-1), SCHEDULES 7 AND 8
15		AND IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY PRESENTED?
16	A.	All the information in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedules 7 and 8 and in this section of my
17		Direct Testimony is presented in terms of South Dakota jurisdictional amounts.
18		A. TRADITIONAL ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE
19		1. AFUDC on Short-Term CWIP
20	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR AFUDC FOR SHORT TERM
21		CWIP.
22	A.	The capitalization of AFUDC on Short-term CWIP is the result of previous South Dakota
23		Commission orders which did not allow Short Term CWIP to be included in rate base
24		and which were upheld in the South Dakota Supreme Court. However, since Short-term
25		CWIP is not included in Rate Base, OTP has added AFUDC attributable to Short-term
26		CWIP to rate base since January 1, 1976. Because OTP does not include AFUDC on
27		Short Term CWIP for book purposes, and adjustment for AFUDC is needed, which is
28		depreciated and affects Depreciation Expense and Accumulated Depreciation in addition
29		to Plant in Service. This treatment of AFUDC for Short-term CWIP: (1) increases Total

1. Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) on Short-Term CWIP

1

2

Traditional Adjustments to Rate Base

- Plant in Service by \$2,038,412; (2) increases Accumulated Depreciation by \$1,799,027;
- and (3) increases Total Average Rate Base by \$239,386, as shown on Exhibit___(TAA-
- 3 1), Schedule 7.

12

2. BSP II Generation Recovery

- 5 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR BIG STONE PHASE II RECOVERY.
- 7 A. The Commission allowed unamortized Big Stone II costs to be fixed in rates for the
- 8 entire 10-year recovery period ending February 16, 2021 per order in Docket No. EL 10-
- 9 011. This treatment of Big Stone Phase II cost recovery: (1) increases Total Plant in
- Service by \$501,662; (2) increases Total Average Rate Base by \$501,662, as shown on
- 11 Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 7.

3. Rider CWIP

- 13 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR RIDER CWIP.
- 14 A. The adjustment for Rider CWIP conforms to the ratemaking approach approved by the
- 15 Commission in Docket EL-13-029 with respect OTP's investments in FERC-approved
- Multi Value Projects (MVP) transmission projects. There is no impact to South Dakota
- 17 jurisdictional rate base or revenue requirements because these OTP investments in MVP
- projects are included in the FERC jurisdiction (and are not included in South Dakota rate
- base) and because these adjustments pertain solely to OTP Total Company balances,
- which include FERC jurisdictional balances. This treatment of Rider CWIP: (1)
- decreases CWIP by \$10,754,628; and (2) decreases Total Average Rate Base by
- 22 \$10,754,628, as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 7.

23 4. Transmission Recovery

- 24 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR TRANSMISSION RECOVERY.
- 25 A. This adjustment also conforms to the ratemaking approach approved by the Commission
- in Docket EL-13-029 with respect to OTP's investments in FERC-approved MVP
- 27 transmission projects. This adjustment: (1) decreases Total Plant in Service by
- 28 \$17,402,180; (2) decreases Accumulated Depreciation by \$582,545 (3) decreases ADIT

1		by \$2,248,323; and (4) decreases Total Average Rate Base by \$14,571,312, as shown on	
2		Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 7.	
3		B. TEST YEAR ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE	
4		1. Normalize CISone Project	
5	Q.	DID YOU NORMALIZE 2017 TEST YEAR PLANT IN SERVICE FOR THE CISONE	
6		PROJECT?	
7	A.	Yes. Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 8 shows the adjustment to Plant in Service for OTP's	
8		CISone project that will go into service in 2018. Mr. Tommerdahl explains the basis for	
9		the adjustment for the CISone project in his Direct Testimony.	
10			
11	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE CISONE PROJECT.	
12	A.	The adjustments for the CISone project are set forth in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 8	
13		and include: (1) a \$1,118,659 increase to Intangible Plant in Service; (2) a \$66,654	
14		increase to Intangible Accumulated Depreciation; (3) a \$1,052,006 increase to Intangible	
15		Net Plant in Service; and (4) a \$1,052,006 increase to Total Average Rate Base. The	
16		corresponding impacts on the 2017 Test Year Income Statement are explained in Section	
17		IX of my Direct Testimony.	
18		2. New Depreciation Rates.	
19	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE BASIS FOR THE ADJUSTMENT FOR NEW	
20		DEPRECIATION RATES.	
21	A.	OTP's electric generating, and delivery system is fully integrated and has similar	
22		characteristics throughout its service territory. OTP conducts its annual depreciation	
23		reviews and the five-year depreciation studies, required by Minnesota Rules,2 on the	
24		property and equipment in its entire system. Therefore, it is reasonable, and in fact	

² Minnesota Statutes § 216B.11 and Minnesota Rules 7825.0600 through 7825.0900 give authority to the MPUC to review and approve proper and adequate rates and methods for depreciation used by regulated electric utilities in that state. These Rules require utilities to review their depreciable rates annually and conduct depreciation studies at least every five years.

- 1 desirable, to use consistent depreciation parameters and methods in all three states 2 covered by OTP's service territory. By using a single set of depreciation parameters for 3 our contiguous, fully integrated system, OTP's regulatory and accounting costs are lower, and the Commission and its Staff may consider depreciation issues on an as needed basis. 4 The adjustment to the 2017 Test Year depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation 5 to reflects the MPUC's approval of the depreciation parameters and rates for use in 2018 6 7 in MPUC Docket No. E017/D-17-652. Those parameters are used to calculate 8 depreciation rates for Minnesota, South Dakota, and North Dakota. 9 10 PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR NEW DEPRECIATION RATES. O. 11 The new depreciation rates: (1) reduces Net Utility Plant in Service by \$22,111; and (2) A. 12 reduces Total Average Rate Base by \$22,111, as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 8. 13 14 3. Rate Case Expense Amortization 15 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR RATE CASE EXPENSE
- 16 AMORTIZATION.

 17 A. Mr. Tommerdahl explains the basis for the Rate Case expense adjustment in his Direct
- A. Mr. Tommerdahl explains the basis for the Rate Case expense adjustment in his Direct
 Testimony. The adjustment for Rate Case expense amortization: (1) increases
 Unamortized Rate Case expense by \$458,334; and (2) increases Total Average Rate Base
 by \$458,334, as shown on Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 8.
- 21 4. Adjustment to ADIT for TCJA
- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT TO ADIT RESULTING FROM THE
 TCJA.
- A. I discussed the need for an adjustment to ADIT that results from the TCJA earlier in my
 Direct Testimony. The necessary adjustment: (1) decreases ADIT by \$482,272; and (2)
 increases Rate Base by \$482,272.

5. Effect of Test Year Adjustments on Allocations

- 2 Q. DO THE 2017 TEST YEAR ADJUSTMENTS CAUSE IMPACTS TO ALLOCATIONS?
- A. Yes. The impacts are due to changes in the allocators that result from the other financial adjustments made to the 2017 Test Year. They are the result of calculations within the Cost of Service model itself. For example, any adjustment to Net Plant in Service will have a direct impact on the Net Electric Plant in Service (NEPIS) allocation factor calculated as a percentage of total system Net Plant. The allocation percentage is simultaneously recalculated each time an adjustment to Net Plant in Service occurs, thereby providing the most up to date factor possible. As a result, anything that is
- thereby providing the most up-to-date factor possible. As a result, anything that is

allocated on NEPIS is simultaneously re-calculated on a jurisdictional basis as well.

12

- 13 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE IMPACTS FROM THE CHANGES IN ALLOCATIONS.
- 14 A. The impacts from changes in allocations include: (1) several changes to Utility Plant in
- Service accounts (resulting in a net increase of \$339,141 to Total Utility Plant in
- Service); (2) several changes to Accumulated Depreciation accounts (resulting in a net
- increase of \$143,008 Total Accumulated Depreciation); and (3) several changes to Net
- Utility Plant in Service accounts (resulting in a net increase of \$196,133 to Net Utility
- 19 Plant in Service); and (4) several changes to Average Rate Base accounts. The net effect
- is a \$360,393 decrease to Total Average Rate Base, as shown in Exhibit (TAA-1),
- Schedule 8.

22

- 23 Q. DOES EXHIBIT___(TAA-1), SCHEDULE 8 ALSO REFLECT ADJUSTMENTS FOR
- 24 THE MERRICOURT STEP INCREASE?
- 25 A. Yes. I explain those adjustments in Section XI of my Direct Testimony.

26 IX. INCOME STATEMENT

- Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 28 A. In this section of my Direct Testimony, I will discuss the Income Statement and explain
- the Income Statement schedules for the 2017 Actual Year and the 2017 Test Year. I will

1		also address the Income Statement effects of rolling certain ECRR and TCRR costs into	
2		base rates. Mr. Haugen discusses the substance of this proposal in his Direct Testimony.	
3			
4	Q.	HOW IS THE INFORMATION IN EXHIBIT(TAA-1), SCHEDULES 9 AND 10	
5		AND IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY PRESENTED?	
6	A.	All the information in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedules 9 and 10 and in this section of my	
7		Direct Testimony is presented in terms of South Dakota jurisdictional amounts.	
8		A. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL SCHEDULES	
9	Q.	WHAT TIME PERIODS ARE SHOWN ON THESE SCHEDULES?	
10	A.	Those Income Statement schedules show information for: (1) the 2017 Actual Year; and	
11		(2) the 2017 Test Year.	
12			
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE 2017 ACTUAL YEAR INCOME STATEMENT	
14		INFORMATION?	
15	A.	The source of the 2017 Actual Year Income Statement information is OTP's South	
16		Dakota JCOSS, which is the basis for reporting the earned ROR and Return on Equity	
17		included in the 2017 South Dakota Jurisdictional Report which will be filed with the	
18		Commission.	
19		B. SUMMARY OF TEST YEAR INCOME STATEMENT	
20	Q.	WHAT ARE THE 2017 ACTUAL YEAR AND 2017 TEST YEAR TOTALS	
21		AVAILABLE FOR RETURN?	
22	A.	As shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 3, the 2017 Actual Year Total Available for	
23		Return (Net Income) for South Dakota is \$4.8 million and the 2017 Test Year Total	
24		Available for Return for South Dakota is \$2.0 million.	
25			
26	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WHAT IS INCLUDED IN THE INCOME	
27		STATEMENT.	
28	A.	The Income Statement is composed primarily of: (1) Operating Revenues (which	

includes both retail revenues and other operating revenues); (2) Operating Expenses

2		segments, Administrative and General expenses, depreciation expense, and general taxes,
3		including property taxes); (3) Income Tax Expense; and (4) Total Available for Return.
4		
5	Q.	HOW WAS THE 2017 ACTUAL YEAR INCOME STATEMENT DEVELOPED?
6	A.	The 2017 Actual Year Income Statement was adjusted by removing revenues and
7		expenses that are part of "Traditional" regulatory adjustments. These adjustments reflect
8		recognized regulatory requirements and to "normalize" the 2017 Actual Year financial
9		information for one-time events that will not be recurring on an on-going basis. Other
10		Rate Case Adjustments were made to develop the 2017 Test Year. I will discuss those
11		adjustments in Section X of my Direct Testimony.
12		
13	Q.	WHAT ARE THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE INCOME STATEMENT THAT
14		YOU WILL DISCUSS?
15	A.	The major components of the Income Statement I will discuss are:
16		• Revenues;
17		• O&M Expenses;
18		Depreciation Expense;
19		• Taxes; and
20		Net Income.
21		I will provide the primary explanation of the Revenues, O&M Expenses, Depreciation
22		Expense included in the 2017 Test Year.
23		C. TEST YEAR REVENUES
24	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
25	A.	This section describes how revenues were determined for purposes of calculating the
26		2017 Test Year base rate revenue requirement. The major components of Revenues are
27		Retail Revenues and Other Revenues.

(which includes Operating and Maintenance (O&M) expenses for the various operating

1		1. Retail Revenues	
2	Q.	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF RETAIL REVENUE INCLUDED IN	
3		EXHIBIT(TAA-1), SCHEDULE 9?	
4	A.	Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 9 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Retail	
5		Revenue is \$32.9 million for the 2017 Actual Year, and \$30.7 million for the 2017 Test	
6		Year, and \$36.6 million for the Merricourt Step Increase. 2017 Test Year revenues are	
7		also detailed in pages 1 and 2 of Statement I.	
8			
9	Q.	HOW WAS RETAIL REVENUE DETERMINED?	
10	A.	Retail revenue in the 2017 Test Year was determined on a calendar month basis using the	
11		actual sales (as described in the Direct Testimony of Mr. Tommerdahl) applied to current	
12		tariffs. The same revenue calculation was used to determine the revenue requirement	
13		deficiency filed in the JCOSS for this rate case filing.	
14		2. Other Electric Operating Revenue	
15	Q.	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF OTHER ELECTRIC OPERATING REVENUE	
16		INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT(TAA-1), SCHEDULE 9?	
17	A.	Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 9 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Other	
18		Electric Operating Revenue Retail Revenue is \$1.7 million for 2017 Actual Year, \$1.7	
19		million for 2017 Test Year, and \$1.8 million for the Merricourt Step Increase.	
20			
21	Q.	WHAT ARE THE COMPONENTS OF OTHER ELECTRIC OPERATING REVENUE?	
22	A.	Other Electric Operating Revenue includes items such as: 1) Midcontinent Independent	
23		System Operator (MISO) transmission related revenues; 2) revenue from Integrated	
24		Transmission Agreements; 3) revenues from plant operations and steam sales; 4) Asset	
25		Based Revenues; and 5) other miscellaneous revenues.	
26		a) MISO Revenues	
27	Q.	ARE MISO REVENUES INCLUDED IN THE 2017 TEST YEAR?	
28	A.	Yes. Pursuant to MISO's Transmission and Energy Market Tariff and the MISO	

Transmission Owners Agreement, OTP receives revenues from several sources for use of

its transmission system and related services that it provides. These sources of revenue include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) Schedule 1 - Scheduling, System Control & Dispatch; (2) Schedule 2 - Reactive Supply & Voltage Control; (3) Schedule 7 - Firm Transmission Service; (4) Schedule 8 - Non-Firm Transmission Service; (5) Schedule 9 - Network Integrated Transmission Service; and (6) Schedule 11 - Pass-Through Revenue.

7

12

13

14

8 Q. IS THE REVENUE FROM THESE MISO SCHEDULES INCLUDED IN THE 2017 9 TEST YEAR?

10 A. Yes. Revenue from these MISO services in the amount of \$522,521 is included in the 2017 Test Year. Table 1 below provides a breakdown by each MISO Schedule.

Table 1
2017 Test Year MISO Revenues by Schedule
(OTP SD)

MISO Schedule	Revenue Amount
Schedule 1	\$71,664
Schedule 2	70,749
Schedule 7	121,923
Schedule 8	(2,175)
Schedule 9	190,591
Schedule 11	(1,662)
Schedule 24	47,567
Schedule 26	116,083
Schedule 26A	(92,219)
Total MISO Schedule Revenue	\$522,521

b) Integrated Transmission Agreement Revenues

- 2 Q. WHAT IS AN INTEGRATED TRANSMISSION AGREEMENT (ITA)?
- 3 A. An ITA is an agreement to jointly plan and construct a common transmission system with
- 4 discrete ownership of individual facilities with reciprocal usage rights granted to each
- 5 party. OTP has ITAs with the following entities: Minnkota Power Cooperative
- 6 (Minnkota), Great River Energy (Great River), and East River Electric Power
- Cooperative (East River). Each of these agreements has been approved by FERC.

8

1

- 9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ITA REVENUES THAT OTP RECEIVES.
- 10 A. OTP receives transmission revenue from other utilities through ITAs for joint use of
- defined transmission systems. Revenues received from Minnkota, Great River and East
- River for the scheduling and dispatch services provided by OTP under the ITAs are based
- on OTP's costs associated with system control and dispatching, including operating,
- maintenance, and fixed costs. Minnkota, Great River, and East River each pay their pro
- rata share of the system control and dispatching, operating, and maintenance expenses
- based on the respective joint use facilities owned by each party and OTP.

17

- 18 Q. ARE COSTS AND USAGE BALANCED UNDER THE ITAS?
- 19 A. Yes. One of the objectives of each ITA is to make sure each utility shares in the costs of
- the transmission system proportionate to usage. The proportion of investment to usage of
- 21 the joint transmission system is determined each year for each of the ITAs. If a utility is
- deficient in its investment relative to the investment by the other party, it makes
- deficiency payments until the investment is equalized. The deficiency payments are
- payments by the underinvested utility of the carrying cost of the utility that is more than
- fully invested.

- 27 O. IS THE REVENUE FROM THESE ITAS INCLUDED IN THE 2017 TEST YEAR?
- 28 A. Yes. Revenue from ITAs in the amount of \$111,730 is included in the 2017 Test Year.

1	:)	Plant O	perator	and	Steam	Revenues
---	----	---------	---------	-----	-------	----------

- Q. DOES OTP RECEIVE COMPENSATION FOR LOAD DISPATCH EXPENSES FOR
 BIG STONE AND COYOTE?
- 4 Yes. OTP operates the Big Stone Plant and Coyote Station on behalf of itself and its A. ownership partners (Minnkota, Northwestern, and Montana-Dakota Utilities for Big 5 6 Stone and Minnkota, Northwestern, Montana-Dakota Utilities, and Northwestern 7 Municipal Power Agency for Coyote Station). As the plant operator, OTP provides 8 services for which it is compensated by its partners. The services include: (1) scheduling 9 and operations of the plants for both the day-ahead and real-time market; (2) acting as the 10 meter data management agent for all partners of the plants; (3) settlement reconciliation 11 of unit dispatches and actual generation; (4) providing accounting reports and records to 12 the partners; scheduling generator outages; (5) communicating directly with the MISO 13 generator dispatch desk; and (6) providing and maintaining reliable communications

- 16 Q. IS LOAD DISPATCH REVENUE INCLUDED IN THE 2017 TEST YEAR?
- 17 A. Yes. Plant operation revenue in the amount of \$31,124 is included in the 2017 Test Year.

18

19 Q. DOES OTP RECEIVE REVENUE FROM THE SALE OF STEAM?

between MISO, the plants, and the OTP control center.

20 A. Yes. Big Stone supplies steam to an ethanol plant near the Big Stone Plant.

21

- 22 O. IS REVENUE FROM STEAM SALES INCLUDED IN THE 2017 TEST YEAR?
- 23 A. Yes. Steam sales revenue in the amount of \$158,774 is included in the 2017 Test Year.
- d) Asset Based Revenues
- 25 Q. DOES OTP RECEIVE REVENUE FROM ASSET BASE REVENUES?
- 26 A. Yes. Asset based Revenue is included in the 2017 Test Year, as required in our last
- 27 South Dakota rate case. The corresponding expenses are also included, and any margins
- are credited to customers through the fuel clause.

- 1 Q. ARE ASSET BASED REVENUE INCLUDED IN THE 2017 TEST YEAR?
- A. Asset Based Revenues in the amount of \$448,767 are included in the 2017 Test Year.

e) Other Revenues

- 4 Q. ARE ALL OTHER SOURCES OF OTHER ELECTRIC OPERATING REVENUES
 5 ALSO INCLUDED IN THE 2017 TEST YEAR?
- A. Yes. Other sources of Other Electric Operating Revenues, they are summarized below in Table 2 and included in the 2017 Test Year in the amount of \$458,432.

8 Table 2
9 2017 Test Year Other Revenues
10 (OTP SD)

Other Revenue	Revenue Amount
Generator Interconnection	\$91,802
Load Control and Dispatch	71,002
Late Fees direct assign to SD	95,929
Other Misc. Revenues	\$199,699
Total Other Revenues	\$458,432

D. O&M EXPENSES

- 12 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 13 A. In this section of my Direct Testimony, I will briefly describe the components in the
 14 Schedule of OTP's O&M expenses for the 2017 Test Year, including unadjusted and
 15 adjusted amounts. I will identify each category of O&M Expense and briefly list the
 16 types of expenses included in each category. Also, I will explain the difference between
- the unadjusted and adjusted amounts in Section X of my Direct Testimony.

- 19 Q. HAVE YOU ALSO PROVIDED A SCHEULE WHICH INCLUDES ALL O&M 20 EXPENSES?
- A. Yes. Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 10, the Schedule of O&M Expenses, includes all O&M expenses, including the O&M expenses levels from OTP's last South Dakota rate
- case (Docket No. EL 10-011), for the 2017 Actual Year, and for the 2017 Test Year.

1		1.	Pro	duction	n Expenses					
2	Q	WHAT	IS	THE	AMOUNT	OF	PRODUCTION	EXPENSE	INCLUDED	IN
3		Exhibit_	T)	CAA-1),	, SCHEDULE	E 10?				

- 4 A. Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 10 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional

 Production Expense is \$14.2 million for the 2017 Actual Year before adjustments and
- 6 \$14.5 million for the 2017 Test Year after adjustments.

- 8 Q. WHAT IS INCLUDED IN PRODUCTION EXPENSE?
- 9 A. The most significant Production Expense is Fuel and Purchased Power. Production
 10 Expense also includes maintenance costs of OTP's generation plants.

11 **2.** Transmission Expenses

- 12 Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF TRANSMISSION EXPENSE INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT (TAA-1), SCHEDULE 10?
- A. Exhibit__(TAA-1), Schedule 10 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional
 Transmission Expense is \$2.94 million for the 2017 Actual Year before adjustment and
 \$2.95 million for the 2017 Test Year after adjustments.

17

- 18 O. WHAT IS INCLUDED IN TRANSMISSION EXPENSE?
- 19 A. Transmission expense includes: (1) Load dispatching: (2) Substation expense; (3)
- 20 Maintenance of transmission lines and substations; (4) Transmission of electricity by
- others; (5) Rents for transmission property; (6) Engineering; (7) Computer Hardware and
- Software for operation of the transmission system; and (8) Transmission Market Costs.

23 **3. Distribution Expenses**

- 24 Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF DISTRIBUTION EXPENSE INCLUDED IN
- 25 EXHIBIT___(TAA-1), SCHEDULE 10?
- 26 A. Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 10 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional
- Distribution Expense is \$1.7 million for the 2017 Actual Year before adjustments and
- \$1.7 million for the 2017 Test Year after adjustments.

1	Q.	WHAT IS INCLUDED IN DISTRIBUTION EXPENSE?
2	A.	Distribution Expense includes: expenses for operation and maintenance of the
3		distribution system, including substations, wires, transformers, meters and lighting.
4		4. Customer Accounting Expenses
5	Q.	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSE INCLUDED
6		IN EXHIBIT(TAA-1), SCHEDULE 10?
7	A.	Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 10 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional
8		Customer Accounting Expense is \$1.14 million before and \$1.15 million for the 2017
9		Test Year after adjustments.
10		
11	Q.	WHAT IS INCLUDED IN CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING EXPENSE?
12	A.	Customer Accounting Expense includes: Meter Reading, billing and maintenance of
13		customer records (customer information systems).
14		5. Customer Service and Information Expenses
15	Q.	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION
16		EXPENSE INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT(TAA-1), SCHEDULE 10?
17	A.	Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 10 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional
18		Customer Accounting Expense is \$663,000 for the 2017 Actual Year before and
19		\$664,000 for the 2017 Test Year after adjustments.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS INCLUDED IN CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION EXPENSE?
22	A.	Customer Service and Information Expense includes customer assistance expenses.
23		6. Sales Expense
24	Q.	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF SALES EXPENSE INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT (TAA-

Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 10 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Sales

Expense is \$11,000 for the 2017 Actual Year before adjustments and \$20,000 for the

25

26

27

28

A.

1), SCHEDULE 10?

2017 Test Year after adjustments.

- 1 Q. WHAT IS INCLUDED IN SALES EXPENSE?
- 2 A. Sales Expense include Selling and Advertising Expenses, as well as Economic
- 3 Development Costs.
- **7.** Administrative and General Expenses
- 5 Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSE
- 6 INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT (TAA-1), SCHEDULE 10?
- 7 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 10 shows that OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional
- 8 Administrative and General Expense is \$3.7 million for the 2017 Actual Year before
- 9 adjustments and \$4.0 million for the 2017 Test Year after adjustments.

- 11 Q. WHAT IS INCLUDED IN ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSE?
- 12 A. Administrative and General Expense includes: (1) Salaries; (2) Office Supplies &
- Expenses; (3) Various Admin & General Expenses; (4) Outside Services Employed; (5),
- Property Insurance; (6) Injuries & Damage; (7) Employee Pensions & Benefits; (8)
- Regulatory Commission Expenses; (9) Miscellaneous General Expenses; (10)
- 16 Informational Advertising; (11) Rents; and (12) Building Maintenance Expenses.
- 17 E. DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
- 18 O. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE INCLUDED IN
- 19 EXHIBIT (TAA-1), SCHEDULE 9?
- 20 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 9 shows OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Depreciation
- Expense is \$4.7 million for the 2017 Actual Year, \$5.0 million for the 2017 Test Year,
- and \$6.0 million for the Merricourt Step Increase (referred to as the 2017 Test Year Step
- on Schedule 9).

- 25 Q. HOW WERE TEST YEAR DEPRECIATION EXPENSES DETERMINED?
- A. As I explained earlier in my Direct Testimony, the depreciation expense in the 2017 Test
- Year reflects the remaining lives and salvage percentage parameters as determined in our
- 28 2016 depreciation study and approved by the MPUC.

1 F. INCOME TAXES

- 2 Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF INCOME TAX EXPENSE INCLUDED IN
- 3 EXHIBIT___(TAA-1), SCHEDULE 9?
- 4 A. Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 9 shows OTP's South Dakota Jurisdictional Income Tax
- 5 Expense is \$0 for the 2017 Actual Year, (\$1,021,346) for the 2017 Test Year, and
- 6 \$46,847 for the Merricourt Step Increase.

7

- 8 Q. HOW WERE OTP'S INCOME TAX EXPENSES CALCULATED?
- 9 A. OTP's Federal and South Dakota income tax expenses are based solely on the regulated
- income and expense items included in the revenue requirement calculation using the
- 11 "stand-alone" method. The stand-alone method determines the jurisdictional regulated
- income tax expense based solely on allowable regulated income and expense items. The
- current income tax expense calculation utilizes straight-line depreciation rates to
- determine depreciation expense as part of the current income tax expense calculation.

15 X. ADJUSTMENTS TO INCOME STATEMENT

- 16 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 17 A. In this section of my Direct Testimony, I will describe the Traditional Adjustments and
- Rate Case Adjustments that have been made to determine the 2017 Actual Year Income
- 19 Statement and the 2017 Test Year Income Statement.

20

- 21 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED A LIST OF THE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE INCOME
- 22 STATEMENT MADE FOR THE 2017 TEST YEAR?
- 23 A. Yes. The following is a list of Traditional Adjustments (necessary to arrive at the 2017
- Actual Year) and Rate Case Adjustments (necessary to arrive at the 2017 Test Year):

- 26 <u>Traditional Adjustments to Income Statement:</u>
- 27 1. Advertising Expense
- 28 2. AFUDC on Short-Term CWIP
- 3. BSP II Generation Recovery

2 5. **Incentive Compensation** 3 6. Renewable Energy Credit (REC) Sales 4 7. Transmission Recovery 5 Test Year Adjustments to Income Statement 6 7 1. Normalize CISOne Project 8 2. **BSP II Transmission Amortization** 9 3. New Depreciation Rates 10 Weather Normalization 4. 11 5. Revenue Normalization Wages, KPA, and Management Incentive 12 6. 13 7. Medical/Dental, FAS 87, 106, 112 8. 14 Rate Case Expense Amortization 15 9. Storm Damage 16 10. Removal of Production Tax Credits 17 11. Plant Outage Normalization 12. Removal of TCRR Revenues 18 19 13. Removal of ECRR Revenues 20 14. Adjust Deferred Tax for Tax Reform 21 15. Allocation Changes due to Test Year Adjustments 22 23 HAVE YOU PREPARED A SCHEDULE SHOWING ALL TRADITIONAL Q. 24 ADJUSTMENTS TO REACH THE 2017 ACTUAL YEAR INCOME STATEMENT? 25 Yes. All Traditional Adjustments to the 2017 Actual Year Income Statement are A. 26 reflected in Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 11 attached to my Direct Testimony. 27

1

4.

Emission Allowances

Q. 2 ADJUSTMENTS TO REACH THE 2017 TEST YEAR INCOME STATEMENT? 3 All Test Year Adjustments to the Income Statement are reflected in A. 4 Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 12 attached to my Direct Testimony. 5 6 Q. HOW HAVE YOU PRESENTED THE INFORMATION IN EXHIBIT (TAA-1), 7 SCHEDULES 11 AND 12? 8 A. All the information in Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedules 11 and 12 and in this section of my 9 Direct Testimony is presented in terms of South Dakota jurisdictional amounts. 10 A. TRADITIONAL ADJUSTMENTS TO INCOME STATEMENT 11 1. Advertising Expense 12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ADVERTISING EXPENSE. 13 Advertising expenditures that are reasonable in amount and purpose are included as A. 14 operating expenses in the cost of service determination for ratemaking purposes. The 15 types of advertising included are those designed to encourage energy conservation, 16 promote safety, inform and educate consumers on the utility's financial services, 17 disseminate information on a utility's corporate affairs to its owners. 18 19 PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO ADVERTISING Q. 20 EXPENSES. 21 The adjustment for Advertising Expenses: (1) decreases Total O&M Expenses by A. 22 \$32,306; (2) increases Total Income Taxes by \$6,808; and (3) increases Net Operating Income by \$25,612, as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 11. Mr. Haugen 23 24 discusses the basis for this adjustment in his Direct Testimony.

HAVE YOU PREPARED A SCHEDULE SHOWING ALL TEST YEAR

2. AFUDC on Short-Term CWIP

1

- 26 PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO AFUDC ON Q. 27 SHORT-TERM CWIP.
- 28 I explained the basis for an adjustment for AFUDC on Short-Term CWIP earlier in my A. 29 Direct Testimony. The adjustment for AFUDC on Short-Term CWIP: (1) increases Total

- Depreciation Expense by \$62,939; (2) decreases Total Income Tax by \$13,217; and (3)
- decreases Net Operating Income by \$49,721, as shown on Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule
- 3 11.

4 3. BSP II Generation Recovery

- 5 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO BSP II AMORTIZATION.
- 7 A. I explained the basis for this adjustment earlier in my Direct Testimony. The adjustment
- for BSP II generation recovery: (1) increases Depreciation Expense by \$100,332; (2)
- 9 decreases OTP Total Income Tax by \$21,070; and (3) decreases OTP Net Operating
- Income by \$79,262 as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 11.

4. Emission Allowances

- 12 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR EMISSIONS ALLOWANCES.
- 13 A. The adjustment for emissions allowances has virtually no effect, increasing Net
- Operating Income by \$1, as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 11.

5. Incentive Compensation

- 16 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO INCENTIVE
- 17 COMPENSATION.
- 18 A. The adjustments with respect to Incentive Compensation reflect the cap of 25 percent of
- salary for each employee and are described in the Direct Testimony of OTP witness Mr.
- Peter E. Wasberg. The adjustment for incentive compensation: (1) decreases Total O&M
- Expenses by \$82,059; (2), increases Total Income Taxes by \$17,232; and (3) increases
- Net Operating Income by \$64,826, as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 11.
- 23 **6. REC Sales**
- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO REC SALES.
- A. An adjustment for REC sales shares 90 percent of the SD REC revenue to SD customers
- per Commission Decision EL09-029. The adjustment for REC sales: (1) increases Total
- Operating Revenue by \$575; (2) increases Total Income Tax by \$121; (3) increases Net
- Operating Income by \$455, as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 11.

1 7. Transmission Recovery

- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO TRANSMISSION
 RECOVERY.
- 4 A. The adjustment for Transmission recovery conforms to the ratemaking approach
- 5 approved by the Commission in Docket EL-16-035 with respect to OTP's investments in
- FERC-approved MVPs. The adjustment: (1) decreases Total Operating Revenues by
- 7 \$2,993,155 (2) decreases Total O&M Expenses by \$8,540; (3) decreases Total
- 8 Depreciation Expense by \$209,891; (4) decreases Total Income Taxes by \$536,224; and
- 9 (5) decreases Net Operating Income by \$2,017,224, as shown on Exhibit___(TAA-1),
- Schedule 11.

11

20

B. TEST YEAR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE INCOME STATEMENT

12 1. Normalize CISone Project

- 13 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE INCOME STATEMENT
 14 ADJUSTMENT FOR THE CISONE PROJECT.
- 15 A. Yes. Mr. Tommerdahl has explained the basis for the adjustments for the CISone Project
- in his Direct Testimony. The adjustment to the Income Statement for the CISone Project:
- 17 (1) increases Depreciation Expense by \$121,188; (2) decreases Total Income Taxes by
- \$25,490; and (3) decreases Total Available for Return by \$95,698, as shown in
- 19 Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 12.

2. BSP II Transmission Amortization

- 21 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO BSP II 22 TRANSMISSION AMORTIZATION.
- 23 A. The Commission authorized recovery through amortization of Big Stone II project costs
- in the 2010 OTP rate case, Docket No. EL10-011.3 Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 13
- provides the amortization schedule. The adjustment for BSP II transmission

³ Docket No. EL10-001 Page 3 provides for amortization of cancelled Big Stone II generating plant costs over ten years.

1		amortization: (1) increases Depreciation Expense by \$164,538; (2) decreases Total
2		Income Tax Expense by \$34,553, and (3) decreases Total Available for Return by
3		\$129,985 as shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 12.
4		
5		3. New Depreciation Rates
6	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO NEW
7		DEPRECIATION RATES.
8	A.	I explained the basis for an adjustment for new depreciation rates earlier in my Direct
9		Testimony. The adjustment for the new depreciation rates: (1) increases Depreciation
10		Expense by \$22,111; (2) decreases Total Income Taxes by \$4,635; and (2) decreases
11		Total Available for Return by \$17,476, as shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 12.
12		4. Weather Normalization
13	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO WEATHER
14		NORMALIZATION.
15	A.	The basis for OTP's weather normalization adjustment is explained in Mr. Tommerdahl's
16		Direct Testimony. The adjustment for weather normalization: (1) increases Total
17		Operating Revenues by \$335,353; (2) increases Total O&M Expenses by \$133,229; (3)
18		increases Total Income Taxes by \$42,446; and (4) increases Net Operating Income by
19		\$159,679, as shown on Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 12.
20		5. Revenue Normalization
21	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO REVENUE

The basis for OTP's revenue normalization adjustment is explained in the Direct

Testimony of Mr. Tommerdahl. The adjustment for revenue normalization: (1) increases

increases Total Income Taxes by \$451; and (4) increases Net Operating Income by 26 27

\$1,695, as shown on Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 12.

NORMALIZATION.

22

23

24

25

A.

6. Wages, KPA, and Management Incentive

- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO WAGES, KPA,
 AND MANAGEMENT INCENTIVE.
- 4 A. Mr. Wasberg explains the basis for OTP's adjustment for wages, KPA, and management incentive. Wages is based on a 3% growth factor increase. KPA and management
- 6 incentive are based on a five-year average. The adjustment for wages, KPA, and
- 7 management incentive: (1) increases Total O&M Expenses by \$4,781; (2) decreases
- 8 Total Income Taxes by \$1,004; and (3) decreases Net Operating Income by \$3,777, as
- 9 shown on Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 12.

7. Medical / Dental, FAS 87, 106, 112

- 11 Q. HAVE YOU MADE ADJUSTMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL, POST RETIREMENT MEDICAL AND PENSION COSTS?
- 13 A. Yes. Table 3 provides the differences between the 2017 costs and the 2018 costs explained by Mr. Wasberg.

15 16 17

18

10

Table 3
(OTP Total)
(\$ millions)

Costs	2017	2018	Percent Change
Medical & Dental	\$ 11,683	\$11,267	(3.56%)
FAS 112 Post Employment Medical	164	753	360.27%
FAS 106 Post-Retirement Medical	4,970	5,654	13.77%
FAS 87 Pension	5,736	5,895	2.78%
Total	\$22,552	\$23,569	4.5%

19

- 20 Q. WHAT ARE THE OTP SD 2017 TEST YEAR PORTIONS OF THESE COSTS?
- A. The 2017 Test Year FAS 112 cost is \$68,992 (OTP SD EST). The 2017 Test Year FAS
 106 cost is \$518,292 (OTP SD EST). The 2017 Test Year Pension cost is \$540,416 (OTP SD EST).

1 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO MEDICAL / 2 DENTAL AND FAS 87, 106, AND 112. 3 A. The adjustment for Medical / Dental and FAS 87, 106, and 112 expenses: (1) increases 4 Total O&M Expenses by \$72,109; (2) decreases Total Income Taxes by \$15,143; and (3) decreases Net Operating Income by \$56,966, as shown on Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 5 12. 6 7 8 DOES OTP HAVE BOTH A PREPAID FAS 87 PENSION ASSET AND PREPAID Q. 9 FAS 106 AND 112 LIABILITIES? 10 Yes. OTP has paid more into its pension trust than has been reflected in FAS 87 Α. 11 expenses, leading to a prepaid pension asset, and has reflected more FAS 106 and FAS 12 112 expenses than it has paid out for the related benefits, leading to prepaid liabilities. 13 14 HAS OTP BEEN CONSISTENT IN ITS TREATMENT OF THE RATE BASE O. 15 IMPACTS OF BOTH ITS PREPAID FAS 106 AND FAS 112 LIABILITIES AND 16 PREPAID PENSION ASSET? 17 Yes. OTP has been consistent in reflecting the prepaid pension asset as an increase to A. 18 rate base (net of associated ADIT) and has reflected the prepaid FAS 106 and 112 19 liabilities as decreases to rate base (net of associated ADIT). 20 WHAT IS THE 2017 TEST YEAR NET RATE BASE IMPACT OF INCLUDING THE 21 Q. 22 PREPAID PENSION ASSET AND 106 AND FAS 112 LIABILITIES? 23 Table 4 below shows the net rate base impact of including the 2017 Test Year prepaid A.

24

25

pension asset, FAS 106 and FAS 112 balances is a \$20.8 million (OTP Total)/\$1.6

million (OTP SD) decrease to OTP's 2017 Test Year rate base.

Table 4 2017 Test Year Rate Base Impact of Prepaid Pension Asset, FAS 106 and FAS 112 Liabilities (OTP SD)

(\$millions)

	Non-Plant Rate Base	Associated ADIT	Net Rate Base Impact
Component	Asset/ (Liability)	Asset/(Liability)	Asset/(Liability)
Prepaid Pension Asset	\$2,875	(\$984)	\$ 1,891
FAS 106 Liability	(4,882)	1,434	(3,448)
FAS 112 Liability	(114)	27	(87)
Total Net Impact	(\$2,121)	\$ 477	(\$1,644)

Q. WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE NET IMPACT BEING A REDUCTION TO RATE BASE?

9 A. The \$1.6 million Net Impact reduction in rate base will reduce the 2017 Test Year revenue requirement by approximately \$66,000 (OTP SD), as shown on Table 5.

Table 5
2017 Test Year Revenue Requirement Impact of
Prepaid Pension Asset, FAS 106 and FAS 112 Liabilities
(OTP SD)
(\$millions)

	Net Rate Base Impact Asset/(Liability)
Total Net Impact	(\$1,644)
Revenue Requirement 7.96% ROR	(55)
Tax Impact	(11)
Total Net Impact	(\$66)

1	8. Rate Case Expenses
2 Q.	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF RATE CASE EXPENSE INCLUDED IN THE 2017
3	TEST YEAR?
4 A.	The total amount of rate case expense is \$550,000 amortized over three years for a 2017
5	Test Year 13-month average amount of \$458,334. The basis for that expense in
6	explained in Mr. Tommerdahl's Direct Testimony.
7	
8 Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT FOR THE RATE CASE EXPENSES?
9 A.	The adjustment for rate case expense: (1) increases Total Operating Expenses by
10	\$183,333; (2) decreases Total Income Taxes by \$38,500; and (3) decreases Total
11	Available for Return by \$144,833, as shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 12.
12	9. Storm Damage
13 Q.	HAVE YOU MADE ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO STORM REPAIR EXPENSE?
14 A.	Yes. This adjustment brings this expense up to the five-year average amount. The 2017
15	Actual Year storm repair expense was much lower than average, and therefore not
16	representative of the normal cost of this activity.
17	
18 Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT WITH RESPECT TO STORM
19	DAMAGE.
20 A.	The adjustment for Storm Damage expenses: (1) increases Total O&M Expenses by
21	\$45,266; (2) decreases Total Income Taxes by \$9,251; and (3) decreases Net Operating
22	Income by \$36,015, as shown on Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 12.
23	10. Removal of Production Tax Credits
21 22	\$45,266; (2) decreases Total Income Taxes by \$9,251; and (3) decreases Net Of Income by \$36,015, as shown on Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 12.

- 24 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT TO THE INCOME STATEMENT TO
- 25 REFLECT THE REMOVAL OF PRODUCTION TAX CREDITS (PTC)?
- 26 A. The adjustment for PTC removal: (1) increases Total Income Taxes by \$638,677; and (2)
- decreases Total Available for Return by \$638,677, as shown in Exhibit__(TAA-1),
- Schedule 12. This adjustment is explained in the Direct Testimony of Mr. Haugen.

1		11. Plant Outage Normalization
2	Q.	DESCRIBE HOW OTP PLANS FOR O&M EXPENSES RESULTING FROM MAJOR
3		PLANT OUTAGES AT ITS GENERATION FACILITIES?
4	A.	OTP has two generating facilities (Big Stone Plant and Coyote Station) that are on three-
5		year schedules for major plant outages. These outages facilitate larger repairs and allow
6		for other maintenance. Having a three-year schedule for larger repairs and maintenance
7		is necessary to maintain a reliable system.
8		
9	Q.	WAS AN ADJUSTMENT MADE RELATED TO THE PLANT OUTAGE O&M
10		EXPENSES?
11	A.	Yes. An adjustment was made because O&M expenses would be understated for a
12		normal year if left unadjusted. The adjustment considers the actual 2016 Coyote Station
13		plant outage expenses as well the budgeted 2018 Big Stone Plant outage expenses. One
14		third of the total for the two plant outages is compared to 2017 plant outage expense. The
15		adjustment normalizes the 2017 plant outage expense to the level it would be if the plant
16		outage costs were expensed each year.
17		
18	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT TO NORMALIZE PLANT OUTAGE
19		EXPENSE.
20	A.	The adjustment for plant outage expense: (1) increases Total Operating Expenses by
21		\$145,434; (2) decreases Total Income Taxes by \$30,541; and (3) decreases Total
22		Available for Return by \$114,893, as shown in Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 12.
23		12. Removal of TCRR Revenues
24	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT TO REFLECT THE REMOVAL OF
25		TCRR REVNUES?
26	A.	The adjustment for the removal of TCRR revenues: (1) decreases Total Operating
27		Revenue by \$245,070; (2) decreases Total Income Tax by \$51,465; and (3) decreases

Total Available for Return by \$193,605. Mr. Haugen discusses this adjustment in his

28

29

Direct Testimony.

1 13. Removal of ECRR Revenues

- 2 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT TO REFLECT THE REMOVAL OF
- 3 ECRR REVNUES?
- 4 A. The adjustment for the removal of ECRR revenues: (1) decreases Total Operating
- Revenue by \$2,374,465; (2) decreases Total Income Tax by \$498,638; and (3) decreases
- Total Available for Return by \$1,875,827. Mr. Haugen discusses the ECRR revenues in
- 7 his Direct Testimony.

8

14. Adjust Deferred Tax Expense for TCJA

- 9 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT TO DEFERRED INCOME TAX
- 10 EXPENSE RESULTING FROM THE TCJA
- 11 A. I explained the basis for an adjustment to the deferred income tax expense earlier in my
- Direct Testimony. The necessary adjustment: (1) decreases Total Income Tax by
- \$664,300; and (2) increases Total Available for Return by \$664,300.

14 15. Allocation of Changes due to Test Year Allocation

- 15 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT TO REFLECT THE EFFECT OF TEST
- 16 YEAR ADJUSTMENTS ON ALLOCATIONS?
- 17 A. The adjustment for the effects of Test Year adjustments on allocations include: (1)
- increases Other Electric Operating Revenue (resulting in an increase in Total Operating
- Revenue of \$5,653; (2) several increases in operating expenses (resulting in an increase
- 20 in Total Operating Expenses of \$79,969; (3) increases Total Income Tax expense of
- \$214,979; and (4) decreases Total Available for Return of \$289,296, as shown in
- Exhibit (TAA-1), Schedule 12.

23 XI. MERRICOURT STEP

- 24 Q. WHAT WILL YOU ADDRESS IN THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT
- 25 TESTIMONY?
- 26 A. In this section of my Direct Testimony, I address the rate base and income statement
- impacts of OTP's Merricourt project step-in rate proposal. OTP witness Mr. Bradley E.

1		Tollerson, Mr. Gerhardson, and Mr. Tommerdahl provide additional detail supporting the
2		Merricourt Step Increase in their Direct Testimonies.
3		A. Rate Base Impact
4	Q.	DID YOU NORMALIZE 2017 TEST YEAR PLANT IN SERVICE FOR THE
5		MERRICOURT STEP?
6	A.	Yes. Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 14 shows the adjustments to Plant in Service for the
7		Merricourt Step Increase. The adjustments to Plant in Service for the Merricourt Step
8		Increase are also shown on Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 8.
9		
10	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE CUMULATIVE ADJUSTMENTS MADE FOR THE
11		MERRICOURT STEP INCREASE.
12	A.	The adjustments made for the Merricourt Step Increase are set forth in Exhibit(TAA-
13		1), Schedule 14 and Exhibit(TAA-1), Schedule 8 and include: (1) a \$24,590,337
14		increase to Utility Plant in Service; (2) a \$983,613 increase to Accumulated Depreciation;
15		(3) a \$23,606,724 increase to Net Utility Plant in Service; (4) a \$68,730 increase to
16		ADIT; and (5) a \$23,537,994 increase to Total Average Rate Base. The corresponding
17		impacts on the 2017 Test Year Income Statement are explained below.
18		
19		B. INCOME STATEMENT IMPACT
20	Q.	DID OTP ASSUME FULL RECOVERY OF REVENUES AT THE END OF THE
21		CASE FOR THE MERRICOURT STEP INCREASE COST OF SERVICE STUDY?
22	A.	Yes. OTP assumed that at the end of the case we would receive all proposed Test Year
23		revenues and then applied the Test Year Adjustment for the Merricourt Wind Project.
24		
25	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUES FOR THE
26		MERRICOURT STEP INCREASE.

The adjustment for revenue for the Merricourt Step Increase: (1) increases Retail

Revenue by \$5,978,110; (2) increases tax expense by \$1,255,403 and (3) increases Total

27

28

A.

- Available for Return by \$4,722,707, as shown in Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 15 and Exhibit___(TAA-1), Schedule 12.
- 3 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENTS TO EXPENSES FOR THE 4 MERRICOURT STEP INCREASE.
- The adjustment to the Income Statement for the Merricourt Step Increase: (1) decreases
 Production Expense by \$854,834; (2) increases Administrative and General Expenses by
 \$30,258; (3) increases Depreciation Expense by \$983,613; (4) increases General Tax
 Expense by \$57,288; (5) increases Production Tax Credits by \$1,356,702 (6) decreases
 current Income Tax by \$45,429 and (7) increases Total Available for Return by
 \$1,185,804, as shown in Exhibit__(TAA-1), Schedule 15 and Exhibit__(TAA-1),

Schedule 12.

12

13 XII. CONCLUSION.

- 14 O. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS?
- A. OTP has demonstrated the 2017 Test Year revenue deficiency of \$5,978,109 has been appropriately determined and all necessary adjustments have been made. Similarly, the basis for the Merricourt Step Increase has been appropriately determined and all necessary adjustments have been made. As a result, the 2017 Test Year revenue deficiency of \$5,978,109 and the Merricourt Step Increase should be recovered in base rates.

- 22 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes, it does.