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    PROCEEDINGS
    DENNIS L. KANENGIETER,
being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
    EXAMINATION
By MR. ALMOND:
    Q. State your name, please.
    A. Dennis Lee Kanengieter.
    Q. Do you mind if I call you Dennis, or do you
prefer I call you Mr. Kanengieter?
    A. Dennis is fine.
    Q. I just worry because I thought I might mess up
your name over and over again.
    A. Dennis is fine.
    Q. Dennis, can you tell me the names of your
parents?
    A. John and Alice Kanengieter.
    Q. Do you have any siblings?
    A. Yes,Ido.
    Q. Can you tell me their names and names of their
spouses?
    A. Glen, he's deceased. His wife is -- I'll have
to come back to you. Anyway, my next one is Kenneth,
and his wife is Mavis.My youngest one under me is
Denton, and I don't think he's married right now. I
don't see him that often. Last I knew he was not
```

married. Durette is Glen's wife.
Q. So they all have the same last name as you,
presumably?
A. Yep.
Q. What about children?
A. I've got three.
Q. The names and names of spouses, if they have
them.
A. Denise and her husband is Chris Gunary.
Q. Canary?
A. Gunary.
Q. Can you spell it for the court reporter?
A. G-U. Just get it close. I can't think of it
right off the top of my head. And then I got a son
David, he's married to Bobbi, or Bob, and that's
Kanengieter. And my youngest son is Denton - or
Denton, Dan, Daniel. He's not married. He lives in
Phoenix.
Q. Is that all of them?
A. That's it.
Q. In reviewing the records, l've seen the name
Jason and Teresa Kannengieter, which is spelled
differently from your last name. Any relation to that
Jason and Teresa?
A. Possible.

```
A. Yep.
    Q. And is Philip married to Colleen?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Do you know a Bert and Verna Rogness?
    A. Yes,I do.
    Q. And who are they?
    A. Bert's a brother to Clark and Phil.
    Q. Bert work at all with Rogness Truck and
Equipment?
    A. No, he does not.
    Q. I'd like to know about any and all agreements
that you've entered into with any wind company to the
extent you have entered into any agreements.
    A. I have entered into one that's probably, I
don't know, 10, 15 years ago, and then they dropped the
project, so.
    Q. What was the name of that project?
    A. I can't remember the name of it.
    Q. But it was }10\mathrm{ to }15\mathrm{ years ago?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Do you still have some type of contract that's
in --
    A. No, I don't.
    Q. -- place? No?
    A. Threw that stuff all away when they dropped it.
```
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A. Yeah, I think I have.
Q. Do you recall what the issue was in front of the Board?
A. It was some kind of a building permit that was related to a neighbor, and I don't remember for sure what it was, but I did sustain myself.
Q. And why did you do that?
A. Figured it would be a conflict of interest, seeings how I knew him real well. Just figured I should just sustain from it.
Q. So because you knew your neighbor really well, you thought it was appropriate to sustain from deciding the issue with respect to his building permit?
A. For that one, yes.
Q. What was the name of your neighbor?
A. Boy. It happened so many years ago. I can't remember for sure.
Q. Any other memories of abstaining?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. And, typically, I go over some ground rules
before I start depositions, but I'm trying to get
through these quickly. But I just want to tell you few
things. If you could just wait for me to finish asking
my question before you answer, the court reporter will like us a lot more.
Q. Do you know why they dropped it?
A. At the time they told us that they wasn't
feasible so they just dropped the contracts.
Q. Okay. Any other agreements you've entered into with wind companies?
A. Nope.
Q. How long have you been on the Board of Adjustment?
A. I think it's been since 2002, I believe.
Q. Are you currently the chair?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Have you been the chair since for the entire
A. Yes.
Q. Were you the chair before 2018 ?
A. Yes.
Q. All of 2017?
A. Yes.
Q. In your role as a board member, have you ever
recused yourself or abstained from voting on any wind-related project?
A. No, I don't think so. I don't remember ever abstaining from one.
Q. Have you ever recused or abstained from voting on any decision in front of the Board?

| 1 | Q. Do you know why they dropped it? |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 2 | A. At the time they told us that they wasn't |
| 3 | feasible so they just dropped the contracts. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Any other agreements you've entered into |
| 5 | with wind companies? |
| 6 | A. Nope. |
| 7 | Q. How long have you been on the Board of |
| 8 | Adjustment? |
| 9 | A. I think it's been since 2002, I believe. |
| 10 | Q. Are you currently the chair? |
| 11 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 12 | Q. Have you been the chair since for the entire |
| 13 | year? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Were you the chair before 2018? |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. All of 2017? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. In your role as a board member, have you ever |
| 20 | recused yourself or abstained from voting on any |
| 21 | wind-related project? |
| 22 | A. No, I don't think so. I don't remember ever |
| 23 | abstaining from one. |
| 24 | Q. Have you ever recused or abstained from voting |
| 25 | on any decision in front of the Board? |

A. Oh, okay. I'm sorry.
Q. Because it's really hard to take down two people talking and also try answer with yeses, nos, as opposed to mm-hmms, (Yeses) or huh-uhs (Nos). I just wanted to get that out there.
A. Yeah.
Q. What about other board members? Can you tell me about any instances when other board members have recused themselves or abstained from voting with respect to a wind-related issue in front of the Board?
A. No, I can't. Not for a wind issue, except not for this project.
Q. For any wind project.
A. Are you talking about the one we did last night?
Q. I understand somebody did abstain last night, which was Paul Brandt; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Aside from last night, do you have any memories or aware of any time when another board member has abstained from voting?
A. Yes, Ido.
Q. Tell me about that time or those times.
A. That was Mike Dahl and that was for the Flying Crow, the one over by Lake Cochrane.
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By MR. ALMOND:
Q. I'm handing you what has been marked as Exhibit 16. Can you tell me what that document is?
A. Yeah, it's the minutes.
Q. And it's the minutes from the October 17, 2016 board meeting; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And l'll just say if you go to the back page on
page 4, they're unsigned. I'll further represent that I pulled these documents off the Deuel County website, and
the copy that was online was unsigned. So I'm not sure
if there is a signed copy or if it differs from what's
in front of us, but if there is one that's signed and if
it does differ, I'm sure your attorney will look into
that and make any -- Let me know, I guess, but --
A. All right.
Q. Looking at Exhibit 16, if you go to the second page, paragraph 7 there, it says, The Flying Cow Wind LLC applied for a special exception permit.
A. Yep.
Q. So it looks like that Flying Cow permit was heard at the October 17, 2016 board meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that comport with your recollection? If
you go to the last page there, page 4 , the second
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Q. How did you respond when he told you that?
A. I responded that if that's the way he felt then that's what you should do. As a board member, I respect whatever their opinion is.
Q. Did you agree with his opinion?
A. It doesn't matter if I agreed or not. I just respect what he said.
Q. And I get that, but I'm asking you, did you agree with his opinion?
A. Yeah, I guess I did.
Q. Any other instances in which a board member has recused or abstained or excused himself from deciding a wind-related issue?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. I'm going to hand you what is marked as

Exhibit 10, which is just an assortment of letters on
Invenergy letterhead that it seems went out to people in
Deuel County. Can you flip through those and tell me if you received any of those letters?
A. No, I don't remember getting any of them.
Q. You don't remember receiving any of the letters in Exhibit 10?
A. No, I don't.
(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 16 MARKED.)
paragraph, it actually memorializes Mike Dahl refraining from voting because he had signed a lease with another wind company, and we were just talking about that; right?
A. Yes.
Q. So this is the meeting that he recused himself from considering the Flying Cow project?
A. Yes.
Q. So that meeting took place on October 17, 2016; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if the Flying Cow project is still being developed or trying to be developed?
A. I haven't heard anything.
Q. When is the last time you heard anything about the Flying Cow project?
A. I guess I got to think on it. The Flying Cow project may still be in existence.
Q. Do you know, I guess, is my question.
A. I would have to say yes then.
Q. You think it is in existence or trying to be developed?
A. Yes.
Q. It hasn't been built; right?
A. Not to my knowledge it hasn't.

```
Q. But it certainly hasn't been built in Deuel County; otherwise, they would have came and got a permit from you. Right?
A. Right.
Q. All right. Do you have any knowledge that it's still being developed in Deuel County?
A. Not in Deuel County, no.
Q. But outside Deuel County, any knowledge that it's being developed?
A. Yes.
Q. And how do you have that knowledge?
A. I was approached for a transmission line across my property to get the power to this Xcel line.
Q. Where is your property located at?
A. Section 7, Scandinavia Township, the Northwest Quarter.
Q. And is that in Deuel County?
A. Northeast Quarter, I mean.
Q. Is that in Deuel County, that property?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. When were you approached to get a transmission 22 line across your property?
A. Maybe six months ago.
Q. Who approached you?
A. Paul Tol.
```

Q. Who does Paul Tol work for?
A. He didn't state. I assume he's working for the Flying Cow Wind project.
Q. Tell me what Paul said when he approached you.
A. He approached me and asked me if I would be willing to allow him to put a transmission line across my north quarter.
Q. Was that an in-person conversation?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Where did that take place at?
A. At my place.
Q. And after he asked you if you would be willing to allow a transmission line to run across, how did you respond?
A. Told him I had to think about it.
Q. Did you discuss anything else at that time?
A. No, not really.
Q. Did he provide you with any documents at that time?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. What did he provide you with?
A. He provided me with an application to sign if I would approve it, let 'em do it.
Q. An application. What do you mean by an application?

1
2 3 4
A. It was just some wordage in it and where they were going to place the poles was the main thing.
Q. The location of the poles?
A. Yep.
Q. You probably had some discussions with, is it Paul, you said?
A. Yeah.
Q. You talked about where you wanted the poles and etc.?
A. Yep.
Q. And you said there was some terms or other wordage in the agreement. What did it relate to?
A. Related to what they would pay for the poles, you know, placement of the poles.
Q. So you guys were negotiating the price basically?
A. More was, more was where they were going to put the poles than the price.
Q. Were you asking for more money, or tell me about those negotiations.
A. No. When they started this out, the poles on one end of my quarter were ten rows in the field, and a half mile down they were 48 rows in the field. And I wanted the poles on the right-of-way, not having to farm

```
around them.
```

Q. Did they agreed to do that?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. So did you get less money then?
A. I don't know. I haven't gotten any money.
Q. But I mean, the discussion about how much you
were going to get paid and for what, if it was going to
be in the right-of-way and you didn't have to farm
around it, I would assume you would get less money for that agreement.
A. No. We just -- The money part I haven't really discussed with them. I just was concerned where the poles went.
Q. Okay. So aside from the agreement he first presented to you and the agreement you signed, did you see any other versions of an agreement?
A. No.
Q. When did you sign the agreement?
A. Boy, I don't know. Probably five months ago.
Q. At any time when -- I'm sorry. Can you remind me of the individual's name again?
A. Paul, you said?
Q. Paul. What was his last name?
A. Dowel. (Phonetic spelling.)

THE REPORTER: Say again.
A. Paul Dowel.

THE REPORTER: Oh, okay. I was hearing
"Tol."
Q. Do you know how to spell Dowel?
A. No, not for sure I don't.
Q. Okay. Have you exchanged any e-mails with Mr. Dowel?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Do you have any written correspondence with Mr. Dowel? Has he sent anything in the mail, or have
you sent anything to him in the mail?
A. No.
Q. So all of your communications has been with

Mr . Dowel either in person or over the phone?
A. In person.
Q. Never spoken with Mr. Dowel on the phone?
A. No.
Q. How many in-person conversations have you had with Mr. Dowel?
A. Three, four maybe.
Q. During any of these conversations, did the fact that you served on the zoning board, was that ever discussed?
A. No, it wasn't.
Q. Not during any of the conversations?
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A. No.
Q. So you didn't volunteer to him that, by the way, I'm on the zoning board in Deuel County?
A. No, I did not. I assumed he already knew it.
Q. Was Mr. Dowel involved in the Flying Cow
project back in 2016 when the Board was considering it?
A. I don't know if he was or not.
Q. When was the first time you met Mr. Dowel, as
far as you can remember?
A. Since he was three years old.
Q. Oh, Mr. Dowel lives around here?
A. (Nods affirmatively.) His folks are good friends of ours.
Q. Okay. What does Mr. Dowel do for a living?
A. He farms, as far as I know.
Q. Okay. It sounds like he was going around on behalf of Flying Cow to approach landowners and talk about having them sign up easements; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if he was going around on behalf of any other wind companies, wind farms, asking people to sign up?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you know if he was going around on behalf of Invenergy and asking people to sign up on behalf of

## Invenergy?

A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you know who in the area was going around on behalf of Invenergy asking people to sign up?
A. No, I don't.
(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 17 MARKED.)
By MR. ALMOND:
Q. I'm handing you what has been marked as

Exhibit 17. This document look familiar to you?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Is that your signature on the second page of the document?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Did you sign that on August 15, 2017?
A. Yes. That's what's stated on there.
Q. It looks like a --
A. Fifth of October.
Q. You're referring to the beginning paragraph it says October 5?
A. Way on the bottom there? Fifteenth day of August and then --
Q. Yeah, it says the foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 15th day of August by
Dennis Kanengieter and Deborah Kanengieter, husband and wife?
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A. Yep.
Q. And then in the next paragraph below that you mention 5th of October. It has the name Peter Rood. Have you ever spoken with Peter Rood?
A. Not that I can recollect.

MS. AGRIMONTI: Let the record reflect that there are two dates for the notary. One is 15 of August, one is 5 of October, which may be leading to some of the confusion as it is dated as of, on the first page, October 5.
Q. This Memorandum of Option Agreement, that is Exhibit 17, does this refer to that easement agreement we were just talking about?
A. My understanding it does.
Q. So there is another, I assume, larger agreement somewhere that you've signed related to that
transmission line going over your property?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. My question is, is there another agreement beyond this that you've signed?
A. Not that I recall that I signed anything else.
Q. So you're saying this is the only agreement you've signed with Flying Cow?
A. That I can remember, yeah.
Q. Do you know where the Flying Cow project is
A. No, they did not.
Q. So then you were approached about a year later by that same company. Did you think it was odd that they approached you when the Board had just effectively refused to give them a permit?
A. No.
Q. You didn't think that was odd?
A. No.
Q. Did you ask them any questions about their development plans or anything like that?
A. No. They just -- My understanding is they had to have the power line before so they had a way out for the power.
Q. In 2016 how did you vote on that Flying Cow permit?
A. I think I voted for it.
Q. Let's shift gears and talk about the Invenergy project or the Deuel Harvest Wind projects. You understand that there were two special exception permits that were requested from the Board; right?
A. Yes.
Q. When I say Invenergy, you know who I'm referring to generally?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it okay if I just refer to Invenergy as a

## being planned to go in?

A. East of Lake Cochrane is all I know.
Q. Is that where it was going in when it came before the Board in 2016?
A. There and South Dakota.
Q. I guess what I'm trying to ask is, is the

Flying Cow project still looking to develop in the same
area that was being considered in 2016 when it asked for the permit from the Board?
A. I don't follow your question. You mean whether they're going to try to come into Minnesota -- or into
South Dakota or just stay in Minnesota, I don't know.
Q. So in 2016 they came to the Board and asked for a special exception permit; right?
A. Right.
Q. And there is a certain area that they were hoping to develop wind towers in; right?
A. Right.
Q. What I'm asking is, do you know if where they're planning now, does it entail that same area?
A. Idon't know.
Q. You don't know one way or the other?
A. No.
Q. Okay. In 2016 when they came before the Board, they didn't get a permit, did they?
whole and they were doing a "North" project and a "South" project?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that kind of the terminology that you used?
A. Yes.
Q. So from my review of the record, it looks like Invenergy submitted their applications for special exception permits to the zoning officer in December of 2017. Does that sound right?
A. That sounds right.
Q. And here in front of you in these four binders is one exhibit, it's Exhibit 2, and these are documents that were produced by your attorney in this litigation.
If you look here at the first page of Exhibit 2,
basically, a letter from Invenergy's counsel with the application fees, etc., and then behind that page are where the applications begin.
A. Yes.
Q. So what I've done is l've gone through in

Exhibit 2 and separated the applications out the best I could into a "North" application and a "South" application. So we have the two projects right here.
A. Okay.
Q. Did you receive these applications before the hearing?

```
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you receive them?
A. I had to stop in the zoning office and pick up a hard copy of them.
Q. So there was a hard copy provided to you from the zoning office?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it about the same amount of paper that you're looking at here?
A. Close. I got two of them at home.
Q. Yeah, just -- Okay. Then did you take it home or what did you do with it?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And did you read it?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you read through both applications?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you read through both applications in their entirety?
A. I tried.
Q. I'm assuming you didn't read every single word. I certainly wouldn't expect you to, but do you feel like you covered most of it?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. I'm going to have you turn to page 200 of
```

Exhibit 2 here.
A. 200 .
Q. Do you remember seeing Appendix F, copies of Memorandum of Easements with Landowners, a list of all the landowners who had easements for the project?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Did you go through this list?
A. I probably read them. I didn't check out every one on a plat book.
Q. Right. But you would have read through the names to see who has all signed up?
A. If I -- I maybe went through them, but whether I acknowledged every name, I wouldn't say for sure.
Q. Tell me about First District. What does First District do?
A. First District is there to help us with our zoning ordinance and how we interpret it.
Q. Did First District provide you any help with respect to the Invenergy project?
A. They furnished me a folder for each, for the "North" and "South" as to how I should try to conduct the meeting.
Q. How did you get that packet that they furnished?
A. John Knight gave it to me the night of the
meeting.
Q. About how many pages was the packet?
A. Probably two, three. It just had a list of what questions and stuff that should be brought up to the Board.
Q. You said two to three. Was that two to three pages or --
A. Yeah.
Q. And it had a list of questions that should be brought up to your fellow board members?
A. Yep, or ask wind energy.
Q. Aside from that two-to-three-page document from

First District, did you receive anything else from
First District before the hearing?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Do you recall receiving a Staff Report before the hearing?
A. What do you mean by a Staff Report?
Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as

Exhibit 5. I'm going to show you starting on page 27.
It says, Staff Report. Were you provided this document
before the hearing?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. So you don't remember looking through this
or --
A. No, I do not.
Q. Okay. And then go to the page before that, page 26 of Exhibit 5, an e-mail from Todd Kays. Do you know Todd Kays is somebody who works for First District?
A. Yes.
Q. And the e-mail is to dczoning. Is that Jodi Theisen's e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. And in the body of the e-mail it says, Hi, l've completed a review of the Deuel Harvest South
Application. Attached you'll find five documents and then it lists the five documents, which is the Staff
Report, we just kind of looked at, questions from the
chair, Findings of Fact, letter of assurance, Exhibit A.
I will now start on the "North" site. I am forwarding
this to Gary. Please pass it on to John. Did you
receive that e-mail?
A. I don't have an e-mail account.
Q. You don't have an e-mail account?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay. So you didn't receive that e-mail?
A. My wife has -- Some of it gets sent to my
wife's e-mail account and then she brings it up and I read it.
Q. Do you know if that e-mail was sent to your

```
wife?
    A. No, I don't.
    Q. Okay. Does the zoning officer or other board
members or anyone with the county communicate with you
through e-mail via your wife's e-mail?
    A. No. Just Jodi.
    Q. So Jodi does send e-mails to your wife's
account?
    A. Yep.
    Q. And since the litigation started, have had
asked your wife or have you gone through and looked and
collected all the e-mails in her e-mail account that are
related to this Invenergy project?
    A. No.
    Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you after this
deposition is over to go do that and then all the
e-mails you can find that are related to this project,
if you'd forward them to your attorney so that he can
review them and then provide them to me.
    A. They're probably not on her phone. I read
them. She asks me if I want to keep these all, and I
22 say nope, she deletes them.
    Q. Okay. I would still like you just to look to
24 see what e-mails are still there.
    A. All right.
```

after these depositions.
A. Okay.
Q. Did you write out the questions or was it given
to you handwritten?
A. It was given to me.
Q. And John Knight gave it to you?
A. Right.
Q. Do you know who wrote out the questions?
A. No, I don't.
Q. So the Invenergy hearing, did you ask for
questions that were written out during the hearing?
A. I didn't follow it exactly as I should have.
Q. When you say exactly as you should have, what do you mean?
A. I didn't exactly follow what -- You know, I
didn't really have enough time to go through that, so.
Q. When you say you didn't have enough time, was
there some -- Why didn't you have enough time?
A. It was presented to me right at the start of
the meeting. And we, after the length of the meeting, I
guess I kind of didn't quite follow it.
Q. So did you think you should have asked all those questions?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay. Did you ask all the questions last
Q. Going back to First District. Let's see if we can find that document two, questions from the chair document as an attachment here. I'm showing you page 39. Is that the document that John Knight gave you before the meeting?
A. My recall it wasn't printed like this. It was a written out one.
Q. It was in handwriting?
A. (Nods affirmatively.) Yep. This is the one I had last night.
Q. Oh, you had something similar to this last night?
A. I had this last night.
Q. But for the Invenergy projects, your memory is that you had one that was handwritten?
A. Right.
Q. Was it the same questions?
A. I would have to look at the other one. My
memory doesn't recall if it was all the same questions.
Q. Do you have the handwritten copy?
A. I assume I do at home.
Q. Okay. And have you provided that to your attorney at all?
A. No.
Q. I'm going to ask you to give him that as well

## night?

A. Yes, I did.
Q. But at the Invenergy meeting, because the
meeting was going late or what you just said, you didn't get through all the questions. Is that fair?
A. That's fair. Yeah.
Q. Did any other board members get these questions last night?
A. No.
Q. During the Invenergy hearing, did any of the
other board members get the handwritten questions?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if they got any other questions?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. How long has First District been doing work for Deuel County?
A. I guess I don't know. I mean, as long as l've been on the Board, they are right there for us.
Q. I'm sorry. Remind me again when you got on the Board.
A. 2002, I believe.
Q. 2002. And who decides whether or not to bring

First District in for a project?
A. Jodi usually talks to them.
Q. And who decided to get First District involved
in the Invenergy project to the extent that you know?
A. I guess it's mostly between Jodi and myself.
Q. Did you decide to reach out to First District for the Invenergy project and ask for their help?
A. Jodi suggested it and I said it would be a good idea.
Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone from

First District before the hearing in the Invenergy project?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any discussions with any of your fellow board members before the January 22 hearing about the project?
A. Not that I can recollect.
Q. Did you discuss the merit of the applications that were submitted with any of your board members outside of any public meeting or hearing?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. So as I understand what happened at the hearing was that Invenergy kind of went first. Well, first I believe there was some discussion about conflicts and whether any board member should recuse themselves and then Invenergy presented the project and then members of the public were allowed to speak, and they were limited 25 to three minutes apiece. How was that process decided?
anyone beside from declaring it at the meeting?
A. I asked Jodi if she could time it on her phone.
Q. But in terms of the three minutes, did you ask for her input, whether she thought minutes was enough, not enough?
A. No. No. It was my decision.
Q. Did anyone suggest to you that you should use three minutes?
A. Nope.
Q. Did certain members of the public voice
opposition to the three-minute limit during the hearing?
A. They may have. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall if any members of the public were
cut off from speaking once they hit three minutes?
A. Yes.
Q. So it was enforced?
A. Yes.
Q. And there were people that were cut off?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall if there were people who were
opposed to the project who were cut off?
A. Yes.
Q. There were?
A. Yes.
Q. In Exhibit 5 in front of you there, can you
A. I decided that process the afternoon before that we would limit it to three minutes.
Q. Okay. And what about the first part of the process, the discussion of the conflicts?
A. You mean with the board members?
Q. Yep.
A. I just thought it was a good idea that the public knew that I understood that none of the board members would have any conflict of interest.
Q. So was that your idea --
A. Yes, it -
Q. -- to have each board member --
A. Yes, it was.
Q. -- talk with the public.
A. (Nods affirmatively.)
Q. Did you discuss that idea with anyone before the board meeting?
A. No, I did not.
Q. And the three-minute time limit, did you come up with that?
A. That's what the county commissioners used when they had the hearing for the ordinance change. And I thought that was a good way to control the time limit of how long the meeting was going to run.
25 Q. Did you discuss that three-minute limit with
turn to page 79 for me, please.
A. Okay.
Q. Page 79 of Exhibit 5 looks to be an e-mail from dczoning, which I think we found out is Jodi's e-mail to a dkannegieter@hotmail.com. Do you recognize that e-mail address, dkanengieter@hotmail.com?
A. Yep --

MR. PETERSON: Pardon me, Counsel. What page are you on?

MR. ALMOND: 79 of Exhibit 5, Exhibit E.
MR. PETERSON: Okay. Thank you.
MR. ALMOND: Let's go off quick.
(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
Q. Do you recognize the e-mail address?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And is that the e-mail address your wife uses
for you?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there another e-mail address that she uses
for you at all?
A. No.
Q. Did you review that e-mail that is page 79 when
it was sent?
A. No, I don't recollect seeing any e-mail.
Q. So the one on the bottom where it says, Dear

Mr. Knight, I write on behalf of Deuel Harvest Wind Energy and Deuel Harvest Wind Energy South for the format for the BOA meeting on Monday, and then it goes on to propose a certain format. You don't recall reading that?
A. No, I don't. I don't always get to read all the e-mails. Sometimes -- She's not a phone buff so maybe e-mails might sit there four or five days before --
Q. I want you to take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 4. And Exhibit 4 is an assortment of documents, some of which are what people have referred to as public comments that were submitted to the Board both before the hearing and during the hearing.
Actually, I think there might be some in there that were submitted after the hearing. But that's what I want to talk with you about are the public comments that were submitted to the Board.
A. Okay.
Q. Did you receive any public comments -- When I say "public comments," I'm talking about e-mails, letters, reports, websites, anything that people who were interested in this project were sending because they wanted the Board to take a look at it. So did you receive any public comments before the hearing?
documentation of reports, e-mails, or not e-mails, but websites, whatever?
A. Yep.
Q. From Jodi as well?
A. (Nods affirmatively.) Yeah. Okay. I'm sorry.
Q. It's unusual. This is an unusual way to communicate so no need to apologize.

But did Jodi give you anything in person, or did she always just forward e-mails to you with documents?
A. Some of it was e-mailed to me and some of the documents l'd ask her to print out and send hard copy to me.
Q. And the documents you asked her to print out and send hard copies, how did you learn that those documents existed?
A. Through Jodi.
Q. So she'd call you and say somebody dropped off something or tell me how did you find out.
A. She'd call me and say, okay, this person brought in, stuff that the board members to look at, and most of the time I would ask her to copy it and send me a copy.
Q. Again, take a look at page 2 here of Exhibit 4. This is an e-mail from Joe Blastick to Jodi that was
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A. Yes, I did.
Q. And how did you receive the public comments before the hearing?
A. I received quite a few letters. And my wife showed me some e-mails that got through Jodi, through the zoning officer.
Q. Did you receive letters directly, or did they also come through Jodi?
A. Most of them came through Jodi. I recall I got probably two or three directly from a person.
Q. And did you provide those letters to the other board members?
A. I don't recall if $I$ did or not.
Q. Do you recall who the letters were from?
A. Not for sure.
Q. Do you still have those letters?
A. I do not know if I do or not.
Q. I'm going to ask you again, once we're done here if you'd go back and look for them and if you do find them, if you could just give them to Mr. Peterson.
A. Okay.
Q. So you received some letters directly and other letters from Jodi?
A. Yep.
Q. Right? And you received additional
sent on January 19, 2018. Any recollection of whether or not that e-mail was forwarded to your e-mail account or your wife's e-mail account?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you recall reading that e-mail at any point in time?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And then the e-mail has several attachments there, which are behind, the next page is there. That's one attachment there. That's a letter from
Mr. Blastick. Any recollection of reading that letter?
A. Give me a minute. I believe Jodi sent me this one in hard copy.
Q. And then the letter on page 5 there of Exhibit 4 on the very bottom references six documents that he attached via e-mail, which are then attached to the back of that letter. If you want to flip to the next page and look at the first one about low frequency noise and infrasound. Do you believe Jodi gave you all the attachments to that letter, too, in hard copy?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. Do you know if you received those documents at all?
A. No, I don't recall receiving them.
Q. Okay. And then let's go to page 12 of that
exhibit entitled "Are Windmills Killing Ducks?" Any recollection of receiving that document?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Let's go to page 18. Any recollection of receiving that document?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. You do remember receiving that one?
A. Yeah.
Q. Go to page -- Well, let me ask you this first. My understanding is that people opposed to the Invenergy project, a concern that they had expressed was that the project was going to decrease land values in the area. Do you recall people arguing that point?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall receiving any reports from any appraisers or real estate professionals about land values before the night of the hearing?
A. No.
Q. Why don't you go to page 305, please.

MR. PETERSON: Of Exhibit 4.
A. (Complies.) 305?
Q. Did you receive this letter before the hearing?
A. I remember reading it.
Q. You do remember reading this letter. When you showed up to the hearing on January 22, how soon before
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Q. Let's go to last night's hearing involving the

Crowned Ridge project.
A. Okay.
Q. Did people of the public submit written
comments during the hearing last night?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. Were you able to read through all of the comments submitted last night?
A. We didn't look at any of them.
Q. Why not?
A. We postponed the final vote 'til October 11 so the Board would have a chance to look at them.
Q. So let's go back to the Invenergy hearing. The Board voted that night; correct?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did you believe that the Board had to vote that
A. I asked the Board what they wanted to do.
Q. My question was, did you believe the Board had to vote that night?
A. Myself, yes.
Q. So the Board voted the night of January 22 to approve the permit; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then at some point Findings of Fact were
the start time did you show up?
A. Half hour, 45 minutes. I helped set chairs up.
Q. And were there public comments that were
printed off by Jodi or somebody for all the board
members to look at when you got there that evening?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. There were stacks of --
A. Well, there was some. I didn't say stacks, but there was some stuff.
Q. Did each board member have his own copy of those public comments?
A. Best of my knowledge, they did.
Q. Do you know, was it Jodi who printed off and --
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately how many pages of documents were there?
A. I can't recall.
Q. As I understand it, members of the public also gave additional public comments in writing during the hearing; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you have the opportunity to read
through all the public comments that were submitted in writing during the hearing?
A. No.
written up?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you involved with the actual writing of the Findings of Fact?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you do that?
A. At a meeting in the commissioner's room.

Another zoning board meeting.
Q. Who was at that meeting?
A. The Board and First District.
Q. Was it a public meeting?
A. It's always open to the public.
Q. Do you know the date of that meeting?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Are there minutes of it? Were there minutes taken?
A. Yes, there would have to be.
Q. Outside of that meeting, were you involved in actually writing up the Findings of Fact?
A. No.
Q. So during that meeting did you guys start from scratch, or did you have something to go from? Were they already done at the meeting and presented to the Board?
A. No, they were not. No.
Q. Okay. Do you know who drafted up the first draft of the findings for purposes of the discussion amongst the Board?
A. First District helped us with it.
Q. First District helped draft up the Findings of Fact. Was First District at the January 22 hearing?
A. I don't know for sure if they were or not.
Q. Who from First District helped draft the Findings of Fact, if you know?
A. Oh, I think Luke was his first name.
Q. Muller?
A. Possible. I can't recall his last name.
Q. It's my understanding the Deuel County Zoning Ordinances were recently amended or changed a few years ago as it related to wind energy ordinances.
A. Yes.
Q. And the county commission is the body who makes those changes; right?
A. They finalize them, yes.
Q. And they finalize the changes, and then after that there was a petition for referendum that was being circulated around Deuel County?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that sound familiar to you?
A. Yep.
Q. Was the petition for referendum that was circulating, was the goal of that to change the ordinances to make it easier for wind development in Deuel County?
A. My understanding was to deny the new ordinance and then go back to the old ordinance.
Q. And the old ordinance was more favorable to wind developers; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you involved at all with that referendum petition process?
A. No. Not that I recall.
Q. Did you sign a petition?
A. If there was one presented to me, but I don't remember if I did or not.
Q. And you were asked about it -- I'm going to hand you Exhibit 8.
A. Okay.
Q. And if you could, turn to Interrogatory

Response No. 28 for me, please. It's on page 13.
A. Okay.
Q. At the bottom of page 13 , see the number 28 there?
A. Yep.
Q. It asks to describe all involvement you had
with the petition for referendum. And if you flip the page to 14, there is an answer provided. And as relates to you, it says the other board members cannot recall any involvement with the petition for referendum. And then if you go down to the answer to page -- or Question No. 29, it references Board Member Dennis Kanengieter's wife Deborah signed the petition.
A. Yep.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yep.
Q. You reviewed these answers before they got sent out; right?
A. You talking to me?
Q. Yeah. I mean, you signed for these; right?

I'll help you out, if you go to page 19.
A. Yes, I did.
(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 18 MARKED.)
By MR. ALMOND:
Q. I'm handing you what has been marked as

Exhibit 18. Is that a County Referendum Petition?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Is that referendum petition what is related to that wind ordinance that was being referred?
A. Yep. Looks like I signed it.
Q. Is that your signature there on No. 7?

## A. Yes, it is.

Q. If you go down to the bottom there, it identifies the name of the circulator. Is that your wife's name there?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. So now that you've read that, do you remember that she was circulating petitions?
A. She took some out. I didn't realize she had circulated them.
Q. And I'm curious whether that printed name of the circulator to the left there it said Dennis and it's
crossed out. Any idea who wrote that Dennis or how it got there?
A. Looks like my wife's handwriting.
Q. The Dennis looks like it's your wife's handwriting?
A. Yep.
Q. Did you ask anyone to sign any petitions?
A. Nope.
Q. Okay. Back in -- Well, let's look at

Exhibit 4, which is right in front of you. If you could turn to page 310 for me, please.
A. All right.
Q. And that is John Homan's application for a special exception permit for a runway; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the bottom there it looks like it was filed with the zoning officer on March 15 of 2017. Do you see that?
A. Yep. Yes.
Q. Let me ask you this. Before Mr. Homan applied for a runway permit, had you ever considered a runway permit while sitting on the Board?
A. If I recall there was one maybe by Estelline that a guy did aerial spraying off of.
Q. Any idea when that would have --
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you remember who was applying for it?
A. No, I really don't.
Q. It was aerial spraying down by Estelline?
A. That's my - That's what I recall.
Q. And they were replying for a runway permit?
A. (Nods affirmatively.)
Q. Right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. During that permit proceeding, did the

Board request from the applicant a letter of assurance?
A. I don't recall if we did or not.
Q. Was that, the Estelline proceeding, was it approximately five years ago? Ten years ago? Any

```
estimate in terms of time?
```

A. I'd say close to ten years ago probably.
Q. Ten years ago. Going back to Mr. Homan's application, did John Knight recuse himself from advising the Board were respect to that application?
A. Yep. Yes.
Q. What was your understanding of why he recused himself?
A. One of the other landowners close to it was one of his clients is what I understood.
Q. Do you know the name of that individual?
A. Darold Hunt, I would assume. I don't know for sure.
Q. Does Darold Hunt live close to where the runway was going, or why do you assume it was Darold Hunt, I guess?
A. Because he's got land adjoining theirs.
Q. Okay. So Mr. Homan filed his special exception permit in March of 2017. At that time there weren't any wind towers around his property, were there?
A. No.
Q. There was no application in front of the Board asking for any wind towers to be put in around that property, were there?
A. No.
Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 11. I'll represent that those are the Board meeting minutes from their April 2017 meeting. If you go to paragraph 10.
A. (Complies.)
Q. Paragraph 10 is the part of the meeting minutes that relates to Mr. Homan's special exception permit; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if you go to the last page there, or paragraph 10 carries over, at the bottom it looks like the Board ultimately tabled the consideration of a special exception permit until it could get more information about the setbacks from wind towers from an airstrip. Do you see where it says that?
A. Give me a minute. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. We've already covered that there weren't any wind towers around the area, and there weren't any applications in for wind towers. So I just want you to explain to me why the Board needed to get more information about the setbacks for wind towers?
A. Well, it's stated in here for wind towers, but I think the Board members were more wondering what the restrictions were for an approach and an exit from an airstrip as to how far out that went. There was a
couple board members that wanted to know more information on flight pattern, is what l'm getting at.
Q. How about you? Did you want more information?
A. It's always good to have more information.
Q. Were you concerned about setbacks from wind towers at the time?
A. Not at the time. After the Board members brought that up, I was more concerned about what, you know, to know what the flight pattern and stuff was for an airstrip.
Q. So at this time you weren't concerned about wind tower setbacks?
A. Not really, no.
Q. How about any time after this, because I understand Mr. Homan's special exception permit was considered over various board meetings.
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Did you eventually become concerned about wind towers?
A. Wind towers would have probably been in the back of my head, but trying to follow what the ordinance said is what I try to do and what I try to get the Board members to do.
Q. And what do the ordinances say about runways and setbacks?
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A. Okay.
Q. And that's the only place in the ordinance that the word airstrip appears according to my Control F feature on my laptop.
A. I won't argue then.
Q. So you said you were concerned about what the ordinances said with respect to setbacks, and I'm just wondering what do the ordinances say with respect to setbacks as it relates to airstrips.
A. Well, there is nothing in the ordinance. I guess I misunderstood that.
Q. So what you're saying is -- So from your point 5 of view, you thought it was appropriate, or did you
think it was appropriate to table his permit as of April 2017?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. For your purposes, it was because you wanted to follow the ordinances?
A. That, and I had a couple board members that wanted to research the flight patterns and stuff.
Q. Outside of the ordinances, though?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Because you guys could have looked at the ordinances that day or the next day and looked to see what setbacks exist; right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you?
A. You mean for the airstrip?
Q. Yeah.
A. I'm not following you.
Q. Yes, for the airstrip. Did you guys ever look in the ordinances to see if there were any setbacks for airstrips?
A. I assume we did and didn't find any.
Q. At that first, or at that meeting in April of 2017, did the notion of a letter of assurance come up?
A. I don't recall if it came up on the first meeting or not.
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Q. And that's fair. Did you come up with the idea for a letter of assurance on your own?
A. I just told you. It was a board, all the Board members. Not just me.
Q. And I understand that ultimately the Board did agree that a letter of assurance was necessary?
A. Yes.
Q. But I'm wondering, are you the Board member who first thought, hey, let's talk about a letter of
assurance and whether or not it's appropriate for this situation?

MR. PETERSON: Objection. Asked and answered several times.
A. I just told you. It was a board decision. I'm not pinning that on one person.
Q. Now I need to know if you're not pinning it on one person because you don't want to or because you can't.
A. I can't.
Q. Okay. So you don't know I guess who?
A. Listen, I can't and I don't want to. I respect my board members.
Q. Just so we're crystal clear, you can't answer that question because you don't remember or you don't have the knowledge; right?
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A. No.
Q. Can you turn to page 331 of Exhibit 4 for me, please.
A. (Complies.)

MS. AGRIMONTI: Mr. Almond, we've been going
for about an hour and a half. Do you have an estimate
of any time that might be an appropriate time for a
five-minute break?
MR. ALMOND: Let's go off.
(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
Q. Let's go back on and push through this.
A. Both. I maybe can't remember for sure, but like I said, I'm not going to pin any board member. I'm going to stick with that statement.

MR. ALMOND: Can you instruct your client to answer my question to the extent that he's capable of answering them?

MR. PETERSON: I think that he says that he can't remember with certainty, and I think that's his answer.
Q. Is there some -- Do you have a guess who it

MS. AGRIMONTI: Objection. A guess,

Looking at page 331 and 332 of Exhibit 4, did you receive that letter in or around, on or around June 9 of 2017?
A. I don't remember if I received it, but it does look familiar when I read it.
Q. Okay. It's addressed to you; correct?
A. What, sir?
Q. It is addressed to you, the letter?
A. Yeah. To me as chairman of the Board.
Q. Then if you go to the second page there, the bottom paragraph where it says, Conclusion. And it says, Should the Board grant Mr. Homan's application, Invenergy respectfully requests that such approval specifically -- (Interruption by Reporter.)

Should the board grant Mr. Homan's application Invenergy respectfully requests that such approval specifically state that it does not grant Mr. Homan any right to limit the uses on neighboring properties.

Do you see where it says that?
A. Yes, Ido.
Q. And this is talking effectively about a letter of assurance; right?
A. Yes.
Q. So is this where the idea of a letter of assurance came from now that you've read this?
A. I would have to say yes.
Q. Okay. Go to page 335 for me, please.
A. (Complies.)
Q. You can stop. I'm not going to ask you any
questions about that page. I'm handing you what has been -- Turn to 346, please, in Exhibit 4.
A. (Complies.)
Q. I'll represent to you that this is a letter
that John Homan sent to the Deuel County Commission.
Third page has John Homan's signature in print, I guess.
It's not actually signed by him.
A. Yeah.
Q. If you go back to page 1, or actually page 346.
A. Yeah.
Q. If you go to the third paragraph, Mr. Homan writes: I attended the April zoning board meeting to apply for the permit in person. The very first question I received from the Board before any question about
airports or landing strips was from Mr. Kanengieter, and it was, quote, How is this going affect wind towers?
End quote.
Do you disagree with Mr. Homan's summary of what took place at that April board meeting?
A. I don't recall if I said that or not.
Q. Okay. So you don't agree or disagree?
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A. No.
Q. You don't remember?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Then if you go to the next paragraph there, it says, The conversation continued for over a half hour and the Board's questions and comments were only concerning wind towers and went so far as the Board telling me that they would not grant me the permit because in the future, some surrounding landowner may want to put up a wind tower on the adjacent property.

Do you disagree with Mr. Homan's summary of what took place?
A. No. I don't.
Q. Go to page 2. The second paragraph there starts off, The June meeting was handled the same as the April meeting. Every question was about how the landing strip would affect surrounding wind towers.

Is that a fair categorization of that June meeting by Mr. Homan?
A. I don't think it was the fact about the wind
towers. I think it was more the fact about his air rights and the neighbors' air rights.
Q. I'm going to hand you what has been marked as

Exhibit 13. Do you recall ever receiving that e-mail before today?
A. No. I don't. Doesn't mean that I didn't. I don't recall it.

MR. ALMOND: I'm pretty much done, but if you have some, why don't we go ahead.

MS. AGRIMONTI: Actually, I don't have any questions.

MR. ALMOND: I don't have any other questions for you, Dennis.

THE WTNESS: All right.
MR. PETERSON: Dennis, you have the right to the read the transcript and correct any errors that you perceive, or you can waive that reading and rely upon the court reporter to get it correctly. I don't care which one you do, but you need to indicate on the record what your preference is.

THE WTNESS: You're saying that --
MR. PETERSON: You can either read the transcript --

THE WITNESS: Or go by what she has?
MR. PETERSON: Or go by what she's got down.
THE WITNESS: I trust her.
MR. PETERSON: Okay. So you'll waive?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I will.
(DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 2:43 P.M.)


|  | 2:43 [1] 65/24 | 49/15 53/25 53/25 | 53/6 | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MR. ALMOND: [6] | 3 |  | apply [1] 63/17 applying [1] 53/13 | base [1] 19/22 |
| 40/9 40/11 61/3 | 305 [2] 45/19 45/21 |  | applying [1] appraisers [1] | basically [2] 20 |
| 61/22 65/2 65/6 |  | $\text { agreed [2] } 14 / 6$ | $45 / 16$ | 28/15 |
| MR. PETERSON: [9] 40/7 40/10 45/19 | $331 \text { [2] 61/16 62/1 }$ | $21 / 2$ | approach [2] 23/17 | begin [1] 28/17 beginning [1] 24/18 |
| 60/11 61/6 65/9 | 332 [1] 62/1 | agreement [17] | 55/24 | /17 |
| 65/16 65/19 65/21 | 335 [1] 63/2 |  |  | 23/20 23/24 23/25 |
| MS. AGRIMONTI: | $39 \text { [1] } 34 / 4$ |  | $\text { 18/4 18/5 27/2 } 27 / 4$ | 24/4 41/1 |
| [6] 13/2 13/4 25/5 | 49 [1] $34 / 4$ | $21 / 1521 / 1621 / 18$ | appropriate [5] | below [1] 25/2 |
| 61/12 61/18 65/4 | 4 |  | $11 / 1257 / 2558 / 1$ | Bert [2] 9/4 9/8 |
| THE REPORTER: <br> [2] 21/24 22/1 | 42 [1] 57/10 |  | $60 / 1061 / 21$ | Bert's [1] 9/7 <br> beside [1] 39/1 |
| [2] 21/24 22/1 <br> THE WITNESS | 45 [1] 46/2 | agreements [3] | approval [2] 62/13 | beside [1] 39/1 beyond [1] 25/20 |
| 65/8 65/15 65/18 | 48 [1] 20/24 | 9/11 9/13 10/4 | 62/16 | binders [1] 28/11 |
| 65/20 65/22 | 5 |  | ap | Blastick [2] 43/25 |
| ' | 5th [1] 25/3 | airports [2] 57/ | ap | 44/11 |
| '94 [1] 8/5 | 7 |  |  | board [89] |
| 'em [1] 18/23 | $79 \text { [4] 40/1 40/3 }$ | 55/25 56/10 57/15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { April [7] 55/3 58/2 } \\ 58 / 2259 / 1563 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | Board's [2] 59/6 |
| 'til [1] 47/11 | $40 / 10 \quad 40 / 22$ | 55/25 56/10 57/15 | 58/22 59/15 63/16 63/23 64/16 | 64/6 |
| 1 | A | airstrip | April 2017 [2] 55/3 |  |
| 10 [7] 9/15 9/19 | able [1] 47/7 | $\begin{aligned} & 57 / 1257 / 2158 / 2 \\ & 59 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 / 2 \\ & \text { area [6] } 24 / 326 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | body [2] 32/9 49/1 |
| 14/16 14/22 55/4 | abstain [1] 12/16 | $\text { Alice [1] } 5 / 16$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { area [6] } 24 / 326 / 8 \\ 26 / 1626 / 2045 / 12 \end{gathered}$ | book [2] 30/9 57/1 |
| 55/6 55/11 | abstained [5] 10/20 | Alice Kanengieter | $55 / 18$ | both [4] 29/16 29/18 |
| 11 [2] 47/11 55/2 | 10/24 12/9 12/21 | $\text { [1] } 5 / 16$ | argue [1] 57/17 | 41/14 61/1 |
| 12 [1] 44/25 | 14/12 | allow [2] 18/6 18/13 | argue [1] 57/17 arguing [1] 45/13 | bottom [8] 24/20 |
| 13 [3] 50/20 50/22 | abstaining [2] | allowed [1] 37/24 |  | 40/25 44/15 50/22 |
| 64/24 | 10/23 11/18 | allowed [1] 37/24 <br> Almond [1] 61/19 | aside [3] $12 / 19$ | 52/2 53/2 55/11 |
| 14 [1] 51/2 | according [1] 57/15 | Almond [1] 61/19 also [5] 8/14 8/16 | 21/14 31/12 | 62/11 |
| 15 [5] 9/15 9/19 | account [7] 32/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { also [5] } 8 / 148 / 16 \\ & 12 / 342 / 846 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | asks [2] 33/21 50/25 aspect [1] 8/10 | Boy [2] 11/16 21/19 |
| 24/14 25/7 53/3 | 32/19 32/23 33/8 | $\text { am [2] } 10 / 1132 / 15$ | assortment [2] | Brandt [3] 7/11 |
| 15th [1] 24/23 | 33/12 44/2 44/3 | amended [1] 49/14 | $14 / 1641 / 11$ | 7/13 12/17 |
| 16 [3] 14/24 15/3 | acknowledged [2] | amongst [1] 49/3 | assume [8] $18 / 2$ | bring [1] 36/22 |
| $15 / 17$ 17 [6] 15/5 15/22 | 24/23 30/13 | am | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { assume [8] 18/2 } \\ 19 / 1621 / 925 / 15 \end{array}$ | brings [1] 32/23 |
| 17 [6] 15/5 15/22 $16 / 9$ 24/6 24/9 25/12 | across [4] 17/12 | amount [1] 29/8 answer [7] 11/24 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 / 1621 / 925 / 15 \\ & 34 / 2154 / 1254 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | brother [3] 7/5 7/18 |
| 16/9 24/6 24/9 25/12 | 17/22 18/6 18/13 | $12 / 351 / 251 / 560 / 23$ | 34/21 54/12 54/15 58/21 | 9/7 |
| 18 [3] 45/4 51/17 | actual [1] 48/3 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 351 / 251 / 560 / 23 \\ & 61 / 561 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58/21 } \\ & \text { assumed [1] 23/4 } \end{aligned}$ | brought [4] 31/4 |
| 51/20 | additional [2] 42/25 | 61/5 61/9 | assumed [1] 23/4 assuming [1] 29/21 | 31/10 43/21 56/8 |
| 19 [2] 44/1 51/15 | 46/19 | answered [1] 60/12 | assuming [1] 29/21 | buff [1] 41/7 |
| 2 | address [4] 40/6 | wers [1] 51/11 |  | building [2] 11/4 |
| 200 [2] 29/25 30/2 | 40/14 40/16 40/19 | apiece [1] 37/2 | 59/2 59/5 59/9 59/16 | 11/13 |
| 2002 [3] 10/9 36/21 | addressed [2] 62 | apologize [1] 43/7 | 59/18 59/20 60/2 | built [2] 16/24 |
| 36/22 |  | appears [1] 57/15 | 60/6 60/10 62/22 | C |
| 2016 [9] 15/5 15/22 | adjoining [1] 54/17 | Appendix [1] 30/3 | 62/25 | call [5] 5/8 5/9 19/6 |
| 16/9 23/6 26/4 26/8 | Adjustment [2] 7/9 | Appendix F [1] 30/3 | attached [3] 32/11 | 43/18 43/20 |
| 26/13 26/24 27/14 | $10 / 8$ | applicant [1] 53/22 | 44/16 44/16 | came [11] 13/2 |
| 2017 [9] 10/17 | advising [1] 54/5 | application [13] | attachment [2] | 17/2 26/3 26/13 |
| 24/14 28/9 53/3 | aerial [2] 53/10 | 18/22 18/24 18/25 | 34/3 44/10 | 26/24 42/9 58/24 |
| 54/19 55/3 58/2 | $53 / 15$ | 28/16 28/21 28/22 | attachments [2] | 59/10 59/13 59/20 |
| 58/23 62/3 | affect [2] 63/20 | 32/11 52/24 54/4 | 44/8 44/20 | 62/25 |
| 2018 [2] 10/15 44/1 | $64 / 17$ | 54/5 54/22 62/12 | attended [1] 63/16 | can't [13] 6/13 9/18 |
| 22 [4] 37/12 45/25 | affirmatively [7] | 62/15 | attorney [4] 15/14 <br> 28/13 33/18 34/23 | 11/16 12/11 46/17 |
| 47/22 49/6 | 7/6 19/17 23/12 34/9 | applications [8] | 28/13 33/18 34/23 | 49/12 57/1 60/18 |
| 26 [1] 32/3 |  | 28/20 28/17 | August [4] 24/14 | 60/19 60/21 60/23 |
| 27 [1] 31/20 | afternoon [1] 38/1 | 29/18 29/16 |  | 61/1 61/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 8} \text { [2] } 50 / 2050 / 22 \\ & \mathbf{2 9}[\mathbf{1}] 51 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | ago [10] 9/15 9/19 <br> 11/16 17/23 21/19 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 37/15 55/19 } \\ \text { applied [2] } 15 / 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } 15 \text { [1] } 24 / 14 \\ & \text { away [1] } 9 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | Canary [1] 6/10 <br> cannot [1] 51/3 |


| C | 38 | county [19] 14/18 | 1 | $37$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| capable [1] 61/5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { communic } \\ 33 / 443 / 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 1017 / 217 / 617 / 7 \\ & 17 / 817 / 1717 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | decision [4] 10/2 39/6 59/6 60/14 | discussions [3] |
| care [1] 65/14 | communications [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 17 / 817 / 1717 / 19 \\ & 23 / 333 / 436 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 39/6 59/6 60/14 declaring [1] 39/ | 13/22 20/6 37/11 |
| carries [1] <br> categorizat | 22/13 | 38/21 49/13 49/1 | decrease [1] $45 / 12$ | District [18] |
| $64 / 18$ | companies [2] 10/5 | 49/22 50/4 51/20 | deletes [1] 33/22 | 30/15 30/16 30/18 |
| 64/18 | 23/2 | 57/4 63/9 | Denise [1] 6/9 | 1/13 31/14 32/4 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { caustain [3] } & 26 / 16\end{array}$ | compan | couple [2] 56/1 58/6 | DENNIS [13] 5/2 | 4/1 36/15 36/23 |
| 39/10 41/4 | 13/11 13/15 16/3 | court [3] 6/1 | 5/7 5/8 5/10 5/13 | 36/25 37/3 37/8 |
| certainly [2] 17/1 | 27/3 | 5/1 | /14 24/24 51/6 | 8/10 49/4 49/5 49/6 |
| 29/22 |  | ered [2] 29/23 | 52/11 52/12 52/15 |  |
| certainty [1] 61/8 | mplies [5] 45/2 | Cow [17] | nnis Kanengieter | 40/6 |
| chair [5] 10/10 | $55 / 561 / 1863 / 363 / 7$ | $13 / 7 \quad 13 / 20 \quad 15 / 18$ | [1] 24/24 | dkannegieter [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | comport [1] 15/24 | 15/21 16/7 16/12 | Den | 40/5 |
| chairman [1] 62 | concern [1] | 16/16 16/17 18 | Kanengieter [1] 5/7 | document [10] 15/3 |
| chairs [1] 46/2 | concerned [6] 21/12 | 23/5 23/17 25/23 | Denton [3] 5/24 | 24/9 24/12 31/12 |
| chance [1] 47/12 | 56/5 56/8 56/11 | 25/25 26/7 |  | 34/3 |
| change [2] 38/22 |  |  |  | 45/2 45/5 |
| 50/2 |  |  |  |  |
| changed [1] 49/14 | $65 / 24$ | Crowned [1] 47/2 | describe [1] 50/2 | documents |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { changes [2] } 49 / 18 \\ & 49 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | Conclusion [1] | Crowned Ridge [1] | Deuel [19] 14/18 | 15/10 18/18 19/13 |
| 49/20 | 62/11 | 47/2 | 15/10 17/2 17/6 17/7 | 28/12 32/11 32/12 |
|  | conduct [1] 30 | crystal [1] | 17/8 17/17 17/19 | 41/12 43/10 43/12 |
| Chris [1] 6/9 | conflict [2] 11/8 | curious [3] 52/10 | 23/3 27/18 32/10 | 43/14 43/16 44/15 |
| hris Gunary | 38/9 | 59/10 59/20 | 36/16 41/1 41/2 | 44/22 46/15 |
| 6/9 | conflicts [2] 37/21 | currently [1] 10/10 | 49/13 49/22 50/4 | Dowel [12] 21/24 |
| circulated [2] 49/22 | 38/4 | cut [3] 39/14 39/18 | 57/4 63/9 | $22 / 1 \text { 22/4 22/7 22/10 }$ |
| 52/9 |  |  |  |  |
| circulating [2] 50/2 | $55 / 12$ | D | D | 23/14 |
|  | considered [3] 26/8 | Dahl [5] 12/24 13/7 | 27/18 32/10 41/1 | draft [3] 49/2 49/ |
| $52 / 11$ | 53/7 56/16 | 13/13 13/14 16/1 | Deuel Harvest Wind | 49/8 |
| Clark [8] 8/1 8/1 | CO | ota [2] 26/ |  | drafted [1] 49/1 |
| 8/10 8/10 8/12 8/22 |  |  | - | dropped |
| 8/25 9/7 | continued [1] 64/5 | Dan [1] 6/17 | 26/17 | 9/25 10/1 10/3 43/18 |
| Clark's [1] 8/23 | contract [2] 9/21 | Daniel [1] 6/17 | developed [5] 16/13 | Ducks [1] 45/1 |
| clear [1] 60/23 |  |  | 13 16/22 17/6 | duly [1] 5/3 |
| client [1] 61/4 | contracts [1] 10/3 <br> control [2] 38/23 | Darold Hunt [3 |  | rette [1] 6/1 |
| clients [1] 54/10 | Control [2] 38/23 | $54 / 1254 / 1454 / 15$ | $8$ | during [11] 22/21 $22 / 2535 / 1136 / 10$ |
| close [5] 6/13 29/10 | conversation [2] | dates [1] 25/7 | $27 / 1050 / 3$ | $39 / 1141 / 1446 / 19$ |
|  | 18/8 64/5 | David [1] 6/15 | Diane [1] 7/13 | 46/24 47/5 48/21 |
| Cochrane [2] $26 / 2$ | conversations [4] | day [4] 24/20 24/23 | differ [1] 15/14 | 53/21 |
| collected [1] 33/12 | 22/18 22/21 22/25 | 58/11 58/11 | differed [1] 19/ | E |
| Colleen [1] 9/2 | 37 |  | different [3] 13/2 |  |
| come [6] 5/22 26/11 | copies [2] 30/3 | dczoning [2] 32 40/4 |  | e-mail [29] 32/3 <br> 32/6 32/7 32/9 32/17 |
| 38/19 42/8 58/23 | 43/15 <br> copy [12] 15/11 | Dear [1] 40/ | differently <br> differs [1] |  |
| 60/1 | $15 / 12 \text { 29/4 29/5 }$ | $\text { DeBoer [2] } 7 /$ | directly [3] 42/7 | $32 / 2332 / 2533 / 5$ |
| comments [13] | $34 / 2043 / 1243 / 22$ | Deborah [2] 24/2 | 42/10 42/22 | 33/5 33/12 40/3 |
| 41/13 41/17 41/20 | $43 / 2344 / 1344 / 20$ | $51 / 7$ | disagree [3] 63/ | 0/6 40/14 40/16 |
| 41/21 41/25 42/2 | $46 / 1057 / 4$ | deceased [1] 5/21 | disagree [3] 63/ $63 / 2564 / 11$ | 40/19 40/22 40/24 |
| 46/3 46/11 46/19 | Corey [2] 8/19 8/23 | December [1] 28/8 | discovery [1] 7/20 | 43/25 44/2 44/2 44/3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 2347 / 547 / 864 / 6 \\ & \text { commission [2] } \\ & 49 / 1763 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | correctly [1] 65/13 <br> correspondence [1] | decide [1] 37/3 <br> decided [3] 36/25 | discuss [4] 18/16 <br> 37/15 38/16 38/25 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 / 544 / 844 / 16 \\ & 64 / 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| commissioner's [1] 48/7 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 / 9 \\ & \text { counsel [2] } 28 / 15 \\ & 40 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 37/25 38/1 decides [1] 36/22 deciding [2] 11/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { discussed [4] } 21 / 12 \\ & 22 / 2359 / 1359 / 22 \\ & \text { discussion [6] } 21 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e-mailed [1] } 43 / 11 \\ & \text { e-mails [12] } 22 / 6 \\ & 33 / 733 / 1233 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |


| E | excused [1] 14/ |  | folder [1] 30/20 | handwriting [3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 |  | fa | fold | 16 |
| 41/7 41/8 41/21 42/5 | 14/22 14/24 15/3 | 28 | follow [6] 26/10 | handwritten [4] |
| 43/1 43/1 43/9 | 15/17 24/6 24/9 | favorable [1] 50/7 | 35/12 35/15 35/21 | 15 34/20 35/4 |
| each [3] 30/20 | 25/12 28/12 28/12 | feasible [1] 10/3 | 56/21 58/5 | 36/11 |
| 38/12 46/10 | 28/14 28/20 30/1 | feature [1] 5 | llowing [1] 58/ | happened [2] |
| easement [5] 19/5 | $31 / 2032 / 332 / 14$ | fees [1] 28/ | [1] | 37/19 |
| 19/7 19/11 19/23 | 39/25 40/3 40/10 | fellow [2] 31/10 | foregoing [1] 24/22 | rd |
| 25/12 | 40/10 41/11 41/11 | 37/12 | format [2] 41/3 41/4 | 29/5 43/12 43/15 |
| easements | 43/24 44/15 | felt [2] | forward [2] 33/18 | 44/13 44/20 |
| 23/18 30/4 30/5 | 45 | few [3] 11/2 |  | Harvest [4] 27 |
| easier [1] 50/3 | 51/20 52/21 55/2 |  | ward | 41/2 |
| East [1] 26/2 | 57/4 61/16 62/1 6 | field [2] 20/23 | forwarding [1] | hasn't [3] 16/24 |
| fectively [2] 27/4 | 64/ | Fifteenth [1] 24/ | four | 16/25 17/1 |
| 62/21 | Ex | Fifth [1] 24/17 | fo | he's [7] |
| either [2] 22/14 | Exhibit 10 [2] | fi |  |  |
| 65/17 | Ex | fil | frequency [1] 44/18 | head [3] |
| $\text { [2] } 2$ | Exhibit 13 [1] 64/24 | final [1] 47/11 | friends [1] 23/13 | 57/2 |
| $19 / 25$ | Exhibit 16 [2] 15/3 | finalize [2] 49/ | front [8] 10/25 11/2 | heard [3] 15/22 |
|  | 15/17 | 49/20 | 12/10 15/13 28/11 | 16/14 16/15 |
|  | Exhibit 17 [2] 2 | find [7] 32/11 33 | 39/25 52/21 54/22 | hearing [28] 22 |
|  | 25/12 | 34/2 42/20 43/19 | furnished [2] 30/20 | 28/25 31/14 31/17 |
|  | Exhibit 18 [1] 51/20 | 58/21 59/17 | 30/24 | 31/22 35/10 35/11 |
| nough [5] 35/16 | Exhibit 2 [4] 28/12 | findings [7] 3 | further [1] 15/9 | 36/10 37/8 37/12 |
|  | 28/14 28/20 30/1 | 47/25 48/4 48/19 | future [1] 64/9 | 37/17 37/19 38/22 |
| 39/ | Ex | 49/2 49/5 49/9 |  | 39/11 41/14 41/14 |
| entail [1] 26/20 |  |  |  | 41/25 42 |
| entered [4] 9/12 | 52/21 61/16 | finish [1] 11/ |  | 5/17 45/22 45/2 |
| 9/13 9/14 10/4 | 63/6 | First District [17] | Gary [1] 32/16 | 46/20 46/24 47/1 |
| entire [1] 10/ | Exhibit 5 [5] 31/ | 30/14 30/15 30/16 |  | 7/5 47/13 49/6 |
| entirety [1] 29/19 | 32/3 39/25 40/3 |  |  | 30/16 |
| entitled [1] 45/1 |  | 34/1 36/15 36/23 |  | 37/4 51/15 |
| Equipment [6] 7/21 | Exhibit 8 [1] 50 | 36/25 37/3 37/8 | getting [3] 13/ | helped [4] 46/2 |
| 7/25 8/4 8/8 8/17 9/9 | Exhibit E [1] 40/10 | 48/10 49/4 49/5 49/6 | 14/20 56/2 | 49/5 49/8 |
|  | exist [1] 58/ |  | given [2] 35/3 35 | hey [1] 60/9 |
| estate [1] 45/16 | existed [1] 43/16 | five [6] 21/19 32/11 | Glen [1] 5/21 | i [1] 32/9 |
| Estelline [3] 53/9 | existence [2] 16/18 | 32/12 41/8 53/25 | Glen's [1] 6/1 | himself [7] 13 |
|  |  | 61 | goal [1] 50/2 | 3/23 13/25 14/12 |
| estimate [2] | exit [1] | five-min | gone [2] 28/1 | 16/6 54/4 54/8 |
|  | expect [1] 29 |  | 33 | hit [1] 39/14 |
|  | explain [1] 55/20 | flight [3] 56/2 56/ | good [5] 23/12 37/5 | mms [1] 12/4 |
|  | expressed [1] 45/11 | 58/7 | 38/7 38/23 56/4 | Homan [7] 53/6 |
|  | extent [3] 9/13 37/1 | flip [3] 14/18 44/1 | gotten [1] 21/5 | 54/18 59/1 62/17 |
|  | 61/5 |  | grant [4] 62/12 | 63/9 63/15 64/19 |
| every [4] 29/21 30/8 |  | Fl | 15 62/17 64/8 | Homan's [9] 52/ |
|  |  | 13/1 13/2 13/3 13/4 | ound [1] 11/ | 54/3 55/7 56/15 |
|  | fact [9] 22/21 32/14 | 13/7 13/20 15/18 | unary [2] 6/9 6/11 | 2/12 62/15 63/10 |
| $35 / 1335 / 15$ | 47/25 48/4 48/19 | 15/21 16/7 16/12 | guy [1] 53/10 | 63/22 64/11 |
|  | 49/6 49/9 64/20 | 6/16 16/17 18/3 | guys [4] 20/16 | home [3] 29/10 |
|  | 64/21 | 23/5 23/17 25/23 | 48/21 58/10 58/18 | 9/11 34/21 |
| exce | fair [4] 36/5 36/6 |  |  | oping [1] 26/17 |
| ception [9] 15/19 |  |  |  | ail.com [ |
| 26/14 27/19 28/8 | familiar [3] 24/9 | , 3 13/4 13/7 13/20 | half [4] 20/24 46/2 | 40/5 40/6 |
| 52/25 54/18 55/7 | 24 62/5 | 16/1 |  | our [3] 46/2 61/ |
| 55/13 56/15 | far [4] 23/9 | 6/17 23/17 |  | 4/5 |
| exceptions [1] |  | 25/25 26/7 |  | [1] $12 / 4$ |
| 57/11 <br> exchanged [1] 22/6 excuse [1] 13/25 | farming [1] 8/10 farms [2] 23/15 | Flying Crow [1] $12 / 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 / 851 / 1957 / 363 / 5 \\ & \text { handled [1] } 64 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { huh-uhs [1] } 12 / 4 \\ & \text { Hunt [3] 54/12 } \\ & 54 / 1454 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |


| H | J | Knight [5] 30/25 | limit [6] 38/2 38/19 | matter [1] 14/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| husband [2] 6/9 | James [2] 7/4 7/5 | $\begin{aligned} & 34 / 435 / 641 / 154 / 4 \\ & \text { knowledge [6] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 / 2338 / 2539 / 11 \\ & 62 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | Mavis [1] 5/23 may [4] 16/18 25/8 |
| 24/24 | January [5] 37/12 | $16 / 25 \quad 17 / 517 / 8$ | limited [1] 37/24 | 39/12 64/9 |
| I | 49/6 | 17/11 46/12 60/25 | line [7] 17/12 17/13 | maybe [7] 13/ |
| I thought [1] 5/11 | January 19 [1] 44/1 | Kristen [1] 7/11 | 17/22 18/6 18/13 | 17/23 22/20 30/12 |
| I'd [3] 9/11 43/12 | January 22 [3] | Kristen Brandt [1] | 25/17 27/12 | 41/8 53/9 61/1 |
| 54/2 | 37/12 47/22 49/6 | 7/ | list [5] 30/4 | mechan |
| idea [11] 37/6 38/7 | Jason [3] 6/22 6/24 | L | Listen [1] 60/21 | meeting [36] 15/6 |
| $38 / 1038 / 1652 / 12$ $53 / 1159 / 459 / 11$ | 7/1 | Lake [2] 12/25 26/2 | lists [1] 32/12 | $15 / 22 \quad 16 / 6 \quad 16 / 9$ |
| 59/21 60/1 62/24 | Jerome DeBoer [1] | Lake Cochrane [2] | litigation [2] 28/13 | 19/14 30/22 31/1 |
| identifies [1] 52/3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jero } \\ 7 / 4 \end{gathered}$ | 12/25 26/2 | 33/10 | 34/5 35/20 35/20 |
| in-person [2] 18/8 | Jodi [19] 32/6 | land [3] 45/12 45/16 | live [1] 54/14 | 36/3 36/4 37/17 |
| 22/18 | 33/7 36/24 37/2 37/5 | 54/17 | lives [2] 6/17 23/11 | 38/17 38/24 39/1 |
| indicate [1] 65/14 | 39/2 42/5 42/8 42/9 | landing [2] 63/19 | living [1] 23/14 | 41/3 48/7 48/8 48/9 |
| individual [1] 54/11 | 42/23 43/4 43/8 | 64/16 | LLC [1] 15/19 | 48/11 48/13 48/18 |
| individual's [1] | 43/17 43/25 44/12 | landowner [1] 64/9 | located [1] 17/14 | 48/21 48/23 55/3 |
| 21/21 | 44/19 46/4 46/13 | landowners [4] | location [1] 20/4 | 55/3 55/6 58/22 |
| information [5] | Jodi's [1] $40 / 4$ | 23/17 30/4 30/5 | long [5] 8/3 10/7 | 58/25 59/23 63/16 |
| 55/14 55/21 56/2 | Joe [1] 43/25 | aptop [1] 57/16 | 36/15 36/17 38/24 | 63/23 64/15 64/16 |
| 56/3 56/4 | Joe Blastick [1] | ger [1] 25/15 | looks [9] 15/21 | 64/19 |
| infrasound [1] | 43/25 | last [19] 5/25 6/ | 24/16 28/6 40/3 | meetings [1] 56/16 |
| 44/19 | Joel [3] 7/13 7/14 | 6/23 12/14 12/16 | 51/24 52/14 52/15 | member [13] 10/19 |
| input [1] 39/4 | 7/15 | 12/19 15/25 16/15 | 53/2 55/11 | 12/20 14/3 14/1 |
| instances [2] 12/8 | Joel Brandt [1] 7/13 | 21/23 34/10 34/11 | lot [2] 8/7 11/25 | 37/22 38/12 46/10 |
| 14/11 | Joel's [1] 7/17 | 34/13 35/25 36/8 | low [1] 44/18 | 51/6 59/10 59/12 |
| instruct [1] 61/4 | John [9] 5/16 30/25 | 47/1 47/5 47/8 49/12 | Luke [1] 49/10 | 59/25 60/8 61/2 |
| instrument [1] | 32/16 34/4 35/6 |  | M | [25] |
| 24/22 | 52/24 54/4 63/9 | later [1] 27/2 |  | 36/ |
| interest [2] 11/8 | 63/10 | leading [1] 25 |  |  |
| 38/9 | John Homan [1] | learn [1] 43/15 | 32/9 32/17 32/18 |  |
| interested [1] 41/23 | 63/9 | lease [1] 16/2 |  | 43/21 46/5 46/18 |
| interpret [1] 30/17 | John Homan's [1] | Lee [1] 5/7 | $32 / 2533 / 533 / 5$ | $51 / 355 / 2356 / 156$ |
| Interrogatory [1] $50 / 19$ | 63/10 | $\text { left [2] } 19 / 25 \quad 52 / 11$ | 33/12 40/3 40/4 40/6 | $56 / 2358 / 660 / 4$ |
| Interruption [1] |  | length [1] 35/20 | 40/14 40/16 40/19 | 60/22 |
| 62/14 |  | less [2] 21/4 21/9 | 40/22 40/24 43/25 | Memorandum [2] |
| into [8] 9/12 9/13 | June [3] 62 | let [5] 15/15 18/23 | 44/2 44/2 44/3 44/5 | 25/11 30/4 |
| 9/14 10/4 15/14 | 64/18 | 25/6 45/9 53/6 | 44/8 44/16 64/24 | memorializes [1] |
| 26/11 26/11 28/21 | June 9 [1] 62/2 | let's [11] 27/17 34/1 | mailed [1] 43/11 | 16/1 |
| Invenergy [23] | Justin [1] 7/11 | 40/12 44/25 45/4 | mails [12] 22/6 33 | memories [2] 11/18 |
| 14/17 23/25 24/1 | K |  |  | 12/19 |
| 24/4 27/17 27/22 | K | letter [25] 28/15 | $43 / 143 / 143 / 9$ | memory $34 / 19$ |
| 27/25 28/7 30/19 | KANENGIETER [8] | $32 / 1444 / 1044 / 11$ | main [1] 20/3 | mention [1] 25/3 |
| 33/13 34/14 35/10 | 5/2 5/7 5/9 5/16 6/16 | $44 / 1444 / 1744 / 20$ | make [2] 15/15 50/3 | merit [1] 37/15 |
| $36 / 336 / 1037 / 137 / 4$ $37 / 837 / 2037 / 23$ | 24/24 24/24 63/19 | $45 / 2245 / 2453 / 22$ | makes [1] 49/17 | mess [1] 5/11 |
| $37 / 837 / 2037 / 23$ $45 / 1047 / 1362 / 13$ | Kanengieter's [1] | 58/23 59/2 59/4 59/9 | $\text { many [4] } 11 / 16$ | $\text { met [6] } 7 / 87 / 14$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45 / 1047 / 1362 / 13 \\ & 67 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | $51 / 6$ Kann | 59/16 59/18 59/20 | 22/18 31/2 46/15 | met [6] $7 / 15$ $13 / 8$ 13/8/17 |
| Invenergy's [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ka } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 60/2 60/6 60/9 62/2 | March [2] 53/3 | might [4] 5/11 41/8 |
| 28/15 | Kays [2] 32/3 | 62/8 62/21 62/24 | 54/19 | 41/15 61/21 |
| involved [7] 13/9 | keep [1] 33/21 | 63/8 | March 15 [1] 53/3 | Mike [5] 12/24 13/7 |
| 13/16 23/5 36/25 | Kenneth [1] 5/22 | letterhead [1] | marked [12] 14/15 | 13/13 13/14 16/1 |
| 48/3 48/18 50/10 | Kevin [2] 7/7 7/8 | 14/17 | 14/24 15/2 24/6 24/8 | Mike Dahl [5] 12/24 |
| involvement [2] | Kevin's [1] $7 / 5$ | letters [11] 14/16 | 31/19 41/10 51/17 | 13/7 13/13 13/14 |
| 50/25 51/4 | Killing [1] $45 / 1$ | 14/19 14/21 41/22 | 51/19 55/1 57/3 | 16/1 |
| involving [1] 47/1 | Kimberly [1] 8/25 | 42/4 42/7 42/11 | 64/23 | mile [1] 20/24 |
| issue [5] 11/2 11/13 | kind [5] 11/4 28/4 | 42/14 42/16 42/22 | married [6] 5/24 6/1 | mind [1] 5/8 |
| 12/10 12/11 14/13 | 32/13 35/21 37/20 | 42/23 | 6/15 6/17 8/25 9/2 | mine [1] 59/7 |


| M | 47/21 | number [2] 50/ | 0/3 | 15/18 16/1 24/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota [2] | N |  | 56/24 57/5 57/19 | $25 / 255 / 455 / 655 / 11$ |
| 26/11 26/12 minute [6] 38/19 | name [18] 5/6 5/12 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { numbe } \\ 50 / 22 \end{array}$ | 8/11 58/19 59/17 |  |
| minute [6] 38/19 | 6/2 6/21 6/23 9/17 |  | otherwise [1] 17/2 | paragraph 10 [2] |
| 38/25 39/11 44/12 | 9/18 11/15 21/21 | 0 | our [1] 30/16 | 55/4 55/11 |
| 55/16 61/22 | 21/23 25/3 30/13 | Objection [2] 60/12 | ours [1] 23/13 | paragraph 7 [1] |
|  | 49/10 49/12 52/3 | 61/13 | outside [4] 17/8 | 15/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | 52/4 52/10 54/11 | October [9] 15/5 | 37/17 48/18 58/8 | Pardon [1] 40/8 |
| $48 / 1548 / 1555 / 3$ | names [6] 5/14 5/19 | 15/22 16/9 24/17 | own [3] 8/8 46/10 | parents [1] 5/15 |
| 55/6 59/15 | 5/19 6/7 6/7 30/11 necessary [1] 60/6 | 24/19 25/3 25/8 | $60 / 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { part }[3][21 / 1138 / 3 \\ & 55 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| misunderstood [1] | need [4] 43/7 59/19 |  |  |  |
| 57/23 | $60 / 1665 / 14$ | $47 / 11$ | P | artnership [1] 8/9 |
| mm-hmms [1] $12 / 4$ | needed [2] 55/20 | October 17 [3] 15/5 | P.M [1] 65/24 | pass [1] 32/16 |
| Monday [1] 41/3 | negotiating [1] | October 5 [2] 24/19 | $31 / 2$ | 56/9 |
| money [5] 20/20 | $20 / 16$ | $25 / 10$ | page [45] 15/8 15/9 | patterns [1] 58/7 |
| 21/4 21/5 21/9 21/11 | negotiations [1] | odd [2] 27/3 27/7 | 15/18 15/25 15/25 | Paul [8] 12/17 17/25 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { mont } \\ 21 / 19 \end{gathered}$ | 20/21 | office [2] 29/3 29/6 | 24/11 25/10 28/14 | 18/1 18/4 20/7 21/22 |
| more [14] 11/25 | neighbor [3] 11/5 | officer [4] 28/8 33/3 | 28/16 29/25 31/12 | 21/23 22/1 |
| 20/18 20/18 20/20 | 11/11 11/15 | 42/6 53/3 | 31/20 32/2 32/3 34/4 | Paul Brandt [1] |
| 50/7 55/13 55/20 | ghboring [1] | often [1] 5/25 | 40/1 40/3 40/8 40/22 | 12/17 |
| 55/23 56/1 56/3 56/4 |  | Oh [5] 12/1 22/2 | 43/24 44/9 44/14 | Paul Tol [2] 17/25 |
| 56/8 59/23 64/21 | 64/22 | 23/ | 44/18 44/25 45/4 45/9 45/19 50/20 | 18/1 |
| most [3] 29/23 42/9 | new [1] 50/5 | 50/7 | 50/22 51/2 51/5 | pay [2] 19/1 20/14 |
| 43/22 | next [6] 5/22 25/2 | once [2] 39/14 | 51/15 52/22 55/10 | payment [1] 13/10 |
| mostly [1] 37/2 <br> Mr [1] 41/1 | 44/9 44/18 58/11 | 42/18 | 57/7 57/10 61/16 | people [12] 12/3 |
| Mr [1] 41/1 <br> Mr. [27] 5/9 22 | 64/4 | one [40] 5/22 5/23 | 62/1 62/10 63/2 63/5 | 14/17 23/21 23/25 |
| $22 / 10 \text { 22/14 22/16 }$ | night [16] 12/15 | 8/18 9/14 10/23 | 63/10 63/13 63/13 | 24/4 39/18 39/20 |
| $22 / 1923 / 523 / 8$ | 12/16 12/19 30/25 | 11/14 12/14 12/25 | 64/14 | 41/12 41/22 45/10 |
| $23 / 1123 / 1442 /$ | 34/10 34/12 34/13 | 13/11 15/13 19/21 | page 1 [1] 63/13 | 45/13 47/4 |
| $44 / 1153 / 654 / 3$ | 36/1 36/8 45/17 47/5 | 19/22 19/25 20/23 | page 12 [1] 44/25 | per [1] 19/23 |
| 54/18 55/7 56/15 | 47/8 47/14 47/17 | 25/7 25/8 26/22 | page 13 [2] 50/20 | perceive [1] 65/12 |
| 59/1 61/19 62/12 | 47/20 47/22 | 28/12 30/9 34/7 34/9 | 50/22 | permit [23] 11/4 |
| 62/15 62/17 63/15 | night's [1] 4 | 34/15 34/18 40/25 | page 18 [1] | 11/13 15/19 15/21 |
| 63/19 63/22 64/11 | No. [3] 50/20 51/6 | 44/10 44/13 44/18 | page 19 [1] 51/15 | 17/3 26/9 26/14 |
| 64/19 | 51/25 | 45/7 50/14 53/9 54/9 | page 2 [2] 43/24 | 6/25 27/5 27/15 |
| Mr. Almond [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } 28 \text { [1] } 5 \\ & \text { No. } 29 \text { [1] } 5 \end{aligned}$ | 4/9 57/11 59/12 | 64/14 | 7/23 52/25 53/7 |
| 61/19 | $\text { No. } 7 \text { [1] } 51$ | 60/15 60/17 65/14 | page 27 [1] 31 |  |
| Mr. Blastick [1] 44/11 | Nods [7] 7/6 19/17 | online [1] 15/11 | page 305 [1] 45/19 | 56/15 58/1 63/17 |
| Mr. Dowel [9] | 23/12 34/9 38/15 | only [3] 25/22 57/14 | page 310 [1] 52/22 | 64/8 |
| Mr. Dowel [9] 22/10 22/14 22/ | 43/5 53/18 | 64/6 | page 331 [2] 61/16 | permits [2] 27/19 |
| 22/19 23/5 23/8 | noise [1] 4 | open | /1 | 28/8 |
| 23/11 23/14 | none [1] | opinion [3] 14/ | ge 335 | erson [10] 18/8 |
| Mr. Homan [6] 53/6 | nope [4] 10/ |  | page 346 | /14 22/15 22/18 |
| 54/18 59/1 62/17 | 39/9 52/19 | opportunity [1] 46/22 | page 39 [1] 34/4 | $42 / 1043 / 843 / 20$ |
| 63/15 64/19 | $28 / 2130 / 2132$ | opposed [3] 12 | $15 / 25$ |  |
| Mr. Homan's [7] | Northeast [1] 17/18 | $39 / 2145 / 10$ | page 42 [1] 57/10 | Peter Rood [2] 25/3 |
| 54/3 55/7 56/15 $62 / 1262 / 1563 /$ | Northeast Quarter | opposition [1] | page 5 [1] 44/14 | 25/4 |
| $64 / 11$ | [1] 17/18 | 39/11 | page 79 [1] 40/1 | Peterson [1] 42/20 |
| Mr. Kanengieter [2] | Northwest [1] | Option [1] 25/11 | pages [3] 31/2 31/7 | petition [9] 49/21 |
| 5/9 63/19 | 17/15 | ordinance [9] 30/17 | 46/15 | 50/1 50/11 50/13 |
| Mr. Peterson [1] | 2] 12/3 12/4 | 退2 50/5 50/6 50/7 | [1] 21/7 | 51/1 51/4 51/7 51/20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42 / 20 \\ & \text { Muller [1] } 49 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | nothing [1] 57/22 <br> notion [1] 58/23 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 51 / 2356 / 2157 / 1^{〔} \\ 57 / 22 \\ \text { ordinances [12] } \end{array}$ | papers [1] 19/13 <br> paragraph [11] | 51/22 <br> petitions [2] 52/7 <br> 52/18 |





