BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY PREVAILING WIND PARK, LLC FOR A PERMIT OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN BON HOMME COUNTY, CHARLES MIX COUNTY AND HUTCHINSON COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA, FOR THE PREVAILING WIND

STAFF'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO INTERVENORS

EL18-026

Below, please find Staff's First Set of Data Requests to Intervenors. Please submit responses by August 22, 2018, at 5:00 pm, or promptly contact Staff to discuss an alternative arrangement.

- 1-1) Provide copies to Staff of all data requests served on Applicant at the time of service. None served at this time.
- 1-2) Provide copies to Staff of all of your answers to data requests from Applicant at the time they are served on Applicant.
 None received at this time.
- 1-3) Refer to SDCL 49-41B-22. Please specify particular aspect/s of the applicant's burden that the individuals granted party status intend to personally testify on.

1) Inaccuracies, errors, and omissions in the applicant's application and supplemental information may cause injury to the environment leading to the economic detriment of some inhabitants and businesses within and near the project as well distressing other activities.

2) The applicant fails to substantially prove that placement of turbines twice as powerful as existing turbines and at distances even closer than existing turbines will not substantially affect the health, safety or welfare of either participating or non-participating inhabitants. Unless health, safety, and welfare have been quantitatively measured prior to construction the amount of substantial impairment can not be measured after. Personal health and well-being will be particularly emphasized.

3) There will likely be no future "orderly development" at all in the footprint of the facility if constructed as proposed.

1-4) Refer to SDCL 49-41B-25. Identify any "terms, conditions, or modifications of the construction, operation, or maintenance" that the Intervenors would recommend the Commission order. Please provide support and explanation for any recommendations.



-

de.

The "terms, conditions or modifications" that would ameliorate nuisance, health, and negative financial concerns raised by the facility would be to simply deny the permit. Should the permit be approved, full frequency spectrum analysis should be performed, not just modeling of projected dB(A) levels. The complete sound output needs to be accounted for not just the audible portion as with the dB(A) weighted scale. Dr. Alec Salt and colleagues, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis have explained the 1-4) Refer to SDCL 49-41B-25. Identify any "terms, conditions, or modifications of the construction, operation, or maintenance" that the Intervenors would recommend the Commission order. Please provide support and explanation for any recommendations.

The "terms, conditions or modifications" that would ameliorate nuisance, health, and negative financial concerns raised by the facility would be to simply deny the permit.

Should the permit be approved, full frequency spectrum analysis should be performed, not just modeling of projected dB(A) levels. The complete sound output needs to be accounted for not just the audible portion as with the dB(A) weighted scale. Dr. Alec Salt and colleagues, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis have explained the effects of extremely low frequency sound on the inner ear leading to the distress of sleep disruption, sleep deprivation and subsequent adverse health effects. Larger and more powerful turbines produce an even larger proportion of low frequency noise than earlier smaller models. This needs to be accounted for by someone.

If appropriate sound power level studies are not implemented and standards set and enforced, an alternate condition for safety, health, and welfare would be setbacks of 2 miles from non-participating residences, businesses, churches, cemeteries, and schools with waivers for those so inclined and 1500 foot setbacks from property and right of way lines. All setback measurements need to be made to the tip of the blade when horizontal not to the center of the tower.

Aircraft Detection Lighting Systems should be installed. The air ambulances from the Sioux Falls hospitals make multiple trips to the Wagner hospitals every week at all hours of the day and night through the proposed facility area.

Shadow flicker should be eliminated at non-participating residences and business and should be reduced to 8 hours annually actual following the German model at participating residences so as not to imprison people their homes behind shuttered windows unable to use their own property.

Decommissioning monies should be made available in whole upfront and reevaluated every 2 years to account for inflation and other increasing costs. Decommissioning should include complete removal of all installed components not just visible portions.

- 1-5) Is there a specific objection (example health, blinking lights, sound) you have with respect to the Project? Please briefly explain.
 - a. What, if anything, do you feel could be done to remedy that issue? Concerning sight, sound, health, and safety issues:

If constructed as proposed our horizon will be in constant motion when the wind blows except for about 60 degrees to the north. As someone susceptible to motion sickness and having suffered vertigo episodes within the last few months, this may well be an unbearable situation. Infrasound and low frequency noise from existing turbines may contribute to these issues as per Navy nauseogenic studies but I can not imagine that having larger turbines on all sides could possibly help. Existing turbine noise is routinely audible at our residence at 1.25 miles distant. Note that applicant's sound study indicates that in 2 of 3 measurements at measuring points 1 and 2 there is audible sound from existing turbines at distances of approximately 2 miles. Again, being completely surrounded by larger turbines will not help the situation.

Both audible sound and inaudible low frequency noise are known to contribute to sleep disruption and sleep deprivation. The distress of sleep deprivation over time is known to cause physiological disruptions of several body systems. We already experience sleep problems. Being surrounded by more and larger turbines can not possibly help.

Possible remedies for these issues could include but are not limited to:

1) Not approving the permit.

2) Requiring 2 mile setbacks from habitable residences, businesses, churches, cemeteries, etc. with waivers if desired by participating landowners so as to protect by distance from sound, inaudible noise, and sight disruption. All property and right of way line setbacks should be at least 1500 feet for safety from blade fragmentation and ice throw. For risk assessment it should be presumed that a person is always present at the property or right of way line.

3) Requiring 2 kilometer setbacks (as many European countries and Australian states have previously required 1000 meters for much smaller turbines as per summary by K. M. B. Haugen, Minnesota Department of Commerce) but from non-participating landowners property lines, along with noise limits of 25-40 dB(A) (again foreign country guidelines adjusted for turbine size per Haugen summary) at non-participating landowner property lines with lower values for measured quiet areas or 5 dB(A) above measured preconstruction background noise levels Leq with 5-15 dB penalties for tonality, impulsiveness, and modulation (Haugen summary) at the property line of non-participants so as not to imprison people in their homes unable to use or enjoy their entire property.

4) Further remedies to reduce audible sound and low frequency noise could include shutting down the entire facility from 7:00 pm to 7:00 am so that all inhabitants could sleep peacefully, shutting down all turbines within 2 miles of non-participating residences or 2 kilometers of non-participating owner property lines from 7:00 pm to 7:00 am, using Noise Reducing Operations (NRO) on all turbines from 7:00 pm to 7:00 am, or using NRO on turbines within 2 miles of non-participating residences or 2 kilometers of non-participating 2 miles of non-participating residences or 2 kilometers of non-participating landowners property lines 24 hours a day.

Setbacks from property lines are stressed because our practice of animal husbandry requires working afoot on the majority of our property on a daily basis. Measurements to the residence are useless except for sleeping hours. No one should be denied the use of the entirety of their property.

1-6) Please list with specificity the witnesses the Intervenors intend to call. Please include name, address, phone number, credentials and area of expertise.

Potential witness other than self are unkown at this time.

1-7) Do the you intend to take depositions? If so, of whom?

Unknown at this time but doubtful.

21 August 2018 Sherman Fuerniss 40263 293rd Street Delmont, So. Dak. 57330 605-779-5041 sol@midstatesd.net

Dated this 8th day of August 2018.

Salwards)

Amanda M. Reiss Kristen Edwards Staff Attorneys South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501