

E1 18-006

Prevailing Winds

Dear Commissioners,

Lets skip the websites and peer reviewed reports, Lets talk Common sense.

Cities and Counties across South Dakota have ordinances to regulate the storage of unused vehicles. This is because they maybe an eyesore and will impact the property values of adjacent properties.

As the blades are replaced will the unrecyclable blade end up sitting on the edge of the fence line, like in other communities? Does anyone even know if the taxpayer funded landfill can take all the blades?

Is it not common sense that a neighbor's yard ornament, a 396 foot metal tower, with 448 feet flapping, ice throwing wings, producing the noise of a jet engine, sometimes louder because of air moisture, blade imbalance or bad bearings, putting shake like flicker on the adjacent property, possibly leaking 335 gallons of fossil fuel. What about exposing the neighbors to sleep deprivation and vibro acoustic disease? You would have to agree it is possible that would have an impact on the property value with a home 1,000 feet away.

As more industrial wind projects come online there will be more abandon and no sale homes. Wouldn't it make sense that a person would prefer to buy a property that does not have an unauthorized encumbrance on the property? ~~Does it not make sense~~
 Common sense is that home values go up in countries with industrial turbines is because people would pay anything not to live under a health destroying power plant?

How many people will it take to abandon their homes before South Dakota realizes industrial wind turbines are not good for the fabric of our rural communities?

How many homes will be abandoned next to industrial wind turbines before the FHA changes critical guidelines and not insure mortgages within a 1/4 mile of turbines?

Who will be the first real estate appraiser in South Dakota who will comment, at his discretion that the industrial wind turbines are too close? This signals the home will fall in the FHA critical guidelines and is no longer eligible for a FHA mortgage?

What is the PUC's threshold that an INT will not pose a threat of serious injury to the environment nor the social or economic condition of the inhabitants or expected

inhabitants in the siting area. Is it one, two, a percentage?

When will the PUC use the power to deny, and stop sentencing people to live against their will, in close proximity to IWT, threatening their health, property values and quiet enjoyment of their property?

Please deny the Prevail Winds PUC permit

Kristi Mogen
Twin Brooks SD