

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY)	
)	
Proposed general increase in electric rates.)	DOCKET NO. EL14-___
)	
)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
RICK R. TUNNING

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Rick R. Tunning. My business address is 666 Grand Avenue,
3 Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

4 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what position?**

5 A. I am employed by MidAmerican Energy Company (“MidAmerican” or
6 “Company”) as Manager – Corporate Accounting.

7 **Q. Please describe the responsibilities of your current position.**

8 A. As Manager of Corporate Accounting, I am responsible for the preparation of
9 shareholder, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, and state/federal
10 regulatory financial reports and financial planning and budgeting for
11 MidAmerican and MidAmerican Funding, LLC.

12 **Q. Please describe your education and business experience.**

13 A. I graduated from Northwest Missouri State University in 1980 with a Bachelor
14 of Science degree in accounting. I received a Master of Business
15 Administration degree from the University of South Dakota in 1989. I worked
16 in the audit division of Arthur Andersen & Co. until 1982. At that time I started
17 working for Iowa Power Inc., a MidAmerican predecessor company, as an
18 internal auditor. I have worked in a number of audit and accounting
19 management capacities since that time. I was appointed to my present position
20 in 1995. I am a member of the Edison Electric Institute Accounting Standards
21 Committee.

22 **Q. Have you testified previously before the South Dakota Public Utilities**
23 **Commission?**

24 A. Yes. I have testified on behalf of MidAmerican in other gas proceedings before
25 the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) and in a number
26 of similar electric and gas proceedings in Iowa and Illinois.

Purpose of Testimony

27 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

28 A. The purpose of my prepared direct testimony is to support MidAmerican's
29 South Dakota jurisdictional electric rate filing in the following areas:

- 30 • South Dakota jurisdictional electric revenue requirement
- 31 • South Dakota jurisdictional electric test year operating income
- 32 • Capital structure and weighted average cost of capital

33 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?**

34 A. Yes, I am sponsoring MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 comprised of numerous
35 schedules that are discussed in more detail below.

Revenue Requirement

36 **Q. Please describe MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 1.**

37 A. MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 1 summarizes the South Dakota
38 jurisdictional electric revenue requirement. It reflects a revenue deficiency of
39 \$1,602,000. The adjusted test year rate base amount shown on line 1 is
40 discussed by MidAmerican witness Mary Jo Anderson. The cost of capital
41 amount shown on line 2 is summarized on MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1
42 Schedule 25 and is discussed later in my testimony. The adjusted test year
43 operating income amount shown on line 4 is summarized on MidAmerican
44 Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 2 and is discussed in more detail below.

45 **Q. Have MidAmerican's 2013 books and records been audited by independent**
46 **auditors?**

47 A. Yes, Deloitte and Touche annually audits MidAmerican's financial statements,
48 including those for 2013.

Operating Income

49 **Q. Please describe MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 2.**

50 A. MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 2 summarizes the test period and pro
51 forma South Dakota jurisdictional electric operating income. Column (b) of this
52 schedule presents actual South Dakota jurisdictional electric amounts, as
53 reflected in MidAmerican's books and records, for the year ended December
54 31, 2013. Column (c) presents adjustments to test year actual amounts as
55 summarized on MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 3. Column (d)
56 presents adjusted test year operating income. Column (e) presents the revenue
57 adjustment supported by this filing.

58 **Q. Does MidAmerican offer unregulated gas and electricity for sale to**
59 **unregulated customers within and outside of its South Dakota service**
60 **territory?**

61 A. Yes. During 2013 a division of MidAmerican Energy Company made
62 competitive retail gas sales both within and outside of South Dakota and
63 competitive retail electric sales in states other than South Dakota.

64 **Q. Do any amounts presented on RRT Schedule 2 pertain to such unregulated**
65 **activities?**

66 A. No. All amounts on RRT Schedule 2 pertain to MidAmerican's South Dakota
67 regulated electric operations and no amounts are attributable to its nonregulated
68 operations. MidAmerican's accounting system segregates revenues and costs of
69 its regulated operations from those of its nonregulated operations. Controls over
70 this accounting separation are reviewed annually by MidAmerican's internal
71 audit department.

Pro Forma Adjustments

72 **Q. Please describe MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 3.**

73 A. MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 3 summarizes 18 adjustments to test
74 period South Dakota jurisdictional electric operating income. Individual
75 adjustments, with references to supporting workpapers, are presented in RRT
76 Schedules 4 through 21.

77 **Q. Please describe the interest synchronization adjustment on MidAmerican**
78 **Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 4.**

79 A. This adjustment decreases income tax expense and is required in order to
80 match, or "synchronize", the long-term interest deduction for purposes of the
81 current income tax calculation with the interest expense included in the revenue
82 requirement. MidAmerican records in its books estimates for the interest
83 synchronization adjustment throughout the year and this pro forma adjustment
84 merely updates those estimates for the rate base and cost of debt values
85 supported in this case.

86 **Q. Please discuss the property tax expense adjustment summarized on**
87 **RRT Schedule 5.**

88 **A.** Under Iowa law, wind facilities are specifically assessed based on original cost
89 and such assessments are 100% abated in the first year of operation. After the
90 first year of operation, the taxable portion of the turbines increases 5% annually
91 until reaching the maximum 30% of taxability in year seven. This adjustment
92 recognizes the scheduled increment of taxability for turbines that were in
93 service prior to 2013. This adjustment thus increases property tax expense for
94 the scheduled increased assessments for MidAmerican's wind projects.

95 **Q. Please describe the payroll adjustment on MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1**
96 **Schedule 6.**

97 **A.** This adjustment increases test year labor expense for known and measurable
98 pay increases. The adjustment includes the annualization of pay increases that
99 were effective during 2013 for employees represented by two union locals and
100 the annualized 2014 pay increases for all employees, including the mid-2014
101 increases for those two locals and the January 1, 2014 increases for salaried
102 employees. The adjustment also includes increases for benefit costs that are
103 directly impacted by base pay increases, including payroll taxes and Company
104 401(k) match. Finally, this adjustment removes the effect of pay increases
105 associated with labor charged to production maintenance and distribution
106 maintenance to avoid duplication with normalization adjustments for these
107 costs summarized on RRT Schedules 14 and 15 and discussed in more detail
108 below.

109 **Q. Please describe the retirement plan cost adjustment on MidAmerican**
110 **Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 7.**

111 A. This adjustment increases cost of service for changes in expense for post-
112 employment benefits. The adjustment is based on the difference between a
113 2012-2014 three-year average of such expenses and amounts included in the
114 test year for these plans. Amounts recorded for these plan expenses result from
115 actuarial calculations pursuant to the requirements of Accounting Standards
116 Codification Topic (ASC) 715 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting
117 Standard (SFAS) Nos. 87 and 106).

118 **Q. Please explain why the adjustment is based on a three-year average of plan**
119 **costs.**

120 A. Expense amounts for these plans are subject to significant volatility, due in part
121 to changes from year to year in the actuarial assumptions required by the ASC
122 715 calculations performed by the actuaries, and in part to changes in the value
123 of plan assets. The interest rate movements normally experienced in the
124 markets and the significant drop in investment values during 2008 and
125 subsequent recovery are evidence of the volatility that impacts the ASC 715
126 calculations. Lower interest rates generally have the effect of increasing plan
127 expense and higher interest rates generally have the effect of decreasing plan
128 expense. Similarly, lower plan asset values increase plan costs. Use of a three-
129 year average recognizes this volatility and adjusts benefit plan costs to a more
130 reasonable level.

131 **Q. Please describe the adjustment for depreciation on rate base pro forma**
132 **adjustments on MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 8.**

133 A. This adjustment increases depreciation expense for the depreciation associated
134 with the rate base adjustments net of lower depreciation expense associated
135 with 2013 and 2014 depreciation rate adjustments and scheduled retirement of
136 certain coal generation facilities. This adjustment is supported by MidAmerican
137 witness Anderson.

138 **Q. Please discuss the Wind VIII adjustment summarized on RRT Schedule 9.**

139 A. This adjustment annualizes estimated amounts for revenue, operations and
140 maintenance expense, property tax expense and production tax credits
141 associated with wind turbines placed in service during 2013-2015 for the
142 Vienna II, Wellsburg, Macksburg, Lundgren and Highland projects, which are
143 expected to favorably impact jurisdictional operating income. MidAmerican
144 witness Anderson addresses comparable adjustments to update rate base and
145 depreciation expense for these additions.

146 **Q. At what price was revenue from the annualized production of these**
147 **projects calculated?**

148 A. Since the generation from these wind turbines will have a zero incremental cost,
149 it will be assigned to retail customers. However, it will initially enable greater
150 wholesale sales at market prices. Those sales have been priced using the
151 average annual 2013 price received from the Midcontinent Independent System
152 Operator, Inc. (“MISO”) for wind generation.

153 **Q. What do you mean by the term “annualized”?**

154 A. That term means the expected amount (production, expense, etc.) for each
155 project in the first full calendar year of service. With respect to property taxes,

156 the expense is the first year in which property taxes are owed on the project, or
157 in the second calendar year of service.

158 **Q. Please discuss the test year sales growth adjustment summarized on RRT**
159 **Schedule 10.**

160 A. This adjustment estimates increased revenue that would result from sales
161 reflective of period-ending residential and commercial customer counts
162 compared to average customer counts for those classes, less displaced
163 wholesale revenue, and is consistent with the annualization of rate base
164 associated with major project additions during the test year. Additionally, this
165 adjustment results in increased depreciation expense for distribution plant used
166 to serve these new customers that is not included in the adjustment for major
167 project additions. MidAmerican witness Anderson supports the depreciation
168 portion of this adjustment. A similar sales growth adjustment has not been
169 proposed for industrial or other customers because of the lack of homogeneity
170 in usage patterns among customers within these other classes.

171 **Q. Please describe the coal plant retirements adjustment summarized on**
172 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 11.**

173 A. MidAmerican anticipates the retirement of Walter Scott Energy Center Units 1
174 and 2 and Neal Units 1 and 2, and the operation of Riverside Generating Station
175 solely on natural gas by 2015. This adjustment removes estimated test year
176 wholesale margins lost from these units, reduces operation and maintenance
177 (“O&M”) expense to ongoing levels expected post-closure and removes test
178 year property tax expense for each of the units.

179 **Q. Why are test year O&M costs associated with these units not completely**
180 **removed?**

181 A. Certain costs will not be changed by unit retirements. The units are allocated a
182 portion of costs that are common to multiple units at their respective locations.
183 For example, Neal 1 and 2 share certain costs such as maintenance of grounds
184 and common structures with Neal 3. With the retirements, these costs would be
185 largely unchanged in total, but would be assigned to Neal 3 solely (less
186 amounts charged to Neal 3's partners) instead of being assigned partially to
187 Neal 1 and 2. In addition, there will be a need for a minimal amount of O&M
188 for security and other matters related to the retired units.

189 **Q. Please describe the scrubber consumables adjustment summarized on**
190 **RRT Schedule 12.**

191 A. This adjustment increases test year operations expense for the estimated cost of
192 chemicals to be consumed in the operation of environmental equipment that
193 was or is being installed at Neal Unit 3, Neal Unit 4 and Ottumwa Generating
194 Station ("Ottumwa") for which rate base adjustments are supported by
195 MidAmerican witness Anderson. The chemicals include lime, urea and
196 activated carbon. The adjustment applies the actual 2013 cost per megawatt
197 hour generated for such chemicals at Walter Scott Unit 4 to the 2013 megawatt
198 hours generated at Neal 3 and 4 and Ottumwa.

199 **Q. Why was Walter Scott Unit 4 used as the basis for the calculation?**

200 A. It is the only MidAmerican-operated plant that has comparable equipment to
201 that being installed at the other three plants.

202 **Q. Please describe the adjustment for long-term incentive partnership costs**
203 **on MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 13.**

204 **A.** This adjustment decreases test year operating expenses for costs accrued for the
205 long-term incentive partnership (“LTIP”) plan. This plan, administered by
206 Berkshire Hathaway Energy Company, provides incentive payments to selected
207 participants based, in large part, on financial performance factors.
208 MidAmerican is not seeking recovery for these costs at this time due to the fact
209 that the award for such pay is predominantly driven by achieving net income
210 targets and removal of these costs is consistent with past treatment of such costs
211 in South Dakota.

212 **Q. You mention the LTIP incentive compensation program. Does**
213 **MidAmerican maintain any other incentive compensation programs for its**
214 **employees?**

215 **A.** Yes. A portion of the total compensation of each non-represented MidAmerican
216 employee is paid through MidAmerican’s Performance Incentive Plan (“PIP”),
217 which has been in place since 1997. During the test period, the amount of
218 South Dakota electric compensation paid under the PIP and included in test
219 year cost of service was \$71,812.

220 **Q. Why is PIP included in cost of service but LTIP excluded?**

221 **A.** As noted above, LTIP awards are predominantly driven by financial results to a
222 limited group of employees. The PIP plan, on the other hand, is an integral part
223 of the compensation plan for all non-represented employees that is merely a
224 mechanism to deliver market-based total compensation to such employees. The

225 “incentive” nature of the plan pertains to the administration of the PIP as it
226 relates to individual employees. Total-company payouts are targeted to provide
227 market-based compensation, although individual employees experience varying
228 results depending upon performance relative to their individual goals (i.e.
229 “performance”).

230 **Q. So there are no financial triggers for the PIP?**

231 A. Correct. A number of corporate goals are considered in the context of the
232 decision on what the PIP award should be on a total company basis. However,
233 the PIP award is not triggered or sized by the level of MidAmerican’s earnings.

234 **Q. How does the PIP impact MidAmerican’s total compensation?**

235 A. PIP is designed to have no net impact on total MidAmerican compensation
236 costs. Under PIP, a portion of base salary to each employee is, in effect, at
237 risk. The PIP compensation is distributed to employees based upon their
238 performance, but does not have the impact of increasing overall compensation
239 costs, which remain at market levels.

240 **Q. Please describe the steam maintenance expense adjustment on**
241 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 14.**

242 A. This adjustment normalizes maintenance costs for MidAmerican’s coal units by
243 adjusting test year values to five-year average values. Maintenance costs for
244 MidAmerican’s coal generation facilities can vary significantly from year to
245 year depending upon where each of the units is with respect to its major
246 maintenance cycle and the extensiveness of the maintenance performed. Five

247 years was selected as the normalization period since these units are generally on
248 a five-year cycle for major overhaul work.

249 **Q. Why is an inflation factor included in the calculation?**

250 A. While an average of the five years of actual costs reflects changes in the level
251 of work activity, it ignores changes in cost levels for the work being performed
252 over that period of time. The Handy-Whitman index is a well-established
253 measure of historical change in prices for various utility industry activities. The
254 index used in this calculation is pertinent to the costs being averaged.
255 Additionally, since the calculation was an average, the change in the index over
256 the five years was averaged as well.

257 **Q. Please describe the distribution maintenance expense adjustment on**
258 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 15.**

259 A. This adjustment normalizes maintenance costs for MidAmerican's electric
260 distribution system by adjusting test year values to five-year average values.
261 Distribution costs can vary significantly from year to year due to the occurrence
262 of storms, flooding or other unpredictable circumstances. A multi-year average
263 of such costs smooths the impact of such occurrences. Five years was used to
264 be consistent with the approach used with steam maintenance. A distribution
265 plant inflation index was used in the calculation for the same reasons outlined
266 above for steam maintenance.

267 **Q. Please describe the adjustment for Ottumwa capacity increase on**
268 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 16.**

269 A. This adjustment increases operating revenue for capacity increases associated
270 with an Ottumwa turbine upgrade project to be implemented in conjunction
271 with the scrubber/baghouse project at Ottumwa. The increased revenue is based
272 on the test year capacity factor, average locational marginal price and average
273 fuel cost and on expected 2014 capacity prices for Ottumwa.

274 **Q. Please describe the adjustment for weather normalization on**
275 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 17.**

276 A. This adjustment decreases test year operating revenue for the impact of weather
277 variations from normal during the test year. MidAmerican witness Charles Rea
278 supports this adjustment.

279 **Q. Please describe the late payment charge adjustment summarized on**
280 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 18.**

281 A. This adjustment eliminates late payment revenue recorded during the test year.
282 This rate treatment is in lieu of using actual payment collection days in
283 MidAmerican's working capital calculation, where a 20-day period is used
284 instead. This adjustment is consistent with the ratemaking treatment of this
285 item in a number of MidAmerican's previous rate proceedings before the
286 Commission.

287 **Q. Please describe the adjustment for energy efficiency revenue and costs on**
288 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 19.**

289 A. This adjustment removes from the revenue requirement all revenue and
290 operation and maintenance expense amounts relating to energy efficiency

291 programs. These costs and related recoveries are accounted for in a separate
292 rider.

293 **Q. Please describe the adjustment for out of period income tax adjustments on**
294 **MidAmerican Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 20.**

295 A. This adjustment increases income tax expense through the reversal of entries
296 made during 2013 that modified income tax expense for periods prior to 2013,
297 and therefore are not representative of ongoing expense relative to test year
298 activity. The tax adjustments recorded in 2013 reconciled income tax expense
299 booked during 2012 to the amounts reflected in the 2012 tax return that was
300 filed in late 2013, and included more refined estimates for various issues
301 relative to those that were originally contemplated at the time the books were
302 closed for 2012. Since these adjustments pertain to 2012, and not 2013, they are
303 not appropriate to include in the test year for this case.

304 **Q. Please describe the adjustment for rate case expense on MidAmerican**
305 **Exhibit RRT 1.1 Schedule 21.**

306 A. This adjustment normalizes the estimated incremental, out-of-pocket costs of
307 preparing and litigating this case by amortizing such costs over five years. Five
308 years is a reasonable normalization period. MidAmerican witness Kutsunis
309 supports the estimated costs used in this adjustment.

Capital Structure and Cost of Capital

310 **Q. Please discuss MidAmerican's cost of capital, as summarized on RRT**
311 **Schedule 25.**

312 A. The weighted average cost of capital summarized on RRT Schedule 25 presents
313 MidAmerican Energy's pro forma average costs for long-term debt and
314 common equity for the twelve months ended May 31, 2014. The weighted
315 average cost of capital is 7.60%.

316 **Q. Why was the twelve months ended May 31, 2014 used to measure the cost**
317 **of capital?**

318 A. May 31, 2014 was chosen over the calendar year 2013 for several reasons.
319 First, a sizable amount of long-term debt was issued in April 2014 that impacts
320 the cost of capital. Second, notes with scheduled maturity in October 2014 were
321 redeemed early in May 2014. Third, significant time has elapsed since the end
322 of 2013 and the calculation as of May 31, 2014 reasonably updates the
323 calculation with more current values. Fourth, a number of rate base pro forma
324 adjustments are included in MidAmerican's case, and an updated cost of capital
325 more consistently matches the pro forma rate base.

326 **Q. Were any adjustments to actual values made?**

327 A. Generally not, although gains and losses, and associated amortizations, on past
328 early redemptions of debt that were not deferred for book purposes are included
329 in the cost of long-term debt calculation consistent with what has been done in
330 past South Dakota gas rate cases.

331 **Q. Please discuss the determination of the cost of MidAmerican's long-term**
332 **debt component on RRT Schedule 26.**

333 A. The sum of the interest costs and amortization of long-term debt discount,
334 issuance expense and loss on reacquired debt less the amortization of gains on

335 reacquired debt was divided by the 12-point average outstanding long-term debt
336 balance. The 12-point outstanding balance was determined by calculating the
337 average actual balances from June 2013 through May 2014 for the following
338 components:

- 339 a. Each issue of long-term debt,
- 340 b. Unamortized long-term debt premium,
- 341 c. Unamortized gain on reacquired long-term debt,
- 342 d. Unamortized debt discount,
- 343 e. Unamortized issuance expense, and,
- 344 f. Unamortized loss on reacquired long-term debt.

345 **Q. Please discuss the determination of MidAmerican's cost of common equity.**

346 A. MidAmerican's cost of common equity is 10.7%. MidAmerican witness Vander
347 Weide supports this amount.

348 **Q. Does that conclude your prepared direct testimony?**

349 A. Yes, it does.