

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Charles B. Rea. My business address is MidAmerican Energy
3 Company (“MidAmerican”), 106 East Second Street, Davenport, Iowa 52801.

4 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

5 A. I am employed by MidAmerican as Manager, Regulatory Strategic Analysis.

6 **Q. Please describe your education and business experience.**

7 A. I received a B.A. in Computer Science from the University of Illinois at
8 Springfield in 1986 and a M.A. in Statistics and Operations Research from
9 Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville in 1990. I have been employed by
10 MidAmerican and its predecessor companies since 1990 and have worked in
11 electric system planning, forecasting, load research, marketing, rates, and
12 energy efficiency.

13 **Q. Have you testified before the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
14 (“Commission”) or other regulatory bodies previously?**

15 A. Yes, I have testified before the Commission in Docket No. GE12-005. I have
16 also testified before the Iowa Utilities Board and the Illinois Commerce
17 Commission concerning energy efficiency, gas and electric cost of service, rate
18 design, and weather normalization issues.

19 **Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

20 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor MidAmerican’s electric cost of
21 service analysis and the calculation of MidAmerican’s proposed electric rates in
22 this docket. In addition, I am sponsoring MidAmerican’s weather normalization
23 pro forma adjustment for electric sales and revenue.

24 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the filing?**

25 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit CBR 1.1, which includes the following schedules:

- 26 • Schedule A: Electric Cost of Service Functional Allocators
- 27 • Schedule B: Hourly Costing Model
- 28 • Schedule C: Electric Cost of Service Results
- 29 • Schedule D: Derivation of Electric Rates
- 30 • Schedule E: Proposed Electric Rates
- 31 • Schedule F: Electric Weather Normalization Pro Forma
- 32 • Schedule G: Electric Weather Normalization Methodologies

33 **Q. How is your direct testimony organized?**

34 A. My direct testimony is organized in three sections:

- 35 1. Electric Cost of Service Model
- 36 2. Rate Design Considerations and Methods
- 37 3. Electric Weather Normalization

Electric Cost of Service Model

38 **Q. What is a cost of service analysis?**

39 A. A cost of service analysis is a study that determines the cost of providing
40 electric service to the utility's various customer groups for the purpose of
41 setting prices. A cost-based price signal for electric service is important because
42 it provides consumers with important information and is the basis for their
43 purchase and investment decisions regarding energy consumption. Basing
44 prices on cost of service helps realize two important goals in utility ratemaking:

- 45 1. Consumers would use electricity at an economically efficient
46 level.
47 2. No consumer's electric service would be subsidized by any other
48 consumer.

49 The provision of electric service requires that many common and joint
50 costs be incurred to supply service to multiple customers. The collection of
51 information that would allow individual consumer cost determination is
52 prohibitively expensive and not cost-effective except for the largest customers.
53 This has required the development of allocation methodologies to assign these
54 common costs to customer groups. Historically, similar types of customers have
55 been combined into customer groups for the process of cost determination and
56 ratemaking. The resulting cost determination process based on the allocation of
57 costs to defined customer groups is called a cost of service study.

58 **Q. Please describe MidAmerican's approach to electric cost of service.**

59 A. MidAmerican's cost of service analysis is a two-stage analysis. The first
60 component of the cost of service analysis assigns MidAmerican's revenue
61 requirement to business function on an account-by-account basis. Some
62 accounts are assigned entirely to a single function, while other accounts are
63 assigned to multiple functions based on an allocation methodology. The result
64 of this first phase of cost of service is a revenue requirement for each function,
65 the sum of which totals to MidAmerican's total revenue requirement.

66 The second component of the cost of service analysis assigns the
67 revenue requirement for each function to customer class using a single and

68 separate allocation methodology. The result of the second phase of cost of
69 service is a revenue requirement for each customer class, the sum of which also
70 totals to MidAmerican's total revenue requirement.

71 **Q. What are the various business functions that MidAmerican assigns its**
72 **revenue requirements to in the first stage of the electric cost of service**
73 **analysis?**

74 A. MidAmerican assigns revenue requirements in the first stage of the cost of
75 service analysis to the following business functions:

- 76 • Generation
- 77 • Transmission
- 78 • Substations
- 79 • Three-phase wires
- 80 • Single-phase wires
- 81 • Transformers
- 82 • Services
- 83 • Meters
- 84 • Customer accounts
- 85 • Lighting

86 **Q. Please describe how individual accounts that make up MidAmerican's**
87 **revenue requirement are assigned to function.**

88 A. The majority of the accounts that make up MidAmerican's revenue requirement
89 are directly assigned to a single function. Examples of this include generation
90 plant and operations and maintenance (O&M) expenses that are all assigned to

91 the generation function, transmission plant and O&M expenses, which are all
92 assigned to the transmission function, and distribution plant and O&M
93 expenses, which are all assigned to the distribution function, although within
94 the distribution function, further assignments are made between substations,
95 wires, and other distribution functions.

96 Accounts not directly assignable to a single function are allocated
97 between functions based on appropriate allocation factors. Examples of this
98 include general and intangible plant, miscellaneous rate base deductions,
99 administrative and general (A&G) expenses, and payroll taxes. These accounts
100 are allocated to functions based on the net plant or payroll dollars associated
101 with each function, depending on the account.

102 **Q. Do you have a schedule that shows how each account is allocated to**
103 **function?**

104 A. Yes. Schedule A identifies each account in the functional cost of service
105 analysis, whether that account is direct assigned or allocated, and if allocated,
106 the specific method used to allocate that account. In addition, the schedule
107 shows the percentage of each account that is assigned or allocated to each
108 business function.

109 **Q. What are the results of MidAmerican's functional cost of service analysis?**

110 A. The breakdown of revenue requirements across functions in MidAmerican's
111 electric cost of service analysis is shown below:

- 112 • Generation: \$9,888,240 (75.7%)
- 113 • Transmission: \$832,362 (6.4%)

114	• Substations:	\$511,316	(3.9%)
115	• Three Phase Wires:	\$722,317	(5.5%)
116	• Single Phase Wires:	\$406,303	(3.1%)
117	• Transformers:	\$126,418	(1.0%)
118	• Services:	\$199,112	(1.5%)
119	• Meters:	\$68,099	(0.5%)
120	• Customer Accounts:	\$205,273	(1.6%)
121	• Lighting:	\$97,245	(0.7%)

122 **Q. What are the customer classes that MidAmerican assigns its functional**
 123 **revenue requirements to in the second stage of the cost of service analysis?**

124 A. MidAmerican assigns revenue requirements from the first stage of the cost of
 125 service analysis to the following customer classes:

- 126 • Residential
- 127 • Small General Service – Energy
- 128 • Small General Service – Demand
- 129 • Large General Service (LGS)
- 130 • Very Large General Service (VLGS)
- 131 • Lighting
- 132 • Municipal Water Pumping

133 **Q. What methods for allocating generation costs to customer class are**
 134 **MidAmerican using in its cost of service analyses?**

135 A. MidAmerican’s methodology for allocating generation costs to customer class
 136 is referred to as the Hourly Costing Model (HCM).

137 **Q. Please describe the HCM.**

138 A. The HCM is a method for pricing generation service to retail customers. The
139 HCM prices generation service on a non-discriminatory basis based on
140 customer load shapes and usage patterns, and the cost of producing generation
141 at different times of the day and different times of the year.

142 **Q. How does the HCM methodology work?**

143 A. The goal of the HCM methodology is to assign a price for generation to each
144 hour of the year. The generation revenue requirement assigned to each customer
145 class under this methodology results from applying each class' hourly load
146 profile to the hourly price profile generated by the HCM (loads multiplied by
147 prices). The ratio of total generation cost resulting from this cross-
148 multiplication of loads and prices for a single class to the total generation cost
149 for all classes is then used to allocate MidAmerican's generation-related
150 revenue requirements to customer class. A graphical representation of the HCM
151 methodology is provided in Schedule B.

152 **Q. How does the HCM methodology assign a price for generation to each**
153 **hour of the year?**

154 A. The HCM calculates a generation price for each hour of the year by assigning a
155 cost to each MWh in the retail system load curve. For any given hour, the HCM
156 methodology calculates the average of the costs for all MWh in that hour to
157 determine the average generation price for that hour.

158 **Q. How does the HCM determine a cost for each MWh in the retail system**
159 **load curve?**

160 A. Each MWh in the retail system load curve is assigned a cost that contains two
161 components; an energy component and a capacity component. Schedule B
162 shows graphically how the cost assignment process works.

163 The energy component of each MWh is determined by the Midcontinent
164 Independent System Operator, Inc. Locational Marginal Price (“MISO LMP”)
165 for the MidAmerican retail load zone node associated with the hour of the year
166 the MWh is produced. This price is then adjusted downward to an amount that
167 reflects MidAmerican’s total retail fuel cost for the test year. For example, on
168 July 23, 2013 at hour ending 10 a.m., the MISO LMP price for MidAmerican’s
169 pricing node is \$34.07/MWh, and the adjustment multiplier for all hours is
170 0.39729. All MWh in the retail system load curve associated with the hour of
171 July 23, hour ending 10 a.m. will have an energy component of \$13.54/MWh,
172 or 1.354 cents/kWh. This figure is calculated by multiplying the \$34.07/MWh
173 LMP price by 0.39729.

174 The capacity component of each MWh is determined by the level of
175 South Dakota retail load the MWh is serving, the number of hours during the
176 year that retail load is at or above that level, and the capacity cost on a \$/kW
177 basis used to serve that load level. For example, at a retail load level of 30 MW,
178 the capacity component for all MWh serving that level of retail load is
179 \$144.84/MWh, or 14.484 cents/kWh. This is based on the following:

- 180 • Capacity Cost at the 30 MW load level is \$185.69/kW
- 181 • South Dakota retail system load is at or above 30 MW for 1,282 hours of
182 the year

- 183 • \$185.69/kW divided by 1,282 hours = 14.484 cents per kWh, or
184 \$144.84/MWh

185 The effect of defining a capacity component in the manner outlined
186 above is to spread the fixed costs of production capacity for any given tranche
187 of capacity across all of the units produced by that tranche of capacity. For low
188 levels of system load, the capacity component will be relatively small because
189 many MWh are produced by capacity serving low levels of system load. For
190 example, the capacity component at a South Dakota system load level of 21
191 MW is only \$21.94/MWh or 2.194 cents/kWh because the system load is at or
192 above 21 MW for 8,465 hours of the year. Because 8,465 MWh are produced
193 by a MW of capacity that is operating at a system load level of 21 MW, the
194 fixed costs of that MW of capacity can be spread over a large number of MWh,
195 thus lowering the fixed cost per unit. For high levels of system load, the
196 capacity component will be very large because very few MWh are produced by
197 capacity serving high levels of system load. For a South Dakota system load
198 level of 31 MW, the capacity component will be \$204.50/MWh or 20.450 cents
199 per kWh because the system load is at or above 31 MW for only 908 hours of
200 the year. Because only 908 MWh are produced by a MW of capacity that is
201 operating at a system load level of 31 MW, the fixed costs of that MW of
202 capacity are spread over a much smaller number of MWh, thus increasing the
203 fixed cost per unit.

204 MidAmerican uses \$185.69/kW as the capacity cost for the HCM in all
205 hours of the year.

206 **Q. Why is MidAmerican using MISO LMP prices to help determine the**
207 **energy component of costs for the HCM methodology?**

208 A. MidAmerican is using MISO LMP prices to help determine the energy
209 component of costs for the HCM model because they are directly related to the
210 cost to MidAmerican of purchasing energy in the MISO market to serve retail
211 customers. Because MidAmerican bids generation directly into the market and
212 purchases from the market at MISO market prices to serve retail load, it is
213 appropriate to use the MISO LMP data in part to determine energy prices for
214 customer groups under the HCM methodology.

215 **Q. Why is MidAmerican adjusting the MISO LMP prices downward to an**
216 **amount that reflects MidAmerican's total retail fuel cost for the test year?**

217 A. Because MidAmerican bids generation directly into the market and purchases
218 from the market at MISO market prices to serve retail load, the MISO LMP
219 price is effectively MidAmerican's marginal energy cost, which is almost
220 always equal to or greater than MidAmerican's actual fuel cost in any hour.
221 Because the LMP price is almost always equal to or greater than
222 MidAmerican's actual fuel cost in any hour, using the LMP price directly as the
223 energy component of the HCM would recover some amount of fixed cost in the
224 energy component of the HCM. In order to completely segregate fuel costs
225 from capacity costs in the HCM such that fuel costs are recovered through the
226 energy component and capacity costs are recovered through the capacity
227 component, MidAmerican adjusts the MISO LMP price downward, thus

228 effectively allocating MidAmerican's test-year fuel costs to different hours of
229 the year based on the varying levels of MISO LMP price.

230 **Q. How is the capacity cost of \$185.69/kW determined?**

231 A. The capacity price of \$185.69/kW represents the overall South Dakota
232 jurisdictional embedded cost of capacity. This price is calculated by subtracting
233 total retail fuel in the 2013 test year from the overall functionalized generation
234 revenue requirement, and dividing that result by the South Dakota jurisdictional
235 peak demand.

236 **Q. Why is the HCM an appropriate method for pricing generation service to**
237 **retail customers?**

238 A. The HCM is an appropriate method for pricing generation service to retail
239 customers for a number of reasons:

- 240 1. The HCM methodology rewards customer groups whose load
241 characteristics, load patterns, and time of use characteristics result in lower
242 costs to serve. Customers and customer groups whose energy consumption
243 is high at times of high system load and high costs pay higher total costs
244 and are allocated more generation costs than customer groups whose load
245 shapes are more favorable.
- 246 2. The HCM methodology also rewards customer groups with higher load
247 factors. Customer groups with high load factors are allocated a lower
248 generation cost (on a per unit basis) than customer groups with lower load
249 factors.

- 250 3. The HCM methodology results in pricing for generation services that is
251 non-discriminatory. The HCM results in a single average price for
252 generation service in each hour of the year that reflects both an energy
253 component and a capacity component. All customers that are taking
254 generation service in any given hour pay the same price per kWh under the
255 HCM model for that generation service regardless of size or end use. Stated
256 differently, at any given point in time, the cost of generation service is the
257 same to every customer on the system regardless of size or end use, which
258 is exactly how the generation portfolio operates and how generation
259 markets work.
- 260 4. The HCM model is both a de facto cost allocation model and a pricing
261 model. Unlike traditional cost allocation methodologies, results from the
262 HCM model can be used directly in the ratemaking process. Because
263 generation prices are available from the HCM model by hour, prices can be
264 summarized by season or time of use pricing period and translated directly
265 into seasonal and time of use retail rates. This is a feature that is not
266 supported in traditional cost allocation methodologies.
- 267 5. Results from the HCM model are more stable from year to year than
268 traditional generation cost methodologies because the HCM model
269 considers energy consumption patterns all through the year, as opposed to
270 traditional methods that rely on a single hour's demand reading that can
271 change significantly from year to year.
- 272 **Q. Has the HCM been approved in other states for generation cost of service?**

273 A. Yes. The HCM has been accepted for generation cost of service by the Iowa
274 Utilities Board in MidAmerican's electric rate case Docket No. RPU-2013-
275 0004. The HCM has also been supported in testimony of the Illinois Commerce
276 Commission staff and is currently being reviewed by the Illinois Commerce
277 Commission in MidAmerican's electric rate case Docket No. 14-0066.

278 **Q. What methods for allocating transmission costs to customer class is**
279 **MidAmerican using in its cost of service analyses?**

280 A. MidAmerican is using a 12 Coincident Peak ("12 CP") methodology for
281 allocating transmission costs to customer class.

282 **Q. Please describe the 12 CP method.**

283 A. The 12 CP method allocates transmission costs to customer class based on each
284 class' load at the time of MidAmerican's monthly system peak demand. For
285 each class, the class load at the time of the monthly system peak (referred to as
286 the class coincident peak) is recorded and the total is calculated across all 12
287 months. The total calculated across all 12 months is referred to as the 12 CP
288 value. Each class is then allocated a piece of MidAmerican's transmission
289 revenue requirement based on the ratio of that class' 12 CP value to the sum of
290 the 12 CP values for all customer classes.

291 **Q. What are the advantages of the 12 CP method?**

292 A. The primary advantage of the 12 CP method is that the allocator is a good
293 reflection of how MidAmerican incurs transmission costs within the MISO
294 footprint. Generally speaking, MidAmerican is assessed costs for transmission
295 services in MISO based on what is referred to as a "load ratio share", which is

296 MidAmerican's native load at the time of MISO's monthly peak demand. The
297 12 CP method is a simple extension of that concept and allocates costs to
298 customers based on their loads at the time of MidAmerican's monthly peak
299 demand.

300 Because MidAmerican incurs costs for transmission service from MISO
301 in this fashion, it is appropriate to pass these costs on to customers in the same
302 fashion. In addition, using the 12 CP allocator helps to ensure consistency
303 between unbundled transmission prices offered by MidAmerican and
304 transmission costs customers could expect to see from third party suppliers who
305 will also incur transmission costs in MISO based on a load ratio share.

306 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican allocates the revenue requirement**
307 **associated with distribution wires to customer class.**

308 A. Distribution wires costs are allocated to customer groups based on a non-
309 coincident peak demand allocator and a split-system approach to distinguishing
310 the distribution system between three-phase and single-phase service.

311 **Q. How are distribution wires costs allocated to customer class under the split**
312 **system methodology?**

313 A. Under the split system methodology, the wires component of distribution
314 revenue requirements is split into separate single-phase and three-phase
315 components and each is allocated to customer classes separately. For the three-
316 phase component, costs are allocated to customer classes based on each
317 customer class' annual non-coincident peak demand, where the ratio of an
318 individual customer class' annual maximum load to the sum of all class' annual

319 maximum loads. Allocations are made in this way to all classes except for the
320 VLGS class, which generally takes service directly at the substation level. Costs
321 for the single-phase component are allocated to customer class in exactly the
322 same way as for the three-phase component except for the single-phase
323 component, both the VLGS and LGS classes are excluded because the LGS
324 class takes service directly from the three-phase distribution system.

325 **Q. How is the total revenue requirement associated with distribution wires**
326 **split between three-phase and single-phase components?**

327 A. The total revenue requirement associated with distribution wires is split
328 between three-phase and single-phase based on weighted average costs. The
329 total installed circuit footages were determined for three-phase and for single-
330 phase. The footages for each were multiplied by the average cost per foot,
331 respectively. The portion of weighted average three-phase wire cost was
332 compared to the total to arrive at the allocation to three-phase wires.

333 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican allocates the revenue requirement**
334 **associated with substations to customer class.**

335 A. Substation costs are allocated to customer groups based on a non-coincident
336 peak demand allocator, where the ratio of an individual customer class' annual
337 maximum load to the sum of all class' annual maximum loads is used to
338 allocate a portion of the substation revenue requirement to that class.

339 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican allocates the revenue requirement**
340 **associated with transformers to customer class.**

341 A. Transformer costs are allocated to customer classes based on a weighted
342 number of customers calculation. Customer weights in each class are calculated
343 based on the ratio of the current average cost of transformation (per customer)
344 required to serve particular customer groups to the current average cost of
345 transformation for residential base customers.

346 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican allocates the revenue requirement**
347 **associated with services to customer class.**

348 A. Service costs are allocated to customer classes based on a weighted number of
349 customers calculation. Customer weights in each class are calculated based on
350 the ratio of the current average cost of service drops (per customer) required to
351 serve particular customer groups to the current average cost of service drops for
352 residential base customers.

353 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican allocates the revenue requirement**
354 **associated with meters to customer class.**

355 A. Metering costs are allocated to customer classes based on a weighted number of
356 customers calculation. Customer weights in each class are calculated based on
357 the ratio of the current average cost of metering (per customer) required to
358 serve particular customer groups to the current average cost of metering for
359 residential base customers.

360 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican allocates the revenue requirement**
361 **associated with the customer accounts function to customer class.**

362 A. Customer account costs are allocated to customer classes based on a weighted
363 number of customers calculation. Customer weights in each class are calculated

364 based on the ratio of the current cost of providing customer service and key
365 account management functions (per customer) to particular customer groups to
366 the current cost of providing customer service functions to residential base
367 customers.

368 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican allocates the revenue requirement**
369 **associated with lighting to customer class.**

370 A. The revenue requirement associated with lighting is 100% direct assigned to the
371 lighting customer class.

372 **Q. What are the results of MidAmerican's cost of service study?**

373 A. Schedule C shows the results of MidAmerican's cost of service analysis.
374 Schedule C contains both the allocation of revenue requirements to function
375 and the allocation of the costs associated with each function to customer class.

376 **Q. Has MidAmerican provided a copy of its electric cost of service study?**

377 A. Yes. A full and complete working copy of MidAmerican's electric cost of
378 service and rate design model has been provided as a workpaper to Statement O
379 in the electric filing requirements.

Rate Design Considerations and Methods

380 **Q. Please describe the relationship between cost of service results and the**
381 **goals of rate design.**

382 A. An important goal of rate design is to develop prices for electric service to retail
383 customers that are intended to recover the Company's approved revenue
384 requirement and that reflect the cost of providing service to retail customers.
385 However, that goal must be tempered by considerations of impacts to

386 customers. As a result, MidAmerican proposes to limit the increase to any
387 customer class to no more than 150% of the overall increase percentage. This
388 limitation would apply to increases for the LGS and VLGS classes. The costs
389 not recovered from those two classes are assigned to other classes in proportion
390 to their total cost of service. The results of this re-assignment are included on
391 Schedule C.

392 MidAmerican has calculated a full set of rates based upon the cost of
393 service analysis provided in this case. MidAmerican's proposed rates reflect the
394 costing and pricing principles that were used to develop the cost of service
395 study. Detailed financial information from the cost of service analysis is used to
396 develop the individual components of the rate design. The generation rate
397 component is then adjusted to reflect the class increase limitations.

398 **Q. What rates is MidAmerican proposing to implement in this case?**

399 A. As outlined in the testimony of Debra Kutsunis, MidAmerican is proposing to
400 consolidate rates among current rate codes and proposes to implement single
401 rates for the following rate classes:

- 402 • Residential (RS)
- 403 • General Service Energy (GE)
- 404 • General Service Demand (GD)
- 405 • Large General Service (LS)
- 406 • Substation Service (SS)
- 407 • Street and Area Lighting (SAL)
- 408 • Municipal Water Pumping (MWP)

409 MidAmerican is proposing to implement a standard tariff rate for each of the
410 customer classes mentioned above, plus optional time-of-use rates for Rates
411 RS, GE, GD, LS and SS.

412 **Q. How are the various cost components of the class cost of service study used**
413 **in the design of MidAmerican's proposed unbundled rates?**

414 A. Schedule D shows the derivation of rates for each of MidAmerican's proposed
415 rates. It maps out for each rate how the different components of cost of service
416 are used to build the rate.

417 **Q. Do you have a schedule that shows MidAmerican's proposed rates?**

418 Schedule E provides a complete set of proposed rates for MidAmerican in this
419 filing. The rates in Schedule E include test year levels of EAC and TCR.

Electric Weather Normalization

420 **Q. What is the purpose of the electric weather normalization pro forma and**
421 **why is it an important issue in this case?**

422 A. MidAmerican estimates that about 30% of electricity sold to residential
423 customers is used for cooling and heating and is therefore weather dependent.
424 As a result, the level of annual revenue that is collected from volumetric
425 charges associated with this electricity usage is dependent on how hot or mild
426 the summer season is, and how cold or mild the winter season is. Hot summers
427 and cold winters will result in MidAmerican collecting a higher level of
428 revenue than it normally otherwise would, and mild summers and winters will
429 result in MidAmerican collecting a lower level of revenue. The purpose of the
430 weather normalization pro forma adjustment is to determine a level of retail

431 sales and revenues under existing rates that could be reasonably expected given
432 normal weather conditions, thus eliminating the effect on test year retail sales
433 and revenues of having unusually mild or extreme weather during the test year.

434 **Q. What classes is MidAmerican proposing to include in the weather
435 normalization pro forma adjustment?**

436 A. MidAmerican is proposing weather normalization pro forma adjustments for
437 the following residential rate classes:

- 438 • Rate RBD
- 439 • Rate RED
- 440 • Rate RSD
- 441 • Rate RWD

442 **Q. What is the value of the proposed weather normalization pro forma
443 adjustment?**

444 A. The weather normalization pro forma adjustment reduces total test year revenue
445 by \$120,606. The weather normalization pro forma adjustment for both revenue
446 and kWh sales by class is provided in Schedule F.

447 **Q. What weather data is MidAmerican using as the basis for the pro forma
448 adjustment?**

449 A. MidAmerican is basing its weather normalization adjustment for electric sales
450 on daily weather data from the NOAA Sioux City, Iowa weather station. This is
451 the most appropriate weather station, as MidAmerican's South Dakota service
452 territory is all located in southeastern South Dakota, primarily in close
453 proximity to Sioux City. Daily heating degree days with a 55 degree base are

454 used to model the heating component of weather-sensitive sales, and daily
455 cooling degree days with a 65 degree base are used to model the cooling
456 component of weather-sensitive sales. Normal weather is defined to be the
457 official 30-year NOAA daily normal (1981-2010) for Sioux City.

458 **Q. Please describe the methodology MidAmerican is using to determine the**
459 **sales component of the weather normalization pro forma.**

460 A. MidAmerican's weather normalization methodology for normalizing annual
461 electric sales by customer class is provided in Schedule G.

462 **Q. Please describe the methodology MidAmerican is using to determine the**
463 **revenue component of the weather normalization pro forma.**

464 A. MidAmerican's methodology for determining the revenue component of the
465 weather normalization pro forma adjustment is also provided in Schedule G.

466 **Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?**

467 A. Yes, it does.