

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. Debra L. Kutsunis. MidAmerican Energy Company (“MidAmerican”), 106
3 East Second Street, Davenport, Iowa 52801.

4 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

5 A. I am employed by MidAmerican as manager, regulated pricing.

6 **Q. Please describe your education and business experience.**

7 A. I am a graduate of Augustana College in Rock Island, Illinois where I received
8 a Bachelor of Arts degree in Accounting and Business Administration in 1979.
9 I have been employed at MidAmerican or a predecessor company, Iowa-Illinois
10 Gas and Electric Company (“Iowa-Illinois”) since August 1983. Since August
11 2002 I have been manager, regulated pricing, where I direct activities related to
12 gas and electric pricing, tariff filings and rate cases. From January 1998 through
13 July 2002 I held the positions of regulatory analyst and manager, customer
14 choice initiatives in MidAmerican’s unregulated retail services division. Prior
15 to January 1998, I held various analyst and senior analyst positions in the rates,
16 gas supply, customer service and accounting departments. Prior to joining
17 Iowa-Illinois, I was employed in public accounting.

18 **Q. Have you testified before the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission**
19 **(“Commission”) or other regulatory bodies previously?**

20 A. Yes, I have testified before the Iowa Utilities Board and Illinois Commerce
21 Commission in electric rate case and energy efficiency proceedings.

22 **Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

23 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor MidAmerican's tariffs, support
24 proposed changes to MidAmerican's Energy Cost Adjustment ("ECA") clause
25 and describe how MidAmerican's proposed Transmission Cost Recovery
26 ("TCR") clause will function. I also sponsor rate case expenses and cash
27 working capital.

28 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the filing?**

29 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit DLK 1.1, which includes the following schedules:

- 30 • Schedule A: Rate Schedules Being Eliminated
- 31 • Schedule B: Proposed Tariff No. 2
- 32 • Schedule C: Transmission Cost Recovery Clause Calculation
- 33 • Schedule D: Roll-out of ECA and TCR Amounts from Base Rates
- 34 • Schedule E: Rate Case Expenses
- 35 • Schedule F: Cash Working Capital

36 **Q. Please describe the final tariff changes being proposed.**

37 A. The proposed final tariff is a complete revision of the existing South Dakota
38 electric tariff. MidAmerican has not had an electric base rate case since its
39 formation in 1995, so the tariff has remained substantially unchanged since
40 then. MidAmerican is proposing to cancel its entire electric rate schedule
41 MidAmerican Energy Company Electric Tariff No. 1 ("Tariff No. 1") and
42 replace it with a new MidAmerican Energy Company South Dakota Electric
43 Tariff No. 2 ("Tariff No. 2"). MidAmerican is proposing revised language to
44 provide uniformity with MidAmerican's other service territories and additional
45 clarity. These changes reflect input from employees in MidAmerican's

46 customer service and delivery organizations who work with customers on a
47 daily basis.

48 **Q. How are the proposed tariffs organized?**

49 A. The tariffs are still organized into the six major sections listed below as
50 prescribed by the South Dakota Administrative Rules § 20:10:13:04, but
51 Section 5 has been divided into seven new subsections for greater clarity:

52 1. Table of Contents

53 2. Preliminary Statement

54 3. Electric Rate Schedules

55 4. Contracts With Deviations

56 5. Rules and Regulations for Electric Service

57 a. Electric Service Policies

58 b. Customer Service Policies

59 c. Technical and Operational Requirements

60 d. Expansion of Electric Distribution System

61 e. Existing System Modifications

62 f. Miscellaneous Fees and Charges

63 g. Electric Interconnection of Distributed Generation Facilities

64 6. Sample Forms

65 **Q. Please describe the Electric Service Policies subsection.**

66 A. The Electric Service Policies subsection provides definitions of terms used
67 throughout the tariff and identifies general provisions and other general
68 requirements. This is a new subsection that includes requirements for service

69 that were not completely stated in the previous tariff such as: availability of the
70 tariff, customer fire or casualty, exclusive service, protection of service, and
71 agency. Existing language regarding irregularities and interruption of service,
72 resale or redistribution of electricity, and limitations of liability has also been
73 relocated to this subsection of the tariff.

74 **Q. Please provide an overview of the Customer Service Policies subsection.**

75 A. The Customer Service Policies subsection provides information about the
76 policies that govern the Company’s interaction with customers. This subsection
77 includes information about application for service, bill payment options, credit
78 requirements, disconnection and reconnection of service, deferred payments
79 and preferred due dates. This section also includes additional information about
80 the assignment of rates and billing adjustments. Customer Service Policies
81 includes all information from the former “Credit and Collection – Customer
82 Deposits” subsection, in a more organized manner, except for the various
83 charges which have been moved to the new Miscellaneous Fees and Charges
84 subsection. Additionally, this subsection incorporates various paragraphs from
85 the former “Service Rules and Regulations” subsection which are customer-
86 service oriented, including application, billing and payment for service, some
87 disconnect information, billing adjustments, diversion or unauthorized use, and
88 meter testing.

89 **Q. What information is included in the Technical and Operational**
90 **Requirements subsection?**

91 A. Existing language from the former “Service Rules and Regulations” subsection
92 regarding regulative and protective devices and master metering has been
93 refined and is now included in this subsection. This section also defines
94 standard service and outlines customer and Company responsibilities,
95 inspections, and parallel generation. Metering and other facilities provided by
96 the customer and Company are also described in this portion of the tariff.

97 **Q. Please describe the Expansion of Electric Distribution System subsection.**

98 A. This subsection of the tariff expands language from the former “Electric
99 Extension Policy” subsection and defines Company and customer obligations
100 for expansion of the electric distribution system more clearly. The section
101 identifies the free standard service allowance and defines the base revenue
102 credit available for electric distribution extensions. This tariff also describes
103 refundable advances, which may be required for electric distribution extensions
104 where construction costs exceed the base revenue credit. New language was
105 also added for system expansions over \$100,000, distribution system facilities
106 provided and additional surety required for extensive plant additions over \$1
107 million.

108 **Q. Are any provisions in the proposed Expansion of Electric Distribution
109 System subsection different than the provisions of the current tariff?**

110 A. Yes. Changes were made to simplify the application of the system expansion
111 policies. Some of the major differences are as follows:

- 112 • There is no longer any differentiation in the proposed tariff between a
113 customer within city limits and outside city limits.

114

115 • The treatment of overhead and underground expansions has been made
116 more consistent in the proposed tariff. Underground expansions still require
117 additional site preparation that is unique to underground expansions, but the
118 standard expansion and service line extension policies are the same for
119 both.

120 • The revenue credit that is applied against construction costs to determine if
121 a refundable advance is needed is now explicitly defined as three times base
122 revenue.

123 • The proposed refund period for the refundable advance is extended from
124 five years to ten years.

125 • Proposed new language in subsection “Existing System Modifications”
126 within Section 5 clarifies the requirements for an overhead to underground
127 conversion.

128 **Q. Please describe the major elements of the Existing System Modifications**
129 **subsection of the tariff.**

130 A. This portion of the tariff provides information about relocations, conversion of
131 overhead to underground, or other modifications to facilities. The tariff requires
132 customers to pay for such relocations or modifications when caused by the
133 customer.

134 **Q. What is provided in the Miscellaneous Fees and Charges subsection of the**
135 **tariff?**

136 A. This subsection of the tariff provides a single location for other charges or fees
137 applicable to multiple rate schedules. Examples of such charges are returned
138 check charges and the cost of meter testing. Placing all additional charges in a
139 single section of the tariff will make it easier for customers to identify all
140 additional charges which may apply to them.

141 **Q. What changes are you proposing to miscellaneous fees and charges for**
142 **purposes of final rates?**

143 A. MidAmerican is proposing to change the returned check charge. Electric Tariff
144 No. I currently includes a charge of \$11. The current gas tariff includes a charge
145 of \$30 and has been in place since 1999. As most of MidAmerican's electric
146 customers are also MidAmerican gas customers and a myriad of returned check
147 fees is confusing and difficult to administer, MidAmerican is proposing a \$30
148 charge for returned checks for consistency with its gas tariff.

149 MidAmerican also proposes to continue customer-requested turn-on or
150 turn-off service at no charge during normal working hours. After normal
151 working hours, MidAmerican is proposing a charge for time and materials for
152 turn-on or turn-off.

153 MidAmerican is also proposing to update charges for reconnection
154 following a disconnection of service. Tariff No. I currently includes a charge of
155 \$20 during regularly scheduled working hours and \$30 after hours, Sundays
156 and holidays. MidAmerican is proposing to adopt a time and materials charge
157 throughout its service territory with this tariff.

158 **Q. Please describe the Electric Interconnection subsection of the tariff.**

159 A. Electric Interconnection provides the technical standards and requirements for
160 connecting distributed generation facilities to MidAmerican’s system consistent
161 with South Dakota Administrative Rules § 20:10:36.

162 **Q. What is included in subsection Sample Forms?**

163 A. This subsection of the tariff includes a copy of the proposed standard residential
164 bill form, residential and commercial application for service, deferred payment
165 agreement, disconnect notice, and the customer information release form.
166 Having these forms in the tariff provides a handy reference for current and
167 prospective customers.

168 **Q. What are the primary elements of the Electric Rate Schedules section of**
169 **the tariff?**

170 A. This is the section of the tariff that provides the rate schedules, including
171 applicable charges. Each rate schedule also describes its application to specific
172 customers. The rate schedules are identified with alphabetic designations which
173 are designed to be intuitive for readers searching for a specific rate. Residential
174 Service, for example, will be designated as “Rate RS – Residential Service”
175 rather than “RBD – Residential Base Use.” General Service – Energy Only, for
176 example, will be designated as “Rate GE – General Energy Service” rather than
177 “GBD – General Service, Base – Energy Only Metering.”

178 **Q. Please describe the new rate schedules.**

179 A. MidAmerican is proposing to consolidate and unify its rate schedules.
180 MidAmerican is proposing to move from 29 different price schedules, some
181 with multiple rate code designations associated with a single price code, to 19

182 permanent rate schedules, clauses and riders. These rates are based on the cost
183 of service study and rate design sponsored by MidAmerican witness Charles
184 Rea. The new rate schedules proposed include:

185 • Rate RS – Residential Service is available to all residential customers for use in
186 single-family dwelling units using less than 50,000 kWh annually. Cost of
187 service classifications of Residential Base and Residential Heat correspond to
188 Rate RS.

189 • Rate RST – Residential Time-of-Use Service is an optional rate available to all
190 customers qualifying for Rate RS who prefer a time-differentiated rate.

191 • Rate GE – General Energy Service is available to any non-residential customer
192 and residential customers using more than 50,000 kWh annually. Cost of
193 service classifications of SGS Energy Base and SGS Energy Heat correspond to
194 Rate GE.

195 • Rate GET – General Energy Time-of-Use Service is an optional rate available
196 to any customers qualifying for Rate GE who prefer a time-differentiated rate.

197 • Rate GD – General Demand Service is available to any non-residential
198 customer and requires a demand meter. The rate includes billing a minimum
199 demand charge based on 10 kW. Cost of service classifications of SGS Demand
200 Base and SGS Demand Heat are associated with Rate GD.

201 • Rate GDT – General Demand Time-of-Use Service is an optional time-
202 differentiated rate available to customers who would be billed under Rate GD
203 as their standard rate.

- 204 • Rate LS – Large Electric Service is available to any non-residential customer
205 and requires a demand meter. The rate includes billing a minimum demand
206 charge based on 200 kW. Rate LS is included in the cost of service
207 classifications of LGS Base and LGS Heat.
- 208 • Rate LST - Large Electric Time-of-Use Service is an optional time-
209 differentiated rate available to non-residential customers who would be billed
210 under Rate LS as their standard rate.
- 211 • Rate SS – Substation Service is available to any non-residential customer taking
212 service directly from a Company-owned substation whose primary voltage is 69
213 kV and above. The rate includes billing a minimum demand charge based on
214 200 kW. Rate SS is included in the cost of service classification of VLGS.
- 215 • Rate SST – Substation Time-of-Use Service is an optional time-differentiated
216 rate available to non-residential customers who would be billed under Rate SS
217 as their standard rate.
- 218 • Rate MWP – Municipal Water Pumping is available to municipal water and
219 sewage pumping plants. Rate MWP corresponds to the cost of service
220 classification of Water Pumping.
- 221 • Rate SAL – Street and Area Lighting is available to municipalities and non-
222 governmental entities for the purpose of lighting public streets and highways
223 and other dusk to dawn lighting services. Rate SAL is included in the cost of
224 service classification of Lighting.
- 225 • Rate QF – Cogeneration & Small Power Production Facilities provides the
226 rates, terms and conditions for purchases by the Company from customers with

227 cogeneration or small power production facilities 100 kW or less. This new rate
228 corresponds to the former Rider No. 54 – Qualifying Cogeneration and Small
229 Power Production Purchases.

230 **Q. Please describe the Clauses and Riders portion of the tariff.**

231 A. The clauses and riders include additional charges that are applicable to all rates.
232 These clauses and riders include:

- 233 • ETA – Electric Tax Adjustment, which includes state and local taxes.
234 This is a continuation of the current ETA.
- 235 • Clause TCR – Transmission Cost Recovery, which recovers certain
236 transmission costs. This is a new adjustment clause. The application and
237 function of the TCR clause is discussed further at a later section of this
238 testimony.
- 239 • Clause ECA – Energy Cost Adjustment, which recovers costs related to
240 the production of energy and purchased power. This is an existing
241 adjustment clause with modifications. The changes to the ECA are
242 discussed further in a later section of this testimony.
- 243 • Clause EECR – Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery, which recovers the
244 cost of approved electric energy efficiency programs. The only change
245 to this section is the reference to the new rate designations. There is no
246 change to the application and function of the EECR.
- 247 • Rider EF – Excess Facilities, which defines the payment provisions for
248 customers requesting additional facilities in excess of a normal
249 installation. This is a new rider.

250 • Rider SPS – Standby and Supplementary Power Service which applies
251 to customers with customer-owned generation and provides power for
252 all or a portion of the customers needs. This is a new rider.

253 **Q. Is MidAmerican proposing to eliminate any rates or riders in this filing?**

254 A. Yes. MidAmerican is discontinuing a number of rates where there are no longer
255 customers taking service under the rate or there is no longer a need to separately
256 designate the rate. A summary of rates being eliminated and the reason for their
257 elimination is attached as Exhibit DLK 1.1, Schedule A.

258 **Q. Are MidAmerican’s proposed tariffs included in this filing?**

259 A. Yes. Included in this filing are MidAmerican’s proposed tariff sheets as Exhibit
260 DLK 1.1, Schedule B. In addition, MidAmerican will supplement this filing
261 with a comparison within 30 days of the present and proposed tariffs including
262 cross-referencing.

263 **Q. Do the rate schedules proposed in this tariff reflect the revised rates
264 requested in this case?**

265 A. Yes. The proposed rate schedules include the revised rates to implement the
266 revenue requirement described in the direct testimony of MidAmerican witness
267 Tunning and rate design of MidAmerican witness Rea in this case. Filing
268 requirement 20:10:13:41, comparison of sales, services and revenues,
269 demonstrates that the proposed rates will recover the revenue requirement.

Energy Cost Adjustment Clause

270 **Q. Please describe MidAmerican’s proposed changes to the Energy Cost
271 Adjustment (“ECA”) clause.**

272 A. MidAmerican is proposing to modify the ECA to become effective with final
273 rates in this rate case. MidAmerican’s existing ECA is designed to recover the
274 fuel and purchased power costs related to sales to South Dakota retail
275 customers. With this filing, MidAmerican seeks to also include consumable
276 chemical costs. MidAmerican also proposes to apply production tax credits
277 (“PTCs”) at the pre-tax level, reduced for any negative energy settlements from
278 the related renewable energy projects, and 90% of the revenue from sales of
279 renewable energy credits (“RECs”) to offset some of these costs. Because these
280 credits may be very volatile from month-to-month, MidAmerican is proposing
281 to change to an annualized ECA clause.

282 **Q. What fuel and purchased power costs will be included in MidAmerican’s**
283 **base rates?**

284 A. MidAmerican is proposing to continue to include all fuel and purchased power
285 costs related to South Dakota jurisdictional sales in the ECA so that none of
286 these costs remain in base rates.

287 **Q. Are there differences between the ECA as currently authorized by the**
288 **Commission and MidAmerican’s proposal?**

289 A. Yes. MidAmerican’s proposed ECA cost recovery includes three additional
290 items: (i) costs for consumable chemicals used at coal-fired generating stations
291 to meet environmental emission control requirements. Such chemical costs
292 include those incurred to purchase supplies of lime, urea and activated carbon
293 and are recorded in accounts 502009, 502010 and 502011; (ii) offset of energy
294 costs with PTCs recorded in account 409.1 at the pre-tax level, reduced for any

295 negative energy settlements from those renewable power projects that result
296 during the period the projects are eligible for the production tax credit, as
297 recorded in account 447043; and (iii) 90% of revenue from sales of renewable
298 energy credits, carbon dioxide credits or other environmentally related benefits
299 associated with renewable power projects as recorded in account 456. My
300 testimony below demonstrates that these items are reasonable for inclusion in
301 the ECA.

302 **Q. Please explain why the additional items MidAmerican is proposing for**
303 **inclusion in its ECA are reasonable.**

304 A. The chemical costs which MidAmerican proposes to include in the ECA are
305 necessary for supplying energy due to environmental requirements and are
306 beyond management's direct control; and certain of the costs, such as the cost
307 of urea, which is a byproduct of natural gas, can reflect price volatility, similar
308 to other costs currently recovered in the ECA.

309 MidAmerican is also proposing to offset fuel and purchased power costs
310 with PTCs at the pre-tax level reduced for any negative energy settlements from
311 those renewable power projects that result during the period the projects are
312 eligible for the production tax credit as well as 90% of revenue from sales of
313 RECs. MidAmerican believes these benefits, which are directly related to the
314 generation of wind and other renewable energy, should flow back to the benefit
315 of customers. These credits are also appropriate for inclusion in an adjustment
316 clause because they are outside of the direct control of management and subject
317 to change in level.

318 **Q. Please explain why the costs of consumable chemicals should be included in**
319 **the ECA.**

320 A. Costs for consumable chemicals are beyond direct control of management, as
321 the quantity of chemicals used is subject to changing environmental laws and
322 regulations and depends upon the quantity of generation from each of
323 MidAmerican's coal-fired generation units dispatched by the Midcontinent
324 Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") to meet customer loads. Costs for
325 lime, urea, and activated carbon have been volatile from 2010 through 2013 as
326 shown in the table below. Additionally, a primary component of urea is natural
327 gas, the costs of which are subject to automatic adjustment in natural gas retail
328 rates:

	Lime	Urea	Activated Carbon	Total
2013	2,945,293	1,025,767	422,799	4,393,859
% change from 2012	-17%	-24%	-26%	-20%
2012	3,562,265	1,353,135	574,465	5,489,865
% change from 2011	+5%	+85%	-13%	+15%
2011	3,373,692	729,809	658,343	4,761,844
% change from 2010	-25%	+4%	-11%	-20%
2010	4,510,372	704,304	741,048	5,955,724

329 Amounts are total Company.

330 Additional environmental controls being installed on MidAmerican's
331 generation facilities are expected to increase the use of these consumable
332 chemicals.

333 **Q. Please explain why MidAmerican is proposing cost offsets by crediting the**
334 **ECA with PTCs and RECs.**

335 A. Similar to the fuel costs which are incurred in supplying energy and currently
336 pass through the ECA, PTCs and RECs are generated in supplying energy.
337 Also, similar to fuel costs, PTCs and RECs vary from month to month and
338 season to season. Accordingly, MidAmerican requests that RECs and PTCs
339 credits generated by MidAmerican's renewable energy production be used to
340 offset costs incurred in supplying energy. MidAmerican is proposing to share
341 90% of REC revenues with customers and retain 10% of such revenues as an
342 incentive, as the Commission has allowed for other utilities.

343 **Q. Will MidAmerican include PTCs and RECs from all renewable energy**
344 **sources?**

345 A. MidAmerican will include 90% of revenue from the sale of RECs and 100% of
346 PTCs for the South Dakota jurisdictional portion for all renewable energy
347 facilities included in rate base and reflected in customer rates.

348 **Q. Please explain how the inclusion of PTCs in the ECA will operate.**

349 A. MidAmerican proposes to adjust the costs charged through the ECA by the pre-
350 tax amount of federal PTCs, recorded in account 409.1, grossed up at the rate of
351 1.538. The credit will be reduced for any negative energy settlements from

352 those renewable power projects that result during the period the projects are
353 eligible for the production tax credit.

354 **Q. Why is it reasonable for PTCs to be grossed up at a rate of 1.538?**

355 A. PTCs are a direct reduction to income taxes that must be paid. Grossing up the
356 PTCs at a rate of 1.538 provides a reduction in revenue from the ECA by an
357 amount that, when income tax rates are applied, would reduce income taxes by
358 the amount of the PTCs.

359 **Q. Does MidAmerican currently receive any carbon dioxide credits or
360 environmentally related benefits, other than RECs or PTCs, that are
361 associated with renewable power generation?**

362 A. No, not at this time. However, MidAmerican is seeking general approval to
363 return 90% of net revenues associated with the sale of other future
364 environmental benefits to South Dakota retail customers through the ECA as an
365 incentive. MidAmerican will file a compliance tariff filing to show the
366 additional ECA element at the time if it is able to benefit from any such credits.

367 **Q. Please provide an overview of how the ECA will function.**

368 A. The ECA will be calculated annually and remain unchanged throughout the
369 year. Annually, MidAmerican will estimate the next year's cost to generate and
370 procure energy for its South Dakota jurisdictional retail load, net of PTC and
371 REC offsets. These net costs, along with applicable true-up of costs from the
372 previous reconciliation, will be divided by estimated South Dakota retail
373 kilowatt-hour sales to determine an ECA factor rounded to the nearest
374 \$.00001/kWh. The factor will be applied to kilowatt-hours billed. ECA charges

375 will be billed monthly on a uniform per kilowatt-hour basis to all customers in
376 all customer classes. The charge will be shown as a separate line item on the
377 customer's monthly bill. The ECA tariff sheets included in Exhibit DLK 1.1,
378 Schedule B provide the formula for this calculation. An example calculation
379 using 2013 test year information is shown in Statement P of the filing
380 requirements. The roll-out of the ECA costs from base rates is shown on
381 Exhibit DLK 1.1, Schedule D.

Transmission Cost Recovery Clause

382 **Q. Please provide an overview of MidAmerican's proposal for recovery of**
383 **transmission-related revenue requirements from customers.**

384 A. As outlined by MidAmerican witness Stevens' direct testimony,
385 MidAmerican's jurisdictional transmission revenues and costs will be separated
386 into two parts: those revenues and costs that are recovered in base rates and
387 those costs that are recovered through the TCR clause.

388 **Q. Please describe how MidAmerican separates the transmission costs**
389 **between base rates and the TCR clause.**

390 A. Test-year costs eligible for inclusion in the TCR clause are calculated by
391 MidAmerican witness Stevens and test-year TCR clause values are calculated
392 in Exhibit DLK.1.1, Schedule C. Roll-out of test year TCR amounts from base
393 rates is shown on Exhibit DLK 1.1, Schedule D.

394 The balance of transmission costs and revenues that remain in the
395 revenue requirement will be included in the base rates.

396 **Q. Please provide an overview of how the TCR clause will function.**

397 A. Annually, MISO Schedule 9, 10, 10-FERC, 26 and 26-A costs will be netted
398 with forecasted MISO Schedule 26 and 26-A administrative and general
399 revenues for the upcoming year. These net costs will be allocated to customer
400 class based on the 12-CP allocation from the most recent calendar year
401 historical period for which the information is available. The allocated costs will
402 be divided by forecasted annual kilowatt-hour sales for those customer classes
403 with energy only rates to determine the TCR factor rounded to the nearest
404 \$0.00001. The factor will be applied to kilowatt-hour sales.

405 The allocated costs will be divided by annual kW retail demand for
406 those customer classes with kW demand rate components to determine the TCR
407 factor rounded to the nearest cent. The factor will be applied to all retail kW
408 demand sales. TCR rates are calculated in Exhibit DLK 1.0, Schedule C.

409 **Q. Will the forecasted TCR costs be reconciled to actual costs?**

410 A. Yes. As described in MidAmerican witness Stevens' direct testimony, the TCR
411 factor will be based on forecasted costs and forecasted sales. By March 1 of
412 each year, MidAmerican will file an update to the TCR clause based on new
413 MISO rates and will reconcile the previous 12-month TCR clause revenues
414 against actual costs imposed by MISO for that same 12-month period.

415 **Q. How does MidAmerican propose to reflect TCR charges on customer bills?**

416 A. MidAmerican will reflect TCR charges as a separate line item on customer
417 bills.

418

Standby and Supplementary Service Rider

419

420 **Q. Why is MidAmerican proposing a standby and supplementary service**
421 **rider in this proceeding?**

422 A. MidAmerican does not currently have a standby and supplementary service
423 rider in South Dakota. MidAmerican offers this service in its other jurisdictions
424 and wants to be prepared to provide such service in South Dakota should
425 customers request it.

426 **Q. Please describe the important provisions of MidAmerican’s proposed**
427 **rider.**

428 A. MidAmerican’s proposed Standby and Supplementary service rider applies to
429 large customers with customer-owned generation taking service under Rates
430 LS or SS. It includes a reservation charge that is made up of separate
431 generation, transmission and distribution components.

432 **Q. How are the reservation charges for the components determined?**

433 A. The reservation charge for generation is determined by multiplying the
434 generation fixed costs component for the Large General Service class on a per-
435 kW basis by the equivalent forced outage rate (“EFORd”) for the customer. The
436 EFORd will be based on the customer’s actual forced outage rate for the prior
437 12 months, if such information is available. If not, the EFORd will be based on
438 average EFORd rates as published by MISO for the type of generation
439 determined to be most similar to that operated by the customer. This effectively
440 gives the customer credit for the likelihood that he will experience a forced
441 outage at the same time as the Company experiences a system peak. The lower

442 the customer's forced outage rate, the less likely it is that he will have an outage
443 at the time of the Company's peak. Therefore, the customer would pay a lower
444 reservation charge.

445 The reservation charge for transmission for customers with loads of five
446 MW or less will be determined by multiplying the transmission component of
447 the LS tariff rate plus the TCR clause charge for Rate LS by the customer's
448 EFORD, as the customer is small enough for his load not to be specifically
449 taken into account in transmission planning. For customers with loads in excess
450 of five MW, the transmission reservation charge will be the transmission
451 component of the LS tariff rate plus the related TCR clause charge. This is
452 appropriate because for customers of this size, transmission planning will
453 specifically account for the possibility that the customer's load must be served.

454 There will be two separate distribution charges, a substation charge and
455 a distribution service charge. All customers will pay the substation charge, but
456 customers taking service under Rate SS will not pay the distribution service
457 charge, consistent with how the rate was developed. It is appropriate that
458 customers pay the full charge for substation and distribution service (except
459 Rate SS) because the distribution assets built to provide backup service to
460 customers of this size will generally be sized specifically to meet the
461 customer's load.

462 **Q. Will customers taking service under this rider receive any benefits of**
463 **customer diversity?**

464 A. Yes. The rates charged in Rider SPS are based on the standard rates for the
465 large general service class. These rates reflect the benefits of diversity for that
466 class as a whole.

467 **Q. What other charges are assessed to customers under the Standby and**
468 **Supplementary Service rider?**

469 A. When a customer experiences a forced outage, the customer will be charged
470 costs related only to the energy consumed, as he has already paid for his
471 demand requirements related to forced outages through his reservation charges.
472 The energy charges will be set at the greater of the energy cost component of
473 the LS tariff plus the ECA, or the MISO locational marginal price for
474 MidAmerican's load zone plus 10%. This provision is designed to discourage
475 potential use of standby and supplementary service as a way for customers to
476 gain access to MISO market prices when those prices are low and to protect
477 other customers from subsidizing standby service customers when market
478 prices are high.

479 **Q. How will a standby service customer's planned maintenance outages be**
480 **handled?**

481 A. For planned maintenance outages, customers will be charged a daily generation
482 and transmission demand charge based on the highest demand set during the
483 outage multiplied by the number of days the outage is in effect. The daily rate
484 will be the applicable generation and transmission reservation charges divided
485 by the average number of billing days per month. The LS tariff energy charge

486 will be applied to all energy used, as will the ECA. These charges will apply
487 only for the days the customer is actually taking service from the Company.

Rate Case Expense

488 **Q. Please describe MidAmerican's proposed rate case expense adjustment.**

489 A. This adjustment increases test year operating expenses for the estimated cost
490 associated with litigating this rate case. Prior to the conclusion of the case, this
491 estimate will be updated with actual values where available. The adjustment
492 amortizes such costs over a five-year period. MidAmerican witness Tunning
493 includes a pro forma adjustment for rate case expense. Supporting information
494 for rate case expense is attached as Exhibit DLK 1.1, Schedule E.

495 **Q. Why are these costs amortized over five years for purposes of this
496 adjustment?**

497 A. Since these costs are nonrecurring, it would not be equitable to include the
498 entire amount in test year results. However, some recovery of these costs is
499 appropriate since they were incurred specifically on behalf of MidAmerican's
500 South Dakota electric customers. Five years was used as a reasonable
501 approximation of the time between rate filings.

Cash Working Capital

503 **Q. What cash working capital requirements are you supporting in your
504 testimony?**

505 A. I am supporting the cash working capital requirements shown on Exhibit DLK
506 1.1, Schedule F .

507 **Q. Please define cash working capital.**

508 A. Cash working capital is generally the amount of day-to-day capital required to
509 operate a business. Cash working capital is required to cover the time lag
510 between the expenditure of cash in the production and delivery of services and
511 the collection of revenues from the sale of such services.

512 **Q. How is the level of cash working capital to be included in rate base**
513 **determined?**

514 A. The level of cash working capital needed is determined by comparing the
515 amount and timing of payments of costs MidAmerican must make in order to
516 provide electric service to its customers with the amount and timing of the
517 receipt of revenue from customers for that service. The amounts of costs and
518 revenues are obtained from MidAmerican's accounting and customer service
519 systems.

520 MidAmerican Statement F, Schedule F-3, Page 1 shows the calculation
521 of cash working capital needs. In summary, the difference, measured in days,
522 between the revenue lag and the expense lead, is multiplied by the total daily
523 expense to determine the cash working capital amount.

524 **Q. What are lag days and lead days?**

525 A. Lag days refers to the time period between the rendering of service to a
526 customer and the payment by the customer for that service. The revenue lag
527 days used will be consistent across all items needed to provide electric service.
528 Lead days refers to the time period between MidAmerican's acquisition of
529 labor, materials, services and all other costs used to provide electric service and
530 the payment for those costs by the Company.

531 **Q. In this proceeding, what is the number of revenue lag days you propose to**
532 **use?**

533 A. The number of revenue lag days MidAmerican proposes to use in this
534 proceeding is 33.99 days. Because MidAmerican has a significant amount of
535 sales for resale revenues, separate revenue lags are computed for sales for resale
536 revenues and retail revenues. These separate revenue lags were 36.14 days for
537 retail and 24.04 days for sales for resale. The composite revenue lag days were
538 then calculated based on the weighting of the annual retail revenues and the
539 sales for resale revenues. The bases for the components of these revenue lag
540 days are explained and summarized in Schedule F-3 Workpapers.

541 **Q. Please explain how you determined a collection period of 20.0 days.**

542 A. In the Settlement Stipulation of Docket No. NG95-006, the Company and
543 Commission staff agreed to the use of a 20-day pay lag cut off since Section V,
544 Sheet No. 1 of the Company's tariff (Tariff No. 1) filed with the Commission
545 states that bills will be due twenty (20) days after the mailing date. Since a
546 calculation of the collection period made by the Company utilizing actual data
547 supports an amount greater than the 20 days used, the Company has made a pro
548 forma adjustment to the test period income statement to remove late payment
549 penalties. This adjustment, supported by Company witness Tunning,
550 corresponds to the use of the 20-day pay lag cut off which does not reflect cost
551 of service for payments made after the 20-day period. Such treatment is
552 consistent with the position taken by Commission Staff in prior rate cases.

553 **Q. What is the number of lead days you are proposing for expense items?**

554 A. The number of lead days proposed is 34.53. The bases for the lead days for
555 each expense item are explained in Schedule F-3 Workpapers.

556 **Q. Is the method you have used in performing the cash working capital**
557 **calculation consistent with that used in your most recent South Dakota**
558 **case?**

559 A. Yes. The methodology described above is consistent with that used in
560 MidAmerican's electric case Docket No. EL95-11 and gas cases Docket Nos.
561 NG95-006, NG98-011, NG01-010 and NG04-001.

562 **Q. What is the amount of cash working capital that is included in the rate**
563 **base included in MidAmerican Schedule F-3 and supported in the**
564 **testimony of MidAmerican witness Mary Jo Anderson?**

565 A. The cash working capital included in rate base is negative \$19,000 and the
566 advance tax collection is negative \$13,000.

567 **Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?**

568 A. Yes, it does.