

From: Ted Smith
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2013 8:46 AM
To: Tim McCarthy
Subject: RE: EL13-021 SVE/Xcel Territory Exception Question

This is for a single pivot. Based upon a field survey the second pivot is clearly in Xcel Energy territory. The drawing of the second pivot on the map is not correct as the northern most pivot is in Xcel's territory.

Ted Smith, P.E.

Director of Engineering and Operations
Sioux Valley Energy
PO Box 216
Colman, SD 57017
800-234-1960
(DID) 605-256-1608
(Cell) 605-695-0468
(Fax) 605-256-1693

From: Tim McCarthy
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2013 8:42 AM
To: Ted Smith
Subject: FW: EL13-021 SVE/Xcel Territory Exception Question

It was a single pivot point was it not??

From: Rounds, Brian [<mailto:Brian.Rounds@state.sd.us>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2013 8:40 AM
To: 'Wilcox, James C'; Tim McCarthy
Cc: kari.l.valley@xcelenergy.com; 'regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com'; Cremer, Karen
Subject: EL13-021 SVE/Xcel Territory Exception Question

Jim and Tim:

We are placing EL13-021 on the agenda for the Commission's meeting on Tuesday of next week. However, I do have a question. It appears that the letter and agreement point to a single exception for a single pivot, but the map shows two pivots, both of which seem to be in SVE territory. Is the map incorrect in showing the northern most pivot slightly across the territory boundary, or should the agreement reference both pivots?

Thanks.

Brian

--

Brian P. Rounds

Staff Analyst

SD Public Utilities Commission

605.773.3201