

## Comparison of EPA's Mercury Rule and STAPPA/ALAPCO's Model

### **1. Deadlines**

- a. EPA has two phases—2010 and 2018; The 2018 deadline may actually extend into the 2020's when "banking" is used.
- b. STAPPA/ALAPCO Model has two phases—2008 and 2012.

### **2. Emission Reductions**

- a. EPA—Phase I—21% reduction from current levels, based on collateral benefits; Phase II—69% reduction.
- b. STAPPA/ALAPCO Model—Phase I—80% capture; Phase II—90-95% capture.

### **3. Requirements for New Facilities**

- a. EPA requires sources to meet New Source Performance Standards.
- b. STAPPA/ALAPCO Model requires state of the art technology, updated on a periodic basis.

### **4. Trading**

- a. EPA allows interstate trading of mercury emissions.
- b. STAPPA/ALAPCO Model prohibits interstate trading.

### **5. Additional Flexibilities**

- a. EPA relies on trading for flexibility.
- b. STAPPA/ALAPCO Model provides for compliance on a "rolling average," intrastate averaging in Phase I (provided it is limited to the same owner) and extensions of Phase I deadlines (under Option 2) if multi-pollutant control commitments are made.
- c. States also have existing flexibility mechanisms.