

Boyce, Greenfield, Pashby & Welk, LLP

cwmadsen@bgpw.com

January 11, 2007 JAN 1 6 2007 Attorneys at Law Hon. Lori S. Wilbur **SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC** Circuit Court Judge UTILITIES COMMISSION P.O. Box 758 Fort Pierre, SD 57532 101 N. Phillips Ave., Suite 600 In the Matter of the Application by Otter Tail Power Company on Behalf of Big Stone Re: Sioux Falls, SD 57104 II Co-Owners for an Energy Conversion Facility Permit for the Construction of Big Stone II Project Civil No. 06-399 P.O. Box 5015 Our File No. 11402.000 Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015 Dear Judge Wilbur: Enclosed please find an original signature page for the Appellee's Brief dated January 5, 2007 previously forwarded to the Court and served on counsel. In reviewing this matter, it appears P: 605-336-2424 that the incorrect signature page was affixed to the brief when it went out last Friday. The enclosed original signature page is the correct one and I respectfully ask that the Court simply F: 605-334-0618 substitute the enclosed signature page for the one originally affixed to the brief. As you will note the purpose of the correction is to clarify that my client, the Big Stone II Co-owners, are www.bgpw.com requesting oral argument in this matter. At this time I am in the process of coordinating dates with counsel so that we can approach the Court with a group of dates in an effort to schedule the argument at the first convenient time for all the parties. Russell R. Greenfield If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know. By copy of this correspondence Gary J. Pashby along with copies of the corrected signature page I am advising counsel for the PUC and Thomas J. Welk Appellants of the same and ask that they make the proper substitution of pages as well. Michael S. McKnight Gregg S. Greenfield Thank you for your consideration. Roger A. Sudbeck Sincerely yours, Lisa K. Marso Heather R. Springer* BOYCE, GREENFIELD, PASHBY & WELK, L.L.R. Darin W. Larson Michael F. Tobin Christopher W. Madsen Christopher W. Madsen Sherri L. Rotert** CWM/vii Charles A. Larson Enclosure Joanne M. Haase+ cc: John Smith John Davidson Elizabeth Goodpaster *Also licensed in Kansas David Sasseville/Todd Guerrero Bruce Gerhardson/Mark Bring

**Also licensed in Colorado +Also licensed in Minnesota Moreover, the economic discussion in the Commission's decision to which Appellants refer did not, in fact, occur immediately following the carbon dioxide environmental impacts discussion. The latter discussion is in section 19 of the Commission's decision (Findings 133-136), the former discussion in sections 22-23 beginning with Finding 144.

Because the Commission did not in some way improperly balance its environmental findings under SDCL 49-41B-22(2) with economic factors, Appellants' argument that the Commission overstated the Project's economic benefits by underestimating the Project's exposure to possible future carbon dioxide regulatory costs, Appellants' Brief at 21-23, is irrelevant. See Appeallants' Brief p. 21-23. As set forth above, the Commission properly considered the many factors it was required to examine pursuant to the applicable statutes and rules.

CONCLUSION

Ð

Based on the foregoing, Co-owners respectfully request that this Court enter judgment affirming the findings of fact and conclusions of law entered by the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission.

day of January, 2007. Dated this

Thomas J. Welk Christopher W. Madsen BOYCE, GREENFIELD, PASHBY & WELK, L.L.P. P.O. Box 5015 Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015 Telephone: (605) 336-2424 Attorneys for Appellee Big Stone II Co-Owners

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Appellee Otter Tail Power Company respectively requests oral argument before this Court.