

2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis MN 55402-2157 tel 612.977.8400 fax 612.977.8650

AEGEWED

DEC 1 2 2006

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

December 7, 2006

VIA EMAIL and U.S. MAIL

Darla Pollman Rogers Ritter, Rogers, Wattier & Brown, LLP 319 South Coteau Street P.O. Box 280 Pierre, South Dakota 57501-0280 Rolayne Ailts Wiest South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Pierre, South Dakota 57504-5070

Re: Verizon Wireless et al. v. State of South Dakota et al. Court File No. 04-3014

Dear Darla and Rolayne:

As you are aware, the District Court denied Verizon Wireless' motion for summary judgment and expects this matter to proceed to trial. As we discussed with you earlier this year, the federal rules provide that an expert report must contain all opinions that will be expressed at trial, and must identify all data and other information considered by the expert. The affidavit provided by Mr. Thompson in opposition to our motion for summary judgment contains opinions that went well beyond his expert report. We advised you and the court that we believed that testimony was improper. Rather than move to strike, however, we indicated that if the matter was not disposed of on summary judgment, and if you proposed to amend Mr. Thompson's expert report, we would work to negotiate any necessary discovery and other supplementation to address Mr. Thompson's new opinions. At this time, you have not supplemented Mr. Thompson's report. If you intend for Mr. Thompson to express the opinions contained in his affidavit we will need to have a supplemental report and will need to have the opportunity to conduct appropriate discovery on these new issues.

In light of the upcoming holidays and the January scheduling conference, I would like to discuss these matters with you in the next few business days. Please let me know when you would be available.

Very truly yours,

Philip R. Schenkenberg

(612) 977-8246

pschenkenberg@briggs.com

PRS/smo

cc: Charon Phillips