



PLF NO	DEF NO	DATE OFFERED	MARKED	ADMITTED	Potential Rebuttal Witness: Abdelkader Benaouda
DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS <sup>†</sup> AND WITNESSES					
1.					South Dakota Codified Laws §§ 49-31-110, -111, -112, -113, -114, and -155
2.					Cover page and relevant portions of the FCC's First Report and Order, FCC 96-325 (paragraphs 1041-1045)
3.					InterMTA analysis
4.					Information from Vantage Point Solutions' website regarding national and state organizations Vantage Point Solutions is a member of
5.					Mission Statement of the Rural Independent Competitive Alliance
6.					Information from Rural Independent Competitive Alliance's website regarding the organization and its function
7.					Information from National Telecommunications Cooperative Association's website
8.					Intervenors' and Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and Requests for Admission
9.					Responses of Defendants and Intervenors to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories
10.					Defendant's/Intervenors' Responses to Plaintiffs' Third Set of Interrogatories and Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents
11.					Exhibit Q11a to Defendants'/Intervenors' Responses to Plaintiff's Third Set of Interrogatories and Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents depicting the results of wireless InterMTA studies performed by VPS for Rural South Dakota LECs
12.					Exhibit Q11b1 to Defendants'/Intervenors' Responses to Plaintiff's Third Set of Interrogatories and Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents depicting additional results from wireless InterMTA studies performed by VPS

<sup>†</sup> Include a notation as to the location of any exhibit not held with the case file or not available because of size.

PLF NO	DEF NO	DATE OFFERED	MARKED	ADMITTED	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS <sup>‡</sup> AND WITNESSES
13.					Exhibit Q11c to Defendants'/Intervenors' Responses to Plaintiff's Third Set of Interrogatories and Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents depicting the amount VPS billed to clients for performing the wireless InterMTA studies
14.					Cover page and relevant selected portions of the Missoula Plan, filed July 18, 2006
15.					Cover page and filing by supporters of the Missoula Plan in support of a comprehensive solution for Phantom Traffic dated November 6, 2006
16.					Comments of the South Dakota Telecommunications Association regarding the Missoula Plan in FCC Docket No. 01-92 dated October 25, 2006
17.					Comments of the South Dakota Telecommunications Association regarding amendments to the Missoula Plan in FCC Docket No. 01-92 dated March 19, 2007
18.					Comments of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission regarding the Missoula Plan in FCC Docket No. 01-92 dated February 1, 2007

<sup>‡</sup> Include a notation as to the location of any exhibit not held with the case file or not available because of size.

Dated this 31st day of July, 2007

LYNN, JACKSON, SHULTZ & LEBRUN, P.C.

By: /s/ Craig A. Pfeifle

Gene N. Lebrun  
Craig A. Pfeifle  
909 St. Joseph Street  
P.O. Box 8250  
Rapid City, SD 57709  
605-342-2592

Philip R. Schenkenberg  
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.  
2200 IDS Center  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
612-977-8400

**Attorneys for Plaintiffs**

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 31, 2007, I electronically filed a true and correct copy of **Verizon Wireless' Exhibit and Witness List**, relative to the above-entitled matter, with the United States District Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which sent notification of such filing to the following:

Ms. Rolayne Ailts Wiest  
rolayne.wiest@state.sd.us

Ms. Margo D. Northrup  
m.northrup@riterlaw.com

Ms. Darla Pollman Rogers  
dprogers@riterlaw.com

/s/ Craig A. Pfeifle

Craig A. Pfeifle