

16 Delores Assid, and I inherited the farm in 1988, when my mother passed away. We
17 continue to rent the farmland to a farmer, Doug Vanderwerff, who grows corn and
18 soybeans on it. My two sons and my two nieces will someday inherit the farm from my
19 sisters and me. They plan on continuing to own the land and rent it out.

20 **Please describe your current farming operations.**

21 We rent out the farm for cash rent. The tenant farmer, Doug Vanderwerff, grows corn
22 and soybeans, and has a little hay land on the half section. This man has been farming
23 our land for about 30 years and plans to continue to do so.

24 **To the best of your knowledge, what area(s) of your property will the Dakota Access
25 Pipeline cross?**

26 The pipeline would cross the southeast quarter section (160 acres) of the farm from the
27 northwest corner to the southeast corner, effectively cutting that quarter section in half.

28 **How close is the pipeline to any building, bin or pen, water source, or farming
29 facilities (i.e., storage area, feedlot, grazing area, etc.)?**

30 The pipeline would run approximately 50 feet from the land surrounding the farm
31 buildings and the windmill, which provides water for the house.

32 **Please describe any special characteristics of your property and farmland, and/or
33 whether you plan to build any houses, outbuildings, shelter belts, or other structures
34 on your property.**

35 My land has cement tile going from a pond north of the house to the road ditch south of
36 the house. The proposed pipeline **would** cross this tile. There is also tile a short distance
37 west of this tile. I'm not sure if the pipeline would cross that tile or not. My sisters and I
38 have also considered selling one acreage on the northeast corner of the farm.

39 **Please describe which of your farming operations or other land uses will be**
40 **impaired by the Dakota Access Pipeline and how they will be impaired.**

41 Corn and soybeans are both grown yearly in alternating areas in that quarter section of
42 the farm. The pipeline would severely cut down on crop production of each of them.

43 The tenant would lose acres to plant, receive much less income from that quarter section,
44 and it would inconvenience him when trying to farm the land, with the pipeline cutting
45 that quarter section in half. Consequently, he would be unwilling to pay as much rent per
46 acre, so we would be losing income. No one else would be willing to farm it either, with
47 that pipeline running through there. Also, if we did try to sell any acreages, people would
48 not want to buy and build on the land with that pipeline under it. Dakota Access would
49 not allow any buildings on the easement, either.

50 **Has your farmland been improved with drain tile? If so, please describe whether**
51 **you are concerned that pipeline construction may damage and impair the drain tile**
52 **performance and investment.**

53 Yes, this quarter has two areas of drain tile. The pipeline would cross at least one of
54 them. The tile is cement and quite old. I am very much afraid that the tile would be
55 damaged. Then the water would not drain out of the low area and could reach the house
56 and other buildings. It would be very costly to replace the drain tiles if they were
57 damaged. I'm also afraid oil could get into the tiles and into the water if the tiles were
58 broken.

59 **Do you believe that the Dakota Access Pipeline will pose a threat of serious injury to**
60 **the environment or the inhabitants within the siting area? If so, why?**

61 Yes, I definitely believe the pipeline would pose a threat to the environment and the
62 inhabitants of this farm. The oil could leak onto the land and into the water as it has often

63 done in many other areas. The oil could flow into Little Beaver Creek which runs through
64 the farm. Then it could get into Beaver Creek, and subsequently into the Sioux River and
65 the aquifer. The oil in this pipeline is a highly volatile substance. Pipelines explode,
66 rupture, and leak. Even with shut-off valves, a great deal of oil would escape into the
67 environment. If the pipeline exploded, it could definitely hurt or kill people and animals
68 in the area. Also, the oil could be poisonous and carcinogenic to the people and animals
69 in contact with it. I have designated wetlands on my farm which could be threatened by
70 the pipeline.

71 **Do you believe that the Dakota Access Pipeline will substantially impair the health,**
72 **safety and welfare of the inhabitants of the siting area? If so, why?**

73 Yes, it will most definitely impair the financial welfare of the tenant farmer and the
74 landowners (us), due to the amount of land that will be dug up all the way across that
75 quarter section. Crops will not be as good. This could happen again and again, anytime
76 the pipeline company would decide to go back in and dig it up to put more pipes in, or to
77 work on them for some reason. Yet the pipeline company is only offering a onetime
78 lump sum payment. I am also concerned that stray voltage could affect the health, safety,
79 and welfare of the tenant farmer, the residents, and anyone else near the pipeline. As I
80 stated before, the oil itself could affect the health, safety, and welfare of everyone in the
81 area because of the volatility of the oil and the chemicals that the oil contains. Dakota
82 Access cannot guarantee the safety of the pipeline. There have been more pipeline
83 accidents than train accidents involving oil.

84 **Have you been sued by Dakota Access Pipeline to compel court ordered access to**
85 **your land? If so, (1) Has Dakota Access Pipeline provided you any legal authority**
86 **(i.e., state statute) supporting its claim that you have no right to exclude Dakota**

87 **Access from your land at the time of said lawsuit? and (2) Have you incurred legal**
88 **fees in defending against said lawsuit?**

89 Yes, Dakota Access has filed a lawsuit against us to allow them to enter our farm to
90 survey it. They have been told “No” two different times, that they could not enter our
91 land. Yes, we have hired a lawyer, Glenn Boomsma, to represent us in this matter. This
92 is costing us a great deal of money.

93 **Has Dakota Access Pipeline made any statements to you that it is a “common**
94 **carrier” under South Dakota law? If so, please describe.**

95 No, they did not.

96 **Has any representative of Dakota Access Pipeline made any statements to you or**
97 **others that you believe are not true? If so, please explain.**

98 Yes, first of all they told us we should allow them on my land. If we don’t, they will just
99 take it by eminent domain, anyway. However, they do not have the right of eminent
100 domain as of yet.

101 Secondly, they told Rhonda Nielsen, who lives in the house on that quarter section, that
102 my sisters and I had agreed to let Dakota Access enter my land, survey it, and build the
103 pipeline there. They also told her there was nothing she could do about it. Rhonda was
104 very upset that we would do this. We never gave them permission to enter our land,
105 survey it, or build the pipeline there.

106 **Please state any other concerns you have regarding the Dakota Access Pipeline.**

107 South Dakota and Iowa both grow large amounts of corn. Ethanol producers in South
108 Dakota use much of this corn to produce ethanol, which greatly helps the economy of
109 South Dakota. The oil pipeline will benefit the economy of North Dakota and Texas, but
110 will be of only a small benefit to the economy of South Dakota. That oil is a non-

111 renewable source of fuel and produces greenhouse gases. Corn is a renewable source of
112 fuel. South Dakota should be putting all of its effort into increasing the supply and
113 demand for ethanol. This would be much more beneficial to the farmers and to the state.

114 **Would you be available to present testimony and respond to questions during the**
115 **formal hearing scheduled for September 29 through October 8, 2015?**

116 No. I am 83 years old and live in Colorado and have no way to get there as it is a great
117 distance to travel.

118 I also gave my permission for, Laurie Kunzelman, to speak on my behalf during the
119 formal hearing. Her address is 3604 East Woodsedge St., Sioux Falls, SD 57108.

120 **Does that conclude your testimony?**

121 Yes.

122
123
124 Margaret Hilt
125 Margaret Hilt

126
127
128 Subscribed and sworn before me this 19th day of June, 2015.

129
130
131 Ericka Wieck
132 Notary Public - Kansas
133 My Commission Expires: 12-31-17

134 <SEAL>

