From: Peggy Hoogestraat <gardengalpeggy@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 28, 2023 2:04 PM

To: PUC-PUC <<u>PUC@state.sd.us</u>>

Subject: [EXT] Additional comments on HP14-002, Motion to release bond & terminate officer

South Dakota PUC Commissioners:

Thank you for reading my previous comments from August 19, 2023 in regards to the recent motion to release the construction bond and terminate the appointment of public liaison officer for Dakota Access. My greatest concern at this time is the lack of correspondence with "all affected landowners, utilities, and local governmental units". How can you receive comments from the parties involved if they don't even know about the motion or the upcoming Commission meeting that will be addressing this issue? It is a fact that many of the parties involved with the docket in the past:

have different email addresses

have moved into other jobs

have been replaced by other employees/public servants

have sold their property

have died

were not involved with the docket but have easement agreements with Dakota Access

How can we know that every party involved has had a chance to comment or be involved? I do not know what the law requires nor have I employed an attorney to know that.

My own personal easement agreement with Dakota Access includes Exhibit A Permit Conditions which state "Dakota Access shall give notice of the existence and amount of these bonds to all counties, townships, and other governmental entities whose property is crossed by the Project" Condition 25d Perhaps Dakota Access has provided a complete list of contacts to you which is not available to the public.

Thank you, once again, for reading my concerns for ALL involved with the Dakota Access Pipeline. Traveling to Pierre to attend Commission meetings is not always an option for me. And THANK YOU for serving the South Dakota citizens!

Sincerely, Peggy A Hoogestraat 27575 462nd Ave Chancellor, SD 57015 605-214-0623 gardengalpeggy@gmail.com