BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

 $0 \hbox{-} 0 \hbox{-}$

HP 14-001

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, LP FOR ORDER ACCEPTING CERTIFICATION OF PERMIT ISSUED IN DOCKET HP09-001 TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE XL PROJECT

KEYSTONE'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO STRIKE THE ARTICLE BY LINDA BLACK ELK AND RESTRICT HER TESTIMONY

 $0 \hbox{-} 0 \hbox{-}$

Applicant respectfully moves the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission to enter its order striking the article entitled "Culturally Important Plants of the Lakota" from the record and restricting the testimony of Linda Black Elk for the following reasons and on the following grounds:

- 1. Standing Rock Sioux did not file or serve any pre-filed direct or rebuttal testimony for Linda Black Elk;
- 2. There is no foundation for the report entitled "Culturally Important Plants of the Lakota";
- 3. The report entitled "Culturally Important Plants of the Lakota" is not relevant to any issue before the Commission.

The Commission routinely orders, pursuant to SDR 20:10:01:22.06, that testimony be prepared in written form and filed with the Commission in advance of the hearing. The Commission entered an order to that end in this proceeding in November of 2014 and has periodically amended the order since. As the Commission has observed, pre-filed testimony serves to expedite the hearing, give all parties notice of matters in consideration, shortens discovery, and allows preparation for trial and cross-examination.

On April 2, 2015 the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe submitted what it described as the prefiled testimony of four witnesses, one of which was listed as "Linda Black Elk's Testimony." The filing consists of an article entitled "Culturally Important Plants of the Lakota", which Ms. {01982130.1} Black Elk apparently authored and nothing else. The filing was not supported with a statement of Ms. Black Elk, a summary of testimony she purported to give, or anything by way of foundation for the article's admission into evidence. It is apparent that Standing Rock intends to call Ms. Black Elk as a witness in these proceedings, as she is listed as a witness and her article is listed as an exhibit in the Tribe's July 7 witness and exhibit list.

The unsupported April 2 filing of the article "Culturally Important Plants of the Lakota" does not constitute pre-filed testimony in the sense directed by the Commission. It is not testimony and is not directed at any issue in this proceeding. There is no witness statement explaining what the article is, the foundation for its conclusions, how it is relevant to the matters in issue, and how it bears on Applicant's certification application. Accordingly, it does not constitute pre-filed testimony in the sense of the Commission's order or its regulations.

In the form filed, the article is hearsay and without foundation. Hearsay, per the South Dakota rules of evidence, is unsworn out-of-court statements offered for the truth of the matter asserted. *See* SDCL § 19-19-801. Hearsay is not admissible in this proceeding. An article may not be offered as a substitute for the testimony of the author, for the simple reason that the article cannot be cross-examined. Accordingly, it is not admissible as evidence in these proceedings. A learned treatise is admissible into evidence, but only after proper foundation for the article is presented, and then under limited circumstances. *See* SDCL § 19-19-803(18).

The article, while interesting, has no bearing on any issue before the Commission in this proceeding. It purports to identify plants used for food, medicine and other purposes by Lakota, identifies the genus and species and Lakota word(s) for the plants, but describes no locus for the plants, or how the certification of the Applicant's project relates to plants described. The article and its contents simply lack relevance to the matters here in issue.

It is entirely inappropriate to let Ms. Black Elk appear and offer facts, opinions, or give testimony in this proceeding. She has not filed any testimony, the parties have no idea what she may have to say, no discovery has been conducted with respect to anything she might say or opinion she might offer. To allow her to testify, even to lay foundation for the article she authored, corrupts the Commission's order requiring pre-filing of testimony and is unfair to the parties.

For those reasons, Applicant respectfully requests the Commission enter its order prohibiting Ms. Black Elk from testifying in the hearing on Applicant's Certification Petition and striking the article "Culturally Important Plants of the Lakota" from the record.

Dated this 10th day of July, 2015.

WOODS, FULLER, SHULTZ & SMITH P.C.

By /s/ James E. Moore
James E. Moore
PO Box 5027
300 South Phillips Avenue, Suite 300
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5027
Phone (605) 336-3890
Fax (605) 339-3357
Email James.Moore@woodsfuller.com

- and -

William Taylor 2921 E. 57th Street, #10 Sioux Falls, SD 57108 Phone 605-212-1750 Bill.Taylor@williamgtaylor.com

Attorneys for Applicant TransCanada

{01982130.1}