		E XL PIPELINE PROJE ROUTE VARIATION FO			
VARIATION TYPE: Refinement:	Rerout	te: X	Footprint:	De	esign:
Centerline:	X Pump Statio	on:	Valve Site:		CAR:
LOCATION: Ske	etch: A	ttached	Pictures: N/A		
State: SD	County: Harding		Quad Map: N/A		
Township: (021N), 020N	Range: (003E), 004E	-	· <u> </u>	• e attached map shee	t
Section: (36), 1, 6, 7	Centerlir	ne: 6/11/2013	MP:	305.89 to	308.00
REASON FOR ROUTE VARIATION (PI	ease include reason for ro	ute variation):			
The primary reason for this CL reroute is	s to avoid 4 Continental Oil	l wellheads/lines current	y crossed by the K	XL line.	
Route variation was field verified and civ	vil surveyed. Proposed CL	reroute is requested by	Engineering.		
DETAIL ROUTE VARIATION (Please de	escribe route variation in d	etail):			
ML-SD-HA-00960.000 (Clarkson & Com ML-SD-HA-00990.000 (David Niemi) ML-SD-HA-01040.000 (David Niemi) ML-SD-HA-01080.000 (David Niemi) ML-SD-HA-01060.000 (David M. Niemi)	,				
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include	e any additional impacts wh	hich may affect cost; cro	ssings, induction b	ends, etc.):	
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia	e any additional impacts wh tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings?	hich may affect cost; cro	ssings, induction be	ends, etc.): X	No
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia	e any additional impacts wh tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings?	hich may affect cost; cro			No
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines	nich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed. /wellheads.			No
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the nur If yes, please list: <u>Proposed reroute av</u> COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or sav	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines	nich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed. /wellheads.	Yes		No \$ 360/ft
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the nur If yes, please list: <u>Proposed reroute av</u>	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines red from the route variation	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed. //wellheads.	Yes t. \$	X	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or sav Additional length of route realignment: Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines red from the route variation	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed. //wellheads. n) f f	Yes t t	X	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or saw Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length of wetland construction	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines red from the route variation 1:	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed. //wellheads. 1) f	Yes t t	X 138,314.96 - - -	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or sav Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length for elength (Road, RR):	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines ved from the route variation n:	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed. //wellheads. 1) f	Yes t t	X 138,314.96 -	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or saw Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length of wetland construction	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? <u>roids 4 Continental oil lines</u> ved from the route variation 1: 1:	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed.	Yes t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ EA \$	X 138,314.96 - - - (120,000.00)	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or sav Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length for elength (Road, RR):	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? <u>roids 4 Continental oil lines</u> ved from the route variation 1: 1: 1: 35 - 65' +	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed.	Yes t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ EA \$	X 138,314.96 - - -	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or sav Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length for elength (Road, RR):	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines ved from the route variation v: 1: 1: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5:	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed.	Yes t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$	X 138,314.96 - - - (120,000.00)	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 77,250/EA
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the nur If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or say Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill constructior Additional length of wetland constructior Additional length of wetland constructior Additional lore length (Road, RR): Additional foreign line/pipeline crossings Additional water body crossing (streams	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? <u>roids 4 Continental oil lines</u> ved from the route variation 1: 1: 1: 35 - 65' +	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed.	Yes t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ t. \$ EA \$	X 138,314.96 - - - (120,000.00)	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or sav Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length for elength (Road, RR):	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines red from the route variation r: 1: 1: 2: 35 - 65' + 10' - 19' Less than 10'	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed.	Yes	X 138,314.96 - - - (120,000.00)	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 32,500/EA
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varia Is there an increase/decrease in the nur If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or say Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill constructior Additional length of wetland constructior Additional length of wetland constructior Additional lore length (Road, RR): Additional foreign line/pipeline crossings Additional water body crossing (streams	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines red from the route variation r: 1: 1: 2: 35 - 65' + 10' - 19' Less than 10' Civil:	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed.	Yes	X 138,314.96 - - (120,000.00) - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 32,500/EA \$ 32,500/EA \$ 5,000/mile
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varial Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or saw Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional lore length (Road, RR): Additional foreign line/pipeline crossings Additional water body crossing (streams Additional survey required:	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines red from the route variation r: 1: 1: 2: 35 - 65' + 10' - 19' Less than 10'	hich may affect cost; cro himentally surveyed.	Yes	X 138,314.96 - - (120,000.00) - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 32,500/EA
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include The majority of the proposed route varial Is there an increase/decrease in the num If yes, please list: Proposed reroute av COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or saw Additional length of route realignment Additional length of side-hill construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional length of wetland construction Additional lore length (Road, RR): Additional foreign line/pipeline crossings Additional water body crossing (streams Additional survey required:	e any additional impacts wi tion will need to be enviror nber of crossings? roids 4 Continental oil lines red from the route variation r: 1: 2: 35 - 65' + 10' - 19' Less than 10' Civil: Cultural: Biological:	hich may affect cost; cro nmentally surveyed.	Yes	X 138,314.96 - - (120,000.00) - (120,000.00) - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 360/ft \$ 19/ft \$ 195/ft \$ 540/ft \$ 30,000/EA \$ 185,000/EA \$ 77,250/EA \$ 32,500/EA \$ 5,000/mile \$ 2,500/mile

<ul> <li><u>4 LAND / TransCanada</u></li> <li>a new landowner affected by the proposed variation?</li> </ul>	Tina Hall			
	ind i di	Yes	_	No X
b) Is the affected landowner/tract a possible condemnation?		Yes		No X
c) Does proposed route variation impact Tribal Lands?		Yes		No X
d) Does proposed route variation impact any Federal/State Lan	adaí	Yes		
	ius:	Tes		No <u>X</u>
-If yes, name type (i.e. USFWS, BLM, etc.):		Ver	Y	Ne
e) Is proposed realignment outside the easement/workspace?		Yes	<u> </u>	No
f) Is realignment proposed to satisfy landowner request?		Yes		No <u>X</u>
-If yes, name of landowner(s)/track number(s):				
g) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this sp	pecific discipline?	Yes	Х	No
If no, please explain why:				
5 ENGINEERING/CONSTRUCTION - TransCanada	Meera Kothari			
a) Maximum deviation perpendicular to proposed alignment:			<u>1,093</u> f	
b) Does variation (CL) (including workspaces) falls within 500	ft. MDEQ Corridor?	Yes	N/A	No
c) Has the centerline been staked for construction?		Yes		No <u>X</u>
d) Does route variation affect HDD crossing alignment?		Yes		No <u>X</u>
e) Is realignment proposed for engineering/construction reasons?		Yes	Х	No
f) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?		Yes		No X
g) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this sp	pecific discipline?	Yes	x	No
If no, please explain why:	•			
	Or and the Diff.			
6 ENVIRONMENTAL - TransCanada	Sandra Barnett			
a) Has the corridor been environmentally surveyed?		Yes	<u>X</u>	No
b) Has the proposed variation been environmentally surveyed?		Yes		No <u>X</u>
c) Does proposed route variation impact Sage Grouse areas?		Yes		No <u>X</u>
d) Does route variation impact ABB areas?		Yes		No <u>X</u>
e) Was variation proposed to satisfy environmental issues?		Yes		No X
f) Was realignment proposed to satisfy agency request?		Yes		No X
-If yes, name of agency(s):	_			
g) Environmental features:				
Added (+):		Subtracted (-):		
		1 1		
Wetland ID # for newly impacted wetland				
<ul> <li>h) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this space.</li> </ul>				
	pecific discipline?	Yes	Х	No
If no, please explain why:	pecific discipline?	Yes	X	No
If no, please explain why:	pecific discipline?	Yes	X	No
7		Yes	X	No
7 7 ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)	Sandra Gigovic		X	
7 <u>ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS</u> ( <i>if applicable</i> ) a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?		Yes	X	 NoX
7 ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)			X	
7 <u>ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS</u> (if applicable) a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?		Yes	X	 NoX
7 ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable) a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station? b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?	Sandra Gigovic	Yes	x	No <u>X</u> No
7 ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable) a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station? b) Will route variation impact hydraulics? c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?	Sandra Gigovic	Yes Yes Yes		No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>
<ul> <li>7 ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable) <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split no, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic	Yes Yes Yes		No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable) <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split foo, please explain why:</li> </ul></li></ul>	Sandra Gigovic	Yes Yes Yes		No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>
<ul> <li>7 ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable) <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split no, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic	Yes Yes Yes		No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)         <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this sy If no, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> <li>8 <u>STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL</u> (if applicable)</li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen	Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No X No X
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> <u>ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS</u> (<i>if applicable</i>)         <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> <li>8 <u>STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL</u> (<i>if applicable</i>)         <ul> <li>a) Does the variation result in any new stakeholders?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen	Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No X No X
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> <u>ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS</u> (<i>if applicable</i>)         <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> <li>8 <u>STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL</u> (<i>if applicable</i>)         <ul> <li>a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No X No X No X
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> <u>ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS</u> (<i>if applicable</i>)         <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> <li>8 <u>STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL</u> (<i>if applicable</i>)         <ul> <li>a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group of the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No X No X No X
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup>         ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)         a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?         b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?         c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?         d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:         8         STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL (if applicable)         a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group of the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?         -If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder databae d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split.     </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	X	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup>         ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)         a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?         b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?         c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?         d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:         8         STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL (if applicable)         a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group of the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?        </li></ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	x	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup>         ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)         a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?         b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?         c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?         d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:         8         STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL (if applicable)         a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group of the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?         -If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder databae d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split.     </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	X	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X
7       ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)         a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?         b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?         c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?         d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:         8         STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL (if applicable)         a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group of the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?         -If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder databaad) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split no, please explain why:         9       Originator:         Engineering	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	X 10 Received by:	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)         <ul> <li>a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?</li> <li>b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?</li> <li>c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?</li> <li>d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> <li>8 STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL (if applicable)         <ul> <li>a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group of the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?</li> <li>-If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder databated) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	X X 10 Received by:_ Date:	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X
7       ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS (if applicable)         a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?         b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?         c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?         d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:         8         STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL (if applicable)         a) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder group of the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?         -If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder databaad)         d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this split fino, please explain why:	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	X X 10 Received by:_ Date:	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X
Provide the set of	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	X X N Received by: Date:	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X
Provide the set of	Sandra Gigovic pecific discipline? Bud Andersen pups? ise):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	X X 10 Received by: Date: 12	No X No X No X No X No X No X No X No X

0456-SD-P4-305.9-308-S

## KEYSTONE XL PIPELINE PROJECT



