

From: Elizabeth Lone Eagle[SMTP:BE1HCBES1@GMAIL.COM]

Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 3:45:47 AM

To: Amy Schaffer; Arthur Tanderup;

Benjamin D. Gotschall (ben@boldnebraska.org); Bruce & RoxAnn Boettcher;

Bruce Ellison (bell4law@aol.com); Byron & Diana Steskal; Carolyn Smith;

Chastity Jewett (chasjewett@gmail.com); Chris Hesla (sdwf@mncomm.com);

Cindy Myers; Cyril Scott (cscott@gwtc.net);

Cyril Scott (paula.antoine@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov); Dallas Goldtooth;

Debbie J. Trapp (mtdt@goldenwest.net);

Douglas Hayes (doug.hayes@sierraclub.org); Duncan Meisel (duncan@350.org);

Eric Antoine (ejantoine@hotmail.com);

Frank James (fejames@dakotarural.org); Gary Dorr;

Gena Parkhurst (gmp66@hotmail.com); woodsfuller.com, james.moore;

Jane Kleeb (jane@boldnebraska.org); Jeff Jensen; John Harter; Joye Braun;

Kearney, Darren; Kevin C. Keckler (kevinckeckler@yahoo.com);

Edwards, Kristen; Lewis GrassRope; Louis Genung; Nancy Hilding;

Paul F. Seamans; Peter Capossela (pcapossela@nu-world.com);

Robert Allpress; Robert Flying Hawk (Robertflyinghawk@gmail.com);

Robert P. Gough (bobgough@intertribalCOUP.org);

Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Paula Antoine 2; Rounds, Brian;

Sabrina King (sabrina@dakotarural.org); Terry & Cheryl Frisch;

Thomasina Real Bird (trealbird@ndnlaw.com);

Tom BK Goldtooth (ien@lgc.org);

Tony Rogers (tuc@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov); Van Gerpen, Patty;

Viola Waln; William Taylor (bill.taylor@woodsfuller.com);

Wrexie Lainson Bardaglio; Douglas, Tina (PUC); Fiegen, Kristie;

Hanson, Gary (PUC); Nelson, Chris; Rislov, Greg; Smith, John (PUC);

Wiest, Rolayne

Cc: PUC Docket Filings

Subject: Fwd: HP14-001

Auto forwarded by a Rule

This is a forwarded copy of my attempt to correct my filing immediately after receiving the ONLY communication from the PUC that I can find which lists the correct filing email address. As I stated in my earlier email to Kristen, I did not receive the email with the correct filing email address until 10:30 PM on December 1. Since I live in Bridger we are on Mountain Standard Time. I later discovered I was not the only individual intervenor who had this problem. Joye Braun had the same problem. Joye had double checked her communications as well and discovered the same thing I had. Until the email from the PUC was forwarded to her and which was not originally sent until sometime this afternoon, there was no correct filing email included in any of her communications from the PUC. Joye also did not receive the email until after the 5:00PM CST deadline.

I hope that in the future all correct filing information is included in PUC communications. I also would like to express that I was a bit surprised that we were also expected to submit proposals for procedural scheduling by December 1, when it was my understanding that this was not going to be further discussed until the hearing on December 9 after the PUC ruled on the TransCanada motion. I believe that the scheduling conflicts that arose were in part due to a decision needed on this motion. Which is why the PUC decided to not discuss the scheduling until after the ruling.

With that said. If allowed to do so on December 9, I intend to address the PUC regarding the scheduling and remind them to take into consideration that those of us who are individual intervenors are the very citizens for whom this process is intended to include. So far I don't feel very included.

It is my hope that in the interest of serving the best interests of the citizens of South Dakota that our participation is treated with the respect it deserves. Those of us who are individual intervenors DO NOT do this for a living. We are doing this on our own time. The ranchers and farmers work up to 14 hours a day, then take care of their families at night. I am a fulltime mother, homemaker and educator. We have taken the time to we know is necessary to protect what it is we hold dear. These are the reasons we have requested Party Status to begin with.

WE are the ones with a VESTED interest in the outcome of these proceedings and it is WE who will be MOST AFFECTED by the outcome. The schedules submitted by both TransCanada and the PUC staff have no consideration for those of us who do not collect a paycheck as a result of this process. What matters to me as an individual intervenor is that I get the time I need and deserve. I do not have a staff to sift through all the information and figure out what applies. I have to do all the work myself, and that takes time.

There is a reason for ONE YEAR deadline. It was to allow people like me and the other individual intervenors the time WE need as private citizens to decipher the information and make informed decisions. It was NOT intended to accommodate corporations and their lawyers. This process is to insure that we citizens are able to fully participate. Anything less than full consideration of ALL parties INCLUDING individual intervenors is another discriminatory act in effecting classism in this process.

I have already taken issue with the notarization of the applications and though I am aware that it is in the PUC administration rules, the APPLICATION of the rule is just as important as the rule itself in determining discriminatory practices. Waiting until a very late hour on the day the responses to TransCanada's motion are due to provide the correct filing email creates an appearance of unjustified favoritism toward the applicant in a process that is intended to provide Due Process and equal access to the citizens of South Dakota. It is one of the reasons why South Dakota's Public Utilities Commissioners are ELECTED officials. It is their job to serve the best interests of their constituency, the INDIVIDUALS WHO WENT TO THE VOTING BOOTHS AND ELECTED THEM, not the profit margins of multi-billion dollar corporations and their share holders.

I hope this does not continue to be a problem throughout this process.

Sincerely
Elizabeth Lone Eagle
PO Box 160
593 Bridger
Howes, SD 57748
bethcbest@gmail.com