

Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 2:34:02 AM

To: Paul Seamans; Lashley, Joy (PUC); Amy Schaffer; April D. McCart; Arthur Tanderup; Benjamin D. Gotschall; Bruce & RoxAnn Boettcher; Bruce Ellison; Byron & Diana Steskal; Carolyn Smith; Chastity Jewett; Chris Hesla; Cindy Myers; Cyril Scott; Dallas Goldtooth; Debbie J. Trapp; Douglas Hayes; Duncan Meisel; Edwards, Kristen; Elizabeth Lone Eagle; Eric Antoine; Frank James; Gary Dorr; Gena Parkhurst; woodsfuller.com, james.moore; Jane Kleebe; Jeff Jensen; John Harter; Kearney, Darren; Kevin Keckler; Lewis GrassRope; Louis Genung; Mary Turgeon Wynne Esq.; Matthew L. Rappold - Rappold Law Office; Nancy Hilding; Peter Capossela; Robert Allpress; Robert Flying Hawk; Robert P. Gough; Robin S. Martinez; Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Paula Antoine; Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Paula Antoine 2; Rounds, Brian; Sabrina King; Terry & Cheryl Frisch; Thomasina Real Bird; Tom BK Goldtooth; Tracey Zephier; Van Gerpen, Patty; Viola Waln; William Taylor; Wrexie Lainson Bardaglio; jpje@goldenwest.net

Cc: PUC Docket Filings

Subject: Re: Re HP14-001 to the SD Public Utilities Commission and Interested Intervenors

Auto forwarded by a Rule

I have recieved notification that my filing to express concerns with Keystone's to limit the scope of discovery was not accepted because of an email address and getting information on how to do this at a late date. I searched through all the information that was available on the South Dakota Public Utilities website to make sure I was using the correct email and postal mail addresses for those that requested service through that venue and no where is the email address pucdocketfilings@state.sd.us there. I had to travel to Bismarck ND for a medical appointment that was scheduled for Monday December 1 2014 at 8:30 in the morning central time. It was for a IV therapy and could not be missed so we left Eagle Butte SD at 3 AM to be make the appointment. I was not available to be online to receive the information sent at after 1 AM by another intervener Nor do I have a cell phone, and was not online while we were travelling for medical reasons. If you need confirmation the name of the doctor I saw was Dr. Francis at St. Alexius in Bismarck ND.

Here is one link regarding Intervention status <https://puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf> Here is the service list link, <https://puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001servicelist.aspx> , and I have searched through

- Prehearing Scheduling Conference Order
- Order for and Notice of Motion Hearing
- Order Changing Motion Hearing Date and Order for and Notice of Scheduling Hearing

I am curious how on December 1, 2014 can a email be sent out to (which I did not receive. I looked through my inbox, spam, trash, etc and it was not where to be found. I do not know why I did not receive it, but I did have it forwarded to me so I could see what it

said. Since I did not get the email regarding proposed procedural schedules, and that email was sent out to the SD PUC commissioners at 3:26 PM Before the deadline for all interveners at 5 PM, I am wondering how the proposed schedule for findings can be sent before we the interveners have a chance to get all our information to you. There seems to be a strong suggestion from the list serve and emails coming in from other interveners that there was a mix up in the address to file electronically and most did not get the email address I referenced earlier until the afternoon of Dec. 1. These two things combined show that there was a miscommunication at best.

Again, I was travelling, did not get this email address until I returned home, and it looks like most interveners did not have the correct email address until late in the day of the final day to submit to the docket. There is no information on the website for us, and I have looked through the emails I have received and had to get emails forwarded to me, why I do not know. Some are getting through and some are not, and not even getting into my spam or other folders.

Attached to this email is my original doc regarding my opposition to Keystone (TransCanada) to limit their scope. and I have included the email address, I know SD PUC will probably not approve it, however I am objecting to this for the above reasons. Again, travel, no cell phone and not available to the Internet while travelling. It can not assumed that everyone has a cell phone. I cannot afford that, and it cannot be assumed that we will have access to the Internet. How can I with no cell phone and travelling to a doctors appointment and I am stuck in a chair for four hours getting IV therapy with some really strong medications? I left Eagle Butte at 3 am, and did not return until after 5 PM MST.

Joye Braun