
From: Sherry [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 12:05:42 AM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. TransCanada has shown time and time again they are unable to build a safe pipeline. They have lied to the people of South Dakota about the benefits of the pipeline, while ignoring completely the harmful impacts of tarsands mining and the risk to our land and water from inevitable spills.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sherry Korthals

[REDACTED]
Sioux Falls , SD 57103

006625

From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 8:30 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

006626

From: Russ Graeff [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2015 10:34:15 PM

To: PUC

Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen: I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. TransCanada has shown time and time again they are unable to build a safe pipeline. They have lied to the people of South Dakota about the benefits of the pipeline, while ignoring completely the harmful impacts of tarsands mining and the risk to our land and water from inevitable spills. For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

While I understand current transportation methods (truck, rail) may also impose some element of danger moving this kind of VOLITILE product, it would be easier contained, less spillage potential and far less likely to destroy the aquifer that everyone is worried about. Just say NO!

Even better...say yes to alternative energies!

Be the leaders who have been so strong in South Dakota and nationally acclaimed in history for over a century!

Russell E. Graeff

[REDACTED]
Scotland, S.D. 57059
[REDACTED]

006627

From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 8:35 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

006628

From: Susan Chandler [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2015 10:19:18 PM

To: PUC

Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Address:

[REDACTED]

Fort Pierce, FL 34946

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001.

The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. TransCanada has shown time and time again they are unable to build a safe pipeline. They have lied to the people of South Dakota about the benefits of the pipeline, while completely ignoring the harmful impacts of tarsands mining and the risk to our land and water from inevitable spills.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Thank you.

Susan Chandler
Fort Pierce, Florida

006629

From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 9:54 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

006630

From: Dorene Cink [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 10:24:13 AM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

The below message is from Dorene Cink, [REDACTED], Sioux Falls SD 57103.

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. TransCanada has shown time and time again they are unable to build a safe pipeline. They have lied to the people of South Dakota about the benefits of the pipeline, while ignoring completely the harmful impacts of tarsands mining and the risk to our land and water from inevitable spills.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

006631

From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 10:57 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

006632