

From: Cedar Gillette [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 5:11:56 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: Public Comment Against Keystone XL permit
Auto forwarded by a Rule

[REDACTED]
New Town, North Dakota 58763

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is **expected** to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

As a former domestic advocate in a Bakken community in North Dakota, I can personally give account to how man camps destroy community safety, general well being, puts an unnecessary burden on local law enforcement to consistently deal with resolving social conflicts, and a greater burden on the communities affected to be able to handle all the social and environmental consequences associated with pipeline construction. Tribal jurisdiction or state jurisdiction, the irreparable harm remains.

Please do not allow this permit. Please listen to the people. Not a foreign corporation.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,

Cedar Gillette

--
"The [whole-hearted] believed what made them vulnerable made them beautiful...vulnerability is kind of the core of shame and fear and our struggle for worthiness, but it appears that it is also the birthplace of joy, of creativity, of belonging, of love." -Brené Brown

From: Robert Enz [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 5:22:29 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

[REDACTED] Antioch, Ca 94531

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

004677

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 8:27:18 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

My name is Kim Zimbelman
address - [REDACTED]
Manhattan, KS 66503

Please do not destroy mother earth anymore. The Native American Indians have the right to their reservation and the farmers as well. Nothing but greed by the rich.....

kim z

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen: I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic. For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

004678

From: R/S Jensen [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 5:42:08 AM

To: PUC

Subject: RE: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule



Oldham SD 57051

Please reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. I feel that the risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people far outweigh any benefits.

Sharlene Jensen
Oldham, SD

004679

From: Jean Katus [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 9:12:08 AM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Here is my address:

Jean Katus

[REDACTED]
Rapid City SD 57702
[REDACTED]

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover, the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Thank you for hearing my concerns.

Jean Katus

Rapid City

004680

HP14-001 - Message (HTML)

File Message Voltage Insert Options Format Text Review Adobe PDF

Send Secure Voltage Send Secure Paste Copy Format Painter Clipboard Basic Text Names Include Tags Zoom

MailTips could not be retrieved.

From: PUC@state.sd.us

To: **PUC;**

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: HP14-001

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
www.puc.sd.gov

PUC

From: Rose Palm [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 1:24:25 PM
To: PUC
Subject: RE: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

My name and address:

Rose Palm

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Watertown, SD 57201

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

004682

From: Dwain & Nadine Marcellus [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 1:24:39 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

[REDACTED]
Aberdeen SD 57401

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,

Nadine Marcellus

004683

HP14-001 - Message (HTML)

File Message Voltage Insert Options Format Text Review Adobe PDF

Send Secure Voltage Paste Copy Format Painter Clipboard Basic Text Names Include Tags Zoom

MailTips could not be retrieved.

From: PUC@state.sd.us

To: **PUC;**

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: HP14-001

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
www.puc.sd.gov

PUC

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Busklein [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 5:20 PM

To: Nelson, Chris

Subject: democracy

Dear Chris,

I have heard that there is an attempt to block public opinion in the Keystone pipeline case. Whatever their opinion is, they have a right to express it. Please allow them to be heard. Just let them speak. If they are wrong, then nothing will come of it.

There are many countries in the world that do not listen to public opinion. For example, in Myanmar, pipelines are built without public approval. Is that the kind of moral behaviour we want in South Dakota?

Public opinion. Listen to it.

Thanks,

Linda Busklein

004685

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Busklein

To: Gary Hanson

Subject: Keystone

Sent: Apr 15, 2015 5:21 PM

Dear Gary,

I have heard that there is an attempt to block public opinion in the Keystone pipeline case. Whatever their opinion is, they have a right to express it. Please allow them to be heard. Just let them speak. If they are wrong, then nothing will come of it.

There are many countries in the world that do not listen to public opinion. For example, in Myanmar, pipelines are built without public approval. Is that the kind of moral behaviour we want in South Dakota?

Public opinion. Listen to it.

Thanks,

Linda Busklein

004686

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Busklein [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 5:21 PM

To: Fiegen, Kristie

Subject: Keystone

Dear Kristie,

I have heard that there is an attempt to block public opinion in the Keystone pipeline case. Whatever their opinion is, they have a right to express it. Please allow them to be heard. Just let them speak. If they are wrong, then nothing will come of it.

There are many countries in the world that do not listen to public opinion. For example, in Myanmar, pipelines are built without public approval. Is that the kind of moral behaviour we want in South Dakota?

Public opinion. Listen to it.

Thanks,

Linda Busklein

004687