

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION
OF TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE
PIPELINE, LP FOR ORDER
ACCEPTING CERTIFICATION OF
PERMIT ISSUED IN DOCKET HP09-
001 TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE
XL PIPELINE**

*
*
**STAFF'S BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO
MOTION FOR STAY OF
PROCEEDINGS**
*
*
HP14-001
*
*

COMES NOW, Staff ("Staff") of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") and hereby files this brief in response to the Motion to Stay Proceedings ("Motion") filed by Dakota Rural Action, Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, and Indigenous Environmental Network ("Movants").

On April 7, 2015, Movants filed a Motion to Stay Proceedings, requesting the Commission essentially hold these proceedings in abeyance pending federal action, as well as an outcome of the Canadian National Energy Board Investigation of TransCanada. For the explained in this Response, Staff does not support holding these proceedings in abeyance indefinitely.

A. Holding these proceedings in abeyance indefinitely does not promote judicial economy.

In the Motion, Movants argue that "it makes no sense ... to expend the time and resources preparing for and conducting what will inevitably be a large-scale discovery fight, evidentiary hearing, and appeal..." If, in fact, they are stating that a large scale fight and an appeal are a near certainty, then it stands to reason that judicial economy is best served by going

forward as efficiently as possible so that, should the federal government reach a decision, the process is not held up in South Dakota for an extended period of time.

Moreover, applying the Movants' logic to other jurisdictions would create a chicken-and-egg scenario that could effectively stop any development requiring permits from multiple jurisdictions. For instance, President Obama has cited Nebraska's process as a reason for delays with the Presidential Permit. If Nebraska were to place a condition that Keystone must first get a Presidential Permit, as the Movants suggest South Dakota do with this Motion, Keystone would be unable to receive either permit, regardless of each jurisdiction's review.

In fact, all major siting projects require additional permits beyond those issued by the PUC and the Commission has approved permits to construct for all recent siting dockets before all other jurisdictional permits/approvals were obtained (docket reference: HP09-001, HP07-001, EL13-020, EL13-028, and EL14-061). Permit Applicants should be afforded the opportunity to seek permits and approvals from multiple jurisdictions in parallel in order to reduce the regulatory burden that would result from extending the duration of permitting activities should sequential permitting be required.

Moreover, Staff draws caution to the possibility that staying this proceeding may establish precedent that could potentially burden future development in South Dakota. For example, some siting projects require an Environmental Impact Statement be prepared according to NEPA and future arguments could be made that the Commission should stay the PUC's proceeding until a Record of Decision is entered in the NEPA process. This may extend the time required for project developers to obtain all approvals and permits by one year or more should the PUC not begin its permitting process until after a Record of Decision is entered. Any such precedent would adversely impact the permitting time and efficiency for project developers.

It is staff's opinion that the issue of judicial economy is based on the subjective views of each party. For example, it can be argued that it is more efficient for project developers to obtain permits from multiple jurisdictions in parallel and, thus, promoting judicial economy in this sense. Establishing precedent that sequential permitting is required could actually harm future project developers from the perspective of judicial economy. Therefore, relying on another jurisdiction to take the first step sets a dangerous precedent.

B. Staying these proceedings indefinitely violates Keystone's due process right to have a hearing on their petition.


Keystone filed its Petition for Order Accepting Certification Under SDCL § 49-41B-27 on September 15, 2014. SDCL § 1-26-16 very clearly states that all parties be afforded an opportunity for a hearing. Keystone has not waived that right. Therefore, due process dictates that this proceeding not be postponed indefinitely.

Conclusion

While it is true that there is no way of knowing when, if ever, Keystone will receive a federal permit, that is a risk borne by Keystone. Keystone has a right, per SDCL § 49-41B-27, to seek certification before the Commission. Keystone chose to exercise that right before being granted a federal permit and, therefore, took on the risk that, even if the Commission grants certification, it may not be able to begin construction. However, Keystone had the right to take on that risk, and due process requires that Keystone be given a hearing.

For the foregoing reasons, Staff recommends the Commission deny the Motion to Stay.

Dated this 10th day of April, 2015.



Kristen N. Edwards
Staff Attorney
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre, SD 57501

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, LP)	
FOR ORDER ACCEPTING CERTIFICATION)	HP14-001
OF PERMIT ISSUED IN DOCKET HP09-001)	
TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE XL)	
PIPELINE)	

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of Staff's Brief in Response to Motion for Stay of Proceedings, and Certificate of Service were served electronically to the Parties listed below, on the 10th day of April, 2015, addressed to:

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen
Executive Director
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501
patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us

Mr. Bill G. Taylor
Attorney
Woods, Fuller, Shultz and Smith P.C.
PO Box 5027
Sioux Falls, SD 57117
bill.taylor@woodsfuller.com

Ms. Kristen Edwards
Staff Attorney
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501
Kristen.edwards@state.sd.us

Mr. Paul F. Seamans
27893 249th St.
Draper, SD 57531
jackknife@goldenwest.net

Mr. Brian Rounds
Staff Analyst
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501
brian.rounds@state.sd.us

Mr. John H. Harter
28125 307th Ave.
Winner, SD 57580
johnharter11@yahoo.com

Ms. Elizabeth Lone Eagle
PO Box 160
Howes, SD 57748
bethcbest@gmail.com

Mr. Darren Kearney
Staff Analyst
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501
darren.kearney@state.sd.us

Mr. Tony Rogers
Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Tribal Utility
Commission
153 S. Main St.
Mission, SD 57555
tuc@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov

Mr. James E. Moore
Attorney
Woods, Fuller, Shultz and Smith P.C.
PO Box 5027
Sioux Falls, SD 57117
james.moore@woodsfuller.com

Ms. Viola Waln
PO Box 937
Rosebud, SD 57570
walnranchn@goldenwest.net

Ms. Jane Kleeb
Bold Nebraska
1010 N. Denver Ave.
Hastings, NE 68901
jane@boldnebraska.org

Mr. Benjamin D. Gotschall
Bold Nebraska
6505 W. Davey Rd.
Raymond, NE 68428
ben@boldnebraska.org

Mr. Byron T. & Ms. Diana L. Steskal
707 E. 2nd St.
Stuart NE 68780
prairierose@nntc.net

Ms. Cindy Myers, R.N.
PO Box 104
Stuart, NE 68780
csmyers77@hotmail.com

Mr. Arthur R. Tanderup
52343 857th Rd.
Neligh, NE 68756
atanderu@gmail.com

Mr. Lewis GrassRope
PO Box 61
Lower Brule, SD 57548
wisestar8@msn.com
(605) 208-0606 - voice

Ms. Carolyn P. Smith
305 N. 3rd St.
Plainview, NE 68769
peachie_1234@yahoo.com

Mr. Robert G. Allpress
46165 Badger Rd.
Naper, NE 68755
bobandnan2008@hotmail.com
(402) 832-5298 - voice

Mr. Jeff Jensen
14376 Laflin Rd.
Newell, SD 57760
jensen@sdplains.com

Mr. Louis T. Genung
902 E. 7th St.
Hastings, NE 68901
tq64152@windstream.net

Mr. Peter Capossela, P.C.
Attorney at Law
PO Box 10643
Eugene, OR 97440
pcapossela@nu-world.com

Ms. Nancy Hilding
6300 W. Elm
Black Hawk, SD 57718
nhilshat@rapidnet.com

Mr. Gary F. Dorr
27853 292nd
Winner, SD 57580
gfdorr@gmail.com

Mr. Bruce & Ms. RoxAnn Boettcher
Boettcher Organics
86061 Edgewater Ave.
Bassett, NE 68714
boettcherann@abbnebraska.com

Ms. Wrexie Lainson Bardaglio
9748 Arden Rd.
Trumansburg, NY 14886
wrexie.bardaglio@gmail.com
(607) 229-8819 - voice

Mr. Cyril Scott
President
Rosebud Sioux Tribe
PO Box 430
Rosebud, SD 57570
cscott@gwtc.net
ejantoine@hotmail.com

Mr. Eric Antoine
Attorney
Rosebud Sioux Tribe
PO Box 430
Rosebud, SD 57570
ejantoine@hotmail.com

Ms. Paula Antoine
Sicangu Oyate Land Office Coordinator
Rosebud Sioux Tribe
PO Box 658
Rosebud, SD 57570
wopila@gwtc.net
paula.antoine@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov

Mr. Harold C. Frazier
Chairman
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe
PO Box 590
Eagle Butte, SD 57625
haroldcfrazier@yahoo.com

Ms. Amy Schaffer
PO Box 114
Louisville, NE 68037
amyannschaffer@gmail.com

Ms. Debbie J. Trapp
24952 US HWY 14
Midland, SD 57552
mtdt@goldenwest.net

Ms. Gena M. Parkhurst
2825 Minnewasta Place
Rapid City, SD 57702
gmp66@hotmail.com

Ms. Joye Braun
PO Box 484
Eagle Butte, SD 57625
jmbraun57625@gmail.com

Mr. Robert Flying Hawk
Chairman
Yankton Sioux Tribe
PO Box 1153
Wagner, SD 57380
Robertflyinghawk@gmail.com

Ms. Thomasina Real Bird
Attorney
Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP
1900 Plaza Dr.
Louisville, CO 80027
trealbird@ndnlaw.com

Ms. Chastity Jewett
1321 Woodridge Dr.
Rapid City, SD 57701
chasjewett@gmail.com

Mr. Duncan Meisel
350.org
20 Jay St. #1010
Brooklyn, NY 11201
duncan@350.org

Ms. Sabrina King
Dakota Rural Action
518 Sixth Street, #6
Rapid City, SD 57701
sabrina@dakotarural.org

Mr. Frank James
Dakota Rural Action
PO Box 549
Brookings, SD 57006
fejames@dakotarural.org

Mr. Bruce Ellison
Attorney
Dakota Rural Action
518 Sixth St. #6
Rapid City, SD 57701
belli4law@aol.com

Mr. Tom BK Goldtooth
Indigenous Environmental Network (IEN)
PO Box 485
Bemidji, MN 56619
ien@igc.org

Mr. Dallas Goldtooth
38371 Res. HWY 1
Morton, MN 56270
goldtoothdallas@gmail.com

Ms. Bonny Kilmurry
47798 888 Rd.
Atkinson, NE 68713
bjkilmurry@gmail.com

Mr. Robert P. Gough
Secretary
Intertribal Council on Utility Policy
PO Box 25
Rosebud, SD 57570
bobgough@intertribalCOUP.org

Mr. Terry & Cheryl Frisch
47591 875th Rd.
Atkinson, NE 68713
tcfrisch@g.com

Ms. Tracey Zephier
Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP
Ste. 104
910 5th St.
Rapid City, SD 57701
tzephier@ndnlaw.com

Mr. Robin S. Martinez
Martinez Madrigal & Machicao, LLC
616 W. 26th St.
Kansas City, MO 64108
robin.martinez@martinezlaw.net

Ms. Mary Turgeon Wynne, Esq.
Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Tribal Utility
Commission
153 S. Main St
Mission, SD 57555
tuc@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov

Ms. April D. McCart
Certified Paralegal
Martinez Madrigal & Machicao, LLC
616 W. 26th St.
Kansas City, MO 64108
april.mccart@martinezlaw.net

Mr. Matthew L. Rappold
Rappold Law Office
816 Sixth St.
PO Box 873
Rapid City, SD 57709
Matt.rappold01@gmail.com

Mr. Paul C. Blackburn - Representing: Bold
Nebraska
Attorney
4145 20th Ave. South
Minneapolis, MN 55407
paul@paulblackburn.net

Ms. Kimberly E. Craven - Representing:
Indigenous Environmental Network (IEN)
Attorney
3560 Catalpa Way
Boulder, CO 80304
kimecraven@gmail.com


And on April 10, 2015, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was mailed via U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, to the following:

Mr. Cody Jones
21648 US HWY 14/63
Midland, SD 57552

Mr. Jerry Jones
22584 US HWY 14
Midland SD 57552

Ms. Elizabeth Lone Eagle
PO Box 160
Howes, SD 57748

Mr. Ronald Fees
17401 Fox Ridge Rd.
Opal, SD 57758



Kristen N. Edwards
Staff Attorney
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 East Capitol
Pierre, SD 57501