
From: Carol Dahlberg[SMTP: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 11:22:46 AM
To: PUC Consumer Info
Subject: Keystone Pipeline
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Please deny the request to run dirty tar sands oil through South Dakota. No jobs will be created for Americans and the oil will not be used to bring down gas prices for Americans as it will be refined for overseas shipment.

Nothing good for America or South Dakota can come of this pipeline.

Carol Dahlberg
Sioux Falls, CA

000251

-----Original Message-----

From: PUC

Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 3:50 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Keystone XL, HP14-001

Ms. Dahlberg:

Thank you for contacting the commission regarding the Keystone XL pipeline certification docket. Your comments will be added to the public record so the commissioners and other parties to this docket can read them. Here is a link to the docket: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001.aspx> and here is a link to a document that explains the processing of a pipeline siting case: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf>

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Staff www.puc.sd.gov

000252

From: Kara[SMTP: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 3:57:16 PM

To: PUC Consumer Info

Subject: Keystone XL Pipeline

Auto forwarded by a Rule

I'd like to express my sincere concern about the negative societal, ecological and environmental impact of allowing this pipeline project to commence. I've personally done hours of research and listened to opinions on both sides of the issue and it's very clear that the negative impact to our communities, landscape, wildlife, water supply and overall environmental health in South Dakota could in no way be justified by the limited economic impact to the state. The situation in North Dakota should be a glaring example of negative impact on the environment, communities and people vs. the positive economic impact for a select few. There is not one concrete measurable way this pipeline will benefit the general public of the state of South Dakota. The people's best interest should always come before the financial gain of a few.

Thank you,

Kara Schweitzer

Sent from my iPhone

000253

From: PUC

Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 4:07 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Keystone XL, HP14-001

Ms. Schweitzer:

Thank you for contacting the commission regarding the Keystone XL pipeline certification docket. Your comments will be added to the public record so the commissioners and other parties to this docket can read them. Here is a link to the docket: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001.aspx> and here is a link to a document that explains the processing of a pipeline siting case: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf>

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Staff

www.puc.sd.gov

000254

From: Paula Edwards[SMTP: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 2:07:48 PM
To: PUC Consumer Info
Subject: Keystone Pipleine.
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Please don't not re-certify the permit for the Keystone Pipeline. It will damage our land with little if any benefit to us. We have to begin to use solar and wind energy exclusively and ease out of oil.

Thank you

Paula Edwards
[REDACTED]

000255

From: PUC

Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 4:12 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Keystone XL, HP14-001

Ms. Edwards:

Thank you for contacting the commission regarding the Keystone XL pipeline certification docket. Your comments will be added to the public record so the commissioners and other parties to this docket can read them. Here is a link to the docket: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001.aspx> and here is a link to a document that explains the processing of a pipeline siting case: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf>

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Staff

www.puc.sd.gov

000256