

Subject: Keystone XL

From: dan hargreaves [REDACTED]

To: Kristie Fiegen [REDACTED], Chris Nelson [REDACTED], Gary Hanson

CC:

Members of SD PUC

This article was in today's Mitchell Daily Republic paper. (appears to have skipped some in the process of transferring)

The PUC must recertify the project according to the article. This reminded me of what happened at the DakotaFest debate between Kristi Noem and Corinna Robinson. The moderator (Jerry Oster WNAX) asked questions and they took turns answering as is done many debates. About the 4th question, the Keystone pipeline was brought up. Kristi Noem went first and said she supported Keystone etc. and received good

applause at the end of her turn. Corinna Robinson said right away she opposed Keystone and you heard a "gasp" from the crowd. When her turn was done there was very little applause then and never again did she get much even polite applause as was happening before. My point is, from my perspective, it appeared those there strongly supported the Keystone project. I repeat, just my observation.

*Dan Hargreaves
Stickney, SD*

Keystone XL operator seeks approval from state officials

By REGINA GARCIA CANO

Associated Press

SIoux FALLS — The operator of the long-delayed Keystone XL crude oil pipeline on Monday formally asked South Dakota's utility regulators to recertify the portion of the project that runs through the state.

The Public Utilities Commission must recertify that the conditions for construction of that portion of the pipeline haven't

Higher expenses

Company says oil pipeline's cost in South Dakota more than doubles. A6

changed since the permits were first issued in 2010. State rules dictate permits must be reapproved if the construction of the project does not start within four years of their issuance.

The proposed project by TransCanada Corp. would transport oil from Canadian tar sands through Montana and South Dakota to Nebraska, where it would connect with existing pipelines to carry more than 800,000 barrels of crude oil a day to refineries along the Gulf Coast.

The recertification process will include commission meetings and hearings that will be open to the public and will allow any individual or group to submit written comments.

The procedure will also let interested groups seek party status from the commission.

Party status allows an area city, county, governmental agency, nonprofit organization or individual to cross-examine witnesses and take part in the discovery process. The commission has set the deadline to apply for party status for Oct. 15.

The operator of the nearly 1,200-mile pipeline said its proposed project continues to be in full compliance with the conditions attached to the permits approved on June 29, 2010.

"What we are doing is providing a petition that shows that if anything, the conditions are better than they were previously," Corey Goulet, the president of Keystone Projects for TransCanada Corp., said earlier this month.

He added that the construction conditions have improved in part because of the dozens of federal stipulations imposed on the project since it was first approved by the state regulators.

Supporters of the pipeline say it will create thousands of jobs and aid energy independence, but environmentalists warn of possible spills and say transporting oil will eventually contribute to global warming.

Opponents of the project in the state, including the group Dakota Rural Action, have vowed to fight the recertification.

"While TransCanada would have everyone believe that certification will be a simple matter of submitting their application to the PUC, Dakota Rural Action members and landowners feel otherwise and will be contesting the need for the KXL and fully expects the PUC to hold extended hearings on the permit," the chairman of the opposing group, Paul Seamans, said in a statement.

The company says the project would generate \$20 million in taxes per year for the counties through which the pipeline would run, as well as 4,200 jobs in the state and about \$200 million in wages associated with those jobs.

The Obama administration said in April it was putting off its decision on whether to approve the pipeline indefinitely.

A decision now isn't expected until after the November elections

From: Nelson, Chris
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 9:03 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Van Gerpen, Patty
Subject: FW: Keystone XL

Dan,

Thank you for contacting me regarding the Keystone XL pipeline certification. Your comments will be added to the public record in this docket for consideration by the Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Chris Nelson

Public Utilities Commissioner
500 E Capitol Ave
Pierre SD 57501
605-773-3201
Chris.Nelson@state.sd.us

000218