From: Joel R Comstock

Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 5:04 PM

To: Nelson, Chris

Subject: Stop Dakota Access HP14-002

Commissioner

Docket Number: HP14-002

I am in opposition to the Dakota Access crude oil pipeline because it will pose a threat of serious injury to the environment and current or future inhabitants. The pipeline will substantially impair the health, safety and welfare of South Dakota communities.

The potential impact of an oil spill or leak to water and land quality for both private and public lands would be devastating and costly to South Dakota's communities.

The pipeline will destroy natural resources that are needed to sustain South Dakota as a leader in agriculture and tourism. Also, South Dakota does not have state inspectors or funds to protect citizens from pipeline accidents.

The Dakota Access Pipeline would not serve the good of the public. It is all risk and no reward for South Dakota and its residents. The pipeline would be a negative legacy for all South Dakotans.

The remedy that I seek is to outright deny a permit to construct the Dakota Access crude oil pipeline in South Dakota.

Joel R Comstock Lennox, SD 57039 ----Original Message-----

From: Twila Wilcox [

Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 9:56 AM

To: Nelson, Chris

Subject: Stop Dakota Access HP14-002

Commissioner

Docket Number: HP14-002

The pipeline will substantially impair the health, safety and welfare of South Dakota communities. The pipeline will destroy natural resources that are needed to sustain South Dakota as a leader in agriculture and tourism. Also, South Dakota does not have state inspectors or funds to protect citizens from pipeline accidents.

The Dakota Access Pipeline would not serve the good of the public. It is all risk and no reward for South Dakota and its residents. The pipeline would be a negative legacy for all South Dakotans.

I oppose the construction of the proposed Dakota Access crude oil pipeline because it will pose a threat of serious injury to the environment and current or future inhabitants.

The potential impact of an oil spill or leak to water and land quality for both private and public lands would be devastating and costly to South Dakota's communities.

The remedy that I seek is to outright deny a permit to construct the Dakota Access crude oil pipeline in South Dakota.

Twila Wilcox Lennox, SD 57039

----Original Message-----

From: Robin Matzke

Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 9:54 AM

To: Nelson, Chris

Subject: Stop Dakota Access HP14-002

Commissioner

Docket Number: HP14-002

I oppose Dakota Access crude oil pipeline because it will pose a threat of serious injury to the environment and current or future inhabitants. Also, South Dakota does not have state inspectors or funds to protect citizens from pipeline accidents.


The pipeline will substantially impair the health, safety and welfare of South Dakota communities. The pipeline will destroy natural resources that are needed to sustain South Dakota as a leader in agriculture and tourism.

The Dakota Access Pipeline would not serve the good of the public. It is all risk and no reward for South Dakota and its residents. The pipeline would be a negative legacy for all South Dakotans.

The remedy that I seek is to outright deny a permit to construct the Dakota Access crude oil pipeline in South Dakota.

Robin Matzke

Lennox, SD 57039



From: Joy Hohn

Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 4:58:26 PM

To: PUC

Subject: HP14-002

Dear South Dakota Commissioners:

I strongly oppose the permitting of the Dakota Access Pipeline and have great concerns with the project "benefits" that Dakota Access is proposing. The **ESTIMATED** monetary benefits that the state receives in the **short term** do not compare to the **long term** monetary benefits that Dakota Access and the 'big oil' companies will be filling their pockets with '**INDEFINITELY**'. Alaska does not have income tax due to revenues that they receive off the pipelines. The amount of income that South Dakota would receive will be depreciated out over a 10 year period. After that, there are no other monies left to our great State.

What TransCanada estimated with Keystone 1 and what South Dakota actually received was only one third of the proposed amount. This proves that big oil companies are not to be trusted!! Why would the citizens/state of SD and the SD PUC settle for less? This should NOT be approved because a pipeline will not benefit our state for the life of the pipeline.

Dakota Access, the Texas based company, doesn't care about our SD land and our SD economy. They are seeking eminent domain to take our South Dakota natural resources ~ highly productive agriculture land and precious waters. They will be laughing at our State for years and years to come as they are reaping in the profits **INDEFINITELY**. Please help our concerned landowners and citizens take a stand to protect the State of South Dakota

"He who works his land will have abundant food, but he who chases fantasies (schemes for making easy money) lacks judgement." Proverbs 12:11 NIV

Rod Hohn

Hartford, SD 57033