1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION EL13-028
5	OF MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. AND OTTER TAIL POWER COMPANY FOR A
6	PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE BIG STONE SOUTH TO ELLENDALE 345 kV TRANSMISSION LINE
7	
8	
9	Transcript of Proceedings June 10, 2014
10	Volume I, pages 1-144
l.1	
12	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
L3	GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN CHRIS NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN
14	KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER
L5	COMMISSION STAFF
	John Smith
1.6	Karen Cremer Greg Rislov
L7	Brian Rounds Katlyn Gustafson
18	
19	APPEARANCES
20	Thomas Welk and Jason Sutton, Applicants Bob Pesall, Intervener
21	Randall Schuring, Intervener
22	Bradley Morehouse, Intervener
23	
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 10th and 11th days of June, 2014. .24

- 1 values which reduced property taxes by other taxpayers,
- 2 | that wouldn't be accounted for in your figures?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. Is it accurate that none of the impacted counties
- 5 | have come forward in support of this Application?
- 6 MR. WELK: Objection to the form of the
- 7 question.
- 8 MR. PESALL: I'll withdraw the question.
- 9 Q. Have you received any communication from the county
- 10 | commissions of Day County, Grant County, Roberts County,
- 11 Brown County, any of the affected counties in support of
- 12 | this Application?
- 13 A. We have had communications with all three counties.
- 14 | I can't recall, I guess, whether we've gotten any
- 15 particular correspondence to that effect.
- 16 Q. But you have received communications from townships
- 17 | that oppose it?
- 18 A. Yes. There was a couple that did, yes.
- 19 | Q. So would it be fair to say then that the only units
- 20 of local government that have spoken to you with an
- 21 opinion have opposed it?
- 22 A. In writing, yes.
- 23 | Q. With respect to the line itself, do you anticipate
- 24 any actual interconnection with other lines between the
- 25 | substations at Ellendale or Big Stone?

- 1 A. We do not.
- 2 | Q. So if there were any generating facilities or wind
- 3 | towers along the line, you wouldn't anticipate that they
- 4 | would connect to this line?
- 5 A. Not existing facilities, no.
- 6 Q. Are you aware of any anticipated facilities that
- 7 | would be connecting to this line?
- 8 A. Not directly, no.
- 9 Q. So you can't say that there's anyone out there that
- 10 | plans to build a wind farm and connect to this
- 11 | transmission line?
- 12 A. I can't say that, no.
- 13 | Q. Directing your attention to page 12 on Exhibit 16A
- 14 on your direct testimony, you give some comments about
- 15 | the selected route. Is it accurate to say that MISO did
- 16 | not pick this route?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. MISO's involvement such as it is, is only to propose
- 19 a connection between Big Stone and Ellendale?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And the first and primary criteria that the
- 22 | Applicants looked at in selecting the particular route on
- 23 | the Application today is cost; is that correct?
- 24 A. Would you repeat the question?
- 25 | Q. The primary issue that the Applicants were looking

- 1 A. There's several benefits that can be realized.
- 2 | There's several studies that have been done that identify
- 3 | potential overload to facilities that will no longer be
- 4 overloaded if this project --
- 5 Q. Can you hear me okay. I'm just asking what a person
- 6 on Main Street would see as a difference.
- 7 MR. SUTTON: Objection. That's argumentative.
- 8 MR. SMITH: Sustained.
- 9 Q. With respect to the actual use of the line -- let me
- 10 | turn your attention to your testimony, prefiled
- 11 testimony. This would be about page 19.
- 12 A. I need to grab that.
- 13 Q. Please.
- 14 A. Page 19?
- 15 Q. I think so. Let me check my own notes so I make
- 16 | sure I'm directing you to the correct place.
- In that testimony you indicate the general use and
- 18 direction for the flow of the project. Am I correct in
- 19 reading that to conclude that the expectation by the
- 20 Applicants in this case is that electricity will
- 21 | typically be flowing from northwest around Ellendale to
- 22 | southeast around Big Stone?
- 23 | A. Can you point me to a specific line here? Sorry.
- 24 What you're asking me rings a bell from data.
- 25 | Q. My notes actually refer to both of those so let me

just ask you the question. What is the anticipated flow for this line? Is it from Ellendale, North Dakota to Big Stone?

A. Based on my knowledge of the transmission system in this area, the typical direction of flow on this line will be from Ellendale down to Big Stone.

The transmission system does experience bidirectional flows depending on a variety of factors, whether it be load levels, transfer levels into different regions, or potentially different transmission or generational use. That all influences power flow.

- Q. And ultimately, based on the studies, much of that electrical flow is going to consumers in the Twin Cities area; is that correct?
- A. Not necessarily. Each substation that's interconnected on this project either at Ellendale or Big Stone is an opportunity for the power to flow from the high voltage system to the lower voltage system or from the lower voltage system back up to the high voltage system.

I liken it to an interstate system where we have the high voltage grid representing the interstate highway and the lower voltage facility being more the secondary streets. Every time there's a substation along the transmission system it acts as an interchange similar to