1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2.	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION EL14-026
5	OF BLACK HILLS POWER, INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS ELECTRIC RATES
6	_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
7	Transcript of Proceedings
8	January 27 & 28, 2015
9	Volume II of II
10	January 28, 2015 CONFIDENTIAL VERSION
11	
12	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
13	CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN
14	KRISTIE FIEGEN, VICE CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER
15	COMMISSION STAFF
16	John Smith
17	Karen Cremer Greg Rislov
18	Tina Douglas Katlyn Gustafson
19	
20	APPEARANCES
21	Amy Koenig and Lee Magnuson, Black Hills Power, Inc.
22	Mark Moreno, Andrew Moratzka, and Chad Marriott, Industrial Interveners
23	Caitlin Collier, Dakota Rural Action Karen Cremer, Public Utilities Commission Staff
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
25	
1	

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
2	above-entitled matter, at the Joe Foss Building,
3	Matthews Training Center, 523 East Capitol Avenue,
4	Pierre, South Dakota, on the 28th day of January, 2015,
5	commencing at 8 o'clock a.m.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 of them. 2 We have two Motions For Approval of Settlement Stipulation, and we have the Motion of the Industrial 3 Interveners for a briefing schedule. 4 5 Commissioners, how do you want to handle --6 which ones of those do you want to deal with? 7 I think one issue we need to know up front -and I'm assuming I know the answer, but do you intend to 8 9 take this under advisement, or do you intend to take action today? 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Normally I'm the guy who wants 11 12 to take action, but in this case I would take it under advisement. 13 14 That appears to be a consensus of MR. SMITH: 15 the Commission. My assumption then is that the two motions for 16 Settlement Stipulation approval will be delayed, action 17 on those, until a subsequent meeting of the Commission; 18 19 is that correct? 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Correct. 21 MR. SMITH: Okay. So let's turn to a Motion for 22 a briefing schedule. 23 And I guess question one is does the Commission 24 want posthearing briefing?

My assumption is yes, but I -- go ahead and

25

comment.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: My response to that would be yes. And if I might just be a little proactive, you know, I read your Motion. I'm not sure that we can live with the schedule, however. You know, we need to have a decision by the end of March.

I am looking at a Commission meeting that is already scheduled for March 17 that might be a great time for us to deal with this issue, and so a schedule that would accommodate that meeting would be something that I would support.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And, Mr. Chairman, when you say "decision by the end of March" you also mean that our Order has to be written and filed. Because, otherwise, the interim rates -- we have some issues with interim rates.

MR. SMITH: Our statutory deadline is March 31. So it's one year after the -- so we've got to be -- the decision has to be out by then.

So just thoughts of the parties on what you'd like to see in terms of a briefing schedule.

MR. MORATZKA: Could we perhaps modify the Motion and maybe toss that out for the parties' discussion?

MR. SMITH: Sure.