
From: HAROLD RASMUSSEN [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2014 9:45:49 AM
To: PUC
Subject: RE: BHP Request Increase
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Commissioners
Patrick Steffensen

Thank you for your reply to my first message. I have reviewed the testimony from BHP officials in this matter. I have been working with BHP personnel to review options in my situation.

I just got my bill from BHP for the period ending 11-21-14. In regard to the Cloverleaf Pl. Barn the bill was for \$196.02. I had anticipated paying the energy charge of \$82.80 for the increase in KW usage for this structure. It is fully enclosed and insulated well. The temperatures were frigid for a period of this time.

What I'm not happy with is BHP adding to this a Capacity Charge now of \$64.60 when they decided to put all residential customers into their bucket that previously didn't charge for the first 5 KW of usage demand. I don't believe even if justified in testimony by BHP that an increase such as this should be allowed all in one shot. If allowed at all it should be phased in over time. This is the equivalent of charging me for the use of 729 KW which I didn't use. I actually used 935 kW.

Then they add in a cost adjustment summary as well of \$28.58 to pad the bottom line. As I noted before I object to what is essentially an almost double increase in the per kW charge with these other charges.

Thank You

Harry Rasmussen

002472