



2. On May 21, 2010, AT&T sought to intervene in the above-captioned proceeding because the Commission has granted it a certificate of authority to provide intrastate interexchange service within the State of South Dakota, and, like Sprint, AT&T purchases intrastate switched access service from carriers operating within South Dakota including NAT.
3. NAT filed a Motion to Dismiss on June 1, 2010 before the Commission.
4. AT&T's Petition for Intervention was granted on June 15, 2010 by the Commission. On that date, the Commission further issued an Order directing the parties to work with Commission Staff to establish a procedural schedule to hear the Motion to Dismiss filed by NAT.
5. On July 7, 2010, NAT filed an action against Sprint in Crow Creek Tribal Court, and filed a Motion to Stay in this docket on July 29, 2010 on the theory that the Tribal Court has primary jurisdiction over services it provides.
6. A conference call took place on August 3, 2010 among interested parties to discuss a revised briefing schedule in light of NAT's Motion to Stay. No agreement was reached.
7. On August 3, 2010, Sprint filed in Opposition to NAT's Motion to Stay and a Motion to Establish Briefing Schedule.
8. AT&T opposes NAT's Motion to Stay. AT&T believes that the same substantive factual and legal issues are relevant to both NAT's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Stay. AT&T further believes that it would be incongruent for the Commission to reach different conclusions on the two Motions, because of the degree of overlap in the factual and legal issues.

Therefore, for purposes of procedural efficacy and efficiency, AT&T supports Sprint's proposed joint briefing schedule on both NAT's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Stay, thereby combining the briefing on these issues.

9. Sprint further offers a revised briefing schedule in the event that the Commission determines that briefing on the Motion to Stay should proceed in advance of briefing the Motion to Dismiss; upon such determination by the Commission, AT&T concurs with Sprint's proposed revised briefing schedule.

Respectfully submitted this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010.

OLINGER, LOVALD, MCCAHERN & REIMERS, PC

*/s/ filed electronically*

William M. Van Camp

PO Box 66

Pierre, SD 57501

Telephone: (605) 224-8851

*Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc.*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

William M. Van Camp hereby certifies that on the 5th day of August 2010, the Opposition by AT&T Communications of The Midwest, Inc., of The Motion To Stay filed by Native American Telecom, LLC In The Matter of In Re: Sprint Communications Company L.P., ("Sprint") v. Native American Telecom, LLC ("NAT") was electronically emailed to the following:

Thomas J. Reiman  
Native American Telecom, LLC  
6710 E Split Rock Circle  
Sioux Falls SD 57110  
Attorney for Native American  
Telecom, LLC  
[tom@nativeamericantelecom.com](mailto:tom@nativeamericantelecom.com)

Kathryn E. Ford  
Davenport Evans Hurwitz & Smith LLP  
PO Box 1030  
Sioux Falls SD 57104  
Attorney for Sprint Communications Co., LP  
[kford@dehs.com](mailto:kford@dehs.com)

Richard D. Coit  
SDTA Executive Director  
PO Box 57  
Pierre SD 57501  
[richcoit@sdaonline.com](mailto:richcoit@sdaonline.com)

Darla Pollman Rogers  
Riter Rogers Law Office  
PO Box 280  
Pierre SD 57501  
Attorney for SDN, LLC  
And MidState Communications  
[dprogers@riterlaw.com](mailto:dprogers@riterlaw.com)

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen  
Executive Director  
SD Public Utilities Commission  
500 East Capitol  
Pierre SD 57501  
[patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us](mailto:patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us)

Ms. Karen Cremer  
Staff Attorney  
SD Public Utilities Commission  
500 East Capitol  
Pierre SD 57501  
[Karen.cremer@state.sd.us](mailto:Karen.cremer@state.sd.us)

Mr. David Jacobson  
Staff Analyst  
SD Public Utilities Commission  
500 East Capitol  
Pierre SD 57501  
[david.jacobson@state.sd.us](mailto:david.jacobson@state.sd.us)

William P. Heaston  
V.P. Legal & Regulatory  
SDN Communications  
2900 West 10<sup>th</sup> Street  
Sioux Falls, SD 57104  
[Bill.heaston@sdncommunications.com](mailto:Bill.heaston@sdncommunications.com)

Scott Swier  
Swier Law Firm, Prof.LLC  
133 N. Main Street  
PO Box 256  
Avon SD 57315  
[scott@swierlaw.com](mailto:scott@swierlaw.com)

Ms. Diane C. Browning  
6450 Sprint Parkway  
Mailstop KSOPHN0314-3A559  
Overland Park, Kansas 66251  
[Diane.c.browning@sprint.com](mailto:Diane.c.browning@sprint.com)

Philip Schenkenberg  
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.  
80 So. 8<sup>th</sup> Street  
2200 IDS Center  
Minneapolis MN 55402  
[pschenkenberg@briggs.com](mailto:pschenkenberg@briggs.com)

Scott G. Knudson  
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.  
80 So. 8<sup>th</sup> Street  
2200 IDS Center  
Minneapolis MN 55402  
[sknudson@briggs.com](mailto:sknudson@briggs.com)

Judith H. Roberts  
Demersseman Jensen  
Christianson Stanton & Huffman LLP  
Attys for Crow Creek Tribal Utility Authority  
516 5<sup>th</sup> Street, POBox 1820  
Rapid City SD 57709-1820  
(605)342-2814  
[jhr@demjen.com](mailto:jhr@demjen.com)

*/s/ filed electronically*

William M. Van Camp  
Olinger, Lovald, McCahren, and Reimers P.C.  
PO Box 66  
Pierre, South Dakota 57501